

## On linear processes of approximation (III)

by

G. FREUD (Budapest) and S. KNAPOWSKI (Poznań)

1. The results of this paper differ from those of papers [4] and [5] in two respects. In both of our previous papers the test-conditions for approximation referred to the trigonometric system. In the present paper our conditions refer to more general systems of functions. The prerequisites for such basic systems are two simple properties, one of which we call Fejérian, the other Jacksonian resp. quasi-Jacksonian property. Beside the trigonometric system there are several other orthogonal systems which enjoy both of these properties, e. g. the eigenfunctions of a non-singular Sturm-Liouville problem, polynomials orthogonal over a finite interval with a weight function bounded from below, Hermite polynomials and the Franklin system<sup>(1)</sup>. As to the other respect, we specify presently our approximation-operators to coefficient-transformations of the corresponding expansions. In order to include all of our applications, we shall formulate our theorem in a somewhat abstract form. The necessary preparations for it will be expounded in Chapter 2. The formulation itself along with the proof will constitute Chapter 3. Chapter 4 is concerned with applications to Jacksonian systems, Chapter 5 deals with Hermite polynomials.

2. Let  $X$  be a real linear vector space which forms a normal linear vector space with norm  $\| \cdot \|_1$  and also with norm  $\| \cdot \|_2$ .  $\| \cdot \|_1$  will refer to approximation properties,  $\| \cdot \|_2$  to the modulus of continuity. The corresponding spaces will be called  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively. Beside  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  we take into consideration another normed linear space  $Y$  and a bounded linear operator  $B$  which maps  $X_1$  to  $Y$ . The norm in  $Y$  will be denoted by  $\| \cdot \|_Y$ . In  $X_2$  there is defined an abelian semigroup  $U_h$ ,  $h \geq 0$ , of linear transformations of  $X_2$  (it is enough to have  $U_h$  defined for all  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and then set  $U_h = U_1$  for  $h \geq 1$ ). Thus

$$U_{h_1} U_{h_2} = U_{h_1+h_2}, \quad U_0 \equiv 1.$$

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Apart from the Hermite polynomial system which is quasi-Jacksonian all the other systems listed above are Jacksonian.

Additionally, we assume for every  $h$  <sup>(2)</sup>

$$(2.1) \quad \|U_h\| \leq 1.$$

Let for  $f \in X$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$

$$\omega(\delta; f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|U_h(f) - f\|_2.$$

We assert

$$(2.2) \quad \omega(\vartheta\delta; f) < 2\vartheta\omega(\delta; f) \quad \text{for} \quad \vartheta > 1, \delta > 0.$$

Indeed, we first have

$$\omega(2\delta; f) \leq 2\omega(\delta; f)$$

since, for  $0 \leq h \leq \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_{2h}(f) - f\|_2 &\leq \|U_{2h}(f) - U_h(f)\|_2 + \|U_h(f) - f\|_2 \\ &= \|U_h(U_h(f) - f)\|_2 + \|U_h(f) - f\|_2 \\ &= (\|U_h\|_2 + 1)\|U_h(f) - f\|_2 \leq 2\omega(\delta; f). \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $2^\mu < \vartheta \leq 2^{\mu+1}$ . Then by induction

$$(2^{\mu+1}\delta; f) < 2^{\mu+1}\omega(\delta; f)$$

and

$$\omega(\vartheta\delta; f) \leq \omega(2^{\mu+1}\delta; f) \leq 2^{\mu+1}\omega(\delta; f) < 2\vartheta\omega(\delta; f).$$

Further, noting that  $\omega(\delta; f)$  is always bounded, we put

$$\Omega(\delta; f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(x; f)}{x^2} dx.$$

$\Omega(\delta; f)$  is an increasing function (which can be easily proved by differentiating); we also have

$$\Omega(2\delta; f) = 2\delta \int_{2\delta}^\infty \frac{\omega(x; f)}{x^2} dx \leq 2\delta \int_\delta^\infty \frac{\omega(x; f)}{x^2} dx = 2\Omega(\delta; f)$$

so that, following our previous argument,

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega(\vartheta\delta; f) < 2\vartheta\Omega(\delta; f) \quad \text{for} \quad \vartheta > 1, \delta > 0.$$

Suppose that we are given in  $X_1$  a sequence of linearly independent elements  $\{\varphi_n\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and a sequence of bounded linear functionals  $a_k(f)$  with the property <sup>(3)</sup>

$$a_k(\varphi_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq n, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = n. \end{cases}$$

<sup>(2)</sup> Here  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the operator-norm in  $X_2$ .

<sup>(3)</sup> For the existence of such functionals—under rather general conditions—see [7], p. 151, Theorem 9, and p. 172, Remark.

A linear combination

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \varphi_k$$

with real  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  will be called a  $\varphi$ -polynomial of  $n$ -th degree; the set of  $\varphi$ -polynomials of degree  $n$  will be denoted by  $\Phi_n$ . We define, further,  $E_n(f)$  as the greatest lower bound of  $\|f - p\|_1$  as  $p$  runs over  $\Phi_n$ . We call  $\{\varphi_n\}$  a *Jacksonian system* if, for every  $f \in X$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$E_{n-1}(f) \leq c_1 \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right) + \varrho \frac{\|f\|_1}{n} \right\},$$

where  $\varrho \geq 0$ ; actually only  $\varrho = 0$  or  $\varrho = 1$  are of interest.

Similarly,  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is said to be a  $\gamma$ -quasi-Jacksonian system if with  $0 < \gamma < 1$

$$E_{n-1}(f) \leq c_2 \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \frac{\|f\|_1}{n^\gamma} \right\}.$$

The expansion of an element  $f \in X$  in the system  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad f \sim \sum_k a_k(f) \varphi_k.$$

Having that, we define the *partial sum-operator*

$$s_n(f) = \sum_{k \leq n} a_k(f) \varphi_k.$$

As a consequence of boundedness of  $a_k(f)$ , this is a continuous linear transformation of  $X_1$ . We define similarly

$$(2.5) \quad B_n(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \leq n} (1 - b_{k,n}) a_k(f) \varphi_k$$

and in particular the operators of  $(C, 1)$ -summation

$$F_n(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) a_k(f) \varphi_k.$$

The expansion (2.4) will be called of *B-Fejérian type* if

$$(2.6) \quad \|B(F_n(f))\|_1 \leq c_3 \|f\|_1, \quad f \in X, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In the simple but rather important case of  $Y = X_1$ ,  $B \equiv I$ ,  $I$ -Fejérian type will be briefly called *Fejérian*.

Introducing the de la Vallée-Poussin means

$$v_n(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{nE_n(f) - n_1E_{n_1}(f)}{n - n_1},$$

where  $n_1 = [n/2]$ , we have the following propositions which are well known in more special cases:

$$(2.7) \quad v_n(f) = f \quad \text{whenever} \quad f \in \Phi_{n_1},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|B(v_n(f))\|_X \leq c_4 \|f\|, \quad f \in X, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|B(f - v_n(f))\|_X \leq c_5 E_{n_1}(f), \quad f \in X, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Relation (2.7) is an easy consequence of the relation

$$v_n(f) = \frac{\sum_{k=n_1}^{n-1} s_k(f)}{n - n_1}$$

and of the fact that  $s_k(f) = f$ , whenever  $f \in \Phi_{n_1}$ ,  $k \geq n_1$ .

Relation (2.8) follows directly from (2.6).

As to (2.9), fixing an  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have with some  $p \in \Phi_{n_1}$

$$\|f - p\|_1 \leq E_{n_1}(f) + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(v_n(f) - f)\|_X &= \|B(v_n(f - p) + p - f)\|_X \\ &\leq c_4 \|f - p\|_1 + \|B\| \|f - p\|_1 \leq c_5 \{E_{n_1}(f) + \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

and we let  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0.

In the sequel we shall use the following

LEMMA. Let (2.4) be of Fejérian type. If  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is a Jacksonian system, then

$$\|B(F_n(f) - f)\|_X \leq c_6 \left( \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{\log n}{n} \right), \quad f \in X, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

if  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is a  $\gamma$ -quasi-Jacksonian system, then

$$\|B(F_n(f) - f)\|_X \leq c_7 \left( \omega\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \right), \quad f \in X, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Let  $n_0 = n$ ,  $n_{k+1} = [n_k/2]$ . Then

$$F_n(f) - f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{n_j - n_{j+1}}{n} (v_{n_j}(f) - f).$$

By (2.9) we get in case of a Jacksonian system

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(F_n(f) - f)\|_X &\leq \frac{c_5}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (n_j - n_{j+1}) E_{n_{j+1}}(f) \\ &\leq \frac{c_8}{n} \left\{ E_0(f) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (E_{n_{j+1}}(f) + E_{n_{j+1}-1}(f) + \dots + E_{n_{j+2}+1}(f)) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{c_8}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n E_k(f) \leq \frac{c'_8}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}; f\right) + \varrho \frac{\|f\|_1}{k} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{10}}{n} \left\{ \int_0^n \omega\left(\frac{1}{x}; f\right) dx + \varrho \|f\|_1 \log n \right\} \\ &= \frac{c_{10}}{n} \left\{ \int_{n^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(y; f)}{y^2} dy + \varrho \|f\|_1 \log n \right\} \\ &= c_{10} \left\{ \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{\log n}{n} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for a  $\gamma$ -quasi-Jacksonian system, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(F_n(f) - f)\|_X &\leq \frac{c_5}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (n_j - n_{j+1}) E_{n_{j+1}}(f) \\ &\leq c_{11} \sum_{n_{j+1} \geq 1} 2^{-j} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n_{j+1}^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{1}{n_{j+1}^\gamma} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality (4), valid for  $n_j \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{n}{2^{j+1}} \leq n_j \leq \frac{n}{2^j}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(F_n(f) - f)\|_X &\leq c_{12} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{2^{(j+2)\gamma}}{n^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{2^{j\gamma}}{n^\gamma} \right\} \\ &\leq c_{13} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 n^{-\gamma} \right\} \\ &= c_{14} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 n^{-\gamma} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) (2.10) follows from the fact that  $2^{\mu-1} < n < 2^\mu$  implies  $[2^{\mu-1}/2^j] < n_j$ .

**3. THEOREM.** Let (2.4) be a Fejérian expansion; we consider a sequence of coefficient-transformations (2.5) with the properties

$$(3.1) \quad \|B(B_n(f))\|_r \leq c_{15} \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for } f \in X, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(3.2) \quad b_{0,n} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(3.3) \quad b_{1,n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} |\Delta^2 b_{k,n}| = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

These conditions imply that

a. if  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is a Jacksonian system, then for  $f \in X$

$$\|B(B_n(f) - f)\|_r \leq c_{16} \left\{ \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{\log n}{n} \right\};$$

b. if  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is a  $\gamma$ -quasi-Jacksonian, then for  $f \in X$

$$\|B(B_n(f) - f)\|_r \leq c_{17} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}; f\right) + \varrho \|f\|_1 n^{-\gamma} \right\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\psi(n)$  stand either for  $\Omega(1/n; f) + \varrho \|f\|_1 \frac{\log n}{n}$  or for  $\omega(1/n^\gamma; f) + \varrho \|f\|_1 n^{-\gamma}$  in case a or b respectively. We write, as in our paper [4],

$$(3.5) \quad B(B_n(f) - f) = B(F_n(f) - f) + B(B_n(f - F_n)) + B(B_n(F_n) - F_n(f)).$$

As to the first two terms, their  $Y$ -norms are  $O(\psi(n))$  by our Lemma, (3.1) and the boundedness of  $B$ . For the last term we have

$$B_n(F_n) - F_n(f) = - \sum_{k \leq n} b_{k,n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) a_k(f) \varphi_k.$$

As in our paper [4], formula (4.1), we put  $b_{n+1,n} = b_{n+2,n} = 0$ ,  $\Delta b_{k,n} = b_{k,n} - b_{k+1,n}$ ,  $\Delta^2 b_{k,n} = 2b_{k+1,n} - b_{k+2,n}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(F_n) - F_n(f) &= - \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) \Delta^2 b_{k,n} (k+1) F_{k+1}(f) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta b_{k+1,n} (k+1) F_{k+1}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Noting (see [4], formula (4.2)) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) \Delta^2 b_{k,n} (k+1) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta b_{k+1,n} (k+1) = b_{0,n}$$

and using (3.2), we come to

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(F_n) - F_n(f) &= - \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) \Delta^2 b_{k,n} (k+1) \{F_{k+1}(f) - f\} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta b_{k,n} (k+1) \{F_{k+1}(f) - f\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma, multiplying by  $B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(B_n(F_n) - F_n)\|_r &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) |\Delta^2 b_{k,n}| (k+1) \psi(k+1) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta b_{k,n}| (k+1) \psi(k+1). \end{aligned}$$

We have by (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\psi(k+1) < c_{18} \frac{n}{k+1} \psi(n),$$

so that

$$(3.6) \quad \|B(B_n(F_n) - F_n)\|_r \leq c_{18} n \psi(n) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) |\Delta^2 b_{k,n}| + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta b_{k,n}| \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta b_{k,n} &= b_{0,n} - b_{1,n} - \{\Delta^2 b_{0,n} + \Delta^2 b_{1,n} + \dots + \Delta^2 b_{k-1,n}\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ b_{k,n} &= b_{0,n} - \{\Delta b_{0,n} + \Delta b_{1,n} + \dots + \Delta b_{k-1,n}\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(3.7) \quad |\Delta b_{k,n}| \leq c_{19} \frac{1}{n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad |b_{k,n}| \leq c_{19} \frac{k}{n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

These inequalities and (3.4) yield our statement.

**4.** We turn now to applications. In all of them elements of  $X$  are real or complex-valued functions of a real variable  $t \in \Theta$ . The operator  $U_h$  will be in most cases the operator of translation

$$(4.1) \quad T_h\{f(t)\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(t+h).$$

For  $\Theta = (-\infty, +\infty)$  or in case of periodic functions, this definition makes sense. In the remaining cases which we treat,  $\Theta = [a, b]$  is a finite interval and elements of  $X$  are continuous functions. Here we extend the function  $f(t)$  by  $f(b)$  for  $t \geq b$  and by  $f(a)$  for  $t \leq a$ , so that our definition

(4.1) applies again. A more general type of a semi-group satisfying (2.1) is defined in the following way: we have  $\Theta = [a, b]$  and a strictly monotoneous function  $g(x)$  defined on  $[c, d]$  and transforming  $[c, d]$  into  $[a, b]$ . Further, we have an operator  $A(f)$  defined on  $X$  such that

$$Af(x) = f(g(y)), \quad A^{-1}\varphi(y) = \varphi(g^{-1}(x)).$$

Then we put

$$U_h(f) = T_h^{(q)}\{f(x)\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A^{-1}T_h\{Af(x)\},$$

where  $T_h$  is the operator of translation defined above. (2.1) is satisfied if we take as  $X_2$ -norm the usual  $C$ -norm. An important particular case of this kind is  $[a, b] = [-1, +1]$ ,  $[c, d] = [0, \pi]$ ,  $g(x) = \cos x$ ; this operator  $T_h^{(q)}$  is denoted by  $T_h^*$ .  $\{\varphi_n\}$  will be always an orthonormal system of functions and  $a_k(f)$  will stand for the corresponding Fourier coefficients of  $f$ .

a) *The trigonometric system.* Let  $X = X_1 = X_2 = L^p[-\pi, \pi]$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) or  $C_{2\pi}$  and  $\varphi_n = e^{int}$ . As well known, this system is Fejérian, so that we can put  $Y = X$ ,  $B \equiv I$ . We further set  $U_h = T_h$ . With this notation  $\omega(\delta)$  is the ordinary modulus of continuity and, according to Jackson's theorem, the system is Jacksonian with  $\varrho = 0$ . Thus in this case the conditions of our theorem turn out to be identical with (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) of Theorem 1 in [4], the conclusion being possibly only slightly less precise. E. g. for  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we obtain exactly the same statement

$$B_n(f) = \begin{cases} f + O(n^{-\alpha}) & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ f + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

b) *Polynomials orthogonal over a finite interval  $[a, b]$ .* Here  $X = X_1 = X_2 = C[a, b]$  and  $\varphi_n = p_n(t)$ , where  $p_n(t)$  are polynomials orthonormal with respect to a distribution  $da(t)$  whose support is contained in  $[a, b]$ .  $a(t)$  is supposed to be absolutely continuous in  $[a, b]$  and

$$0 < m \leq \text{vrai min}_{a \leq t \leq b} a'(t) \leq \text{vrai max}_{a \leq t \leq b} a'(t) \leq M < \infty.$$

Let  $B = B_\delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , be the operator which restricts a given function defined on  $[a, b]$  to the same function defined on  $[a + \delta, b - \delta]$ .  $Y = Y_\delta$  is the space  $C[a + \delta, b - \delta]$ . As a consequence of investigations of the first of us (see [3], § 1) we can state that  $\{p_n(t)\}$  form a  $B_\delta$ -Fejérian system for each  $\delta > 0$ . We define  $U_h = T_h^*$ ; with this choice of  $U_h$ ,  $\{\varphi_n(t)\}$  is, as is well known, a Jacksonian system with  $\varrho = 0$  <sup>(5)</sup>. Hence we have

<sup>(5)</sup> We might put as well  $U_h = T_h$ . In this case, however, our final statement would be slightly less precise.

COROLLARY 1. Under the conditions imposed on  $a(t)$  and (3.1)-(3.4) we have

$$\max_{a+\delta \leq t \leq b-\delta} |B_n(f) - f(t)| < c_{16} \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right),$$

where  $B_n(f; t) = B_n(f)$  is defined by (2.5).

c) *Sturm-Liouville expansions.* Let  $X = X_1 = X_2 = Y = C[0, \pi]$ ,  $B \equiv I$ ,  $U_h = T_h$ . Let  $\{u_n(t)\}$  be the system of eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) + (\lambda - q(t))u(t) &= 0, & q \in C[0, \pi], \\ u'(0) - hu(0) &= 0, & u'(\pi) + Hu(\pi) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

ordered according to the increasing eigenvalues. We set  $\varphi_n = u_n(t)$ . As a consequence of the equiconvergence theorem of A. Haar, this system enjoys the Fejérian property. On the other hand, it is also Jacksonian with  $\varrho = 1$  (see [6]), so that our theorem can be applied. What is more, in this case we can formulate also a sufficient condition for (3.1) in terms of  $b_{k,n}$ . We assume (3.2)-(3.4) and compare the

$$B_n(f; t) = \sum_{k \leq n} (1 - b_{k,n}) a_k(f) u_k(t)$$

with the corresponding trigonometric-Fourier expression

$$B_n^*(f; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - b_{k,n}) a_k^*(f) \cos kt.$$

By Haar's theorem and (3.7) we get, applying partial summation,

$$\|B_n^*(f; t) - B_n(f; t)\|_1 = O(\|f\|_1), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which means that condition (3.1) is in our case equivalent with the corresponding statement for the trigonometric system. In the latter case, however, we have a simple criterion of S. M. Nikolski stating that

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta^2 b_{k,n} \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta^2 b_{k,n} \leq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1 - b_k^{(n)}}{n - k + 1} = O(1)$$

imply (3.1) for  $B_n^*$  and consequently also for  $B_n$ . Owing to (4.2), condition (3.4) can be expressed in a much relaxed form:

$$\Delta b_{n-1,n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

All in all, we have

COROLLARY 2. Suppose (4.2), (4.3) and

$$b_{0,n} = 0, \quad b_{1,n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \Delta b_{n-1,n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Then

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq \pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - b_{k,n}) a_k(f) u_k(t) - f(t) \right| \leq c_{17} \left( \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right) + \max_{0 \leq t \leq \pi} |f(t)| \frac{\log n}{n} \right).$$

d) *Franklin system.* Let  $X = X_1 = X_2 = Y = C[0, 1]$ ,  $B = I$ ,  $U_h = T_h$  and  $\varphi_n = \chi_n(t)$ , where  $\{\chi_n(t)\}$  is the orthonormal Franklin system (see e. g. [1]). Using the results of [1], this system is both Jacksonian and Fejérian, so that our theorem applies. Nevertheless, in this case one can deduce in a trivial way a more precise result.

5. Let  $X$  be the linear space of those functions  $f(x)$  defined on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , which are bounded and uniformly continuous on the whole real axis. Let

$$\|f\|_1 = \sup_{-\infty < x < +\infty} |e^{-x^2/2} f(x)|,$$

$$\|f\|_2 = \sup_{-\infty < x < +\infty} |f(x)|$$

and  $U_h = T_h$ .

Let further  $\{\varphi_n(t)\}$  be the system of orthonormal Hermite-polynomials  $\{2^{-n/2}(n!)^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/4} H_n(x)\}$ . Refining a theorem of the first of us (see [3]), we will prove that  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is Fejérian. We note also that it is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-Jacksonian; this follows from a more general theorem of M. M. Dzrbašian (see [2] p. 430-431, Theorem 7b).

We refer to the following inequality, proved in [3]:

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |s_\nu(f; x)| \leq \{K_n(x) \int_{x-\delta_n}^{x+\delta_n} f^2(\xi) e^{-\xi^2} d\xi\}^{1/2} + \\ + \frac{2}{n} \max_{\nu \leq n} \frac{\gamma_{\nu-1}}{\gamma_\nu} \left\{ K_{n+1}(x) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta_n} \frac{f^2(\xi)}{(\xi-x)^2} e^{-\xi^2} d\xi + \int_{x+\delta_n}^{\infty} \frac{f^2(\xi)}{(\xi-x)^2} e^{-\xi^2} d\xi \right) \right\},$$

where

$$K_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k^2(x)$$

and

$$\gamma_\nu = 2^{\nu/2} (\nu!)^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/4}$$

is the coefficient at  $x_\nu$  in  $\varphi_\nu(x)$ , so that

$$(5.2) \quad \max_{\nu \leq n} \frac{\gamma_{\nu-1}}{\gamma_\nu} = O(n^{1/2}).$$

As in [3], we insert  $t = 1 - n^{-1}$  into the formula

$$\pi^{1/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varphi_\nu^2(x) t^\nu = (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{2tx^2}{1+t}\right)$$

and obtain

$$(5.3) \quad K_n(x) \leq (1-n^{-1}) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \varphi_\nu^2(x) (1-n^{-1})^\nu = e^{x^2} O(n^{1/2}).$$

Putting in (5.1)  $\delta_n = n^{-1/2}$  and using (5.2) and (5.3), we have finally

$$e^{-x^2/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |s_\nu(f; x)| = O\left(\sup_{\xi} |e^{-\xi^2/2} f(\xi)|\right),$$

whence

$$\|F_n(f)\|_1 = O(\|f\|_1), \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

## References

- [1] Z. Ciesielski, *Properties of the orthonormal Franklin system*, Studia Math. 23 (1963), p. 141-157.
- [2] М. М. Дарбабян, *Некоторые вопросы теории взвешенно-полиномиальных приближений в комплексной области*, Мат. сборник 36 (78) (1955), p. 353-440.
- [3] G. Freud, *Über die  $(C, 1)$ -Summen der Entwicklungen nach orthogonalen Polynomen*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 14 (1963), p. 197-208.
- [4] — and S. Knapowski, *On linear processes of approximation I*, Studia Math. 23 (1963), p. 105-112.
- [5] — and S. Knapowski, *On linear processes of approximation II*, ibidem, to be published.
- [6] Г. И. Натансон, *К теории приближения функций линейными комбинациями собственных функций задачи Штурма-Лиувилля*, Доклады АН СССР 114 (1957), p. 263-266.
- [7] A. C. Zaanen, *Linear analysis*, Amsterdam 1960.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23. 2. 1964