

ON TWO NOTIONS OF INDEPENDENT FUNCTIONS

(FROM A LETTER TO E. MARCZEWSKI)

RY

B. JESSEN (COPENHAGEN)

Your problem regarding independence of random variables 1) is related to a question on conditional mean-values which Mr Sparre Andersen and I have had occasion to consider 2). It seems to be answered (negatively) by the following example.

Let μ , μ^* and μ_* denote the Lebesgue measure and the exterior and interior Lebesgue measures on $I: 0 \le t \le 1$, and let \mathfrak{F} denote the system of all measurable sets in I. Let Q be a set with $\mu^*(Q)=1$. $\mu_*(Q)=0$. Then if \mathfrak{G} denotes the system of all sets of the form

$$A = BQ + C(I - Q), Be \mathfrak{F}, Ce \mathfrak{F},$$

there are defined by

$$\mu_1(A) = \mu(B), \qquad \mu_2(A) = \mu(C)$$

two measures in I with domain \mathfrak{G} , both of which are extensions of μ . We have $\mu_1(Q)=1$, $\mu_2(Q)=0$.

Consider now the square $S: 0 \leqslant t_1 < 1$, $0 \leqslant t_2 < 1$, and let superscripts (1) and (2) indicate measures or sets on $0 \leqslant t_1 < 1$ and $0 \leqslant t_2 < 1$ respectively. Then the two product-measures

$$v_1 = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(2)}), \qquad v_2 = (\mu_2^{(1)}, \mu_1^{(2)}),$$

and hence also $\lambda = 1/2 (\nu_1 + \nu_2)$, are extensions of the measure $(\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)})$ in S.

But λ is not a product-measure, since

$$\lambda((I^{(1)}, Q^{(2)})) = \frac{1}{2}(0+1) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lambda((Q^{(1)}, I^{(2)})) = \frac{1}{2}(1+0) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lambda((Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)})) = \frac{1}{2}(0+0) = \frac{1}{2}/2,$$

COMMUNICATIONS

215

Hence the functions $f_1(t_1, t_2) = t_1$ and $f_2(t_1, t_2) = t_2$ are independent in Steinhaus's but not in Kolmogoroff's sense.

January 31, 1948.

¹⁾ See P3, this volume, p. 29-30; cf. also J. L. Doob, On a problem of Marczewski, this fascicle, p. 216-217.

²) E. Sparre Andersen and B. Jessen, Some limit theorems on integrals in an abstract set, Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Meddelelser, vol 22, 14 (1946), p. 25 (footnote).