

On operators preserving a conjugate space

by

D. PRZEWORSKA-ROLEWICZ and S. ROLEWICZ (Warszawa)

Let X be a linear space over real or complex scalars. Let A be a linear operator transforming X into itself. By the *nullity* α_A of the operator A we mean the dimension of the space $\{x \in X: Ax = 0\}$. By the *deficiency* β_A we mean the dimension of the quotient space X/AX . If numbers α_A , β_A are both finite, we say that the operator A possesses a *finite d -characteristic* (Kato [7], Gochberg and Krein [6], Przeworska-Rolewicz and Rolewicz [10]).

In the classical theorems, for example in the Fredholm theory of integral equations (Fredholm [2]-[5]), instead of β_A a characteristic number β_A^ε has been considered. To define this number, we consider simultaneously with the space X a total⁽¹⁾ space \mathcal{E} of linear functionals, which will further be called a *conjugate space*. Now β_A^ε is the dimension of the space

$$\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}: \xi Ax = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

Let $\alpha_A, \beta_A < +\infty$. If $\beta_A^\varepsilon = \beta_A$, we say that A is a Φ_ε -operator.

Obviously for each functional $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ we can consider a functional $\eta x = \xi Ax$. We shall write $\eta = A'\xi$ and the operator A' will be called a *conjugate operator* to the operator A . We have $\alpha_{A'} = \beta_A^\varepsilon$. We do not always have $A'\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$, but if A' possesses this property, we shall say that A *preserves* the conjugate space \mathcal{E} . The set of all linear operators preserving \mathcal{E} will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$; it constitutes an algebra.

If $\mathcal{E} = X'$ is the space of all linear functionals, then each linear operator preserves \mathcal{E} . If X is a linear topological locally convex space and $\mathcal{E} = X^+$ the space of all linear continuous functionals, then every continuous operator preserves \mathcal{E} . On the other hand, in these cases we have $\beta_A^\varepsilon = \beta_A$. But there are also operators preserving a conjugate space which are not Φ_ε -operators (see [11]).

⁽¹⁾ A space \mathcal{E} of linear functionals is called *total* if $\xi x = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $x = 0$.

In this note we give some theorems which permit us to prove when the operators A preserving the conjugate space \mathcal{E} are $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operators. In this note we use the method of *regularization* considered in papers [8], [9] and [10].

Given an algebra of linear operators \mathcal{X} , let \mathcal{I} be a two-sided ideal contained in \mathcal{X} . We say that an operator $A \in \mathcal{X}$ possesses a *left-sided* (*right-sided*) *regularizer* R_A to the ideal \mathcal{I} if $R_A A - I \in \mathcal{I}$ (resp. $A R_A - I \in \mathcal{I}$). We say that a regularizer is *simple* if it is simultaneously left-sided and right-sided.

We shall employ the following results from paper [10]:

(A) If the ideal \mathcal{I} is such that for each $T \in \mathcal{I}$, $I+T$ is a $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operator, then each operator A which possesses a simple regularizer to the ideal \mathcal{I} is a $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operator ([10], proposition 5.8).

(B) By $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$ we denote the set (the two-sided ideal) of all finite dimensional operators contained in $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$. If $K \in \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$, then $I+K$ is a $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operator ([10], proposition 4.2).

Let X and \mathcal{E} be Banach spaces. We denote the respective norms by $\|\cdot\|_X$, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$. In the space $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$ define the norm

$$\|A\|^* = \max\{\|A\|_X, \|A'\|_{\mathcal{E}}\}.$$

If the topology in \mathcal{E} is equivalent to the norm-topology of functionals, then obviously the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ is equivalent to the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ of operator $X \rightarrow X$.

By $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$ we denote the closure of $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$. Obviously $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$ is a two-sided ideal.

THEOREM 1. *If an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$ possesses a left-sided (right-sided) regularizer to the ideal $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$, then it possesses a left-sided (right-sided) regularizer to the ideal $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. Let us assume that there exists a left-side regularizer R_A to the ideal $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$, i. e. such an operator R_A that

$$R_A A = I + T, \quad \text{where } T \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E}).$$

Take an operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\|T - K\|^* < 1$$

and write $B = T - K$. It is easy to check, on the basis of the completeness of X and \mathcal{E} , that the operator $I+B$ is invertible and $(I+B)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$. Let

$$R_A^0 = (I+B)^{-1} R_A;$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} R_A^0 A &= (I+B)^{-1} R_A A = (I+B)^{-1} (I+T) = (I+B)^{-1} (I+B+K) \\ &= I + (I+B)^{-1} K. \end{aligned}$$

But $(I+B)^{-1} K \in \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$; therefore R_A^0 is a left-sided regularizer of the operator A to the ideal $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

The proof in the case of a right-sided regularizer is identical. From theorem 1 and result (A) follows

COROLLARY 1. *If an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$ possesses a simple regularizer to the ideal $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$ in the particular case of $A = I+T$, where $T \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$, then A is a $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operator.*

Remark. We do not know whether it is possible to replace in Corollary 1 the assumption that $T \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$ by the assumption that $T \in \mathcal{T}(X, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{T}(X, \mathcal{E})$ is an ideal of compact operators contained in $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Consider the following application of theorem 1.

Example 1. Let L be a regular arc with a finite length on a plane. Let $X = C(L)$ be the space of all continuous real or complex-valued functions defined on L . Let $\mathcal{E} = C(L)$ be a space of functionals ξ of the type

$$\xi x = \int_L x(t) \xi(t) dt,$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a continuous real or respectively complex-valued function defined on L . Let T be an integral operator

$$Tx = \int_L K(s, t) x(t) d\omega(t),$$

where $\omega(t)$ is a linear Hausdorff measure, $K(s, t) = K_0(s, t)k(|s-t|)$, where $K_0(s, t)$ is a continuous function and $k(u)$ is a non-negative and summable function of one real variable continuous for $u \neq 0$. Then $T \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Indeed, let

$$k_m(u) = \begin{cases} k(u) & \text{if } k(u) < m, \\ m & \text{if } k(u) \geq m. \end{cases}$$

$k_m(u)$ is obviously a continuous function. The arc L is regular and therefore

$$e_m = \sup_{s, t \in L} \int_L k(|s-t|) - k_m(|s-t|) d\omega(t)$$

tends to 0 when $m \rightarrow \infty$. But if we write

$$T_m x = \int_L K_0(s, t) k_m(|s-t|) x(t) d\omega(t),$$

then $\|(T_m - T)x\|_X \leq M e_m \|x\|_X$, where $M = \sup_{s, t \in L} |K_0(s, t)|$. The kernels of the operators T_m are continuous functions. Basing ourselves on Weier-

strass theorem, we can approximate each kernel uniformly by polynomials. Hence each operator T_m can be approximated in the norm topology by operators belonging to $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$. Therefore T is approximable by operators belonging to $\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$ in the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. But the topology in \mathcal{E} is the norm topology in the conjugate space, whence $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Applying corollary 1 we find that $I+T$ is a Φ_O -operator. In the particular case of $ku = 1/|u|^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, we obtain a well known theorem for weakly singular equations, without using the classical method of iteration.

Obviously the condition that $\omega(t)$ is a linear Hausdorff measure can be replaced by the condition that t is a complex-valued measure continuous with respect to t ; in particular it is true when we consider a complex plane and integration is considered as integration on a complex are.

THEOREM 2. Assume that X is a linear space and \mathcal{E} is a conjugate space, X_0 and \mathcal{E}_0 are subspaces of X and \mathcal{E} respectively. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0)$. Let there be such a simple regularizer R_A that the operators $T = I - R_A A$ and $T_1 = I - R_A A$ can be extended to operators \bar{T}, \bar{T}_1 belonging to $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{E})$ and $I + \bar{T}, I + \bar{T}_1$ are $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operators. Then A is a $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -operator.

Proof. Operators $I+T, I+T_1$ can be considered on the whole space X . According to the assumption these operators are $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operators. By theorem 4.1 of [10] these operators considered on X_0 are $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -operators. i. e. operators AR_A and $R_A A$ are $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -operators. Therefore proposition 5.8 of [10] implies that A is a $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -operator.

We consider the following application of theorem 2.

Example 2. Let L be a regular closed Jordan curve. Let $X_0 = H^\mu$ be a space of all functions $x(t)$ defined on L and satisfying a Hölder inequality with an exponent μ , i. e. $|x(t) - x(t')| \leq c|t - t'|^\mu$, $0 < \mu < 1$. Let $\mathcal{E}_0 = H^{\mu/2}$ be a space of functionals ξ of the type

$$\xi x = \int_L \xi(t)x(t)dt,$$

where $\xi(t) \in H^{\mu/2}$.

Let us consider an operator

$$Ax = A_0(t)x(t) + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_L \frac{K(s, t)}{s-t} x(s)ds,$$

where the integral is considered as an integral in the sense of the Cauchy principal value, $A_0(t) \in H^{\mu/2}$, and $K(s, t)$ satisfies a Hölder inequality with an exponent μ , i. e.

$$|K(s', t') - K(s, t)| \leq c[|t - t'|^\mu + |s - s'|^\mu].$$

Let $A^2(t) - K^2(t, t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in L$. Then the operator A is a $\Phi_{H^{\mu/2}}$ operator. Indeed, let

$$R_A x = [A_0^2(t) - K^2(t, t)]^{-1} A_0(t)x(t) - \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_L \frac{K(s, t)}{s-t} x(s)ds.$$

Then by classical considerations (see also [8]) we obtain

$$R_A A = I + T, \quad A R_A = I + T_1,$$

where T, T_1 are weakly singular operators transforming C into $H^{\mu/2}$. By example 1 we infer that $I+T, I+T_1$ are $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ -operators. Therefore theorem 2 shows that A is a $\Phi_{H^{\mu/2}}$ -operator.

References

- [1] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Lwów 1932.
- [2] I. Fredholm, *Sur une classe de transformations rationnelles*, CR 134 (1902), p. 219-222.
- [3] — *Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles*, ibidem 134 (1902), p. 1561-1564.
- [4] — *Sur une nouvelle méthode pour résolution du problème de Dirichlet*, Kong. Vetenskaps-Akademiens Foerh. 1900, p. 39-46.
- [5] — *Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles*, Acta Math. 27 (1903), p. 365-390.
- [6] И. Ц. Гохберг и М. Г. Крейн, *Основные положения о дефектных числах и индексах линейных операторов*, Успехи мат. наук 12(1957), p. 261-322.
- [7] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators*, J. Anal. Math. 6 (1958), p. 261-322.
- [8] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *Sur les équations involutives et leurs applications*, Studia Math. 20 (1961), p. 95-117.
- [9] — *Equations avec opérations algébriques*, ibidem 22 (1963), p. 337-367.
- [10] — and S. Rolewicz, *On operators with finite d-characteristic*, ibidem 24 (1964), p. 257-270.
- [11] — and S. Rolewicz, *On d- and d_S-characteristic of linear operators*, Ann. Pol. Math. (in print).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 5. 1964