

COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. VII

1959

FASC. 1

ON THE CONVERSE OF THE BANACH "FIXED-POINT PRINCIPLE"

BY

C. BESSAGA (WARSAW)

Banach has proved the following "fixed-point principle":

If a mapping U of a complete metric space into itself satisfies the Lipschitz condition with a constant < 1, then U has a unique fixed point.

Clearly, each iteration of U satisfies also the Lipschitz condition with a constant < 1, whence the following statement holds true:

(S) If a mapping U of a complete metric space into itself satisfies the Lipschitz condition with a constant < 1, then each iteration of U has a unique fixed point.

The statement (S) admits the following converse:

THEOREM 1. Suppose U is a mapping of an abstract set X into itself such that each iteration U^n $(n=1,2,\ldots)$ of U has a unique fixed point. Let K be any number with 0 < K < 1. Then there exists a complete metric ϱ for X such that U satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the constant K.

Proof. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Let us define in the set X two relations:

- 1) $x \sim y$ if and only if either x = y or for a positive integer p, $U^{p-1}(x) \neq U^p(x) = U^p(y) \neq U^{p-1}(y)$.
- (2) $x \approx y$ if and only if there are positive integers p and q such that $U^p(x) = U^q(y)$.

(Here we assume $U^{0}(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$).

It is obvious that the two relations are of equivalence type and that $x \sim y$ implies $x \approx y$.

For arbitrary $x \in X$ let $[x] = \{y \in X : y \sim x\}.$

The set of all classes [x], where $x \in X$, will be denoted by [X]. For arbitrary $[x] \in [X]$ let

 $[[x]] = \{ [y] \in [X] : \text{ there are } x_1 \in [x], y_1 \in [y] \text{ such that } x_1 \approx y_1 \}.$

43

The family of all sets [[x]], where $[x] \in [X]$, will be denoted by \Re . Let us define in [X] the relation.

(3) $[x] \leq [y]$ if and only if there exist $x_1 \in [x]$, $y_1 \in [y]$ and a non-negative integer k such that $U^k(x_1) = y_1$.

It is easy to verify that the relation \leq orders each of the sets [[x]] in a type $\leq \omega^* + \omega$.

Applying the following particular case of the axiom of choice:

- (Ch) If \Re is a family of disjoint ordered sets of types $\leqslant \omega^* + \omega$ then there exists a function H(Z) defined on \Re such that $H(Z) \in Z$ for every $Z \in \Re$, we can easily define on the set X an integer valued function f(x) which satisfies conditions:
- (4) if $x \sim y$, then f(x) = f(y),
- (5) if $x \in [y]^*$, then f(y) = f(x) + 1
- $([y]^*$ denotes the successor of [y]).

For every $x \in X$ let us put $U^{\infty}(x) =$ the fixed point of the mapping U. The metric ϱ which fulfils the assertion of Theorem 1 can be defined by the formula:

$$\varrho(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^{p} K^{l(x)+i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} K^{l(x')+i},$$

where p, q are the smallest of the number $0, 1, 2, ..., \infty$ for which $U^p(x) = U^q(x')$ (we mean $\sum_{i=1}^{0} K^{f(x)+i} = 0$).

Remark. Theorem 1 and the axiom (Ch) are equivalent.

Proof. We shall show that Theorem 1 implies (Ch). Note that the only order types less than $\omega^* + \omega$ are $1, 2, ..., \omega$, ω^* . Since to every set which is ordered in such a type one can effectively assign one of its elements, we may assume that \Re consists of sets of type $\omega^* + \omega$.

Denote by X' the sum of all the sets belonging to the family \Re . Let $X = X' + \{a\}$, where a is an arbitrary object which does not belong to X'. It is easy to see that the mapping

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} x^* & \text{for } x \in X', \\ a & \text{for } x = a, \end{cases}$$

where x^* denotes the successor of x, fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 1. Let ϱ be the metric for X fulfilling the assertion of this Theorem.

The choice function H(Z) can be defined as follows:

$$H(Z) = \max\{x \in Z : \varrho(x, a) > 1\},\$$

where the symbol max denotes the greatest element of a set ordered in the type ω^* .

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 1. 1958