

Gauss sums, Stickelberger's theorem and the Gras conjecture for ray class groups

by

TIMOTHY ALL (Terre Haute, IN)

1. Introduction. Let k denote a real abelian number field with Galois group G . Let $\mathfrak{o}_k = \mathfrak{o}$ denote the ring of integers of k , and let $E = \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let E_d denote the group of units of \mathfrak{o} that are congruent to 1 modulo d . Let \bar{d} denote the product of distinct prime divisors of d , and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let ζ_n stand for a primitive n th root of unity. We assume the ζ_n have been chosen so that for every $t \mid n$ we have $\zeta_n^t = \zeta_{n/t}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $k^n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap k$, and for $n > 1$ satisfying $n \nmid \bar{d}$, let

$$\delta_{n,d} := N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \prod_{t \mid \bar{d}} (1 - \zeta_n^t)^{\mu(t)d/t} \in k^\times$$

where $\mu(t)$ denotes the Möbius function.

Let $D(d)$ denote the G -module generated by the $\delta_{n,d}$ for all $n \nmid \bar{d}$ in k^\times . We let

$$C(d) = E \cap D(d), \quad C_d = E_d \cap D(d).$$

We call the modules $D(d)$, $C(d)$, and C_d the d -cyclotomic numbers, units, and units congruent to 1 modulo d , respectively. We write D for $D(1)$ and C for $C(1)$. Note that $C(1) = C_1$. These modules were originally introduced by Sinnott [S] (for $d = 1$) and by Schmidt [Sch] (for $d > 1$). Note that C is not quite the full Sinnott group of cyclotomic units but rather its subgroup of totally positive units.

For an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$, let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the ray class group of k of modulus \mathfrak{a} , and let $H(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the corresponding ray class field of k so that $\text{Gal}(H(\mathfrak{a})/k) \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ via the Artin map. Let $H_{\mathfrak{a}} = H(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$, the maximal subextension of $H(\mathfrak{a})/k$ abelian over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \leq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ be such that

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11R80.

Key words and phrases: ray class group, Stickelberger, circular units, Gauss sum, real abelian number field.

Received 28 May 2016; revised 30 January 2017.

Published online 26 April 2017.

$\mathfrak{C}_a \simeq \text{Gal}(H(\mathfrak{a})/H_a)$. In the case when $p \nmid [k : \mathbb{Q}]$, note that

$$\text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}_a) = (1 - e_1) \text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a}))$$

where $e_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ is the idempotent associate to the trivial character.

There is a fascinating interplay between unit structures and ideal structures in algebraic number theory. For example, the following theorem was proven by Sinnott [S, Theorem 4.1] for $d = 1$, and by Schmidt [Sch, Satz 3] for $d > 1$ using similar methods.

THEOREM 1.1. *If $p \nmid 2|G|$, then $|\text{Syl}_p(E_d/C_d)| = |\text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}_d)|$.*

One of the aims of this article is to prove a Galois-equivariant version of the theorem above. To be precise, let $[\chi]$ be the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{|G|})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -orbit of a non-trivial character χ of G and define $\rho = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} \psi$. Let ϵ_ρ be the \mathbb{Z}_p -valued idempotent

$$\epsilon_\rho = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \rho(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G].$$

For a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module M , we let M_ρ denote the submodule $\epsilon_\rho M$.

Let $e(p)$ denote the ramification index of p in k . One of our main results is the following

THEOREM 1.2. *If $p \nmid 2|G|$ and $e(p) < p - 1$, then*

$$|\text{Syl}_p(E_d/C_d)_\rho| = |\text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}_d)_\rho|.$$

This is a ray class version of the Gras Conjecture [Gra], the statement of the claim when $d = 1$. Greenberg [Gre] observed that the Gras Conjecture followed from the Main Conjecture of Iwasawa theory which was later on proven by Mazur and Wiles [MW].

In the case when p possibly divides the order of G , we prove a result akin to Rubin’s [R, Theorem 1.3], which itself was a generalization of a theorem of Thaine [Th, Theorem 3]. Our method of proof follows along those same lines. In particular, we define a subgroup $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ of E which we call the \mathfrak{a} -special units. These are akin to Rubin’s special units [R], and we show that the d -cyclotomic units of Schmidt are a special instance of d -special units. We then show

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $\alpha : E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[G]$ be any G -module map where \mathcal{O} is the valuation ring of any finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let $\varsigma_a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$. Then $\alpha(\varsigma_a)$ annihilates $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}$.*

As stated, our method of proof originates in the work of Thaine and Rubin. Thaine noticed that cyclotomic units could be used to generate elements α that act like real analogues of Gauss sums much like roots of unity are used to generate classical Gauss sums. To generate α , Thaine relied on an invocation of Hilbert’s Theorem 90. A key feature here is that we give α

explicitly. This affords finer control over the ideal relations revealed by the factorization of α , thus paving the way towards annihilation results concerning ray classes. In particular we prove the following ray class version of a conjecture of D. Solomon [Sol, Conjecture 4.1] which acts as a sort of Stickelberger Theorem for ray class groups.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let \mathcal{O} denote the valuation ring of a p -adic completion of k , and let $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$ be a local parameter. For every $\varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ the element*

$$\frac{|e(p)|_p^{-1}}{\varpi^{|e(p)|_p^{-1}}} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \log_p(\varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^\sigma) \sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}[G]$$

annihilates $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}$.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we collect some results concerning the structure of relevant G -modules contained in k . Until further notice, we consider p to be an odd prime not dividing $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let

- K = a local field containing the character values of G ,
- \mathcal{O} = the valuation integers of K ,
- \mathbb{F} = the residue field of \mathcal{O} ,
- \mathbb{F}_p = the finite field with p elements.

We normalize the p -adic absolute value in the usual way: $|p|_p = p^{-1}$. For any finite set X , we use $|X|$ to denote the number of elements in X . For $H \subseteq G$, we write $s(H)$ to denote the sum

$$s(H) = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[G].$$

We write \widehat{G} for $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathcal{O}^\times)$. For every $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, we let

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}[G],$$

the idempotent associate to χ . We may naturally view $e_\chi \in \mathbb{F}[G]$.

Throughout, we use \otimes as an abbreviation for $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module M and commutative ring R , we make $M \otimes R$ into an $R[G]$ -module in the obvious way. The following proposition generalizes [A2, Theorem 3.3]. It will be useful later on for demonstrating that certain G -modules are cyclic.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let M be a free \mathbb{Z} -submodule of either $(k, +)$ or (k^\times, \cdot) of finite rank such that $\sigma(M) = M$ for all $\sigma \in G$. For $H \leq G$, let M^H denote the collection of all elements of M fixed by H . For every $H \leq G$, suppose that the inclusion $M^H \subseteq M$ induces*

$$M^H \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p.$$

If there exists $m \in M$ such that $[M : \langle m \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}] < \infty$, then $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.

Proof. Suppose k/\mathbb{Q} is cyclic with σ generating G . Let $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M$ and let $\varrho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{Z})$ be the representation induced by the action of G on a fixed \mathbb{Z} -basis, say $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$, for M . Let $m_{\varrho(\sigma)}$ and $h_{\varrho(\sigma)}$ be the minimal and characteristic polynomials for $\varrho(\sigma)$, respectively. Suppose $m \in M$ is such that the index $[M : \langle m \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}]$ is finite. From this it follows that $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle m \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$ and

$$h_{\varrho(\sigma)}(x) = m_{\varrho(\sigma)}(x) |x|^{|G|} - 1.$$

Now, let $\bar{\varrho} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{F}_p)$ be the representation induced by the action of G on the \mathbb{F}_p -basis $\{m_1 \bmod pM, \dots, m_r \bmod pM\}$. Note that

$$h_{\bar{\varrho}(\sigma)} \equiv h_{\varrho(\sigma)} \pmod{p}.$$

Since $p \nmid |G|$, it follows that $h_{\bar{\varrho}(\sigma)}$ factors into a product of distinct irreducibles modulo p . So $M/pM \simeq M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.

Now suppose k is merely abelian over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. Then χ naturally determines a character of $G' = G/\ker \chi$, the Galois group of the cyclic extension k'/\mathbb{Q} where $k' = k^{\ker \chi}$. We write M' for $M^{\ker \chi}$, and let e'_χ denote the idempotent associate to $\chi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G', \mathcal{O}^\times)$, i.e.,

$$e'_\chi = \frac{1}{|G'|} \sum_{\sigma \in G'} \chi(\sigma) \sigma^{-1}.$$

Note that $e_\chi = |\ker \chi|^{-1} \text{cores}_{k'}^k e'_\chi$ where $\text{cores}_{k'}^k$ denotes corestriction from k' to k . We may naturally view e_χ and e'_χ as being \mathbb{F} -valued, in particular, we may view \widehat{G} as a $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ -module. Let $[\chi] = \{\chi^\tau : \tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)\}$, and let $e_{[\chi]}, e'_{[\chi]} \in \mathbb{F}_p[G]$ be defined by

$$e_{[\chi]} = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} e_\psi \quad \text{and} \quad e'_{[\chi]} = \sum_{\psi \in [\chi]} e'_\psi.$$

It follows that $e_{[\chi]} = |\ker \chi|^{-1} \text{cores}_{k'}^k e'_{[\chi]}$.

By assumption, $M' \subseteq M$ induces $M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. So we may view $M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \subseteq M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. For $m \in M$, we have

$$e_{[\chi]}(m \otimes 1) = \text{cores}_{k'}^k e'_{[\chi]}(m \otimes (|\ker \chi|^{-1})) = e'_{[\chi]}(m^{s(\ker \chi)} \otimes (|\ker \chi|^{-1})).$$

Since $p \nmid n$, the map $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ defined by $x \mapsto x^{s(\ker \chi)}$ is surjective. It follows that

$$(2.1) \quad e_{[\chi]}(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = e'_{[\chi]}(M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) \subseteq M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Now, viewing M' as a G' -module, we note that k'/\mathbb{Q} is cyclic and M' satisfies all the hypotheses of the proposition: M' is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank that is preserved under the action of G' , and for all $H' \subseteq G'$ the inclusion

$(M')^{H'} \subseteq M'$ induces $(M')^{H'} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ (otherwise there exists $H \leq G$ such that $M^H \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, contrary to assumption). So $M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module, whence $M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.

Let $\mathfrak{m}' \in M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ be such that \mathfrak{m}' generates $M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module, and let $\mathfrak{m}_{[\chi]} = e_{[\chi]}\mathfrak{m}' \in M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Using (2.1), we get

$$e_{[\chi]}(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = e'_{[\chi]}(M' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = \langle \mathfrak{m}_{[\chi]} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{m} \in M \otimes \mathbb{F}$ be defined by $\mathfrak{m} = \sum \mathfrak{m}_{[\chi]}$ where the sum is taken over X , a complete system of representatives of $\widehat{G}/\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)$. Since $e_{[\chi]}\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{[\chi]}$, we have

$$M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X} e_{[\chi]}(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X} \langle \mathfrak{m}_{[\chi]} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} = \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module.
- (ii) $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.

Proof. For $\mathfrak{m} \in M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have the exact sequence

$$\langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow (M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \simeq M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow ((M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) / \langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]} = M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, then the third term in this exact sequence is zero. Thus the first map must be onto, hence $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$, the image of \mathfrak{m} through the first map, generates $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. So (i) implies (ii).

Conversely, suppose that $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} \in M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ satisfies $\langle \overline{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[G]} = M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \in M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ reduce to $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ in $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Then the first map in the exact sequence above is onto, hence the third term in that sequence is zero. It follows that $\langle \mathfrak{m} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]} = M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. So (ii) implies (i). ■

COROLLARY 2.3. *The modules $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathfrak{o} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ are cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -modules. In fact*

$$E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[G]/s(G) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{o} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[G].$$

Proof. Let $H \leq G$. Then E^H is the set of units of k^H and \mathfrak{o}^H is the ring of integers of k^H . Since k is real and Galois, it follows that

$$E^H \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow E \otimes \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{o}^H \otimes \mathbb{F}_p = \mathfrak{o}^H/(p) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{o}/(p) = \mathfrak{o} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p.$$

The cyclicity of $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathfrak{o} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ now follows Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. The particular isomorphisms given in the claim are now straightforward to prove. ■

For a prime ℓ , we adopt the following notation to be used throughout:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\ell &= \text{a Frobenius automorphism in } G \text{ for } \ell, \\ I_\ell &= \text{the inertia subgroup in } G \text{ of } \ell, \\ e_\ell &= s(I_\ell)/|I_\ell|. \end{aligned}$$

We may consider $e_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ since $p \nmid [k : \mathbb{Q}]$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Suppose $\ell \neq p$ is a rational prime and \mathfrak{L} the product of primes of \mathfrak{o} over ℓ . Then for a positive integer e ,*

$$(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L}^e)^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell).$$

If $e(p)$ is less than $p - 1$, then

$$(\mathfrak{o}/(p^e))^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(p^{e-1}(p - \sigma_p e_p)).$$

Proof. Suppose $\ell \neq p$. Then

$$(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L}^e)^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{l}|\ell} (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{l})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$$

where the product is over all prime ideals \mathfrak{l} of \mathfrak{o} dividing ℓ . Fix such an ideal \mathfrak{l} and let $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ be such that $u \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a generator for the group $(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{l})^\times$ and $u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}^\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in G, \sigma \neq 1$. We have

$$(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{u} = u \otimes 1 \in (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Consider the surjective map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \theta \mapsto \mathbf{u}^\theta.$$

Clearly, $(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell) \subseteq \ker \varphi$. The claim will now follow from the fact that the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ modulo the ideal $(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell)$ has the correct order.

To compute this order, we note that χ induces a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[G] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ in a natural way so that

$$|\mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell)| = \prod_{\chi} |\chi(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell)|_p^{-1}.$$

Note that

$$\chi(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell) = \begin{cases} \ell, & I_\ell \not\subseteq \ker \chi, \\ (\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell)), & I_\ell \subseteq \ker \chi. \end{cases}$$

So

$$|\mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell)| = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G'}} |\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell)|_p^{-1}$$

where $G' = G/I_\ell$. Let $G'_\ell = G_\ell/I_\ell$ where G_ℓ is the decomposition group for ℓ . Since the order of $\sigma_\ell \in G'_\ell$ is $f = [\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{l} : \mathbb{Z}/(\ell)]$, it follows that

$$\prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G'}} (\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell)) = \prod_{a=0}^{f-1} (\ell - \zeta_f^a)^r = (\ell^f - 1)^r, \quad r = [G : G_\ell].$$

Hence

$$|\mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell)| = |\ell^f - 1|_p^{-r} = |(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p| = |(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L}^e)^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p|.$$

This proves the claim in the $\ell \neq p$ case.

Now, suppose $\ell = p$ and $e(p) < p - 1$. For each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{o} dividing p , let $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j)} = \{x \in \mathfrak{o} : x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}\}$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathfrak{o}/(p^e))^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{e \cdot e(p)})^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e \cdot e(p))}.$$

For all $x \in \mathfrak{o}$ satisfying $|x|_{\mathfrak{p}} < p^{-1/(p-1)}$, we know that $\log_p(1+x)$ and $\exp_p(x)$ are defined by their power series, moreover, $\exp_p(\log_p(1+x)) = 1+x$. Since $e(p) < p - 1$, we have $|1+x|_{\mathfrak{p}} < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ for all $1+x \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}$. Thus the following map is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_p]$ -isomorphism:

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e \cdot e(p))} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^{e \cdot e(p)}, \quad 1+x \mapsto \log_p(1+x) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{e \cdot e(p)}}.$$

From (2.2), we now have

$$(\mathfrak{o}/(p^e))^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^{e \cdot e(p)} \simeq \mathfrak{P}/(p^e)$$

as $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -modules where \mathfrak{P} is the product of primes of \mathfrak{o} over p . It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a cyclic module, so the above isomorphism tells us that $(\mathfrak{o}/(p^e))^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is cyclic. It remains to show that $\mathfrak{P}/(p^e)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(p^e - p^{e-1}\sigma_p e_p)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module.

Since $\mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$ is cyclic, there is an onto homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}/(p^e)$. Note that

$$(p, 1 - e_p) = p\mathbb{Z}_p[G] + (1 - e_p)\mathbb{Z}_p[G] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{P}/(p^e).$$

Moreover, since $e_\chi(1 - e_p) = 0$ for all χ that are trivial on I_p (otherwise $e_\chi(1 - e_p) = e_\chi$), we have

$$|\mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(p, 1 - e_p)| = \prod_{\ker \chi \supseteq I_p} p = p^{[G:I_p]} = |\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{P}|,$$

so $\psi((p, 1 - e_p)) = \mathfrak{P}/(p^e)$. From the preceding paragraph, we know that $\mathfrak{P}/(p^e)$ is also cyclic, so there exists an onto homomorphism $\psi' : \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}/(p^e)$. Since $(p^e - p^{e-1}\sigma_p e_p) \cdot (p, 1 - e_p) \subseteq (p^e)$, it follows that $(p^e - p^{e-1}\sigma_p e_p) \subseteq \ker \psi'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{Z}_p[G] & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathfrak{o} & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 (p, 1 - e_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{P} & \xleftarrow{\psi'} & \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (p^e) & \longrightarrow & (p^e) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \ker \psi' \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & (p^e - p^{e-1}e_p)
 \end{array}$$

Since $e_\chi e_p = 0$ for all χ that are non-trivial on I_p (otherwise $e_\chi e_p = e_\chi$), we see that $|\mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(p^e - p^{e-1}\sigma_p e_p)|$ equals

$$\prod_{\ker \chi \supseteq I_p} p^{e-1} \prod_{\ker \chi \not\supseteq I_p} p^e = p^{|G|e - [G:I_p]} = |\mathfrak{P}/(p^e)|.$$

So $\ker \psi' = (p^e - p^{e-1}\sigma_p e_p)$, and the claim follows. ■

REMARK 2.5. If one takes $M = E$ (or $M = \mathfrak{o}$) in Lemma 2.2, then (i) and (ii) are also equivalent to the statement that there exists $\epsilon \in E$ (or $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$) such that $[E : \langle \epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}]$ (or $[\mathfrak{o} : \langle \alpha \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}]$) is finite and coprime to p . In particular, there exists $\epsilon \in E$ such that $\epsilon \otimes 1$ generates $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module.

The results of Corollaries 2.4 and 2.3 also hold under extension of scalars. For example, we have

$$E \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}[G]/s(G), \quad (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L}^e)^\times \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}[G]/(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell).$$

3. The ray class Gras Conjecture. In this section, we aim to prove Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.1 was proven by way of the mapping $l : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[G]$ defined by

$$l(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \log(|x^\sigma|) \sigma^{-1}.$$

Since $E_d/C_d \simeq l(E_d)/l(C_d)$, the index $[E_d : C_d]$ may be studied by decomposing the index $[l(E_d) : l(C_d)]$ into various parts. Our method will be similar, but we work p -adically.

3.1. Notation & preliminaries. Fix a positive integer d and let m be the conductor of k . Let Ω_p (resp. Ω) denote the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p (resp. \mathbb{Q}), and fix an embedding $\Omega \hookrightarrow \Omega_p$ so that we may view $\Omega \subseteq \Omega_p$. Let k_p denote the topological closure of k , and let

- K denote the field k_p adjoined with all character values of G ,
- \mathcal{O} denote the valuation integers of K .

Throughout this section we assume that

- $n > 1$ and $n \nmid \bar{d}$ unless stated otherwise,
- p is an odd prime not dividing $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$,
- $e(p)$ is the ramification index of p in k (or in K), and is less than $p - 1$,
- $f(p)$ is the residue of p in K .

A lattice L in a K -vector space V is a free \mathcal{O} -module whose \mathcal{O} -rank equals the K -dim of KL and which satisfies $V = KL$. If L and M are lattices in V for which there exists an automorphism ϕ of V where $\phi(L) = M$, then we define the generalized index $(L : M)$ by

$$(L : M) = p^{f(p) \text{ord}_{\varpi} \det \phi} = |\det \phi|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}$$

where (ϖ) is the prime ideal of \mathcal{O} . This index is independent of the choice of ϕ , and if $M \subseteq L$ with $[L : M] < \infty$, then $(L : M) = [L : M]$.

If M is a lattice contained in $K[G]$ and $\alpha \in K[G]$, we let αM denote the lattice

$$\alpha M = \{\alpha m : m \in M\}.$$

In particular, we write M_χ for $e_\chi M$. If M is additionally a G -module, we have the natural decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus M_\chi,$$

the direct sum taken over all χ such that $M_\chi \neq 0$. A character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ naturally induces a ring homomorphism $K[G] \rightarrow K$. The index $(M : \alpha M)$ exists if and only if $\chi(\alpha) \neq 0$ whenever $M_\chi \neq 0$. If this is the case, then

$$(M : \alpha M) = \prod |\chi(\alpha)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)},$$

the product taken over all χ such that $M_\chi \neq 0$.

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[G]$, note that $\chi(\alpha)e_\chi = e_\chi\alpha$. In this case, we define $\alpha^{-1} \in K[G]$ to be the element defined by

$$\alpha^{-1} := \sum \chi(\alpha)^{-1} e_\chi$$

where the sum is taken over all characters χ satisfying $\chi(\alpha) \neq 0$. This gives

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} = \sum e_\chi,$$

the sum over all χ such that $\chi(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Since we are assuming $e(p) < p - 1$, we get $\log_p(k^\times) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ where \log_p is the Iwasawa logarithm. Let $\vartheta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[G]$ be the G -module map defined by

$$\vartheta(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \log_p(x^\sigma) \sigma^{-1}.$$

This map takes the place of the map l defined at the forefront of this section. If $x \in \ker \vartheta$, then $x = \zeta p^r$ where ζ is a root of unity and r is a rational number. Since k is real and Galois, it follows that $\ker \vartheta$ consists of ± 1 and either all powers of p or all powers of \sqrt{p} . We fix the following notation to be used throughout:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_d &= \text{the ideal of } \mathcal{O}[G] \text{ generated by } \vartheta(E_d), \\ \mathcal{C}_d &= \text{the ideal of } \mathcal{O}[G] \text{ generated by } \vartheta(C_d). \end{aligned}$$

We omit the subscript when $d = 1$. Since \mathcal{O} is a flat \mathbb{Z} -module, we have

$$(E_d \otimes \mathcal{O}) / (C_d \otimes \mathcal{O}) \simeq (E_d / C_d) \otimes \mathcal{O}.$$

Consider the natural map $E_d \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_d$ defined by $\epsilon \otimes \alpha \mapsto \vartheta(\epsilon)\alpha$ extended by linearity. Since $\ker \vartheta$ consists of $\pm 1 \cdot (\text{rational powers of } p)$ and k satisfies Leopoldt’s Conjecture with p an odd prime, it follows that

$$E_d \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{E}_d.$$

In fact, we have

$$(E_d / C_d) \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{E}_d / \mathcal{C}_d.$$

As in the previous section, if $H \subseteq G$, then we write $s(H)$ to denote the sum in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ of those automorphisms in H . We write

$$\sum'_{\sigma \in G/H}$$

for the restricted sum over a system of unique representatives in G of G/H . We also keep the notation σ_ℓ, e_ℓ and I_ℓ from the previous section.

We will often make use of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The group ring $\mathcal{O}[G]$ is a principal ring.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{O}/(\varpi^n)$ is a local Artinian principal ring. Since G is assumed to have no p -part, it follows that $(\mathcal{O}/(\varpi^n))[G] \simeq \mathcal{O}[G]/(\varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G])$ is a principal ring [D, Theorem 4]. So for an ideal I of $\mathcal{O}[G]$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}[G]$ such that

$$(I + \varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G]) / \varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G] = ((\alpha_n) + \varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G]) / \varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G].$$

Since $\mathcal{O}[G]$ is compact (in the product topology), we see that $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathcal{O}[G]$, i.e., there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[G]$ such that $\alpha \equiv \alpha_n \pmod{\varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G]}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We now show that $I = (\alpha)$. For each $\gamma \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\beta, \beta_n \in \mathcal{O}[G]$ such that $\alpha \beta_n \equiv \gamma \pmod{\varpi^n \mathcal{O}[G]}$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$. Then $\gamma = \alpha \beta$, hence I is principal generated by α . ■

3.2. The modules \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{C} . For a non-trivial character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ of conductor f_χ , let $L'_p(0, \chi)$ denote the special value

$$L'_p(0, \chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{f_\chi} \log_p(1 - \zeta_{f_\chi}^a) \bar{\chi}(a) \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Also let

$$\omega' = \sum_{\chi \neq 1} L'_p(0, \chi) e_\chi \in \mathcal{O}[G].$$

Our goal will be to dissect the χ -components of $\mathcal{E}_d/\mathcal{C}_d$. The following useful proposition is a p -adic formulation of a result of Sinnott.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $n > 1$, and write k^n for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap k$, G_n for $\text{Gal}(k/k^n)$, and $\delta_n^{(t)}$ for $N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(1 - \zeta_n^t)$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad (1 - e_1)\vartheta(\delta_n^{(t)}) = \omega'[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/t})]s(G_{n/t}) \prod_{\ell|n} (1 - \sigma_\ell^{-1}e_\ell).$$

Proof. Let L and R denote the left and right hand sides of (3.1). It suffices to show that $\psi(R) = \psi(L)$ for every $\psi \in \widehat{G}$.

Since

$$\vartheta(\delta_n^{(t)}) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/t})]s(G_{n/t}) \sum'_{\sigma \in G/G_{n/t}} \log_p((\delta_{n/t}^{(1)})^\sigma) \sigma^{-1},$$

it follows that $\psi(R) = \psi(L) = 0$ if ψ is either the trivial character or is a non-trivial character on $G_{n/t}$.

Now, suppose $\psi \neq 1$ and ψ is trivial on $G_{n/t}$. Note that

$$\psi(R) = L'_p(0, \psi)[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/t})] \cdot |G_{n/t}| \prod_{\ell|n/t} (1 - \bar{\psi}(\ell))$$

and

$$\psi(\vartheta(\delta_n^{(t)})) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/t})] \cdot |G_{n/t}| \sum'_{\sigma \in G/G_{n/t}} \log_p((\delta_{n/t}^{(1)})^\sigma) \bar{\psi}(\sigma),$$

so

$$\psi(L) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/t})] \cdot |G_{n/t}| \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, n/t)=1}}^{n/t} \log_p(1 - \zeta_{n/t}^a) \bar{\psi}(a).$$

Write f_ψ for the conductor of ψ . Since $G_{n/t} \leq \ker \psi$, it follows that $f_\psi | n/t$.

We set $f_\psi g = n/t$ and interpret $\log_p(0) \cdot 0$ to be equal to 0 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, n/t)=1}}^{f_\psi g} \log_p(1 - \zeta_{n/t}^a) \bar{\psi}(a) &= \sum_{a=1}^{f_\psi g} \log_p(1 - \zeta_{n/t}^a) \bar{\psi}(a) \prod_{\ell|n/t} (1 - \bar{\psi}(\ell)) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{f_\psi} \log_p(1 - \zeta_{f_\psi}^a) \bar{\psi}(a) \prod_{\ell|n/t} (1 - \bar{\psi}(\ell)) \\ &= L'_p(0, \psi) \prod_{\ell|n/t} (1 - \bar{\psi}(\ell)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\psi(L) = \psi(R)$. ■

Using Corollary 2.3, we may fix an $\epsilon \in E$ such that $\vartheta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ generates \mathcal{E} as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}[G]$. We define a special element $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\omega = \sum_{\chi \neq 1} e_\chi \vartheta(\delta_\chi), \quad \delta_\chi = N_{k f_\chi}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_\chi})} (1 - \zeta_{f_\chi})$$

where f_χ is the conductor of χ . This element generates \mathcal{C} :

COROLLARY 3.3. *The ideal $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{O}[G]$ is generated by ω ; in fact, $\mathcal{C} = \omega \epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{E}$.*

In particular, if $\chi \neq 1$, then

$$[\mathcal{E}_\chi : \mathcal{C}_\chi] = |\chi(\epsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} denote the $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -ideal generated by $\vartheta(D)$. Note that \mathcal{C} is the kernel in \mathcal{D} of multiplication by $s(G)$, i.e.,

$$(1 - e_1)\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}.$$

Since $\omega = (1 - e_1)\omega$, we apply Proposition 3.2 to obtain

$$\chi(\omega) = |G_{f_\chi}| L'_p(0, \chi).$$

Since $|G_{f_\chi}|$ is a p -adic unit, it follows that

$$\chi(\epsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi) e_\chi \in (\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{C})_\chi.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{C} \simeq \epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{E}/\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{C} = (1 - e_1)\mathcal{O}[G]/\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{C},$$

we have

$$(3.2) \quad [\mathcal{E}_\chi : \mathcal{C}_\chi] \leq |\chi(\epsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}.$$

Moreover, Theorem 1.1 gives

$$|\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}| = [\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{C}] \leq \prod_{\chi \neq 1} |\chi(\epsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}.$$

Now, note that

$$\prod_{\chi \neq 1} \chi(\varepsilon) = \det(\alpha \mapsto \varepsilon\alpha) = \text{Reg}'_p$$

where Reg'_p differs from Reg_p , the Leopoldt regulator of k , by a unit of \mathbb{Z}_p . Substituting Reg'_p into the p -adic class number formula, we get

$$(3.3) \quad |\mathfrak{C}| =_p \frac{1}{\text{Reg}_p} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L'_p(0, \chi) =_p \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \chi(\varepsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi)$$

where $a =_p b$ means that a and b differ by a p -adic unit. So (3.3) and Theorem 1.1 give

$$(3.4) \quad [\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{C}] = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} [\mathcal{E}_\chi : \mathcal{C}_\chi] \leq \prod_{\chi \neq 1} |\chi(\varepsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)} = [\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{C}].$$

Putting (3.2) and (3.4) together yields

$$[\mathcal{E}_\chi : \mathcal{C}_\chi] = |\chi(\varepsilon)^{-1} L'_p(0, \chi)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}$$

for all $\chi \neq 1$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{C} = \omega\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{E} = \omega(1 - e_1)\mathcal{O}[G] = \omega\mathcal{O}[G].$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 3.3. ■

3.3. The modules \mathcal{E}_d and \mathcal{C}_d . We will make use of the following auxiliary $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(d) &= \text{the ideal of } \mathcal{O}[G] \text{ generated by } \vartheta(D(d)), \\ \mathcal{C}(d) &= \text{the ideal of } \mathcal{O}[G] \text{ generated by } \vartheta(C(d)). \end{aligned}$$

Again, we omit the parentheses when $d = 1$. For any $t | n$, let $\alpha_n(t) \in \mathcal{O}[G]$ be defined by

$$\alpha_n(t) := [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/t})]s(G_{n/t}) \prod_{\ell | n/t} (1 - \sigma_\ell^{-1}e_\ell),$$

the product taken over primes ℓ dividing n/t . Let \mathcal{U} denote the $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -ideal generated by these elements $\alpha_n(t)$ (for all $n \geq 1$ and all $t | n$). Then Proposition 3.2 reads

$$(1 - e_1)\vartheta(\delta_n^{(t)}) = \omega'\alpha_n(t).$$

More generally, since $\omega'\alpha_1(1) = \omega'\alpha_n(n) = 0$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{C} = (1 - e_1)\mathcal{D} = \omega'\mathcal{U}.$$

We need to know what the above formula looks like if we replace \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{C}(d)$. Towards that end, for an integer $t > 1$, we define

$$\kappa_t = \prod_{\ell | t} (\ell - \sigma_\ell),$$

the product taken over all primes ℓ dividing t . We first make a few observations that whittle down the ideal $\mathcal{D}(d)$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\bar{d}_m = (\bar{d}, m)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}(d) = \frac{d}{\bar{d}} \kappa_{\bar{d}/\bar{d}_m} \mathcal{D}(\bar{d}_m).$$

Proof. This follows from [Sch, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5]. ■

For $n \geq 1$, we let

$$\alpha_{n, \bar{d}_m} := \kappa_{\bar{d}_m/(\bar{d}_m, n)} \sum_{t | (\bar{d}_m, n)} \mu(t) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, n)}{t} \alpha_n(t).$$

Note that since $p \nmid [k : \mathbb{Q}]$, we find that $\alpha_{n, \bar{d}_m} \in \mathcal{O}[G]$ for all $n \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If $n > 1$ and $n \nmid \bar{d}_m$, then*

$$(1 - e_1) \vartheta(\delta_{n, \bar{d}_m}) = \omega' \alpha_{n, \bar{d}_m}.$$

Proof. For a prime divisor ℓ of \bar{d}_m such that $\ell \nmid n$, we have

$$\delta_{n, \bar{d}_m} = N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \prod_{t | \bar{d}_m/\ell} (1 - \zeta_n^t)^{(\mu(t)\bar{d}_m/(t\ell))\ell} (1 - \zeta_n^{t\ell})^{(\mu(t\ell)\bar{d}_m/(t\ell))\sigma_\ell} = \delta_{n, \bar{d}_m/\ell}^{\ell - \sigma_\ell}.$$

So

$$\vartheta(\delta_{n, \bar{d}_m}) = \kappa_{\bar{d}_m/(\bar{d}_m, n)} \vartheta(\delta_{n, (\bar{d}_m, n)}).$$

Since

$$\vartheta(\delta_{n, (\bar{d}_m, n)}) = \sum_{t | (\bar{d}_m, n)} \mu(t) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, n)}{t} \vartheta(\delta_n^{(t)}),$$

the corollary follows from Proposition 3.2. ■

LEMMA 3.6. *If $\delta_{n, \bar{d}_m} \in D(\bar{d}_m)$ and q is a prime dividing (n, \bar{d}_m) such that $v_q(n) > v_q(m)$, then $\delta_{n, \bar{d}_m} = 1$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\delta_{n, \bar{d}_m} = \prod_{t | \bar{d}_m/q} N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \left(\frac{(1 - \zeta_n^t)^q}{1 - \zeta_n^{tq}} \right)^{\mu(t) \cdot \frac{\bar{d}_m/q}{t}}.$$

Since $v_q(n) > v_q(m)$, it follows that $k^n \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/q}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Now consider

$$N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \frac{(1 - \zeta_n^t)^q}{1 - \zeta_n^{tq}} = N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/q})} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/q})}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \frac{(1 - \zeta_n^t)^q}{1 - \zeta_n^{tq}}.$$

Since $\zeta_n^t = \zeta_{n/t}$ and $q^2 \mid n$, we have

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n/q})}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} (1 - \zeta_{n/t}) = 1 - \zeta_{n/(tq)},$$

whence the assertion. ■

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$ be the $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -ideal generated by the α_{n,\bar{d}_m} with $n \mid m$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}(d) = (1 - e_1)\mathcal{D}(d) = \frac{d}{\bar{d}} \kappa_{\bar{d}/\bar{d}_m} \omega' \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}'(\bar{d}_m)$ be the $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -ideal generated by α_{n,\bar{d}_m} satisfying $n > 1$ and $n \nmid \bar{d}_m$. The proposition then follows from Corollary 3.5 if we replace $\mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$ with $\mathcal{U}'(\bar{d}_m)$. So the proposition rests on showing that

$$\omega' \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m) = \omega' \mathcal{U}'(\bar{d}_m).$$

Toward that end, note that from Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m} = \alpha_{(n,m),\bar{d}_m} \prod_{\ell \mid \bar{n}/(\bar{n},m)} (1 - \sigma_\ell^{-1}).$$

So $\mathcal{U}'(\bar{d}_m)$ is generated by those α_{n,\bar{d}_m} satisfying $n > 1$, $n \nmid \bar{d}_m$, and $n \mid m$. Hence $\omega' \mathcal{U}'(\bar{d}_m) \subseteq \omega' \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$.

Going the other way, note that $\alpha_{1,\bar{d}_m} = \kappa_{\bar{d}_m} \alpha_1(1)$. Since $\alpha_1(1) = s(G)$, it follows that $\omega' \alpha_{1,\bar{d}_m} = 0$. Now suppose $\alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m} \in \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$ where $n \mid \bar{d}_m$. Let q be a prime such that $q \nmid m$ and consider α_{nq,\bar{d}_m} . From (3.5), we have

$$\alpha_{nq,\bar{d}_m} = \alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m} (1 - \sigma_q^{-1}).$$

Since any given element of G is the Frobenius of infinitely many primes, there exists a collection Q of primes such that $|Q| = |G|$ and

$$\sum_{q \in Q} \alpha_{nq,\bar{d}_m} = \alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m} \sum_{\tau \in G} (1 - \tau^{-1}) = \alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m} (|G| - s(G)).$$

Hence

$$\omega' \sum_{q \in Q} \alpha_{nq,\bar{d}_m} = \omega' \alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m} |G|.$$

Since $|G|$ is a p -adic unit, it follows that $\omega' \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m) \subseteq \omega' \mathcal{U}'(\bar{d}_m)$. ■

It remains to determine a generator for $\mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$. For $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let \varkappa_t be the element of $\mathcal{O}[G]$ defined by

$$\varkappa_t = \prod_{\ell \mid t} (\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell),$$

the product taken over all primes ℓ dividing t .

PROPOSITION 3.8. *The ideal $\mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$ of $\mathcal{O}[G]$ is generated by $\varkappa_{\bar{d}_m}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\chi = 1$. If $n \mid \bar{d}_m$, then

$$\chi(\alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m}) = \pm \varphi \left(\frac{\bar{d}_m}{(\bar{d}_m, n)} \right) [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : k^n] \cdot |G| = \pm \varphi(\bar{d}_m) [k : k^n].$$

Otherwise $\chi(\alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m}) = 0$. On the other hand, we have $\chi(\varkappa_{\bar{d}_m}) = \varphi(\bar{d}_m)$. Since $[k : k^n]$ is a p -adic unit, it follows that $e_1 \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m) = e_1 \varkappa_{\bar{d}_m} \mathcal{O}[G]$.

Now, let χ be a non-trivial character of G with conductor f . Suppose $n \mid m$ is such that χ is non-trivial on $G_{n/t}$ for all $t \mid (\bar{d}_m, n)$. Then

$$\chi(s(G_{n/t})) = 0,$$

so $\chi(\alpha_{n,\bar{d}_m}) = 0$. So in order to get a non-trivial contribution to the χ -part of $\mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m)$, we consider those α_{fN,\bar{d}_m} satisfying $N \geq 1$ and $fN \mid m$.

We have

$$(3.6) \quad \chi(\alpha_{fN,\bar{d}_m}) = \prod_{\ell \mid \bar{d}_m / (\bar{d}_m, fN)} (\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell)) \left[\sum_{t \mid (\bar{d}_m, fN)} \mu(t) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, fN)}{t} \chi(\alpha_{fN}(t)) \right],$$

where

$$\chi(\alpha_{fN}(t)) = \begin{cases} 0, & f \nmid fN/t, \\ [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fN}) : k^{fN} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fN/t})] \cdot |G_{fN/t}| \prod_{\ell \mid Nf/t} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1} e_\ell)), & f \mid fN/t. \end{cases}$$

As far as $\chi(\alpha_{fN,\bar{d}_m})$ is concerned, we might as well only sum over all those $t \mid (\bar{d}_m, fN)$ satisfying $f \mid fN/t$. Such t 's must divide N ; moreover, it is easy to see that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fN}) : k^{fN} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fN/t})] \cdot |G_{fN/t}| = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fN}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fN/t})] \cdot [k : k^{fN}].$$

Let A_{fN,\bar{d}_m} denote the bracketed term in (3.6). So far we have

$$A_{fN,\bar{d}_m} = \sum_{t \mid (\bar{d}_m, N)} \mu(t) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, fN)}{t} \frac{\varphi(fN)}{\varphi(fN/t)} \cdot [k : k^{fN}] \prod_{\ell \mid fN/t} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1} e_\ell)).$$

Write $N = N_1 N_2^2$ where N_1 is a product of distinct primes. Write $(\bar{d}_m, N) = Q_1 Q_2$ where Q_1 is the product of those primes that divide N_1 and not N_2 . Then A_{fN,\bar{d}_m} equals

$$\sum_{t_1 \mid Q_1} \mu(t_1) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, fN)}{t_1} [k : k^{fN}] \times \left[\sum_{t_2 \mid Q_2} \frac{\mu(t_2)}{t_2} \frac{\varphi(fN)}{\varphi(fN/(t_1 t_2))} \prod_{\ell \mid fN/(t_1 t_2)} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1} e_\ell)) \right].$$

Notice that if $Q_2 \neq 1$, then the bracketed term above equals

$$\varphi(t_1) \prod_{\ell \mid fN/t_1} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1} e_\ell)) \sum_{t_2 \mid Q_2} \mu(t_2) = 0.$$

So we must have $N = N_1$, i.e., N is square-free, otherwise $\chi(\alpha_{fN,\bar{d}_m}) = 0$. If we now were to go back to the beginning of this paragraph and let Q_2

denote those primes that divide both N and f , then we could similarly deduce that $\chi(\alpha_{fN, \bar{d}_m}) = 0$ if $Q_2 \neq 1$, since the same bracketed term equals zero. Hence, N must not only be square-free but also coprime to f , otherwise $\chi(\alpha_{fN, \bar{d}_m}) = 0$.

Now, suppose N is square-free and coprime to f . Since $\chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1}e_\ell) = 0$ for all $\ell \mid f$, we now have

$$A_{fN, \bar{d}_m} = (\bar{d}_m, f)[k : k^{fN}] \left[\sum_{t \mid (\bar{d}_m, N)} \mu(t) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, N)}{t} \varphi(t) \prod_{\ell \mid N/t} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1}e_\ell)) \right].$$

Let B_{fN, \bar{d}_m} be the bracketed term above. Let q be a prime divisor of (\bar{d}_m, N) . Then

$$B_{fN, \bar{d}_m} = \sum_{t \mid (\bar{d}_m, N)/q} \mu(t) \frac{(\bar{d}_m, N)}{tq} \varphi(t) \times \prod_{\ell \mid N/(qt)} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1}e_\ell)) \cdot (q(1 - \chi(\sigma_q^{-1}e_q)) - \varphi(q)).$$

Repetition of the above on the remaining prime divisors of (\bar{d}_m, N) yields

$$B_{fN, \bar{d}_m} = \prod_{\ell \mid N/(\bar{d}_m, N)} (1 - \chi(\sigma_\ell^{-1}e_\ell)) \prod_{q \mid (\bar{d}_m, N)} (1 - q\chi(\sigma_q^{-1}e_q)).$$

This quantity is largest (p -adically) when $N \mid \bar{d}_m$. Since N is assumed coprime to f , we have $\chi(e_q) = 1$ for all $q \mid N$, and for those $q \mid f$, we have $\chi(e_q) = 0$. It follows that

$$A_{fN, \bar{d}_m} = \pm \chi(N)(\bar{d}_m, f)[k : k^{fN}] \prod_{q \mid N} (q - \chi(\sigma_q e_q)) \\ = \pm \chi(N)[k : k^{fN}] \prod_{q \mid (\bar{d}_m, fN)} (q - \chi(\sigma_q e_q)),$$

where

$$\chi(N) = \prod_{q \mid N} \chi(\sigma_q^{-1}).$$

Picking up where we left off with equation (3.6), we have shown that $\chi(\alpha_{fN, \bar{d}_m})$ is largest (p -adically) when N is square-free and coprime to f with $N \mid \bar{d}_m$, in which case

$$\chi(\alpha_{fN, \bar{d}_m}) = \pm \chi(N)[k : k^{fN}] \prod_{\ell \mid \bar{d}_m} (\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell e_\ell)).$$

Since $\chi(\alpha_{fN, \bar{d}_m})$ differs from $\chi(\varkappa_{\bar{d}_m})$ by a p -adic unit (and since χ was arbitrary), it follows that $e_\chi \mathcal{U}(\bar{d}_m) = e_\chi \varkappa_{\bar{d}_m} \mathcal{O}[G]$.

We have shown that $e_\chi \mathcal{W}(\bar{d}_m) = e_\chi \varkappa_{\bar{d}_m} \mathcal{O}[G]$ for all characters χ of G . The proposition now follows. ■

Since E_d is a finite index subgroup of E , it follows that $(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_d)_\chi$ is finite for every $\chi \neq 1$. Let ε'_d be a generator for the $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -ideal \mathcal{E}_d . Since $\mathcal{E} = (\varepsilon) \supseteq (\varepsilon'_d) = \mathcal{E}_d$, there exists $\varepsilon_d \in \mathcal{O}[G]$ such that $\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon_d = \varepsilon'_d$. So $\mathcal{E}_d = \varepsilon_d \mathcal{E}$, and what is more,

$$[\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E}_d] = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} |\chi(\varepsilon_d)|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}.$$

Combining Propositions 3.8 and 3.7, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.9. *The $\mathcal{O}[G]$ -ideal $\mathcal{C}(d)$ is generated by $(d/\bar{d})\omega' \varkappa_{\bar{d}}$; in fact,*

$$\mathcal{C}(d) = \frac{d}{\bar{d}} \varkappa_{\bar{d}} \omega' \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon_d^{-1} \mathcal{E}_d.$$

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let ρ be as in the statement of the theorem. Note that

$$|\mathrm{Sy}_p(E_d/C_d)_\rho| = |\mathrm{Sy}_p(\mathfrak{C}_d)_\rho| \quad \text{iff} \quad [\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}_{d,\rho}] = |(\mathfrak{C}_d \otimes \mathcal{O})_\rho|.$$

We aim to prove the latter equality. Define the linear transformation

$$\phi_\rho : \mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(d)_\rho K, \quad x \mapsto \frac{d}{\bar{d}} \varkappa_{\bar{d}} \omega' \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon_d^{-1} x.$$

Note that Corollary 3.9 gives $\phi_\rho(\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho}) = \mathcal{C}(d)_\rho$. Since $C_d \subseteq C(d)$, it follows that

$$[\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}_{d,\rho}] \geq (\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}(d)_\rho) = |\det \phi_\rho|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}.$$

Now, we have

$$\det \phi_\rho = \prod \chi \left(\frac{d}{\bar{d}} \varkappa_{\bar{d}} \omega' \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon_d^{-1} \right),$$

where the product is over those χ such that $\chi(e_\rho) \neq 0$. Note that

$$\chi \left(\frac{d}{\bar{d}} \varkappa_{\bar{d}} \omega' \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon_d^{-1} \right) = \left[\frac{d}{\bar{d}} \prod_{\ell|\bar{d}} (\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell e_\ell)) \right] [L'_p(0, \chi) \chi(\varepsilon)^{-1}] [\chi(\varepsilon_d)^{-1}].$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_d^\times = (\mathfrak{o}/d)^\times \otimes \mathcal{O}$. Extending scalars in Corollary 2.4, we get

$$\mathcal{O}_d^\times \simeq \prod_{\ell|d} \mathcal{O}_\ell^\times, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{O}_\ell^\times \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}[G]/(\ell - \sigma_\ell e_\ell), & \ell \neq p, \\ \mathcal{O}[G]/(p^e - p^{e-1} \sigma_p e_p), & \ell = p. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $[\mathcal{O}_{d,\chi}^\times : 1] = |(d/\bar{d}) \prod_{\ell|\bar{d}} (\ell - \chi(\sigma_\ell e_\ell))|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}$, and from Corollary 3.3, we have $[\mathcal{E}_\chi : \mathcal{C}_\chi] = |L'_p(0, \chi) \chi(\varepsilon)^{-1}|_p^{-e(p)f(p)}$. Hence

$$(3.7) \quad [\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}_{d,\rho}] \geq (\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}(d)_\rho) = \frac{[\mathcal{O}_{d,\rho}^\times : 1][\mathcal{E}_\rho : \mathcal{C}_\rho]}{[\mathcal{E}_\rho : \mathcal{E}_{d,\rho}]}.$$

Now, recall the “unscrewing” of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a}) = I(\mathfrak{a})/P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ where \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of \mathfrak{o} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathfrak{C} & \longleftarrow & I(\mathfrak{a}) & & \\
 \left| \right. & & \left| \right. & & \\
 1 & \longleftarrow & P(\mathfrak{a}) & \longrightarrow & k^\times(\mathfrak{a})E \\
 & & \left| \right. & & \left| \right. \\
 & & P_{\mathfrak{a}} & \longrightarrow & k_{\mathfrak{a}}^\times E \longrightarrow E \\
 & & & & \left| \right. \\
 & & & & k_{\mathfrak{a}}^\times \longrightarrow E_{\mathfrak{a}}
 \end{array}$$

- $I(\mathfrak{a})$: fractional ideals coprime to d ,
- $P(\mathfrak{a})$: principal fractional ideals coprime to p ,
- $k^\times(\mathfrak{a})$: elements of k^\times coprime to \mathfrak{a} ,
- $k_{\mathfrak{a}}^\times$: elements of k^\times congruent to 1 modulo \mathfrak{a} ,
- $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$: principal fractional ideals generated by elements of $k_{\mathfrak{a}}^\times$.

Since (3.7) holds for all $\rho \neq 1$ with $[\mathcal{E}_d : \mathcal{C}_d] = |\mathfrak{C}_d \otimes \mathcal{O}|$ and $k^\times(d)E/k_{\mathfrak{a}}^\times \simeq (\mathfrak{o}/d)^\times$, taking the product over all such ρ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathfrak{C}_d \otimes \mathcal{O}| &= [\mathcal{E}_d : \mathcal{C}_d] \geq_p \frac{[(1 - e_1)\mathcal{O}_d^\times : 1] \cdot [\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{C}]}{[\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E}_d]} \\
 &= \frac{[(1 - e_1)\mathcal{O}_d^\times : 1] \cdot |\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}|}{[\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E}_d]} = |\mathfrak{C}_d \otimes \mathcal{O}|.
 \end{aligned}$$

In light of (3.7), it follows that

$$[\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}_{d,\rho}] = \frac{[\mathcal{O}_{d,\rho}^\times : 1] \cdot [\mathcal{E}_\rho : \mathcal{C}_\rho]}{[\mathcal{E}_\rho : \mathcal{E}_{d,\rho}]}.$$

But $[\mathcal{E}_\rho : \mathcal{C}_\rho] = |(\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathcal{O})_\rho|$, hence

$$[\mathcal{E}_{d,\rho} : \mathcal{C}_{d,\rho}] = \frac{[\mathcal{O}_{d,\rho}^\times : 1] \cdot |(\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathcal{O})_\rho|}{[\mathcal{E}_\rho : \mathcal{E}_{d,\rho}]} = |(\mathfrak{C}_d \otimes \mathcal{O})_\rho|.$$

This proves Theorem 1.2.

4. Gauss sums and Stickelberger’s Theorem for ray class groups.

We now relax the condition on p so that the results in this section are applicable to situations when $p \mid [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. The main goal is to prove Theorem 1.3, a ray class version of a theorem of Rubin [R, Theorem 1.3] (specialized to the case when the base field is \mathbb{Q}), which itself generalized a theorem of Thaine [Th, Theorem 3].

The following lemma will act as an explicit version of Hilbert’s Theorem 90 for our purposes.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let ℓ be a rational prime completely split in k . For any $\epsilon \in \mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}^\times$ such that $N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(\epsilon) = 1$, the element*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ell, \epsilon) &= \alpha := - \sum_{a=1}^{\ell-1} \zeta_\ell^{\tau^a} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\dots+\tau^{a-1}} \\ &= - \zeta_\ell^\tau \epsilon - \zeta_\ell^{\tau^2} \epsilon^{1+\tau} - \dots - \zeta_\ell^{\tau^{\ell-1}} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\dots+\tau^{\ell-2}} \end{aligned}$$

is non-zero for some choice of ζ_ℓ ; moreover, $\alpha^{1-\tau} = \epsilon$ where $\langle \tau \rangle = \text{Gal}(k(\zeta_\ell)/k)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ be the rational function defined by

$$x \mapsto - \sum_{a=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{\zeta_\ell^{\tau^a}}{1 - x\zeta_\ell^{\tau^a}} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\dots+\tau^{a-1}}.$$

Since $\alpha(x)$ has distinct poles, it follows that $\alpha(x)$ is not identically zero. On the other hand, we may view $\alpha(x)$ as an element of $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ and write

$$\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\zeta_\ell^{(n+1)\tau} \epsilon - \zeta_\ell^{(n+1)\tau^2} \epsilon^{1+\tau} - \dots - \zeta_\ell^{(n+1)\tau^{\ell-1}} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\dots+\tau^{\ell-2}}) x^n.$$

Note that the power series form of $\alpha(x)$ has periodic coefficients of the form of the claim. Since $\alpha(x)$ is not identically zero, we get $\alpha \neq 0$ for some choice of ζ_ℓ . In fact, $\alpha \neq 0$ for at least two choices of ζ_ℓ , for otherwise $\alpha(x)$ has a pole at $x = 1$, a contradiction. This proves the first claim.

Now, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \alpha^\tau &= -\zeta_\ell^{\tau^2} \epsilon^{1+\tau} - \zeta_\ell^{\tau^3} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\tau^2} - \dots - \zeta_\ell^{\tau^\ell} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\dots+\tau^{\ell-1}} \\ &= -\zeta_\ell^{\tau^2} \epsilon^{1+\tau} - \zeta_\ell^{\tau^3} \epsilon^{1+\tau+\tau^2} - \dots - \zeta_\ell^\tau \epsilon = \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

since $\tau^\ell = \tau$ and $1 + \tau + \dots + \tau^{\ell-1} = 1$. This proves the lemma (alternatively, see [A3]). ■

Fix an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$. For odd primes ℓ that are completely split in k , let $k(\zeta_\ell)^\times(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of all elements of $k(\zeta_\ell)^\times$ that are coprime to \mathfrak{a} and define

$$N_{\ell,\mathfrak{a}} : k(\zeta_\ell)^\times(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a})^\times, \quad x \mapsto N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Now set

$$E(\ell, \mathfrak{a}) := \{\epsilon \in E_{k(\zeta_\ell)} : N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(\epsilon) = 1, N_{\ell,\mathfrak{a}}(\alpha(\ell, \epsilon)) \in \text{im}(E/E_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a})^\times)\}.$$

The following is a generalization of [R, Theorem 5.1].

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let ℓ be an odd prime split completely in k such that $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod n$ and (ℓ) is coprime to \mathfrak{a} . Fix a prime λ of k above ℓ , and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[G]$ be the annihilator of the cokernel of the natural map*

$$\phi : E(\ell, \mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}/L)^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

where L is the product of all primes of $\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}$ above ℓ . Then \mathcal{A} annihilates the class of λ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})/n\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $u \in \mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}$ be such that

$$u \equiv s^{-1} \pmod{\mathcal{L}} \quad \text{and} \quad u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{L}^\sigma} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \neq \text{id},$$

where \mathcal{L} is the prime of $\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}$ above λ and $\langle s \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}^\times)$. The element u has been chosen so that

$$(\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}/L)^\times = \langle u \pmod L \rangle_{(\mathbb{Z}/(\ell-1)\mathbb{Z})[G]}.$$

Now, $u^\theta \equiv \eta^n \epsilon \pmod L$ for some $\eta \in k(\zeta_\ell)^\times$ coprime to ℓ and $\epsilon \in E(\ell, \mathfrak{a})$. Let τ be a generator for $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_\ell)/k)$, and $\alpha = \alpha(\ell, \epsilon)$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Now, (α) is a non-zero ideal inert under the action imposed by $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_\ell)/k)$. It follows that there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}_k$ satisfying

$$(\alpha) = \mathfrak{b} \prod_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{L}^{a_\sigma \sigma^{-1}},$$

where no conjugate of \mathcal{L} is supported by \mathfrak{b} . Taking norms of both sides of the above we get

$$(N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(\alpha)) = \mathfrak{b}^{\ell-1} \lambda^{\sum a_\sigma \sigma^{-1}}.$$

Since $N_{\ell, \mathfrak{a}}(\alpha) \in \text{im}(E/E_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a})^\times)$, we see that $(N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(\alpha)) \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}$. By assumption we have $n \mid (\ell - 1)$, so $\sum a_\sigma \sigma^{-1} \pmod{n\mathbb{Z}[G]}$ annihilates the class of λ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})/n\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})$.

It remains to relate the coefficients a_σ to θ . To this end, note that

$$a_\sigma = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}}(\alpha) = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}}(1 - \zeta_\ell)^{a_\sigma}.$$

Write $\alpha = \beta(1 - \zeta_\ell)^{a_\sigma}$ where β is a $\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}$ -unit. Without loss of generality, suppose $\tau : \zeta_\ell \rightarrow \zeta_\ell^s$. The primes above ℓ are totally ramified in $k(\zeta_\ell)/k$. So τ acts trivially on $\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}$ -units modulo $\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}$. Hence

$$\epsilon = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^\tau} = \frac{\beta(1 - \zeta_\ell)^{a_\sigma}}{\beta^\tau(1 - \zeta_\ell^\tau)^{a_\sigma}} \equiv \left(\frac{1 - \zeta_\ell}{1 - \zeta_\ell^\tau} \right)^{a_\sigma} \pmod{\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}} \equiv (s^{-1})^{a_\sigma} \pmod{\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}}.$$

This gives $\epsilon \equiv u^{a_\sigma \sigma^{-1}} \pmod{\mathcal{L}^{\sigma^{-1}}}$, so

$$\epsilon \equiv u^{\sum a_\sigma \sigma^{-1}} \equiv \eta^{-n} u^\theta \pmod L.$$

Hence $\sum a_\sigma \sigma^{-1} \equiv \theta \pmod{n\mathbb{Z}[G]}$. ■

REMARK 4.3. If in Lemma 4.1 we take $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod m$ with $\epsilon = \zeta_m$, then α is the classical Gauss sum. In this case,

$$(\alpha^{\ell-1}) = \lambda^{\sum a_\sigma \sigma^{-1}},$$

where, similar to Theorem 4.2, we have $\zeta_m \equiv u^{a_\sigma \sigma^{-1}} \pmod{\lambda^{\sigma^{-1}}}$. The dependence of the coefficients a_σ on ℓ is easy to tease out of this congruence, and we are a hop, skip, and a jump away from the classical Stickelberger Theorem.

For the more general types of elements α in Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, the dependence of the a_σ on ℓ is more difficult to separate. Instead of reckoning with this obstacle, we step around it and show that any G -module map from E/E^{p^n} to $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[G]$ can be effectively filtered through $(\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}/L)^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for certain well-chosen primes ℓ . This idea was first employed by Rubin [R].

Theorem 4.2 inspires us to make the following definition.

DEFINITION 4.4. For an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$, let $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of numbers $\varsigma \in k^\times$ such that for all but finitely many primes ℓ split completely in k , there is an $\epsilon \in E(\ell, \mathfrak{a})$ such that for all $\sigma \in G$ we have

$$\epsilon \equiv \varsigma \pmod{\mathcal{L}^\sigma}$$

where $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}$ is a prime ideal such that $\mathcal{L} | \ell$. We call $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a})$ the \mathfrak{a} -special numbers of k . Let

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}) := \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap E.$$

We call $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ the \mathfrak{a} -special units of k .

The 1-special numbers are, in fact, Rubin’s special numbers from [R]. It is fair to ask if \mathfrak{a} -special numbers even exist. For an appropriate choice of d , the following theorem will show that Schmidt’s d -cyclotomic units are contained in the \mathfrak{a} -special units. So $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a subgroup of finite index of E .

THEOREM 4.5. If $\delta \in D(d)$, then $\pm\delta \in \mathcal{T}(d)$, i.e., $\pm D(d) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(d)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\pm\delta_{n,d} \in \mathcal{T}(d)$ for all $n > 1$ and $n \nmid d$ since these numbers generate $D(d)$. Let ℓ be a rational prime split completely in k such that $(\ell, nd) = 1$. Define

$$\pm\epsilon_{n,d} = N_{k^n(\zeta_\ell)}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n\ell})} \prod_{t|d} (\zeta_\ell^t - \zeta_n^t)^{\mu(t)d/t} \in k(\zeta_\ell).$$

Let λ be a prime of k above ℓ , and \mathcal{L} the prime of $k(\zeta_\ell)$ above λ . Since

$$(1 - \zeta_\ell)\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)} = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{L}^\sigma,$$

it follows that $\zeta_\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{L}^\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in G$, hence $\pm\epsilon_{n,d} \equiv \pm\delta_{n,d} \pmod{\mathcal{L}^\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Now, we note

$$\begin{aligned} N_{k^n}^{k^n(\zeta_\ell)}(\pm\epsilon_{n,d}) &= N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n\ell})} \prod_{t|d} (\zeta_\ell^t - \zeta_n^t)^{\mu(t)d/t} \\ &= N_{k^n}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \prod_{t|d} \left(\frac{\zeta_n^{t\ell} - 1}{\zeta_n^t - 1} \right)^{\mu(t)d/t} = \delta_{n,d}^{\sigma_\ell - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where σ_ℓ is the Frobenius automorphism for ℓ in k . Since ℓ splits completely in k , it follows that $\sigma_\ell = 1$, hence $N_{k^n}^{k^n(\zeta_\ell)}(\epsilon_{n,d}) = N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(\epsilon_{n,d}) = 1$.

Now, let $q|d$ be a prime, let q^j be the q -primary part of d , and let $d_q = d/q^j$. Then

$$\epsilon_{n,d} = N_{k^n(\zeta_\ell)}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n\ell})} \prod_{t|\bar{d}/q} \left[\frac{(\zeta_\ell^t - \zeta_n^t)^q}{\zeta_\ell^{tq} - \zeta_n^{tq}} \right]^{q^{j-1}\mu(t)d_q/t}.$$

For all $t|\bar{d}/q$ we see that ζ_ℓ^t and ζ_ℓ^{tq} are primitive ℓ th roots of unity since $(\ell, nd) = 1$; moreover, ζ_n^t and ζ_n^{tq} are not equal to 1 since $n \nmid \bar{d}$. It follows that $\zeta_\ell^t - \zeta_n^t$ and $\zeta_\ell^{tq} - \zeta_n^{tq}$ are units in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{n\ell}]$, hence $\epsilon_{n,d} \in \mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_\ell)}^\times$. We also have

$$\frac{(\zeta_\ell^t - \zeta_n^t)^q}{\zeta_\ell^{tq} - \zeta_n^{tq}} \equiv 1 \pmod{q},$$

from which it follows that

$$\left[\frac{(\zeta_\ell^t - \zeta_n^t)^q}{\zeta_\ell^{tq} - \zeta_n^{tq}} \right]^{q^{j-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{q^j},$$

whence $\epsilon_{n,d} \equiv 1 \pmod{q^j}$. Since q was an arbitrary divisor of d , it follows that $\epsilon_{n,d} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, hence $\pm\epsilon_{n,d} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{d}$.

Now, let $N_b = 1 + \tau + \dots + \tau^{b-1}$. Note that

$$\alpha(\ell, \pm\epsilon_{n,d}) = \mp \sum_{b=1}^{\ell-1} \zeta_\ell^{\tau^b} \epsilon_{n,d}^{N_b} \equiv \mp \sum_{b=1}^{\ell-1} \zeta_\ell^{\tau^b} \pmod{d} \equiv \mp 1 \pmod{d}.$$

So $N_k^{k(\zeta_\ell)}(\alpha(\ell, \pm\epsilon_{n,d})) \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. This proves that $\pm\epsilon_{n,d} \in E(\ell, d)$, as claimed. ■

Now, let

- $A_n(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})/p^n\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a}),$
- $A(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})),$
- $F_n(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{the ray class field over } k \text{ associate to } A_n(\mathfrak{a}),$
- $F(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{the ray class field over } k \text{ associate to } A(\mathfrak{a}).$

Note that

$$\text{Gal}(F_n(\mathfrak{a})/k) \simeq A_n(\mathfrak{a}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gal}(F(\mathfrak{a})/k) \simeq A(\mathfrak{a})$$

via the Artin map. Let $A'_n(\mathfrak{a}) \leq A_n(\mathfrak{a})$ be such that we have $A'_n(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \text{Gal}(F_n(\mathfrak{a})/(F_n(\mathfrak{a}) \cap k(\zeta_{p^n})))$.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $\alpha : E/E^{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[G]$ be a G -module map. Then*

$$\alpha(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})E^{p^n}/E^{p^n}) \text{ annihilates } A'_n(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Proof. The argument here is essentially the same as in [R] (with base field \mathbb{Q}) but with some natural adjustments, so we give a somewhat abbre-

viated version of the proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n})/\mathbb{Q})$ and let

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n})/k(\zeta_{p^n}, (\ker \alpha)^{1/p^n})).$$

Let \mathcal{G} act on Γ by conjugation, and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j$ be a complete system of unique representatives of Γ/\mathcal{G} . We claim that $F_n(\mathfrak{a})$ and $k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n})$ are linearly disjoint over $F_n(\mathfrak{a}) \cap k(\zeta_{p^n})$. Indeed, Kummer theory gives us an isomorphism of $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n})/k)$ -modules

$$\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n})(F_n(\mathfrak{a}) \cap k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n}))/k(\zeta_{p^n})) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, \mu_{p^n})$$

where $B \leq E/E^{p^n}$ is such that $k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n}) = k(B^{1/p^n})$ and μ_{p^n} is the group of p^n th roots of unity. The Galois group on the left is abelian, so it follows that $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n})/k)$ acts trivially on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, \mu_{p^n})$. Since k is real and p is an odd prime, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, \mu_{p^n})$ must be trivial, whence the claim.

Now, let $\mathfrak{c} \in A'_n(\mathfrak{a})$. We may choose $\beta_i \in \text{Gal}(F_n(\mathfrak{a})k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n})/k)$ such that

$$\beta_i|_{F_n(\mathfrak{a})} = \mathfrak{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i|_{k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n})} = \gamma_i.$$

By the Chebotarev Density Theorem, there exist infinitely many degree 1 non-conjugate j -tuples of primes $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j \subseteq \mathfrak{o}_k$ such that $(\lambda_i, \mathfrak{a}) = 1$ and β_i is in the conjugacy class of Frobenius automorphisms for λ_i in $\text{Gal}(F_n(\mathfrak{a})k(\zeta_{p^n}, E^{1/p^n})/k)$. It follows that $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{c}$. We let ℓ_i be the rational prime below λ_i . Since $\beta_i|_{k(\zeta_{p^n})} = \text{id}$, it follows that $\ell_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$.

Let ϕ be the natural map $E/E^{p^n} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ where $\mathfrak{L} = \prod_{i=1}^j \ell_i$. From the exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n})/k)$ -modules

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_{p^n} \rightarrow k(\zeta_{p^n})^\times \xrightarrow{p^n} k(\zeta_{p^n})^{\times p^n} \rightarrow 1$$

we obtain the exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_{p^n})/k)$ -invariants

$$1 \rightarrow k^\times \xrightarrow{p^n} k(\zeta_{p^n})^{\times p^n} \cap k \rightarrow 1.$$

So $[k(\zeta_{p^n})^{\times p^n} \cap k : k^{\times p^n}] = 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \in \ker \alpha & \text{ iff } \epsilon^{1/p^n} \in k(\zeta_{p^n}, (\ker \alpha)^{1/p^n}) \\ & \text{ iff } g \cdot \gamma_i \text{ fixes } k(\zeta_{p^n}, \epsilon^{1/p^n}) \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}, \gamma_i \in \Gamma/\mathcal{G} \\ & \text{ iff } \lambda_i^\sigma \text{ splits completely in } k(\epsilon^{1/p^n}) \text{ for all } \sigma \in G, i = 1, \dots, j \\ & \text{ iff } \epsilon \in \ker \phi. \end{aligned}$$

In short, since Γ is saturated with Frobenius automorphisms for the λ_i , it follows that $\epsilon \in \ker \alpha$ if and only if $\epsilon \in \ker \phi$. This allows us to consider the well-defined map

$$\alpha \circ \phi^{-1} : \text{im}(\phi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[G]$$

which we may lift to a map $f : (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[G]$, obtaining the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E/E^{p^n} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[G] \\ \phi \downarrow & \nearrow f & \\ (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) & & \end{array}$$

Now, let $\varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for each i , there exists $\epsilon_i \in E(\ell_i, \mathfrak{a})$ such that

$$\epsilon_i \equiv \varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \pmod{\mathcal{L}_i^\sigma} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in G,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_i \subset \mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}$ is the prime above λ_i . Set

$$L_i := \prod_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{L}_i^\sigma.$$

Since the primes of \mathfrak{o} above ℓ_i are totally ramified in $k(\zeta_{\ell_i})$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{L})^\times \simeq \prod_{i=1}^j (\mathfrak{o}/\ell_i)^\times \simeq \prod_{i=1}^j (\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}/L_i)^\times.$$

This association allows us to consider ϕ and f as functions defined, respectively, into and on

$$\prod (\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}/L_i)^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $u_i \in \mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}$ be such that

$$u_i \equiv s_i^{-1} \pmod{\mathcal{L}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad u_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{L}_i^\sigma} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in G, \sigma \neq \text{id},$$

where $\langle s_i \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell_i\mathbb{Z})^\times$, as in Theorem 4.2. Note that

$$\langle u_i \pmod{L_i} \rangle_{(\mathbb{Z}/(\ell_i-1)\mathbb{Z})[G]} \simeq (\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}/L_i)^\times.$$

Let $\theta_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[G]$ be such that

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{u}_i^{\theta_i}, \quad \text{where} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{a}} = (\varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \pmod{L_i}) \otimes 1 \\ \mathbf{u}_i = (u_i \pmod{L_i}) \otimes 1 \end{array} \right\} \in (\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}/L_i)^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $\varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \pmod{L_i} \equiv \epsilon_i \pmod{L_i}$, it follows that θ_i is an annihilator of the cokernel of the map

$$E(\ell_i, \mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}_{k(\zeta_{\ell_i})}/L_i)^\times \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

So θ_i annihilates the class of $[\lambda_i] = \mathfrak{c}$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})/p^n\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ by Theorem 4.2. Let $\overline{\varsigma}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \varsigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \pmod{E^{p^n}}$. Then

$$\alpha(\overline{\varsigma}_{\mathfrak{a}}) = f(\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{a}}) = \sum_{i=1}^j \theta_i f(\mathbf{u}_i),$$

and we get

$$\mathfrak{c}^{\alpha(\overline{\mathfrak{c}_a})} = \prod_{i=1}^j (\mathfrak{c}^{\theta_i})^{f(u_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^j 1^{f(u_i)} = 1.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis for \mathcal{O} . For $i = 1, \dots, t$ define $\alpha_i : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ by

$$\alpha(\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i(\epsilon)\omega_i.$$

Each α_i is a G -module map, and since $\text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}_a) \leq A'_n(\mathfrak{a})$, the assertion now follows from Theorem 4.6. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let ϑ be the G -module map defined in the previous section. For every $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_G(E, \mathcal{O}[G])$, there exists $\beta \in K[G]$ such that $\alpha = \beta\vartheta$ by [A1, Theorem 5.1]. So for every $\beta \in K[G]$ such that $\beta\vartheta(E) \subseteq \mathcal{O}[G]$, $\beta\vartheta(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}))$ annihilates $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes \mathcal{O}$. If the ramification index of p in k is $e(p) = p^j b$ where $(p, b) = 1$, then we could take

$$\beta = \varpi^{je(p)-p^j} = \frac{|e(p)|_p^{-1}}{\varpi^{|e(p)|_p^{-1}}}$$

(see [A1, Lemma 2.3]). In particular, we get the explicit annihilation result: for every $\zeta_a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ (perhaps a d -cyclotomic unit for an appropriate d), the element

$$\frac{|e(p)|_p^{-1}}{\varpi^{|e(p)|_p^{-1}}} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \log_p(\zeta_a^\sigma)\sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}[G]$$

annihilates $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes \mathcal{O}$. ■

REMARK 4.7. The above theorem confirms a suspicion of D. Solomon regarding a stronger annihilation result lying beyond his [Sol, Conjecture 4.1] (see [Sol, Remark 4.1]). In particular, let U denote the maximal abelian pro- p -extension of k . The elements of Theorem 1.4 are explicit annihilators of explicit quotients of $\text{Gal}(U/k) \otimes \mathcal{O}$.

REMARK 4.8. The group $\text{Syl}_p(E/\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}))$ is mysterious. In the case when $p \nmid |G|$, the order of $\text{Syl}_p(E/\mathcal{S}(d))_\rho$ seems to be less than or equal to that of $\text{Syl}_p(E_d/C_d)_\rho$. One wonders whether $\text{Syl}_p(E/\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}))$ is encoding information more akin to the *exponent* of $\text{Syl}_p(\mathfrak{C}_a)$.

References

[A1] T. All, *On p -adic annihilators of real ideal classes*, J. Number Theory 133 (2013), 2324–2338.

- [A2] T. All, *On the p -adic completion of the units of a real abelian number field*, J. Number Theory 136 (2014), 1–21.
- [A3] T. All, *On Stickelberger elements for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})^+$ and p -adic L -functions*, J. Number Theory 160 (2016), 287–306.
- [D] T. Dorsey, *Morphic and principal-ideal group rings*, J. Algebra 318 (2007), 393–411.
- [Gra] G. Gras, *Classes d'idéaux des corps abéliens et nombres de Bernoulli généralisés*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 27 (1977), no. 1, 1–66.
- [Gre] R. Greenberg, *On p -adic L -functions and cyclotomic fields. II*, Nagoya Math. J. 67 (1977), 139–158.
- [MW] B. Mazur and A. Wiles, *Class fields of abelian extensions of \mathbf{Q}* , Invent. Math. 76 (1984), 179–330.
- [R] K. Rubin, *Global units and ideal class groups*, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 511–526.
- [Sch] C.-G. Schmidt, *Stickelbergerideale und Kreiseinheiten zu Klassenkörpern abelscher Zahlkörper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 353 (1984), 14–54.
- [S] W. Sinnott, *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field*, Invent. Math. 62 (1980), 181–234.
- [Sol] D. Solomon, *On a construction of p -units in abelian fields*, Invent. Math. 109 (1992), 329–350.
- [Th] F. Thaine, *On the ideal class groups of real abelian number fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) 128 (1988), 1–18.

Timothy All
Department of Mathematics
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology
5500 Wabash Ave
Terre Haute, IN 47803, U.S.A.
E-mail: timothy.all@rose-hulman.edu

