

- [17] C. Goffman, Remarks on lattice ordered groups and vector lattices, I. Carathéodory functions, Trans. Amer. Nath. Soc. 88 (1958), pp. 107-120.
- [18] A class of lattice ordered algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1958), pp. 170-173.
 - [19] Real functions, New York 1953.
 - [20] J. Isbell, Zero-dimensional spaces, Tohoku Math. J. 7 (1955), pp. 1-8.
- [21] Algebras of uniformly continuous functions, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), pp. 96-125.
- [22] N. Jacobson, A topology for the set of primitive ideals in an arbitrary ring, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 31 (1945), pp. 333-338.
- [23] D. G. Johnson, A structure theory for a class of lattice-ordered rings, Acta Math. 104 (1960), pp. 163-215.
- [24] R. V. Kadison, A representation theory for commutative topological algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. no. 7, New York 1951.
- [25] S. Kakutani, Concrete representations of abstract (M)-spaces, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), pp. 994-1024.
- [26] L. V. Kantorovič, A. G. Pinsker, and B. Z. Vulih, Functional analysis in partially ordered spaces, Moscow-Leningrad 1950 (Russian).
- [27] C. W. Kohls, Ideals in rings of continuous functions, Fund. Math. 45 (1957), pp. 28-50.
- [28] Prime ideals in rings of continuous functions, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), pp. 505-536.
- [29] R. H. McDowell, Extensions of functions from dense subspaces, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), pp. 297-304.
- [30] J. D. McKnight, Jr. On the characterization of rings of functions, Thesis, Purdue University 1953.
- [31] H. Nakano, Modern spectral theory, Tokyo Mathematical Book Series No. 2, Tokyo 1950.
- [32] J. H. M. Olmsted, Lebesgue theory on a Boolean algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1942), pp. 164-193.
- [33] T. Shirota, On ideals in rings of continuous functions, Proc. Japan Acad. 30 (1954), pp. 85-89.
- [34] M. H. Stone, A general theory of spectra I, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 26 (1940), pp. 280-283.
- [35] A general theory of spectra II, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 27 (1941), pp. 83-87.
- [36] B. Z. Vulih, Some questions of the theory of linear partially ordered sets, Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 17 (1953), pp. 365-388 (Russian).
- [37] K. Yosida, On the representation of the vector lattice, Proc. Imp. Akad. Tokyo 18 (1942), pp. 339-342.
- [38] W. Zawadowski, Axiomatic characterization of some rings of real functions, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 6 (1958), pp. 355-360.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY, LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19.8.1960

Added in proof. J. E. Kist has pointed out that, in the presence of completeness, the hypothesis that A be uniformly closed in Theorems 5.6 and 5.7 is redundant. (See, e.g. [31], p. 30.)

A note on 0-dimensional compact groups

b:

Edwin Hewitt* (Seattle, Wash.)

Ivanovskii ([3]), Kuz'minov ([4]), and Hulanicki ([2]) have recently published proofs of the fact that a 0-dimensional infinite compact group is homeomorphic with the Cartesian product of a number of 2-element discrete spaces, thus answering a question raised by P. S. Aleksandrov. Since all three of these proofs are somewhat complicated, it appears worth while to present a simplified version of Hulanicki's elegant proof of the theorem. We prove slightly more, as follows.

THEOREM. Let G be a 0-dimensional, infinite, compact topological group satisfying the T_0 separation axiom, and let m be the least cardinal number of an open basis at the identity e of G. Then G, regarded only as a topological space, is homeomorphic with the space $\{a,b\}^m$, where $\{a,b\}$ is a discrete space and $a \neq b$.

Proof. We give the proof in a number of steps.

- (I) Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an open basis at e having cardinality m. By a well-known theorem of Pontryagin ([5], p. 140, Theorem 17), there is a normal compact open subroup V_i of G such that $V_i \subset U_i$, for each $i \in I$. Now well order the family $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$, and rewrite it as $\{V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_a, \dots\}$, where a runs through all ordinals less than (say) the first ordinal μ with cardinal m. (Note that m must be infinite.) With no loss of generality, we may suppose that $V_1 = G$. For every ordinal β , $1 < \beta < \mu$, let $N_\beta = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} V_\alpha$, and let $N_1 = G$. It is clear that every N_β is a normal subgroup of G. Fix an ordinal $\beta < \mu$, and let K be any subset of K that is the intersection of sets of the form $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3 \cup K_4 \cup$
- (II) We next define certain open and closed subsets of G. Let B be the set of ordinals $\beta < \mu$ for which $V_{\beta} \subsetneq N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$. Both V_{β} and $N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ are open and closed normal subgroups of G. The quotient groups $N_{\beta}V_{\beta}/V_{\beta}$ and $G/N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ are compact and discrete and hence finite. Let $b_{\beta}^{(1)}N_{\beta}V_{\beta}, \ldots, b_{\beta}^{(m_{\beta})}N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$

^{*} Research supported by the National Science Foundation, USA, under Grant NSF G-5439.



be the distinct cosets of $N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ in G, and let $a_{\beta}^{(1)}V_{\beta}, \ldots, a_{\beta}^{(k_{\beta})}V_{\beta}$ be the distinct cosets of V_{β} in $N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$. For each integer $j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k_{\beta}$, let

$$A_{eta}^{(j)} = b_{eta}^{(1)} a_{eta}^{(j)} V_{eta} \cup b_{eta}^{(2)} a_{eta}^{(j)} V_{eta} \cup \ldots \cup b_{eta}^{(m_{eta})} a_{eta}^{(j)} V_{eta}$$

Thus each $A_{\beta}^{(j)}$ is a finite union of cosets of V_{β} , and each $A_{\beta}^{(j)}$ intersects every coset of $N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ in a coset of V_{β} . It is obvious that $A_{\beta}^{(j)} \cap A_{\beta}^{(0)} = \emptyset$ if $j \neq l$ and that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_\beta} A_\beta^{(j)} = G \ .$$

We next note that if X is a nonvoid subset of G and $j = 1, 2, ..., k_{\beta}$, then $(N_{\beta}X) \cap A_{\beta}^{(j)} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\bigcup_{l=1}^{m_{\beta}} b_{\beta}^{(l)} N_{\beta} V_{\beta} = G$, there is some $b_{\beta}^{(l)}$ for which $(N_{\beta}X) \cap (b_{\beta}^{(l)} N_{\beta} V_{\beta}) \neq \emptyset$. Since $a_{\beta}^{(j)}$ is in $N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$, we have $b_{\beta}^{(l)} N_{\beta} V_{\beta} = b_{\beta}^{(l)} a_{\beta}^{(j)} N_{\beta} V_{\beta} = N_{\beta} b_{\beta}^{(l)} a_{\beta}^{(j)} V_{\beta}$. Thus there are elements $a_1, a_2 \in N_{\beta}, x \in X$, and $y \in V_{\beta}$ such that $a_1 x = a_2 b_{\beta}^{(l)} a_{\beta}^{(j)} y$. Since $b_{\beta}^{(l)} a_{\beta}^{(j)} \in A_{\beta}^{(j)}$, it follows at once that $(N_{\beta}X) \cap A_{\beta}^{(j)} \neq \emptyset$.

Now let $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_s$ be any distinct elements of B; we may suppose that $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < ... < \beta_s$. Then we have

$$A_{\beta_1}^{(j_1)} \cap A_{\beta_2}^{(j_2)} \cap \dots \cap A_{\beta_s}^{(j_s)} \neq \emptyset,$$

if $1 \leq j_l \leq k_{\beta_l}$ (l=1,2,...,s). It follows from (I) that $A_{\beta_1}^{(j_1)} = N_{\beta_2} A_{\beta_1}^{(j_1)}$. By the preceding paragraph, we have $A_{\beta_1}^{(j_1)} \cap A_{\beta_2}^{(j_2)} = (N_{\beta_2} A_{\beta_1}^{(j_1)}) \cap A_{\beta_2}^{(j_2)} \neq \emptyset$. Applying (I) and the preceding paragraph again, together with finite induction, we verify (1).

To complete our construction, we need one more fact, viz.: if x, y are in G and $x \neq y$, then there is a $\beta \in B$ and a $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$, such that $A_{\beta}^{(j)}$ contains one and only one of the points x and y. Since $x^{-1}y \neq e$, there is an $\alpha < \mu$ such that $x^{-1}y \notin V_{\alpha}$. Let β be the least of all these α 's. Then $x^{-1}y \in N_{\beta}$, so that $x^{-1}y \in N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ and $x^{-1}y \notin V_{\beta}$. Hence we have $V_{\beta} \subsetneq N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$, so that $\beta \in B$. In the notation used above to define the sets $A_{\beta}^{(j)}$, we have $x, y \in b_{\beta}^{(i)}N_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ for some $t, 1 \leq t \leq m_{\beta}$. Since $x^{-1}y \notin V_{\beta}$, we have $x \in b_{\beta}^{(i)}a_{\beta}^{(j)}V_{\beta}$ and $y \in b_{\beta}^{(i)}a_{\beta}^{(i)}V_{\beta}$, where $j \neq l$. Hence $x \in A_{\beta}^{(j)}$ and $y \in A_{\beta}^{(i)}$, so that $y \notin A_{\beta}^{(i)}$.

(III) To finish the proof, we form the Cartesian product $Y = \underset{\beta \in B}{P} \{1, 2, ..., k_{\beta}\}$, each finite space $\{1, 2, ..., k_{\beta}\}$ being given the discrete topology. Define a mapping Φ of G into Y by: $\Phi(x) = (j_{\beta})_{\beta \in B}$, where j_{β} is the integer such that $x \in A_{\beta}^{(f)}$, for each $\beta \in B$. Since all of the sets $A_{\beta}^{(f)}$ are open and closed, Φ is a continuous mapping. Since the sets $A_{\beta}^{(f)}$ separate points of G, Φ is one-to-one. Hence Φ is a homeomorphism, and $\Phi(G)$ is a compact and hence closed subspace of Y. In view of (1), $\Phi(G)$ is dense in Y, and thus $\Phi(G) = Y$.

The constructions in (I) and (II) above show that $\overline{B} \leq m$. Since G and Y are homeomorphic, we must have $\overline{B} = m$, inasmuch as \overline{B} is the smallest cardinal number of an open basis at an arbitrary point of Y. To show that Y is homeomorphic to $\{a,b\}^m$, write B as the union of a family of pairwise disjoint countably infinite sets. For each countably infinite $B_1 \subset B$, $P \in \{1, 2, ..., k_\beta\}$ is a 0-dimensional compact metric space without isolated points. By a classical theorem, this product is homeomorphic to $\{a,b\}^{\aleph_0}$ (see for example [1], p. 121, Satz VI'). This implies immediately that Y is homeomorphic to $\{a,b\}^m$.

References

- [1] P. S. Aleksandrov, and H. Hopf, Topologie I, Berlin, 1935.
- [2] A. Hulanicki, On the topological structure of 0-dimensional topological groups, Fund. Math. 46 (1959), pp. 317-320.
- [3] L. N. Ivanovskii, On an hypothesis of P. S. Aleksandrov, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.) 123 (1958), pp. 785-786.
- [4] V. Kuz'min ov, On an hypothesis of P. S. Aleksandrov in the theory of topological groups, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 125 (1959), pp. 727-729.
 - [5] L. S. Pontryagin, Continuous groups, 2nd edition, Moscow, 1954.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, U. S. A.

Recu par la Rédaction le 19.8.1960