

## Some properties of two-norm spaces and a characterization of reflexivity of Banach spaces

by

A. ALEXIEWICZ and Z. SEMADENI (Poznań)

In this paper we continue the investigations of [2] and [3]. The contents are divided into three sections. In the first we introduce the notion of quasi-normal two-norm spaces generalizing the concept of normal two-norm spaces. We show that all the main properties of normal two-norm spaces are preserved in this case. Introducing the notion of  $\gamma$ -semireflexivity we show that a two-norm space is  $\gamma$ -reflexive if and only if it is  $\gamma$ -semireflexive and quasi-normal.

In the second section we study the structure of the spaces  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . Since W. Orlicz and V. Pták ([14], p. 57) have proved that the space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is always closed in the space  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  but not every strongly closed subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a possible space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , it seems worth while to study the structure of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . We consider the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , whence also  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , as fixed, and we show at first that, in general, there is no finest or coarsest starred norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  giving a fixed space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . Next, we give a characterization of starred norms  $\|\cdot\|_*$  and of the possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}^*$ ; however, we have not succeeded in giving such a characterization of its closures  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , so we content ourselves with giving some sufficient conditions for a subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$  to be a possible space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , and with studying some examples of the possible situation of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . It may happen for normal two-norm spaces that only one space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  exists (it is always so if the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is reflexive); it may happen that exactly two possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  exist. There may also exist spaces  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  of finite deficiency in  $\mathcal{E}$ ; on the other hand, if  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is weakly complete, then the possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  have either infinite or null deficiency.

In the third section we apply the results obtained to give a characterization of reflexivity: a Banach space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is reflexive if and only if, for every norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$ , the space  $\mathcal{E}^*$  conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_* \rangle$  is strongly dense in the space  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .

Throughout this paper we adopt the terminology of [3]. In particular, the following notations and notions will be used without further reference.

Given a two-norm space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ , we shall always suppose the following condition to be satisfied:

$$(n_0) \quad \|x\|^* \leq \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called  $\gamma$ -convergent to  $x_0$  (written  $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x_0$ ) if  $\|x_n - x_0\|^* \rightarrow 0$  together with  $\sup_{n=1,2,\dots} \|x_n\| < \infty$ . A functional  $\xi$  is called  $\gamma$ -linear if it is distributive and if  $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x_0$  implies  $\xi(x_n) \rightarrow \xi(x_0)$ . The totality of the  $\gamma$ -linear functionals will be denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . An operation  $\Phi$  from a two-norm space into another will be called  $\gamma$ -continuous if it transforms  $\gamma$ -convergent sequences into  $\gamma$ -convergent sequences;  $\Phi$  is called a  $\gamma$ -isomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$  if it is a distributive one-to-one operation from  $X$  onto  $Y$ ,  $\gamma$ -continuous together with the inverse operation. The distributive functionals on  $X$  will be denoted by  $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ ;  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{E}^*, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  will denote the spaces conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ , respectively. Thus

$$\|\xi\| = \sup\{\xi(x) : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

$$\|\xi\|^* = \sup\{\xi(x) : x \in X, \|x\|^* \leq 1\}.$$

We shall write

$$S = \{x : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\}, \quad S^* = \{x : x \in X, \|x\|^* \leq 1\},$$

$$\Sigma = \{\xi : \xi \in \mathcal{E}, \|\xi\| \leq 1\}, \quad \Sigma^* = \{\xi : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^*, \|\xi\|^* \leq 1\}.$$

Evidently  $S \subset S^*$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^* \subset \mathcal{E}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\Sigma^* \subset \Sigma$ .

A subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is called *norming* for  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  if there is a constant  $r > 0$  such that the functional

$$\|x\|_1 = \sup\{|\xi(x)| : \xi \in \Omega \cap r\Sigma\}$$

is a norm equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$ . A subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is called *strictly norming* if each set  $A \subset X$  satisfying  $\sup\{|\xi(x)| : x \in A\} < \infty$  for every  $\xi \in \Omega$  is necessarily bounded with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Every linear strictly norming set is norming; every linear, closed and norming set is strictly norming ([1], p. 109).

A two-norm space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is called *normal* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\|^* = 0$  implies  $\|x_0\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$ .

**1. Quasi-normal two-norm spaces.** The following proposition justifies the definition to be set below:

**1.1. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $K$  be a positive constant; the following statements are equivalent:*

$$1^\circ \quad \|x_n - x_0\|^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ implies } \|x_0\| \leq K \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|,$$

$2^\circ$  *there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  such that  $\frac{1}{K} \|x\| \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|$  and such that the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is normal,*

$$3^\circ \quad \sup\{\xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma\} \geq \frac{1}{K} \|x\|,$$

$$4^\circ \quad \sup\{\xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma \cap \Sigma\} \geq \frac{1}{K} \|x\|,$$

$$5^\circ \quad \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma \text{ is dense in } \frac{1}{K} \Sigma \text{ for the topology } \sigma(\mathcal{E}, X),$$

$$6^\circ \text{ for every } x_0 \in X \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists a constant } M \text{ such that } \|x_0 + z\| \geq \frac{1}{K} \|x_0\| - M \|z\|^* - \varepsilon \text{ for any } z \in X.$$

**Proof.**  $1^\circ \Rightarrow 2^\circ$ . Let us write

$$\|x\|_1 = \sup\{\xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma\};$$

then obviously  $\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|$  ( $1^\circ$ ). Let  $R$  denote the closure of the ball  $S$  in the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ ; the set  $R$  is convex and, by condition  $1^\circ$ , it is contained in the ball  $K \cdot S = \{x : \|x\| \leq K\}$ . Given any element  $x \neq 0$  of  $X$  and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then the element  $y = (K + \varepsilon) \|x\|^{-1} x$  does not belong to  $R$ , whence, by a theorem of Eidelheit ([8], [5], p. 22), there exists a functional  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}^*$  such that  $\xi(z) \leq 1 \leq \xi(y)$  for  $z \in R$ . Thus  $\|\xi\| \leq 1$ , since  $\|z\| \leq 1$  implies  $z \in R$ . On the other hand, the inequality  $1 \leq \xi(\|x\|^{-1}(K + \varepsilon)x)$  implies

$$\sup\{\xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma\} \geq (K + \varepsilon)^{-1} \|x\|,$$

whence  $\|x\|_1 \geq K^{-1} \|x\|$ .

It remains to prove that the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is normal. Let  $\|x_n - x_0\|^* \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_1 < \infty$ ; then  $x_n$  is  $\gamma$ -convergent in the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a functional  $\xi_0$  in the unit ball  $\Sigma_1$  of the space  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_1 \rangle$ , such that  $\|x_0\|_1 - \varepsilon \leq \xi_0(x_0) = \xi_0(x_n) - \xi_0(x_n - x_0) \leq \|\xi_0\|_1 \|x_n\|_1 + |\xi_0(x_n - x_0)|$ , and this implies  $\|x_0\| - \varepsilon \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_1$ , since  $\|\xi_0\|_1 \leq 1$  and  $\xi_0$  is  $\gamma$ -linear. The above inequality is trivial if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_1 = \infty$ .

( $1^\circ$ ) The existence of a norm equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  and satisfying the equality below was deduced by J. Dixmier ([6], p. 1064) from general considerations.

$2^\circ \Rightarrow 3^\circ$ . In this case  $\|x_n - x_0\|^* \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\|x_0\|_1 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_1$ . Rewriting the first part of the proof of the implication  $1^\circ \Rightarrow 2^\circ$  with  $K = 1$  and with  $\|\cdot\|$  replaced by  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , we obtain

$$\sup \{ \xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma_1 \} \geq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \|x\|_1 \geq K^{-1} (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \|x\|,$$

where  $\Sigma_1$  denotes the unit ball of the space conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_1 \rangle$ , and  $3^\circ$  follows, since obviously  $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma$ .

$3^\circ \Rightarrow 4^\circ$ . Obvious.

$4^\circ \Rightarrow 5^\circ$ . Follows by a theorem of Dixmier ([6], p. 1062).

$5^\circ \Rightarrow 6^\circ$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon' > 0$ ; by the definition of the topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}, X)$  and by  $5^\circ$ , for any  $\xi \in \frac{1}{K} \Sigma$  there exists functional  $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma$  such that  $|\zeta(x_0) - \xi(x_0)| < \varepsilon'$ . Thus  $\zeta$  satisfies the inequalities

$$\zeta(x) \leq \|x\| \quad \text{for every } x \in X,$$

$$\zeta(x) \geq -M \|x\|^* \quad \text{for every } x \in X,$$

$$\zeta(x_0) \geq \xi(x_0) - \varepsilon',$$

$M$  being a constant. By a theorem of Mazur and Orlicz ([11], p. 147), the inequality

$$\xi(x_0) - \varepsilon' - M \|z\|^* \leq \|x_0 + z\|$$

must be satisfied for all  $z \in X$ . Choosing  $\xi$  and  $\varepsilon'$  so as  $\xi(x_0) = \frac{1}{K} \|x_0\|$  and  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/K$  we obtain condition  $6^\circ$ .

$6^\circ \Rightarrow 1^\circ$ . Setting  $z = x_n - x_0$  into  $6^\circ$  we get

$$\|x_0\| \leq K \|x_n\| + KM \|x_n - x_0\|^* + K\varepsilon,$$

whence  $1^\circ$  follows.

In the spaces satisfying condition  $1^\circ$  with  $K = 1$  we recognize normal two-norm spaces; hence, for  $K = 1$ , proposition 1.1 gives a characterization of normal two-norm spaces. The spaces for which there exists a constant  $K$  such that  $1^\circ$  is satisfied will be called *quasi-normal*. By Proposition 1.1, part  $2^\circ$ , all the properties of two-norm spaces, invariant under equivalent norms  $\|\cdot\|$ , possessed by normal two-norm spaces, are possessed also by quasi-normal spaces. In particular we have

**THEOREM A.** For quasi-normal spaces the set  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is identical with the closure (in the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ ) of the set  $\mathcal{E}^*$ .

**THEOREM B.** Let  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  be quasi-normal. Then  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}^*$  if and only if the norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|^*$  are equivalent.

Let us notice that Proposition 1.1 is also valid except for part  $6^\circ$  in the case when  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is a  $B_0$ -space in which

$$\|x\|^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{[x]_n}{1 + [x]_n},$$

$[ \cdot ]_n$  denoting homogeneous pseudonorms. Since in [2] the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  was supposed to be a  $B_0$ -space, we infer that our Theorems A and B are valid also in this case.

Now let  $\langle \mathcal{E}^*, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{X}^{(v)}, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  be the first and the second  $\gamma$ -conjugate two-norm spaces of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  ([3], p. 278). The normed spaces  $\langle \mathcal{E}^*, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{X}^{(v)}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  are always complete. The  $\gamma$ -canonical mapping of  $X$  into  $\mathcal{X}^{(v)}$  is defined by

$$x \rightarrow \eta_x \quad \text{where} \quad \eta_x(\xi) = \xi(x) \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \mathcal{E}^*$$

(if  $\xi$  varies over the whole of  $\mathcal{E}$ , this mapping is recognized to be the canonical embedding of the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  into its second conjugate space  $\langle \mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ ). By the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  in  $\mathcal{X}^{(v)}$ , we have

$$\|\eta_x\|^* = \sup \{ \xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma^* \} = \|\eta_x\|;$$

moreover,

$$\|\eta_x\| = \sup \{ \xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma \} \leq \sup \{ \xi(x) : \xi \in \mathcal{E} \cap \Sigma \} = \|x\|,$$

whence the  $\gamma$ -canonical mapping of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{X}^{(v)}, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous. It is a  $\gamma$ -isomorphism if and only if  $\|\eta_x\| \geq a \|x\|$  for some  $a > 0$ . Hence

**1.2. PROPOSITION.** The  $\gamma$ -canonical mapping is a  $\gamma$ -isomorphism if and only if the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal.

**1.3. PROPOSITION.** Let the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  be complete; then  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal if and only if the  $\gamma$ -canonical image  $\mathcal{X}_0$  of  $X$  is closed in  $\langle \mathcal{X}^{(v)}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Necessity follows by 1.2, sufficiency by Banach's inversion theorem applied to the  $\gamma$ -canonical mapping considered as an operation from  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  onto  $\langle \mathcal{X}_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .

The space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  will be called  $\gamma$ -reflexive if the  $\gamma$ -canonical mapping transforms  $X$  onto  $\mathcal{X}^{(v)}$  in a  $\gamma$ -isomorphical fashion<sup>(2)</sup>. Thus,

<sup>(2)</sup> This definition is more general than an analogous one in [3], since in that case the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  was assumed a priori to be normal.

$\gamma$ -reflexivity implies completeness of the normed space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and quasi-normality of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ . The space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  will be called  $\gamma$ -semireflexive if the  $\gamma$ -canonical mapping transforms  $X$  onto  $\mathfrak{X}^{(v)}$ .

**1.4. PROPOSITION.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1° the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is  $\gamma$ -reflexive,
- 2° the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal and  $\gamma$ -semireflexive,
- 3° the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is complete and the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is  $\gamma$ -semireflexive,
- 4° the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal and the ball  $S$  is conditionally compact for the topology  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{E}_\gamma)$  (or for  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{E}^*)$ , which amounts to the same).

**Proof.** The implications  $1^\circ \Rightarrow 2^\circ \Rightarrow 3^\circ \Rightarrow 1^\circ$  follow by the foregoing argument. The equivalency  $1^\circ \Leftrightarrow 4^\circ$  may be proved in the same way as Theorem 3.2 of [3], since  $\gamma$ -reflexivity implies quasi-normality, and the set  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is in this case strictly norming.

Let us now give a (non-effective) example of a space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$   $\gamma$ -semireflexive and not  $\gamma$ -reflexive. Given an infinitely dimensional reflexive Banach space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ , let  $\xi_0$  be a distributive discontinuous functional on  $X$ . Let us introduce the norm  $\|x\| = \|x\|^* + |\xi_0(x)|$ , and let us consider the spaces  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{E}^*, \|\cdot\|^*, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Any functional  $\eta$  linear over  $\langle \mathcal{E}^*, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is linear with respect to the finer norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$ , whence, by reflexivity of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ ,  $\eta$  is of the form  $\eta(\xi) = \xi(x)$ . Thus  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is  $\gamma$ -semireflexive. However, by 1.4, the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is not  $\gamma$ -reflexive, the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  not being complete. Let us notice that, by a well-known theorem, every linear functional on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is of the form  $\zeta(x) + a\xi_0(x)$  with  $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}^*$ ; since  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is closed in  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and since  $\mathcal{E}^* \subset \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , two possibilities may occur:  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}^*$  or  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$ . The second case must be excluded because it implies ([3], p. 290) the normality of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  and, in turn, the  $\gamma$ -reflexivity of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ , by Proposition 1.4. This shows that the hypothesis of quasi-normality cannot be omitted in Theorem B.

Next, we shall give an (effective) example of a non-quasi-normal two-norm space. The construction will be based upon an idea of Mazurkiewicz [13]. Let  $X$  be the space  $c_0$  of null-convergent sequences  $x = \{x_n\}$  with  $\|x\| = \sup_{n=1,2,\dots} |x_n|$ . In the space  $\mathcal{E}$ , conjugate to  $X$ , let us consider the functionals

$$\xi_{ik}(x) = \frac{x_1}{2^1} + \frac{x_3}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{x_{2i-1}}{2^i} + ix_{2N(i,k)},$$

where  $(i, k) \rightarrow N(i, k)$  is a one-to-one mapping of the set of pairs of

positive integers onto the set of positive integers. Then let us write

$$\|x\|^* = \sum_{i,k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+k}} |\xi_{ik}(x)|.$$

One can easily show (as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 below) that the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is not quasi-normal, for the linear span of the elements  $\xi_{ik}$  is a total but not a norming set (Mazurkiewicz [13]).

**2. On the structure of the space  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ .** In this section we shall deal with the problem which are the possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  being given.

Given a total subset  $\Omega$  of the ball  $S$ , the functional

$$\|x\|^* = \sup \{|\omega(x)| : \omega \in \Omega\}$$

is easily seen to be a norm in  $X$  satisfying  $(n_0)$ . W. Orlicz and V. Pták ([14], p. 63) introduce in this way coarser norms in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , restricting themselves, however, to strongly compact subsets  $\Omega$  of  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .

Let us observe that all the possible norms may be obtained in such a manner. Indeed

$$\|x\|^* = \sup \{|\zeta(x)| : \zeta \in \mathcal{E}^*\},$$

$\Sigma^* \subset \Sigma$ , and, evidently,  $\Sigma^*$  is weakly closed in  $\mathcal{E}$  (with respect to the topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}, X)$ ), whence it is weakly compact. Let us denote by  $\Omega_1$  the weak closure of the smallest symmetric convex set containing  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\sup \{|\omega(x)| : \omega \in \Omega\} = \sup \{|\omega(x)| : \omega \in \Omega_1\}$$

and, since  $\Omega_1$  is weakly closed, we get

**2.1. PROPOSITION.** *Every norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  satisfying condition  $(n_0)$  is of the form*

$$\|x\|^* = \sup \{|\omega(x)| : \omega \in \Omega\},$$

where  $\Omega$  is a total convex symmetric subset of  $\Sigma$ , closed with respect to the topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}, X)$ . Conversely, every functional of this form is a norm satisfying  $(n_0)$ .

The structure of all possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}^*$  may simply be deduced from that of  $\Omega$ .

**2.2. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\Omega$  and  $\|\cdot\|^*$  be as in Proposition 2.1. Then the set  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is equal to the smallest linear set  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$  spanned upon  $\Omega$ , i. e.  $\mathcal{E}^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n\Omega$ . Moreover, the unit ball  $\Sigma^*$  induced by  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is identical with  $\Omega$ .*

Proof. The inclusion  $\Omega \subset \Sigma^*$  is obvious. Let  $\zeta \in \Sigma^*$ ; then

$$|\zeta(x)| \leq \|x\|^* = \sup \{ \omega(x) : \omega \in \Omega \}$$

for every  $x \in X$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $\zeta \notin \Omega$ . The closedness of  $\Omega$  for the topology  $\sigma(\Sigma, X)$  implies (see e. g. [5], p. 22) the existence of an  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\sup \{ \omega(x_0) : \omega \in \Omega \} < \zeta(x_0),$$

which is impossible. Thus  $\Sigma^* = \Omega$ , and this implies  $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n\Omega$ .

Now we shall be concerned with the study of the possible spaces  $\Sigma_\gamma$ , the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  being fixed. First we shall be concerned with the norms  $\|\cdot\|^*$  yielding a given space  $\Sigma_\gamma$ . Simple examples show that several norms which are non-equivalent (even on  $S$ ) may exist leading to the same class  $\Sigma_\gamma$  of  $\gamma$ -linear functionals. Thus, the question arises whether or not there exists a finest and a coarsest norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  leading to a given set  $\Sigma_\gamma$ . Both questions will be answered in the negative: the first by 2.3 and the second by 2.4.

**2.3. PROPOSITION.** *Let the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  be quasi-normal and let the norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|^*$  be non-equivalent. Then there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_1^*$ , essentially finer than  $\|\cdot\|^*$ , giving rise to the same set  $\Sigma_\gamma$  as  $\|\cdot\|^*$  and such that the convergences  $\gamma$  in the spaces  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^* \rangle$  are equivalent.*

Proof. By Theorem B, the sets  $\Sigma_\gamma$  and  $\Sigma^*$  are different. Let  $\xi_0 \in \Sigma_\gamma \setminus \Sigma^*$ ,  $\|\xi_0\| = 1$  and let us introduce a new coarser norm  $\|x\|_1^* = \frac{1}{2}(\|x\|^* + |\xi_0(x)|)$ . Then the convergences  $\gamma$  in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  and in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^* \rangle$  are identical. Since  $\xi_0$  is continuous with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_1^*$  but not with respect to  $\|\cdot\|^*$ , the norms  $\|\cdot\|^*$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1^*$  are not equivalent.

The negative answer to the second question will be obtained by considering the following example.

Let  $c$  denote the space of all convergent sequences  $x = \{x_n\}$ , let  $\|x\| = \sup_{n=1,2,\dots} |x_n|$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|^*$  be any coarser norm in  $\langle c, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . We shall denote by  $e_n$  the  $n$ -th unit vector in  $c$  and by  $\eta_n$  the  $n$ -th functional on  $c$ , biorthogonal to  $\{e_n\}$ . The space  $\langle \Sigma, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  conjugate to  $\langle c, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is equivalent to the space  $\mathcal{I}^1$  ([4], p. 66), and  $\eta_n$  is the  $n$ -th unit vector in  $\mathcal{I}^1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the set of all functionals on  $\langle c, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  of the form  $\xi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$ .

**2.4. PROPOSITION.** *There exists no coarsest norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  in the space  $\langle c, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  such that  $\Sigma_\gamma = \mathcal{A}$ .*

Proof. At first, let us remark that if, for a coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$ , the set  $\Sigma_\gamma$  is equal to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is normal (by 1.1). Let  $\|\cdot\|^*$  be such a norm; we shall prove the existence of a norm  $\|\cdot\|^{**}$  in  $c$  such that  $\|x\|^{**} \leq \|x\|^*$  for all  $x$ , yielding the set  $\mathcal{A}$  as the corresponding space  $\Sigma_\gamma$ , and essentially coarser than  $\|\cdot\|^*$ . By Theorem A, the set  $\Sigma^*$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\xi\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ . Thus, for every  $n, m$ , there exists a functional  $\zeta_{nm}$  such that  $\|\zeta_{nm}\| < 1$  and  $\|\zeta_{nm} - \eta_n\| < 1/m$ . Every linear functional on  $\langle c, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is of the form ([4], p. 66)

$$\xi(x) = a \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} x_v + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \xi(e_v) x_v,$$

$\alpha = \alpha(\xi)$  being independent of  $x$ , and  $\|\xi\| = |\alpha| + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\xi(e_v)|$ . Hence

$$|\zeta_{nm}(e_n) - \eta_n(e_n)| < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha(\zeta_{nm})| + \sum_{v \neq n} |\zeta_{nm}(e_v)| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Obviously the set  $\{\zeta_{nm} : n, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is total. Let us choose positive numbers  $a_{\mu\nu}$  so that

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} < 1, \quad \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} \|\zeta_{\mu\nu}\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} \|\zeta_{\mu\nu}\|^* < 1.$$

Then  $\|x\|^{**} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} |\zeta_{\mu\nu}(x)|$  is a norm in  $c$  (since  $\{\zeta_{nm}\}$  is total), and

$$\|x\|^{**} \leq \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} \|\zeta_{\mu\nu}\|^* \|x\|^* \leq \|x\|^* \leq \|x\|.$$

We shall prove that the norm  $\|\cdot\|^{**}$  is essentially coarser than  $\|\cdot\|^*$ . Indeed, let  $z_n = e_n / \|e_n\|^*$ . Then  $\|z_n\|^* = 1$  and, on the other hand,

$$\|z_n\|^{**} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} |\zeta_{\mu\nu}(z_n)| = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{\mu=n}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

and

$$I_2 = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} |\zeta_{\mu\nu}(z_n)| \leq \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} \|\zeta_{\mu\nu}\|^* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$I_3 = \sum_{\mu=n}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} |\zeta_{\mu\nu}(z_n)| \leq \sum_{\mu=n}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} \|\zeta_{\mu\nu}\|^* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$



uniformly with respect to  $n$ . Since  $1 \geq \|\zeta_{\mu}\| \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\zeta_{\mu}(e_n)|$  for every  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\mu\nu}(e_n) = 0$ , whence  $I_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have thus proved that  $\|z_n\|^{**} \rightarrow 0$ .

It remains to prove that the set of all  $\gamma$ -linear functionals on  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is identical with  $\Lambda$ . Since  $\|\cdot\|^{**}$  is coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$ , the space  $\mathcal{E}^{**}$  conjugate to  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is contained in  $\Lambda$ . On the other hand, the functionals  $\zeta_{mn}$  belong to  $\Lambda$ , their strong limits  $\eta_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  (by Theorem A), whence  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \Lambda$ .

We shall now give a sufficient condition for a subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  to be a possible space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ .

**2.5. THEOREM.** *Let  $Y$  be a linear, closed, norming and separable subset of  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Then there exists a coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|^{**}$  in  $X$  such that the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is normal,  $\gamma$ -precompact<sup>(3)</sup> and such that  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  be a sequence strongly dense in  $Y \cap \Sigma$  and let us write

$$\|x\|^{**} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |\xi_n(x)|, \quad \|x\|_1^{**} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{|\xi_n(x)|}{1 + |\xi_n(x)|}.$$

The space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_1^{**} \rangle$  is of  $B_0^*$ -type. The  $\gamma$ -convergences in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  and in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^{**} \rangle$  coincide, whence  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is the same for both spaces. Evidently  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma \supset Y$ , whence, by 1.1, the spaces  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  and  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^{**} \rangle$  are quasi-normal. By a theorem of Mazur and Orlicz ([12], p. 139), the space  $\mathcal{E}_1^*$  conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|_1^{**} \rangle$  is identical with the smallest linear set spanned upon the functionals  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ , whence, by Theorem A,  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = Y$ . The usual diagonal method shows that  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is  $\gamma$ -precompact.

**2.6. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  be separable, and let  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  be non-separable. Then there exist uncountably many coarser norms  $\|\cdot\|_\tau^{**}$  such that  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_\tau^{**} \rangle$  are normal for all  $\tau$  and such that the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  are different for different  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be a sequence dense in  $S$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$  be functionals linear on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  satisfying  $\zeta_n(x_n) = \|x_n\|$  and  $\|\zeta_n\| = 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Obviously, the smallest strongly closed linear set  $Y$  spanned upon  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$  satisfies the assumptions of Theo-

<sup>(3)</sup>  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is called  $\gamma$ -precompact if every bounded set in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is conditionally compact with respect to the metric  $\varrho(x, y) = \|x - y\|^{**}$ . This theorem is closely related to a theorem of Orlicz and Pták ([14], p. 64).

rem 2.5 and the family of all separable over-sets of  $Y$ , inducing various starred norms in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  following Theorem 2.5, is, by non-separability of  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , uncountable.

The next example shows that, as regards a normal two-norm space, in some cases there may exist exactly one non-trivial space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , and that the space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  may have deficiency 1.

**2.7. THEOREM.** *Let  $\|\cdot\|^{**}$  be a coarser norm in  $\langle c, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Then  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is normal if and only if the set  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  contains all the functionals  $\eta_n$ ; thus all the possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  for normal  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  are either  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \Lambda$  or  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$ .*

*For every  $n$  there exists a coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|_n^{**}$  in  $c$  such that the corresponding space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  has deficiency  $n$  (in the case  $n > 1$ , of course, the space  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is not normal but only quasi-normal). Moreover, there exists a coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty^{**}$  in  $c$  such that the corresponding space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  has infinite deficiency.*

*Proof.* We prove first that  $\eta_n \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$  if  $\langle c, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is normal,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Proposition 1.1,  $\mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma$  is dense in  $\Sigma$  for the topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}, X)$ , whence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $k$ , there exists a functional

$$\zeta(x) = b_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x_n$$

belonging to  $\mathcal{E}^* \cap \Sigma$  and such that  $|1 - b_k| = |\eta_k(e_k) - \zeta(e_k)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $1 \geq \|\zeta\| = |b_k| + \sum_{\nu \neq k} |b_\nu|$ , we get

$$\sum_{\nu \neq k} |b_\nu| \leq 1 - |b_k| \leq |1 - b_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence, for any  $x \in S$ ,

$$|\eta_k(x) - \zeta(x)| = |(1 - b_k)x_k - \sum_{\nu \neq k} b_\nu x_\nu| \leq |1 - b_k| \cdot \|x\| + \|x\| \sum_{\nu \neq k} |b_\nu| < \varepsilon;$$

it follows that  $\|\eta_k - \zeta\| < \varepsilon$ . The number  $\varepsilon > 0$  being arbitrary,  $\eta_k$  belongs to the closure of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  in  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , i. e. to  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ .

The proof of the second part will be preceded by the following considerations. Let  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  and  $\langle Y, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  be two quasi-normal spaces. Their Cartesian product  $Z = X \times Y$ , with the norms  $\|z\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  and  $\|z\|^{**} = \|x\|^{**} + \|y\|^{**}$  for  $z = (x, y)$ , is easily seen to be a quasi-normal two-norm space<sup>(4)</sup>. One may easily prove that the general form

<sup>(4)</sup> If the initial spaces are normal, their product, although quasi-normal, does not need to be normal. On the other hand, if the finer norm in  $Z$  is defined by  $\|z\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  and if  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  and  $\langle Y, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  are normal, then, for any starred norm  $\|\cdot\|^{**}$  such that  $\|z_n\|^{**} \rightarrow 0$  is equivalent to  $\|x_n\|^{**} \rightarrow 0$  with  $\|y_n\|^{**} \rightarrow 0$ , the space  $\langle Z, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^{**} \rangle$  is also normal.

of  $\gamma$ -linear functionals on  $\langle Z, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is

$$\zeta(z) = \xi(x) + \eta(y),$$

where  $\xi$  is a  $\gamma$ -linear functional on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  and  $\eta$  is a  $\gamma$ -linear functional on  $\langle Y, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ .

Let  $n$  be finite. Then the space  $c$  is isomorphic to its  $n$ -tuple Cartesian product (see [4], p. 182). Thus, by the foregoing argument, there is a coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|_1^*$  in  $c$  such that the corresponding space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is of deficiency  $n$ .

Now let us pass to the case  $n = \aleph_0$ . Let  $E$  be the set of the ordinals  $\leq \omega^2$ , with the order topology. The space  $C(E)$  of real continuous functions on  $E$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|x\| = \sup \{|x(t)| : t \in E\}$  and any linear functional on  $C(E)$  is of the form

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{q \leq \omega^2} a_q x(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\xi\| = \sum_{q \leq \omega^2} |a_q| < \infty.$$

Next, let  $E_0$  be the set of the isolated points of  $E$  (i.e. the set of non-limit ordinals), let  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  be any arrangement of all elements of  $E_0$  into a sequence, and let

$$\|x\|^* = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} |x(t_m)|.$$

It is obvious that  $\langle C(E), \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is a normal two-norm space, and every  $\gamma$ -linear functional on  $\langle C(E), \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is of the form

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m x(t_m) \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_m| < \infty.$$

Thus, in this case  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is of infinite deficiency in  $\mathcal{E}$ . It is known that the space  $\langle C(E), \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is isomorphic to the space  $c$ ; this isomorphism induces in  $c$  a norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty^*$  (corresponding to the norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  defined above). Obviously  $\|\cdot\|_\infty^*$  is the required one.

For the space  $c_0$  of null-convergent sequences we obtain by the preceding considerations:

**2.8. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\|\cdot\|^*$  be a coarser norm in  $\langle c_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  such that  $\langle c_0, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is normal. Then  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$ .*

The following propositions give further information about the possible spaces  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ .

**2.9. PROPOSITION.** *Suppose  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  to be quasi-normal and let the deficiency of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  be finite and greater than  $m-1$ ; then there exist at*

least  $m+1$  coarser norms  $\|\cdot\|^*, \|\cdot\|_1^*, \dots, \|\cdot\|_m^*$  in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  leading to different classes  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma, \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(m)}$  of  $\gamma$ -linear functionals.

**Proof.** Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_\gamma$  and let  $\|\eta\| = 1$ . Then the norm

$$\|x\|_1^* = \max(\|x\|^*, |\eta(x)|)$$

is finer than  $\|\cdot\|^*$  and coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$ , and the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal. Let  $\xi$  be any  $\gamma$ -linear functional on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^* \rangle$ . Then, by Theorem A, there exist linear functionals  $\xi_n$  on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1^* \rangle$  such that  $\|\xi - \xi_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . We may write  $\xi_n(x) = \zeta_n(x) + \lambda_n \eta(x)$ , where  $\zeta_n$  are linear functionals on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ . If  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ , then the closedness of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  implies

$$\|\xi_n\| = \|\zeta_n + \lambda_n \eta\| = |\lambda_n| \|\lambda_n^{-1} \zeta_n + \eta\| \geq |\lambda_n| \inf \{ \|\eta - \zeta\| : \zeta \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma \} = |\lambda_n| \cdot \delta,$$

whence  $\sup_{n=1,2,\dots} |\lambda_n| \leq \delta^{-1} \sup_{n=1,2,\dots} \|\xi_n\| < \infty$ , for  $\|\xi_n\| \rightarrow \|\xi\|$ . Thus there exists a subsequence  $\lambda_{n_k} \rightarrow \lambda_0$  such that

$$\zeta_{n_k} = \xi_{n_k} - \lambda_{n_k} \eta \rightarrow \xi - \lambda_0 \eta = \zeta_0$$

and  $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , which means that the space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(1)}$  of all  $\gamma$ -linear functionals on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is identical with the smallest linear set spanned upon  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  and  $\eta$ .

In this way we may construct starred norms

$$\|x\|_1^* \leq \|x\|_2^* \leq \dots \leq \|x\|_m^* \leq \|x\|$$

such that  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(2)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(m)}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(i)} \neq \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{(i+1)}$ .

**2.10. THEOREM.** *Let  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  be a weakly complete <sup>(5)</sup> Banach space. Then, for any coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$ , either  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$  or the quotient space  $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is non-separable.*

*In particular, if  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is weakly complete and if  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  has finite deficiency in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us assume  $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  to be separable.  $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  consists of the cosets with respect to the relation;

$$\xi_1 \sim \xi_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \xi_1 - \xi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma.$$

The norm of the coset  $\bar{\xi}$  corresponding to a functional  $\xi$  is defined by

$$\|\bar{\xi}\| = \inf \{ \|\xi + \eta\| : \eta \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma \}.$$

The coset corresponding to 0 is identical with  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . Let  $\xi_n$  be a sequence of linear functionals on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  such that the corresponding cosets  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \xi_1 + \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \xi_2 + \mathcal{E}_\gamma, \dots$  are dense in  $\langle \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_\gamma, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Let  $x_n$

<sup>(5)</sup> i.e. sequentially weakly complete.

be a sequence  $\gamma$ -convergent to 0, and let  $x'_n = x_{k_n}$  be an arbitrary subsequence. The sequence  $x'_n$  contains a subsequence  $x''_n = x'_{l_n}$  such that every sequence  $\xi_k(x''_n)$  is convergent ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Since  $x''_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} 0$ ,  $\xi(x''_n) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . It is easily seen that the set  $\Gamma$  of all functionals of the form  $\xi + \xi_k$  with  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  is strongly dense in  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Since the sequence  $\eta(x''_n)$  is convergent for every  $\eta \in \Gamma$  and  $\sup \|x''_n\| < \infty$ , by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, the sequence  $x''_n$  is weakly fundamental.  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  being weakly complete, there exists an element  $x_0$  such that  $\xi(x''_n - x_0) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is total and  $\xi(x_0) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ ,  $x_0$  must be equal to 0.

Thus, we have proved that  $x''_n$  tends weakly to 0;  $x'_n$  being an arbitrary subsequence of  $x_n$ ,  $x_n$  tends weakly to 0, and, by Proposition 6.2 of [3], we obtain  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$ .

**2.11. PROPOSITION.** Let  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  be a Banach space such that the canonical image  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  of  $X$  in the biconjugate space is of finite <sup>(\*)</sup> deficiency  $k$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Then, for any coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , either  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is of deficiency not greater than  $k$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , or  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \mathcal{E}$ .

**Proof.** Let us suppose that  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is a coarser norm in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  such that the space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is of deficiency greater than  $k$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is closed in  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , this implies the existence of a closed subspace  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{E}$  of deficiency  $p = k+1$  with  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma \subset \Gamma$ . Thus every linear functional  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  may be uniquely represented as  $\xi(x) = \eta(x) + \alpha_1 \xi_1(x) + \dots + \alpha_p \xi_p(x)$ , where  $\eta \in \Gamma$  and  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$  are fixed. Let us write  $\beta_\nu(\xi) = \alpha_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, p$ );  $\beta_\nu$  are obviously linear functionals on  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and they are linearly independent. No functional  $\beta_\nu \neq 0$  is equal to a linear combination  $\beta_1 \delta_1 + \dots + \beta_p \delta_p$  of  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_p$ , since if it were so, we should have  $\beta_\nu(\eta) = 0$  and consequently  $\beta_\nu(\eta) = \eta(x) = 0$  for  $\eta \in \Gamma$ , which implies  $x = 0$ ,  $\Gamma$  being total. This means that the deficiency of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{X}$  is greater than  $k$ , contrarily to our hypothesis.

**3. A characterization of reflexivity of Banach spaces.** In this section  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  will stand for a Banach space;  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathfrak{X}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $S$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_x$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  etc. will preserve their previous meaning.

**3.1. LEMMA.** Let  $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{X}$  be a linear functional on  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  not belonging to the canonical image  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  of  $X$ . Then the set

$$\Omega = \{\xi: \xi \in \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{z}(\xi) = 0\}$$

is strictly norming for the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  <sup>(\*)</sup>.

<sup>(\*)</sup> The existence of such spaces has been proved by R. C. James [10].

<sup>(\*)</sup> This lemma may be deduced from a general result of J. Dixmier ([6], p. 1064). We give here an elementary and effective proof.

**Proof.** We may suppose freely that  $\|\mathfrak{z}\| = 1$ .  $\Omega$  being linear and closed in  $\langle \mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $\Omega$  is norming. Let  $\delta = \inf \{\|\mathfrak{z} - \eta\|: \eta \in \Omega\}$ ; then  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ . We shall prove that, for every  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists a functional  $\xi \in \Omega \cap \Sigma$  such that  $\xi(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \|x_0\|$ , or, which amounts to the same, that a functional  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  exists such that

$$\|\xi\| \leq 1, \quad \mathfrak{z}(\xi) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{p}_x(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \delta, \quad \text{where } x_0 = z \cdot \|x_0\|, \|z\| = 1 = \|\mathfrak{p}_x\|.$$

By a theorem of Helly (see [9], [11], p. 171, [5], p. 38), such a functional exists if the inequality

$$|\lambda_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \delta + \lambda_2 \cdot 0| \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \|\lambda_1 \mathfrak{p}_x + \lambda_2 \delta\|$$

is satisfied for every pair  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  of real numbers and for an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $\lambda_1 = 0$  this inequality is obvious; if  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ , then setting  $t = \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  we obtain the inequality  $\delta \leq 2 \|\mathfrak{p}_x + t\delta\|$ , which will be proved now. If  $|t| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$2 \|\mathfrak{p}_x + t\delta\| = 2 |t| \|t^{-1} \mathfrak{p}_x + \delta\| \geq 2 |t| \cdot \delta \geq \delta;$$

if  $|t| < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $2 \|\mathfrak{p}_x + t\delta\| \geq 2 (\|\mathfrak{p}_x\| - |t| \|\delta\|) \geq 2 (1 - \frac{1}{2}) \geq \delta$ .

Now, let  $X_0$  be a closed subspace of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and let  $\|\cdot\|_0^*$  be a norm in  $X_0$  satisfying  $\|x\|_0^* \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in X_0$ ; let us write

$$S_0^* = \{x: x \in X_0, \|x\|_0^* \leq 1\}, \quad S^* = \text{conv}(S \cup S_0^*),$$

and let  $\|x\|^*$  be the Minkowski functional of  $S^*$  in  $X$ .

**3.2. LEMMA.** Under the above notation

(a) the functional  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is a norm satisfying  $\|x\|^* \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in X$  and  $\|x\|^* = \|x\|_0^*$  for  $x \in X_0$ ,

(b) every linear functional on  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$  may be extended onto  $X$  with the preservation of both norms: relative to  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$  and relative to  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ ,

(c) the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal if and only if  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$  is so <sup>(\*)</sup>.

**Proof.** Since  $S$  and  $S_0^*$  are convex,  $S^*$  is identical with the set of all elements of form  $z = ts + (1-t)s_0$  with  $s \in S$ ,  $s_0 \in S_0^*$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . We shall prove first that  $S^* \cap X_0 = S_0^*$ . The inclusion  $S_0^* \subset S^* \cap X_0$  being obvious, let us assume that  $z \in S^* \cap X_0$ , whence  $z = ts + (1-t)s_0$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $s_0 \in S_0^*$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . If  $t = 0$ , then  $z \in S_0^*$ ; if  $t \neq 0$ , then  $s = t^{-1}[z - (1-t)s_0]$  belongs to  $X_0$ , whence  $s \in X_0 \cap S \subset S_0^*$ , which implies  $z \in S_0^*$ .

The identity  $S^* \cap X_0 = S_0^*$  implies  $\|x\|^* = \|x\|_0^*$  for  $x \in X_0$ . The inclusion  $S \subset S^*$  implies  $\|x\|^* \leq \|x\|$  in  $X$ .

<sup>(\*)</sup> One can easily verify that the extension of norm  $\|\cdot\|_0^*$  by this method coincides with that given by A. Sobczyk [15].



We shall prove now that  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is a norm. Suppose that  $\|z\|^* = 0$ . Then  $nz \in S^*$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , whence  $nz = \vartheta_n x_n + (1 - \vartheta_n) y_n$  with  $x_n \in S, y_n \in S_0^*, 0 \leq \vartheta_n \leq 1$ , which implies

$$\inf \{\|z - u\| : u \in X_0\} \leq \left\| z - \frac{(1 - \vartheta_n) y_n}{n} \right\| = \frac{\vartheta_n}{n} \|x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

It follows that  $z \in X_0$ , since  $X_0$  is closed in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Therefore  $\|z\|_0^* = \|z\|^* = 0$ , which gives  $z = 0$ .

To prove (b) let  $\zeta$  be any linear functional on  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$ . We shall prove that its Hahn-Banach extension  $\bar{\zeta}$  (preserving the norm  $\|\zeta\|_0 = \sup \{\zeta(x) : x \in X_0 \cap S\}$ ) satisfies the desired conditions. It is to be proved that the norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  defined by  $\|\zeta\|^* = \sup \{\zeta(x) : \|x\|^* \leq 1, x \in X_0\}$  does not increase as well. Indeed, if  $z \in S^*$ , then  $z = ts + (1 - t)s_0, s \in S, s_0 \in S_0^*, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , whence, by  $\|\bar{\zeta}\| = \|\zeta\| \leq \|\zeta\|^*$ ,

$$\bar{\zeta}(z) = t\bar{\zeta}(s) + (1 - t)\bar{\zeta}(s_0) \leq t\|\bar{\zeta}\| \|s\| + (1 - t)\|\zeta\|^* \|s_0\|^* \leq \|\zeta\|^*.$$

Let  $S_0^*$  be the space conjugate to  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$ , let  $S^*$  be the space conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$ . To prove (c) it is sufficient to show that the set  $S^*$  is norming for  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  if  $S_0^*$  is norming for  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$ . Thus, let us assume that there exists an  $A > 0$  such that

$$\sup \{\zeta(x) : \zeta \in S_0^* \cap \Sigma\} \geq A \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in X_0.$$

By Proposition 1.1, it is sufficient to prove that there is a constant  $K$  such that  $\|x_n\| \leq 1, \|x_n - x_0\|^* \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\|x_0\| \leq K$ . Since  $\|x_n\|^* \leq \|x_n\| \leq 1$ , we infer that  $\|x_0\|^* \leq 1$ , i. e. that  $x_0 \in S^*$ , whence  $x_0 = ts + (1 - t)s_0, s \in S, s_0 \in S_0^*, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Let  $\zeta \in S_0^* \cap \Sigma$  be such that  $\zeta(s_0) \geq A \|s_0\|$ , and let  $\bar{\zeta}$  be the extension of  $\zeta$  as in (b). Then  $|\bar{\zeta}(x_n)| \leq 1$ , since  $\bar{\zeta} \in \Sigma$ , and  $\bar{\zeta}(x_n) \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}(x_0)$ , since  $\bar{\zeta} \in S^*$ , whence  $|\bar{\zeta}(x_0)| \leq 1$ . On the other hand,  $1 \geq \bar{\zeta}(x_0) = t\bar{\zeta}(s) + (1 - t)\bar{\zeta}(s_0)$  and  $|\bar{\zeta}(s)| \leq 1$ , for  $\bar{\zeta} \in \Sigma, s \in S$ . Thus  $(1 - t)A \|s_0\| \leq (1 - t)\bar{\zeta}(s_0) \leq 1 + t|\bar{\zeta}(s)| \leq 2$ , and

$$\|x_0\| \leq \|ts + (1 - t)s_0\| \leq t\|s\| + (1 - t)\|s_0\| \leq t + \frac{2}{A} \leq 1 + \frac{2}{A} = K.$$

**3.5. THEOREM.** *A Banach space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is reflexive if and only if, for every norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$ , the space  $S^*$  conjugate to  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is dense in  $\langle S, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity is stated in Theorem 3.7 of [3]. Let  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  be any non-reflexive Banach space. To prove the sufficiency we shall show that there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  in  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$  and such that the space  $S^*$  is not dense in  $\langle S, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  and is norming for  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .

From the non-reflexivity of  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  it follows, as a consequence of a theorem of Eberlein ([7], [5], p. 56), that there exists a closed, separable, non-reflexive subspace  $X_0$  of  $X$ . Let  $S_0^*$  denote the conjugate space of  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ , and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be a sequence dense in  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . By assumption, there exists a linear functional  $\zeta$  on  $\langle S_0^*, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  which does not belong to the canonical image of  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  in its second conjugate space. By Proposition 3.1, the set

$$\Omega = \{\xi : \xi \in S_0^*, \delta(\xi) = 0\}$$

is norming for  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Hence there exist functionals  $\zeta_n \in \Omega$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|\zeta_n\| = 1, \quad |\zeta_n(x_n)| \geq K \|x_n\| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let  $\Upsilon$  denote the smallest linear and strongly closed subset of  $S_0^*$  spanned upon the functionals  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$ . Evidently,  $\Upsilon$  is norming. By Theorem 2.5, there exists in  $X_0$  a norm  $\|\cdot\|_0^*$  coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$ , such that the space  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal and such that the closure of the space  $S_0^*$  conjugate to  $\langle X_0, \|\cdot\|_0^* \rangle$  is identical with  $\Upsilon$ .

We take into account the extension  $\|\cdot\|^*$  of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_0^*$ , according to Lemma 3.2. The norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is coarser than  $\|\cdot\|$ , and  $\|x\|^* = \|x\|_0^*$  for  $x \in X_0$ . Let  $\eta$  be any fixed functional belonging to  $S_0^* \setminus \Omega$  and let  $\bar{\eta}$  be its Hahn-Banach extension on  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ . Then, for every  $\xi \in S^*$ , the restricted functional  $\xi|_{X_0}$  belongs to  $S_0^*$  and  $S_0^* \cap \Upsilon \subset \Omega$ , whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi - \eta\| &= \sup \{\xi(x) - \eta(x) : x \in S\} \geq \sup \{\xi(x) - \eta(x) : x \in S \cap X_0\} \\ &\geq \inf \{\|\xi - \eta\| : \xi \in \Omega\} = \delta > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $\eta$  does not belong to the closure of  $S^*$  in  $\langle S, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ .

**3.4. Remark.** *If  $\langle X, \|\cdot\| \rangle$  is a non-reflexive Banach space, then there exists a coarser norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  in  $X$  such that the space  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal and  $S^* \neq \bar{S}^*$ .*

This immediately follows by condition (c) of Lemma 3.2. Let us notice that the statement that  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is quasi-normal cannot be replaced by the statement that  $\langle X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|^* \rangle$  is normal, in virtue of Proposition 2.8.

## References

- [1] A. Alexiewicz and W. Orlicz, *On analytic vector-valued functions of a real variable*, Studia Math. 12 (1951), p. 108-111.
- [2] A. Alexiewicz and Z. Semadeni, *Linear functionals on two-norm spaces*, ibidem 17 (1958), p. 121-140.

- [3] — *The two-norm spaces and their conjugate spaces*, ibidem 18 (1959), p. 275-293.
- [4] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Warszawa 1932.
- [5] M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik 21, Berlin 1958.
- [6] J. Dixmier, *Sur un théorème de Banach*, Duke Math. Journal 15 (1948), p. 1057-1071.
- [7] W. F. Eberlein, *Weak compactness in Banach spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci. 33 (1947), p. 51-53.
- [8] M. Eidelheit, *Zur Theorie der konvexen Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen*, Studia Math. 6 (1936), p. 104-111.
- [9] E. Helly, *Über Systeme linearer Gleichungen mit unendlichen vielen Unbekannten*, Monatshefte Math. Phys. 31 (1921), p. 60-91.
- [10] R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. 52 (1950), p. 518-527.
- [11] S. Kakutani, *Weak topology and regularity of Banach spaces*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 15 (1939), p. 169-173.
- [12] S. Mazur and W. Orlicz, *Sur les espaces métriques linéaires (II)*, Studia Math. 13 (1953), p. 137-179.
- [13] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur la dérivée faible d'un ensemble de fonctionnelles linéaires*, ibidem 2 (1930), 68-71.
- [14] W. Orlicz and V. Pták, *Some remarks on Saks spaces*, ibidem 16 (1957), p. 56-68.
- [15] A. Sobczyk, *On the extension of linear transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1944), p. 153-169.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11. 5. 1959

## Charakterisierung von Fourierkoeffizienten mit einem Summierbarkeitsfaktorentheorem und Multiplikatoren

von

G. GOES (Ludwigsburg)

**1. Einleitung** <sup>(1)</sup>. Die Absicht dieser Note ist es zu zeigen, wie der bekannte Satz von Schur-Bosanquet über Summierbarkeitsfaktoren mit einem Schlag verschiedene bekannte und neue Kriterien für Fourierkoeffizienten liefert, wenn man die in den Arbeiten [13] und [14] eingeführte Theorie komplementärer Fourierkoeffizientenräume heranzieht. Abschnitt 2 enthält einige Raumdefinitionen, Abschnitt 3 enthält Aussagen über Fourierkoeffizienten, welche das bekannte Kriterium von Kolmogoroff [26] und seine Verallgemeinerungen durch Moore [30], [31] und Cesari [7] enthalten. Abschnitt 4 enthält neue Aussagen über Multiplikatoren, welche teilweise auch mit dem Satz von Schur-Bosanquet bewiesen werden und Verallgemeinerungen bekannter Aussagen. Abschnitt 5 enthält ergänzende Bemerkungen und auch ergänzende Literaturhinweise zu den Arbeiten [11], [13] und [14].

**2. Definitionen.** Wir verwenden die in den Arbeiten [13] und [14] eingeführten Symbole und Vereinbarungen und verweisen auf die dortigen ausführlichen Raumdefinitionen für  $E = L_p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ),  $L_p$ ,  $C$ ,  $V$ ,  $A$ , die zugeordneten komplementären Räume  $E^*$  und die zugeordneten Stieltjes-Räume  $dE$ . Die in [13] und [14] mit  $(C_1 - E)^*$  bezeichneten  $C_1$ -komplementären Räume bezeichnen wir hier — wie in [15] — kürzer durch das Symbol  $E^{1*}$ . Neu hinzu kommen die folgenden Räume:

1) Ist  $E \subset P_\infty$  ( $P_\infty$  = Menge der trigonometrischen Reihen) und  $E$  ein  $BK$ -Raum, so ist  $E_{kN}$  ( $0 \leq k < \infty$ ) die Untermenge von  $E$  in der das trigonometrische Orthogonalsystem eine  $C_k$ -Basis bildet, d. h. es ist genau dann

$$\hat{f} = (a_j, b_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j \cos jt + b_j \sin jt) \in E_{kN} \quad (0 \leq k < \infty),$$

<sup>(1)</sup> Der Verfasser dankt der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft für ihre finanzielle Unterstützung bei der Abfassung der vorliegenden Note.