

Proof. Let  $f: P \rightarrow P$  be a compact mapping. Let C be a compact subset of P containing f(P). Then there is a finite subpolyhedron P' of P containing C. As before let  $f_{P'}: P' \rightarrow P'$  denote the restriction of f. It is well known that  $f_{P'}$  is a Lefschetz map. Thus by Corollary (3.3) (b), f is also a Lefschetz map.

(5.2) COROLLARY. Every (metric) absolute neighborhood retract X is a  $\Lambda$ -space.

Proof. For each open cover a of X there is a polyhedron  $P_a$  (with the Whitehead topology) and mappings  $g_a\colon X\to P_a$  and  $h_a\colon P_a\to X$  such that  $h_a\circ g_a$  is a-homotopic to  $1_X$  (see [4], p. 138). In particular,  $h_a\circ g_a\simeq 1_X$  and  $h_a\circ g_a$  and  $1_X$  are a-near. Then by Theorem (4.2), X is a A-space.

6. Note that the theorems of  $\S 3$  and  $\S 4$  also hold for Lefschetz spaces in the sense that " $\Lambda$ -space" can be replaced by "Lefschetz space" throughout. When this is done the compactness conditions on the mappings can be dropped.

### References

- N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Chapitre 2 (Algèbre Linéaire), Herman, Paris, 1962.
  F. E. Browder, Fixed point theorems on infinite dimensional manifolds, Trans.
  Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), pp. 179-194.
- [3] A. Granas, Generalizing the Hopf-Lefschetz fixed point theorem for non-compact ANR-s, Proc. Symp. on Infinite Dimensional Topology, Baton Rouge 1967.
  - [4] S. T. Hu, Theory of Retracts, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, 1965.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 9. 1967

# On choosing subsets of n-element sets

by

## M. M. Zuckerman\* (New York)

- 1. Introduction. Let n be a positive integer. Mostowski ([6]) and others have studied the axioms of choice for finite sets, [n], in which an element is chosen from each set of an arbitrary set of n-element sets. We wish to introduce some new axioms which are concerned with the choice of a subset or of a partition, rather than a single element, from each element of an arbitrary set of n-element sets. We shall discuss the interdependence of these axioms and their relationship to the axioms [n].
- **2. Notation.** We shall operate within a set theory of the Gödel-Bernays type (see the proof of theorem 7); our logical framework will be the first-order predicate calculus with identity. For statements  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ , we write  $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow a_n$  in lieu of  $(a_1 \rightarrow a_2) \& (a_2 \rightarrow a_3) \& ...$  ... &  $(a_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n)$ ; a similar remark applies to  $a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2 \leftrightarrow ... \leftrightarrow a_n$ .

By the (nonnegative) integers we mean the von Neumann integers—0 (the empty set),  $1 = \{0\}$ ,  $2 = 1 \cup \{1\}$ ,  $3 = 2 \cup \{2\}$ , etc. A set is finite iff every nonempty set of subsets of X has a maximal element with respect to set inclusion. If there exists a function which maps the set X one-one onto the positive n, then X is called an n-element set and we say that the number of elements of X is n; in this case we let n(X) denote the unique integer n for which such a mapping exists.

For each integer n, let  $I_n$  be the set of integers  $\geq n$ , let  $J_n$  be the relative complement of  $I_{n+1}$  in  $I_1$ ,  $I_1 \setminus I_{n+1}$ , and let  $K_n = J_n \setminus \{1\}$ . Let II represent the set of prime numbers and let  $II_n = II \cap I_n$ .

For any set X let  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$  designate the power set of X, let  $\mathfrak{I}^*(X) = \mathfrak{I}(X) \setminus 1$ , let  $\mathfrak{I}^{\#}(X)$  be the set of finite subsets of X, and let  $\mathfrak{I}^{\#*}(X) = \mathfrak{I}^{\#}(X) \setminus 1$ .

<sup>\*</sup> This research formed part of the author's Ph. D. thesis (Yeshiva University, 1967) under the supervision of Professor Martin Davis of New York University. The work was supported by a National Science Foundation (U.S.A.) Science Faculty Fellowship. We note that Professor Conway's announcement that [3] & [5] & [13] -> [15] as well as his other independence results, which were mentioned in the thesis, have recently been retracted.

Furthermore, let  $X_{(n)}$  be the set of *n*-element subsets of elements of X,  $n \in I_1$ .

Throughout this paper, we shall let h(n) be the greatest integer less than or equal to n/2, for  $n \in I_1$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $n \in I_2$  and let N be a nonempty subset of  $J_{n-1}$ . Let (n; SN) denote the statement: "For every set X of n-element sets there is a function f on X such that for all  $A \in X$ , f(A) is a subset of A such that  $n(f(A)) \in N$ ." We shall refer to these functions f as multiple choice functions on X.

We write S(n) in place of  $S(n; J_{n-1})$ ; thus S(n) simply says that f(A) is a nonempty proper subset of A, for each  $A \in X$ . If  $k \in J_{n-1}$ , then if  $N_1 = \{k\}$  and if  $N_2$  is the set of integers between k and n-k (inclusive), write S(n; k) for  $S(n; N_1)$  and  $S^*(n; k)$  for  $S(n; N_2)$ . Such multiple choice functions f will be specified as k-ary ( $k^*$ -ary) choice functions. If for  $r \in I_2$ ,  $Z = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r\}$ , then S(Z; 1) will denote  $S(n_1; 1) \& S(n_2; 1) \& \ldots$   $\& S(n_r; 1)$ . Let H(n) = S(n; h(n)).

Clearly, for all  $n \in I_2$ , S(n; 1) iff [n] and  $S^*(n; 1)$  iff S(n). Moreover, for any nonempty subset N of  $J_{n-1}$ , S(n; N) iff S(n; M), where  $n' \in M$  iff  $n' \in N$  or  $n-n' \in N$ ; in particular, for all  $k \in J_{n-1}$ , S(n; k) iff S(n; n-k),  $S^*(n; k)$  iff  $S^*(n; n-k)$ , and for n odd, H(n) iff S(n; h(n)+1). We shall find it expedient to assume, henceforth, that the number of elements of the "chosen" subset is in  $J_{h(n)}$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $n \in I_2$  and let A be an n-element set. By a decomposition D of A is meant a set D satisfying

- (i) | D = A.
- (ii)  $c \in D \rightarrow c \neq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $(c_1, c_2 \in D \& c_1 \neq c_2) \rightarrow c_1 \cap c_2 = 0$ .

The elements of a decomposition D of A will be called the *cells* of D; in particular, a k-element cell will be referred to as a k-cell. If  $k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_r$ , and if  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_r$  are any positive integers, then a decomposition D of A which consists of  $l_1$   $k_1$ -cells,  $l_2$   $k_2$ -cells, ..., and  $l_r$   $k_r$ -cells will be called an  $(l_1, k_1; l_2, k_2; ...; l_r, k_r)$ -type decomposition.

Let  $n \in I_4$ . Let  $D_0(n)$  be the statement: "For every set X of n-element sets there is a function f on X such that for all  $A \in X$ , f(A) is a decomposition of A satisfying ((i), (ii), (iii), above, as well as)

- (iv)  $n(f(A)) \geqslant 2$ ,
- $(\nabla) \ c \in f(A) \rightarrow n(c) \geqslant 2$ .

Let  $n \in L_3$ . Let  $D_1(n)$  be the statement: "For every set X of n-element sets there is a function f on X such that for all  $A \in X$ , f(A) is a decomposition of A satisfying ((i), (ii), (iii)) (iv) as well as

 $(\nabla')$  there is some  $c \in f(A)$  such that  $n(c) \ge 2$ ."

Let  $n \in L_2$ . Let  $D_2(n)$  be the statement: "For every set X of n-element sets there is a function f on X such that for all  $A \in X$ , f(A) is a decomposition of A satisfying ((i), (ii), (iii)) (iv), (v'), and  $\sim$ (v)."

Functions f effecting these decompositions on the elements of X will be called  $D_i(n)$ -functions on X,  $i \in 3$  and  $n \in I_3$ .

If in each of the statements of definitions 1 and 2 the sets  $A \in X$  are assumed to be pairwise-disjoint, the modified statement is equivalent to the original one. The proofs of these equivalences are similar to the proof of that of the so-called "principle of choice" with the axiom of choice ([7], pp. 93-95). When it suits our convenience to do so, we shall assume pairwise-disjointness.

### 3. Positive results.

THEOREM 1.  $(\nabla n \in I_3)(S(n) \longleftrightarrow D_2(n))$ .

Proof. Assume  $n \geqslant 3$  and let X be a nonempty set of n-element sets.

 $S(n) \rightarrow D_{\mathbf{z}}(n)$ : Let f be a multiple choice function on X. Then for  $A \in X$ , if n(f(A)) = 1, let  $g(A) = \{f(A), A \setminus f(A)\}$ ; if n(f(A)) > 1, let  $g(A) = \{\{a\}: a \in f(A)\} \cup \{A \setminus f(A)\}$ .

 $D_2(n) \to S(n)$ : Let G be a  $D_2(n)$ -function on X. Then for  $A \in X$ , G(A) contains at least one 1-cell and at least one k-cell for some  $k \ge 2$ . Let A' be the union of all the 1-cells of G(A). Define F(A) to be A' if  $n(A') \le h(n)$ ; otherwise, let  $F(A) = A \setminus A'$ .

Theorem 2. (a).  $(\forall n \in I_4)(\forall k \in K_{h(n)})(S^*(n; k) \rightarrow D_0(n) \rightarrow D_1(n))$ .

- (b)  $(\nabla n \in I_3)(\nabla k \in J_{h(n)})\Big(S\Big({n \choose k}; 1\Big) \to S(n; k) \to S^*(n; k) \to S(n) \to D_1(n)\Big).$  $(\nabla n \in I_4)(\nabla k \in K_{h(n)})(S^*(n; k) \to S^*(n; k-1)).$
- (c)  $(\nabla p \in \Pi_5)(D_0(p) \rightarrow S(p))$ .
- (d)  $(\nabla p \in \Pi_3)(D_1(p) \leftrightarrow S(p))$ .
- (e)  $(\nabla n \in I_2)(\nabla k \in I_1)(S(kn; Z) \rightarrow S(n))$  if Z is any subset of  $J_{h(kn)}$  which contains no multiple of n.
- (f) Suppose that k and l are nonnegative integers which are not both 0. Then

$$(\nabla m \in I_1)(\nabla n \in I_m)((S(km+ln; 1) \& S(m+n; m)) \rightarrow S(m+n; 1)).$$

- (g)  $(\nabla p \in \Pi) (\nabla k \in h(p)) (\nabla n \in I_2) (S(p; k) \rightarrow S(np)).$
- (h)  $(\nabla p \in \Pi)(\nabla n \in I_2)(S(np-1; 1) \rightarrow D_0(np)).$
- (i)  $(\nabla n \geqslant 2)(S(2^n-2;1)\rightarrow S(n)).$



Proof. (a) and (b). Let X be a nonempty set of n-element sets.  $S^*(n;k) \to D_0(n)$  for  $k \in K_{h(n)}$ : Let f by a k-ary choice function on X. The function F defined on X by  $F(A) = \{f(A), A \setminus f(A)\}$  is a  $D_0(n)$ -function on X.

 $S(n) \rightarrow D_1(n)$ : If g is a multiple choice function on X, then  $G(A) = \{g(A), A \setminus g(A)\}$  defines a  $D_1(n)$ -function on X.

The other implications of (a) and (b) follow directly from definitions 1 and 2.

- (c) Let X be a nonempty set of p-element sets and let f be a  $D_0(p)$ -function on X. For each  $A \in X$ , not all of the cells of f(A) have the same number of elements; let g(A) be the union of all the cells with the minimal number of elements. Let F(A) be g(A) if  $n(g(A)) \in J_{h(p)}$  and let  $F(A) = A \setminus g(A)$ , otherwise.
  - (d)  $S(p) \rightarrow D_1(p)$ , by (b).

 $D_1(p) \rightarrow S(p)$ : Let X be a nonempty set of p-element sets and let f be a  $D_1(p)$ -function on X. If for  $A \in X$ , f(A) satisfies (v) of definition 2, then  $p \geq 5$ , and we can define F(A) as in (c). If f(A) satisfies  $\sim (v)$ , then f(A) is a  $D_2(n)$ -decomposition of A, and hence, we can define F(A) as in theorem 1.

Examples in which  $D_i(n)$  does not imply S(n) for n composite, i = 0, 1, will be given in theorem 12.

- (e) is a generalization of [6], lemma 13 ([7], p. 99, theorem 2). Let X be a nonempty set of n-element sets. For  $A \in X$ , let A' be the set of ordered pairs  $\langle a,i \rangle$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $i \in J_k$ . Let X' be the collection of all A' corresponding to  $A \in X$ . By the axiom of substitution, X' is a set; each element of X' is a kn-element set. Let f be a multiple choice function on X'. Then for each  $A' \in X'$ , not all the elements  $a \in A$  appear the same number of times as first coordinates of members of f(A'). Let g(A) be either the collection of elements of A which appear the minimal number of times, or else the complement of this collection with respect to A.
- (f) is an extension of [6], lemma 14. Let X be a nonempty set of (m+n)-element sets and let f be any m-ary choice function defined on X. Then for  $Y \in X$  the sets f(Y) and  $Y \setminus f(Y)$  satisfy the hypothesis of [6], lemma 14; hence there is a function g on X such that  $g(Y) \in Y$  for all  $Y \in X$ .
- (g) is a generalization of [6], lemma 15. Let X be a nonempty set of pairwise-disjoint np-element sets. Then  $X_{(p)}$  is a set of p-element sets; let f be a k-ary choice function on  $X_{(p)}$ . For each  $A \in X$  and for each  $a \in A$ , denote by  $n_a$ , the number of  $y \in X_{(p)}$  for which  $a \in f(y)$ . Then

$$\sum n_a(a \in A) = k \binom{np}{p}$$

is not divisible by np, whereas  $n(\{n_q: a \in A\}) = n(A) = np$ . Thus not all the  $n_a$  are identical. Let g(A) be the set of those  $a \in A$  for which  $n_a$  is minimal (or else the complement of this set with respect to A).

- (h) is a restatement of [6], lemma 16.
- (i) There are  $2^n-2$  nonempty proper subsets of an *n*-element set; either the chosen subset or its complement can be used to satisfy the requirements of S(n).

THEOREM 3. (a) Let  $n \in I_4$ , let  $k \in J_{h(n)-1}$ , and let  $N \subseteq J_{h(n)} \setminus J_k$ . For each such n, k, and N, let

$$M_1 = \{m: (\mathfrak{A} l, i) (m = l - i \& l \in N \& i \in k + 1)\},$$

let

$$M_2 = J_{h(n-k)} ,$$

and let  $M = M_1 \cap M_2$ . Then  $S(n; N) \rightarrow S(n-k; M)$ .

(b) Let  $n \in I_4$  and let  $k \in I_1$  be such that  $k+1 \le 2k \le n-2$ . Then

$$S^*(n; k+1) \rightarrow (\nabla m \in J_n \cap I_{n-k}) S(m)$$
.

(c) Let  $n \in I_4$  and let k and l be integers such that  $k+1 \leq 2k < n$  and  $k+1 \leq l \leq h(n)$ . Let  $Z = J_l \cap I_{\max(2,l-k)}$ . Then

$$(S(Z; 1) & S(n; l)) \rightarrow S(n-k; 1)$$
.

Proof. (a) Suppose S(n; N) and let X be a nonempty set of (n-k)-element sets. To each  $A \in X$ , add k new elements—for definiteness, say, the first k positive integers which are not in A. The new sets  $A^*$  will each have n elements. We let  $X^*$  be the collection of  $A^*$  corresponding to  $A \in X$ . By the axiom of substitution,  $X^*$  is a set; let f be a multiple choice function on  $X^*$  such that for each  $A^* \in X^*$ ,  $n(f(A^*)) \in N$ . Moreover,  $A \cap f(A^*)$  is a nonempty subset of A for each  $A \in X$ . Let  $g(A) = A \cap f(A^*)$  if  $n(A \cap f(A^*)) \leq h(n-k)$  and let  $g(A) = A \setminus f(A^*)$ , otherwise. Then g is a multiple choice function defined on X having the property that  $g(A) \in N$  for each  $A \in X$ .

- (b) follows from (a).
- (c) If  $N = \{l\}$  in (a), then  $n(g(A)) \in J = Z \cup \{1\}$  for all  $A \in X$ . Let  $X_j$  be the subset of X consisting of all A for which n(g(A)) = j,  $j \in J$ . Define G(A) = g(A) for  $A \in X_1$ , and for  $z \in Z$ , define  $G(A) = F_z \cdot g(A)$ ,  $A \in X_z$ , where  $F_z$  is any (1-ary) choice function on  $X_z$ . Then G is the required (1-ary) choice function on X.

THEOREM 4. 
$$(\nabla n \in I_3) \Big( D_1(n+1) \& S(n) \Big) \rightarrow D_0(n+1) \rightarrow S(n) \Big)$$
.

Proof. Suppose  $D_1(n+1)$  & S(n). Let Y be a nonempty set of n+1-element sets and let f be a  $D_1(n+1)$ -function on Y. Let  $Y_1$  be the



subset of Y consisting of all sets A for which there are no 1-cells in f(A); let  $Y_2$  be the subset of Y consisting of all sets A for which there are at least two 1-cells in f(A); let  $Y_3$  be the subset of Y consisting of all sets A for which there is exactly one 1-cell in f(A). At least one of the sets  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  is nonempty; the nonempty sets  $Y_i$  are pairwise-disjoint and their union is Y. For  $A \in Y_1$  let g(A) = f(A); for  $A \in Y_2$  let

$$g(A) = \{c \in f(A) \colon \mathbf{n}(c) \geqslant 2\} \cup \bigcup \{c \in f(A) \colon \mathbf{n}(c) = 1\}.$$

Let  $Y_4$  be the set of all  $A' = A \setminus \{c_A\}$ , where  $A \in Y_3$  and  $c_A$  is the unique 1-cell in f(A). Then  $Y_4$  is a set of n-element sets; let F be a multiple choice function on  $Y_4$ . For  $A \in Y_3$  let  $g(A) = \{F(A') \cup \{c_A\}, A' \setminus F(A')\}$ . Since in every case each cell of g(A) has at least two elements, g is a  $D_0(n+1)$ -function on Y.

Suppose  $D_0(n+1)$ . Let X be a nonempty set of n-element sets. As in the the proof of theorem 3, let  $X^*$  be the set of all  $A \cup \{a_A\}$ , where  $A \in X$  and  $a_A$  is some definite set which is not in A. Then  $X^*$ , as a set of (n+1)-element sets, has a  $D_0(n+1)$ -function f defined on it. Suppose  $f(A^*) = \{c_i : i \in n+1\}$ ; let  $i_0$  be the index of the cell containing  $a_A$ . Then if  $g(A) = c_{i_0} \setminus \{a_A\}$  for  $A \in X$ , g is a multiple choice function on X.

We note that the converse of the second implication is false;  $D_0(5)$  is independent of S(4), as will be shown in theorem 12.

THEOREM 5. (a)  $(\nabla n \in I_2)(H(2n) \rightarrow H(2n-1))$ .

(b)  $(\forall n \in I_3) ((S(n; 1) \& H(n-1)) \rightarrow H(n)).$ 

Proof. (a) follows from theorem 3 (a).

(We note that there are odd integers, 2n+1, for which H(2n) is independent of H(2n+1); for example, H(4) is independent of H(5) as will be shown in theorem 12.)

(b) If X is a nonempty set of n-element sets, let f be a (1-ary) choice function on X. Let  $X' = \{A \setminus f(A) : A \in X\}$ , and let g be an h(n-1)-ary choice function on X'. We define an h(n)-ary choice function F on X as follows: for  $A \in X$ , let  $F(A) = g(A \setminus f(A))$ , if n is odd, and let  $F(A) = f(A) \cup g(A \setminus f(A))$ , if n is even.

THEOREM 6. (a)  $(\nabla n \in I_2) S(n; 1) \rightarrow (\nabla n \in I_2) (\nabla k \in K_{h(n)}) S(n; k)$ .

- (b)  $(An \in I_2)((\nabla r \in K_n)S(r; 1) \rightarrow (\nabla m \in K_n)(\nabla k \in J_{h(m)})S(m; k)).$
- (c) For  $j \in I_1$  let  $q_j$  be the j-th prime (in order of magnitude) and let  $Q_j$  be the set consisting of the first j primes. Then

$$(\forall j \in I_1)(\forall n \in I_2)\big(\big(S(Q_j; 1) \to (\forall m \in K_n)(\forall k \in I_{h(m)})S(m; k)\big) \longleftrightarrow n \in K_{q_{j+1}-1}\big).$$

(d)  $(\nabla l \in K_r) S(l; 1)$  is independent of

 $(\nabla m \in K_n)(\nabla k \in J_{h(m)})S(m; k)$ , whenever  $\Pi_{n+1}\backslash \Pi_{r+1} \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** (a), (b): A k-ary choice function is obtained by composing k (1-ary) choice functions.

- (c) follows from (b) together with [9], lemma 10.
- (d) follows from (b) together with [6], theorem VIII.
- **4. Negative results.** We now generalize theorem III of [6], Mostowski's main result concerning necessary conditions for the implication  $S(Z;1) \rightarrow S(n;1)$  (in our notation), in order to obtain the independence of certain of our axioms from S(Z;1). Subsequently, we shall be concerned with the independence of S(Z;1) from some of our axioms.

For any group  $\mathfrak{G}$  let  $\mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$  denote the group whose elements are those infinite sequence  $g = \langle g_1, g_2, ... \rangle$  whose terms belong to  $\mathfrak{G}$  and which are such that almost all of the  $g_n$  are equal to the unity of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ; multiplication is defined in the obvious way, i.e., by term-wise multiplication in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

We shall write " $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ " in case  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the group  $\langle X, \cdot \rangle$  and  $g \in X$ .

DEFINITION 3. Let  $n \in I_2$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the symmetric group on n letters.

If  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and if  $D = \{T_1, T_2, ..., T_r\}$  is a decomposition of  $J_n$ , then

(i) if 
$$T_i = \{t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, ..., t_{im_i}\}, i \in J_r$$
, let

$$\Phi(T_i) = \{\Phi(t_{i_1}), \Phi(t_{i_2}), ..., \Phi(t_{im_i})\};$$

(ii) let 
$$\Phi(D) = {\Phi(T_1), \Phi(T_2), ..., \Phi(T_r)}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and if N is a nonempty subset of  $J_n$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}$  leaves N fixed if  $\Phi(N) = N$  for every  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{G}$ ; if D is a decomposition of  $J_n$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}$  leaves D fixed if  $\Phi(D) = D$  for every  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{G}$ .

 $\mathfrak G$  satisfies condition  $(C_1)$  if it leaves no nonempty proper subset of  $J_n$  fixed.

Let L be a nonempty proper subset of  $J_{h(n)}$ .  $\mathfrak{G}$  satisfies condition (C<sub>2</sub>) with respect to L if it leaves fixed no subset of  $J_n$  the number of whose elements is in L.

 $\mathfrak{G}$  satisfies condition  $(C_3)$  if it leaves fixed no decomposition D of  $J_n$  which satisfies conditions (iv) and (v) of definition 2.

 $\mathfrak{G}$  satisfies condition  $(\mathfrak{C}_4)$  if it leaves fixed no decomposition D of  $J_n$  which satisfies (iv) and (v') of definition 2.

For  $i \in J_4$ ,  $Z(\in \mathfrak{I}^{\sharp}(I_2))$  and  $n \in I_2$ ) satisfy condition  $(K_t)$  if for every subgroup  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  which satisfies condition  $(C_t)$ , there is a group  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{S}}$  and a finite number r of (not necessarily different) proper subgroups  $\mathfrak{R}_1, \mathfrak{R}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{R}_r$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$\sum \operatorname{Ind} \mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{R}_i (i \in J_r) \in Z$$
.



THEOREM 7. (a)  $(K_1)$  is necessary for the implication  $S(Z; 1) \rightarrow S(n)$ .

- (b)  $(K_2)$  is necessary for the implication  $S(Z; 1) \rightarrow S(n; L)$ .
- (c)  $(\mathbb{K}_3)$  is necessary for the implication  $S(Z; 1) \rightarrow D_0(n)$ .
- (d) (K<sub>4</sub>) is necessary for the implication  $S(Z; 1) \rightarrow D_1(n)$ .

Proof. The model for set theory which Mostowski uses in [6], in order to indicate the necessity of his condition (K) for the implication  $S(Z;1) \rightarrow S(n;1)$ , will suffice for our purpose as well in each of our cases. We shall consider only case (a); the other cases follows along the same lines with only minor modifications.

Let  $\sigma$  be the set theory of [5]; this version of set theory permits the existence of urelements (objects, other than the empty set, which are in the domain but not the range of the  $\epsilon$ -relation) and it does not include the axiom of choice among its axioms. Let  $\sigma^*$  be  $\sigma$  together with the axiom of choice and an axiom asserting the existence of a denumerable number of urelements. We shall assume that  $\sigma^*$  is consistent; this is equivalent to the assumption that  $\sigma$  (or Gödel's system A, B, C of [3]) is consistent. (1) (See [4], pp. 478-479.) Thus we can look for a model within  $\sigma^*$ .

Suppose Z and n fail to satisfy condition  $(K_1)$ . Then there is a subgroup  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  which leaves no proper, nonempty subset of  $J_n$  fixed and which is such that for any subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$  and any proper subgroups  $\mathfrak{R}_1, \mathfrak{R}_2, ..., \mathfrak{R}_r$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,

$$\sum \operatorname{Ind} \mathfrak{H}/\Re (i \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} J_r) \notin Z$$
.

Let  $N_k = J_{kn} \setminus J_{(k-1)n}$ ,  $k \in I_1$ . For any  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{G}$ , let

$$\Psi((k-1)n+i)=(k-1)n+\Psi(i)$$
,  $i \in J_n$ .

We define a set  $\mathcal{K}_{\xi}$  for each ordinal number  $\xi$  (2) and a meaning of  $\Psi(x)$  for each  $\Psi = \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, ... \rangle \epsilon$  (6° and each  $x \in \mathcal{K}_{\xi}$  by transfinite induction.

Let  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \bigcup N_k \ (k \in I_1)$ ; for  $x \in \mathcal{K}_0$  let  $\Psi(x) = \Psi_k(x)$  when  $x \in N_k$ ,  $k \in I_1$ . Assume that  $\xi > 0$  and that for all  $\eta < \xi$ , sets  $\mathcal{K}_{\eta}$  and the meaning of  $\Phi(x)$  for  $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{K}_{\eta'} \ (\eta' \in \eta + 1)$  have been defined. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\xi} = \mathcal{F} ig( \bigcup \mathcal{K}_{\eta}(\eta \; \epsilon \; \xi) ig) \; .$$

For  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\xi} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{K}_{\eta}$   $(\eta \in \xi)$ , let  $\Phi(x) = \{\Phi(y): y \in x\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\xi}$  be the set of  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\xi}$  which satisfy the invariance condition:

(1) there is an integer l such that if  $\Psi = \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, ... \rangle \in \mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$  and if  $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = ... = \Psi_l = 1$ , then  $\Psi(x) = x$ .

The urelements of the model will be the elements of  $\mathcal{K}_0$  and the sets of the model will be the elements of the  $\mathcal{K}_\xi$ ,  $\xi>0$ . (The reader is referred to sections 13-16 of [6] for a verification that certain of the axioms of  $\sigma$  are true in the model as well as for properties of the model.) We proceed to show that S(n) is false in the model; our argument follows that of section 17 of [6].

Let  $x = \{N_i : i \in I_1\}$ ; since  $\Psi(x) = x$  for every  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{G}^\omega$ , x is a set of the model. Suppose that y contains exactly one nonempty proper subset  $R_k$  of each  $N_k$ . We claim that y must fail to satisfy the invariance condition (1), and thus must be disqualified for admission as a set of the model.

Using the axiom of choice (in  $\sigma^*$ ), for each  $k \in I_1$  we select a permutation  $\Psi_k \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\Psi_k(R_k) \neq R_k$ . For any l let  $\Psi_{l+1}$  be the sequence whose (l+1)st term is  $\Psi_{l+1}$  and all of whose other terms are the identity (of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ). Thus  $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = ... = \Phi_l = 1$ ; yet  $\Phi(y) \neq y$ .

The argument of [6], section 18 serves to establish the validity of S(Z; 1) in the model.

THEOREM 8. Let  $p \in \Pi$ , let  $r \in I_1$ , and let Z be any finite set of positive integers which contains no multiple of p. Then  $S(p^r)$  is independent of S(Z; 1).

Proof. Take 6 to be the cyclic group on  $p^r$  letters; 6 leaves no proper subgroup of  $J_n$  fixed. Moreover, each group  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$  has the property that for any proper subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H})$  is a multiple of p. Hence, for any number of proper subgroups  $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{H}_m$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,

$$\sum \operatorname{Ind}(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{R}_i)(i \in J_m) \notin Z.$$

Thus Z and n fail to satisfy condition  $(K_1)$ .

COROLLARY. Let p, Z and r be as in theorem 8.

(a) For each l and k satisfying

$$1 \leqslant l < k \leqslant h(p^r + l) < p^r,$$

 $S^*(p^r+l; k)$  is independent of S(Z; 1).

- (b) For all  $n \in I_4$ , let pp(n) be the greatest prime power less than and relatively prime to n. Then for  $k \in J_{h(n)} \setminus J_{n-pp(n)}$ ,  $S^*(n; k)$  is independent of S(n; 1).
  - (c) For  $p \in \Pi_5$ ,  $D_0(p)$  is independent of S(Z; 1).
  - (d) For  $p \in \Pi_3$ ,  $D_1(p)$  is independent of S(Z; 1).
  - (e) For  $r \in I_2$ ,  $S(p^r)$  is independent of  $D_0(p^r)$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> The consistency of  $\sigma$  is tacitly assumed in all of our metamathematical statements.

<sup>(\*)</sup> By an ordinal number is meant a transitive set which is ordered by the  $\epsilon$ -relation (Regularity is included among the axioms of  $\sigma$ .)



Proof. (a) follows from theorems 3(b) and 8.

- (b) reduces to (a), with pp(n) playing the role of  $p^r$ .
- (c) follows from theorems 2(c) and 8.
- (d) follows from theorems 2(d) and 8.
- (e) follows from theorems 2(h) and 8. Let  $\mathcal M$  be a model for  $\sigma$  & &  $\mathcal S(p^r-1;\,1)$  &  $\sim \mathcal S(p^r)$ . Then  $D_0(p^r)$  is true in  $\mathcal M$ .

Other independence results can be obtained by taking theorem 8 and its corollaries together with previous implicational results.

The above argument cannot be extended to show the independence of  $D_0(p^r)$  from S(Z;1) when  $r \in I_2$ . For, let D be the decomposition of  $J_{p^r}$  in which each cell contains a residue class, mod p. Then for each permutation  $\Phi$  of the cyclic group on  $p^r$  letters,  $\Phi(D) = D$ .

DEFINITION 4. Z ( $\epsilon \, \mathfrak{I}^{\sharp \sharp}(I_1)$ ) and n ( $\epsilon \, I_1$ ) satisfy condition (M) if for any decomposition of n into a sum of (not necessarily distinct) primes

$$n = p_1 + p_2 + ... + p_s ,$$

there are nonnegative integers  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_s$  such that

$$r_1p_1+r_2p_2+...+r_sp_s\in Z$$
.

Mostowski, [6], theorem IV, shows that condition (M) is a consequence of condition (K) (see definition 4 and theorem 7, above), and thus

(2) (M) is a necessary condition for the implication  $S(Z; 1) \rightarrow S(n; 1)$ .

He also shows (M) to be sufficient for this implication in certain specific cases; in particular ([6], theorem IX),

(3) (M) is a sufficient condition for the implication  $S(Z; 1) \rightarrow S(n; 1)$  for arbitrary Z and for  $n \in \Pi \cup J_{19} \setminus \{15\}$ . (3)

THEOREM 9. (a) Let  $n \in I_2$ , let  $N \in \mathfrak{I}^*(J_{h(n)})$ , let m be the number of subsets y of an n-element set such that  $n(y) \in N$ , and let  $s \in I_2$  be such that  $\{m\}$  and s fail to satisfy condition (M). Then S(s; 1) is independent of S(n; N).

(b) Let  $n_0 \in I_4$ , let  $n_1, n_2 \in I_3$ , and for  $i \in 3$  let  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  be the set of all types of  $D_i(n_i)$ -decompositions. For any  $x_i \in \mathfrak{I}^*(\mathfrak{D}_i)$  let  $m(x_i)$  be the number of  $D_i(n_i)$ -decompositions whose type is in  $x_i$ . Let  $s(x_i)$  ( $\in I_2$ ) be such that for some  $x_i \in \mathfrak{I}^*(\mathfrak{D}_i)$ ,  $\{m(x_i)\}$  and  $s(x_i)$  fail to satisfy condition (M). Then  $S\{s(x_i); 1\}$  is independent of  $D_i(n_i)$ ; moreover,  $S\{s(x_2); 1\}$  is independent of  $S(n_2)$ .

**Proof.** (a) With each set X of n-element sets A we associate the set X', where  $A' \in X'$  iff there is an  $A \in X$  such that A' is the set of all subsets A'' of A such that  $n(A'') \in N$ . Since  $\{m\}$  and s fail to satisfy condition (M), we can take a model  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $\sigma \& \mathcal{B}(m; 1) \& \sim \mathcal{B}(s; 1)$ . Now for each  $A \in X$ , the selection of a unit subset of A' yields a subset  $A^*$  of A with  $n(A^*) \in N$ . Thus S(n; N) is true in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(b) Utilizes the implications  $\mathcal{S}(m(x_i); 1) \to D_i(n_i)$ ,  $i \in 2$ , and follows along the same lines as (a). We note that for each  $n \in I_2$  and for each  $i \in 3$ , there are only a finite number of types of  $D_i(n)$ -decompositions. Moreover, theorem 1 enables us to apply information about  $D_2(n)$  to the study of  $\mathcal{S}(n)$ .

COROLLARY 1. Assume the same notation as in theorem 9. Let  $p \in \Pi$ , let  $r \in I_1$ , and let l and k satisfy  $1 \le l < k \le h(p^r + l) < p^r$ . Then

- (a) if p does not divide m, each of the following axioms is independent of S(n; N):
  - (i)  $S(p^r)$ ,
  - (ii)  $S^*(p^r+l; k)$ ,
  - (iii)  $D_0(p)$ , if  $p \in \Pi_5$ ,
  - (iv)  $D_1(p)$ , if  $p \in \Pi_3$ .

Also, if  $m \in I_4$ , if pp(m) is as in corollary (b) of theorem 8, and if  $t \in J_{h(m)} \setminus J_{m-pp(m)}$ , then  $S^*(m;t)$  is independent of S(n;N).

(b) For  $i \in 3$  if p does not divide  $m(x_i)$  for some  $x_i \in \mathbb{S}^*(\mathfrak{D}_i)$ , then each of the axioms (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of (a) is independent of  $D_t(n_t)$ . Moreover, if in addition  $m(x_i) \in I_4$  and if t is an integer satisfying  $m(x_i) - \operatorname{pp}(m(x_i)) < t \leq h\left(m(x_i)\right)$ , then  $S^*(m(x_i);t)$  is independent of  $D_t(n_t)$ . In particular, if p does not divide  $m(x_2)$  for some  $x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^*(\mathfrak{D}_2)$ , then each of the axioms (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of (a) is independent of  $S(n_2)$ ; further, if  $m(x_2) \geqslant 4$  and if t is as above (with t = 2), then  $S^*(m(x_2);t)$  is independent of  $S(n_2)$ .

Proof. This follows from theorem 9 together with theorem 1 and theorem 8 and its corollary. In the proof of theorem 9 it is shown that  $\dot{S}(m;1) \rightarrow S(n;N), \ m,n,N$  as indicated.

COROLLARY 2. For each prime p, S(p; 1) is independent of  $H_{2p}$ .

Proof.  $p \neq \binom{2p}{n}$ ; the result follows from (a) of the theorem.

For the purpose of proving our next theorem, we now define the multiple choice axioms of M. N. Bleicher ([1] and [2]).

DEFINITION 4. For  $m \in I_2$ ,  $FS_m^{\#}$  will denote the following statement. "For any nonempty set X of nonempty finite sets there is a function f defined on X such that for each  $A \in X$ ,  $f(A) \in \mathbb{T}^*(A)$  and  $\{n(f(A)), m\} = 1$ ."

<sup>(\*)</sup> For other cases in which (M) is sufficient for this implication, see [9], Section 4 and [10].

THEOREM 10. For  $m \neq 4$  composite, S(m; 1) is independent of S(m). Proof. Theorem 5 of [11] asserts that

(4) for composites m > 6, S(m; 1) is independent of  $FS_m^{\sharp}$ .

But for these values of m,

$$FS_m^{\sharp} \rightarrow (\nabla A, X \in \mathbb{Q}) ((A \in X \rightarrow n(A) = m) \rightarrow FS_m(X)) \rightarrow S(m)$$
.

(Here  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the universal class.) Thus the implication  $S(m) \to S(m; 1)$  would yield a contradiction of (4).

For m=6: By condition (M), there is a model  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $\sigma \& S(2;1) \&$  &  $\sim S(3;1)$ . Thus S(6;1) is false in  $\mathcal{M}$ , since S(6;1) iff S(2;1) & S(3;1) ([7], p. 101, theorem 4). Let p=2, k=1, and n=3 in theorem 2(g); then S(6) is true in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

THEOREM 11. (a) For all n which are not divisible by 6 and for all subsets  $N \subseteq J_{h(n)}$ , S(n; N) is independent of  $\sigma$ . In particular, for such n, S(n) is independent of  $\sigma$ .

- (b) For  $n \in I$ , and for  $k \in J_{h(n)}$ , S(n; k) is independent of  $\sigma$ .
- (c) For  $n \in I_2$  and for  $N \in \mathcal{I}^*(K_{h(n)})$ , S(n; N) is independent of  $\sigma$ .

Proof. Let  $n \ge 3$  be an odd integer and let  $\mathfrak G$  be the cyclic group on n letters. Then for every group  $\mathfrak R \subset \mathfrak G^\omega$  and for every proper subgroup  $\mathfrak R$  of  $\mathfrak H$ , Ind $\mathfrak H/\mathfrak R \ge 3$ . Let  $Z = \{2\}$  and apply theorem T(a) and (b). Then for any (proper or improper) nonempty subset N of  $J_{h(n)}$ , S(n; N) is independent of S(2; 1), i.e.,  $(\sigma \& S(2; 1)) \not \to S(n; N)$ . It follows that S(n; N) is independent of  $\sigma$ .

Let n be a positive integer which is not divisible by 3; we repeat the above argument with  $Z = \{3\}$ . Again, Ind  $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{R} \notin Z_3$ , and we conclude that S(n; N) is independent of  $\sigma$ .

The above two paragraphs together yield (a).

For each  $m \in I_2$ , S(m; 1) is relatively consistent with  $\sigma$ , since, in fact, stronger forms of the axiom of choice have this property. Let  $n \ge 2$  and let p be any prime which is not a factor of n. Then  $(\sigma \& S(p; 1)) \not\rightarrow S(n; 1)$ , by (2). Hence S(n; 1) is independent of  $\sigma$ .

If N is any nonempty subset of  $K_{h(n)}$ , let  $M_1 = \{m: m \in N \vee m + 1 \in N\}$ , and let  $M = M_1$  if n is odd and  $M = M_1 \setminus \{h(n)\}$  if n is even. Suppose that S(n; N) is a theorem of  $\sigma$ . Then by theorem 3(a), so is S(n-1; M). By (a), above, this would mean that 6 divides both n and n-1. This contradiction together with the results of the preceding paragraph establish both (b) and (c).

# 5. The interdependence of the axioms for $n \in K_6$ .

THEOREM 12. The following "matrix" indicates the interdependence among the various axioms for  $2 \le n \le 6$ . In listing these axioms we take

the following into consideration:

- (a)  $S(2) \leftrightarrow S(2; 1) \leftrightarrow S(4; 1)$ .
- (b)  $S(3) \leftrightarrow S(3; 1) \leftrightarrow D_1(3) \leftrightarrow D_0(4)$ .
- (c)  $S(5) \leftrightarrow D_1(5) \leftrightarrow D_0(6)$ .
- (d)  $H(5) \longleftrightarrow D_0(5)$ .

Proof. (a).  $S(2; 1) \leftrightarrow S(4; 1)$  is demonstrated in [7], pp. 98-99.

(b).  $S(3; 1) \rightarrow D_0(4)$ , by theorem 2(h).

 $D_0(4) \rightarrow S(3;1)$ : Let X be a nonempty set of 3-element sets, and let X' be the set of all  $A' = A \cup \{a_A\}$ ,  $A \in X$ , where  $a_A$  is the first positive integer not in A. Let f be a  $D_0(4)$ -function on X'; then for each  $A' \in X'$ , f(A') consists of two 2-cells. For each  $A \in X$  define g(A) to be the unique element of A which shares a cell with  $a_A$ .

- (e).  $S(5) \leftrightarrow D_1(5)$  follows from theorem 2(b) and (d).  $D_0(6) \rightarrow S(5)$  by theorem 4; the converse implication was demonstrated by J. H. Conway (to be published).
- (d).  $H(5) \rightarrow D_0(5)$  by theorem 2(a); the converse implication follows from the observation that every  $D_0(5)$ -function effects only (1,2;3,1)-type decompositions.

In the "matrix" an even number indicates that the left-hand axiom implies the upper axiom; (4) and odd number indicates that the upper axiom is independent of the left-hand one. The particular integer employed is the key to the explanation, below. (A blank space indicates an open question.)

- 0.  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ .
- 1. by (2).
- 2. by (3).
- 3. by (a), (b), above, and theorem 2(b) and 8.
- 4. by (c), above, and theorem 2(a).
- 5. by (a), (b), above, and corollary (a) of theorem 8.
- 6. by (a), above, and theorem 2(b).
- 7. by (a), (b), (d), above, and corollary (c) of theorem 8.
- 8. by (a), (b), above, and theorem 2(g).
- 9. by (a), (b), above, and theorem 9(a). We let n, N, and m be as in theorem 9.

If n=4 and  $N=\{1,2\}$ , then m=10; if n=4 and  $N=\{2\}$ , then m=6; if n=5 and  $N=\{1,2\}$ , then m=15; if n=6 and  $N=\{1,2,3\}$ , then m=41; if n=6 and  $N=\{2\}$ , then m=15; if n=6 and  $N=\{2,3\}$ , then m=35; if n=6 and  $N=\{3\}$ , then m=20.

<sup>(4)</sup> Several of the implications in our matrix were also obtained independently by J. H. Conway.

## THE INTERDEPENDENCE OF THE AXIOMS FOR n & K.

	S(2)	8(3)	S(4)	H(4)	$D_1(4)$	8(5)	8(5; 1)	H(5)	8(6)	8(6; 1)	8(6; 2)	H(6)	$S^*(6; 2)$	$D_1(6)$
8(2)	0	1	6	5	6	3	1	7	8	1	17	5	5	22
S(3)	1	0	3	3	22	3	1	5	8	1	17	5	5	22
S(4)		9	0	17	6	17	17	17		9	17	17	17	24
H(4)		10	6	0	4	17	9	17	22		17	17	17	22
$D_1(4)$	11	11	17	17	0	17	11	17		11	17	17	17	24
S(5)	9	13	13	17		0		17		9	17	13		24
S(5; 1)	1	1	3	5		6	0	5		1	17	5		22
H(5)		11	10	17	22	4		0		11	17			24
S(6)	9	9	13	17	20	17	9	17	0	15	17	17	17	6
$\mathcal{S}(6;1)$	2	2	22	14	22	3	1	7	6	0	17	5	5	6
S(6; 2)	9	16	13	17	22	10	16	17	6	9	0	17	6	6
H(6)	18	9	10	17	22	10	18	12	6	9	17	0	6	4
S*(6; 2)	9	9	13	17	22	10	18	17	6	9	17	17	0	4
$D_1(6)$	11	11	17	17		17	17	17		11	17	17	17	0

- 10. by (b), above, and theorem 3.
- 11. by (a), (b), (d), above, and theorem 9(b). For  $i \in 2$  we let  $n_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ ,  $x_i$ , and  $m(x_i)$  be as in theorem 9: If  $n_1 = 4$  then  $m(\mathfrak{D}_1) = 13$ ; if  $n_0 = 5$ , then  $m(\mathfrak{D}_0) = 10$ ; if  $n_1 = 6$ , then  $m(\mathfrak{D}_1) = 201$ ; if  $n_1 = 6$  and  $n_1 = (3,1;1,3)$ , then  $m(n_1) = 20$ .
  - 12. by theorem 5(a).
- 13. by corollary 1 of theorem 9. The data of 9. applies here, as well; also, if  $n_2 = 5$ , then  $m(\mathfrak{D}_2) = 40$ .
  - 14. by the proof of theorem 9(a).
  - 15. by theorem 10.
- 16.  $S(6; 2) \rightarrow S(3)$ : Let X be a nonempty set of 3-element sets, and for each  $A \in X$ , let A' be the set of all nonempty, proper subsets of A. Let X' be the set of A' corresponding to  $A \in X$ . Each  $A' \in X'$  has 6 elements; we choose two of these. If (exactly) one of these is a unit subset of A, we let f(A) be this subset; if both of the choices are unit subsets of A, we let f(A) be the third unit subset of A; if both of the choices are 2-element subsets of A, we let f(A) be their intersection.
- $S(6;2) \rightarrow S(5;1)$ : Let Y be a nonempty set of 5-element sets and let Y' be the set obtained by adding one new element to each element of Y. Choose a 2-element subset B'' of each  $B' \in Y'$  (corresponding to  $B \in Y$ ). Then if  $B \cap B''$  contains one element, let f(B) be this intersection; if  $B \cap B''$  contains 2 elements, use S(3) to choose an element from  $B \setminus B''$ .
- 17.  $((\Theta \text{ independent of } \mathcal{Z}) \& (\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{\Gamma})) \rightarrow \Theta$  independent of  $\mathcal{L}$ ;  $((\Theta \text{ independent of } \mathcal{Z}) \& (\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Theta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  independent of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

18.  $H(6) \rightarrow S(2)$ , by theorem 2(e).

 $H(6) \rightarrow S(5;1)$ : Let Y, Y', B, B' be as in the second paragraph of 16. Choose a 3-element subset B''' of each  $B' \in Y'$ . Then either  $B \cap B'''$  or else  $B \setminus B'''$  contains 2 elements; use  $H(6) \rightarrow S(2)$ .

 $S^*(6; 2) \rightarrow S(5; 1)$  now follows in this manner from the implications  $S(6; 2) \rightarrow S(5; 1)$  and  $H(6) \rightarrow S(5; 1)$ .

 $20. \ S(6) \rightarrow D_1(4)$ : Let X be a nonempty set of 4-element sets. For  $A \in X$  let  $A^*$  be the set of 2-element subsets of A and let  $X^*$  be the set of  $A^*$  corresponding to  $A \in X$ . Let f be a multiple choice function on  $X^*$ ; such a function exists for, by construction,  $X^*$  is a set of 6-element sets. For  $A \in X$  we define g(A) as follows: If  $n(f(A^*)) = 1$ , let  $g(A) = \{f(A^*), A \setminus f(A^*)\}$ . If  $n(f(A^*)) = 2$  and if the two elements of  $f(A^*)$  are disjoint, let  $g(A) = f(A^*)$ . In all other cases the elements of A do not all appear the same number of times as elements of A which appear the maximum number of times in this role and where  $A_2 = A \setminus A_1$ .

- 22. by the transitivity of implication.
- 24. these are the results of J. H. Conway (to be published).

6. A final example. An unsolved problem, which was posed by Mostowski ([6], p. 168) is the status of the implication

(5) 
$$S({3,5,13};1) \rightarrow S(15;1)$$
.

It is to be noted that  $\{3,5,13\}$  and 15 satisfy (M) (of definition 4); thus the question is of particular significance in view of Mostowski's conjecture about the sufficiency of condition (M) for an implication  $S(Z;1) \rightarrow S(n;1)$ . In this regard we reduce (5) to the problem of determining the status of the implication in the hypothesis of

THEOREM 13. 
$$(S(\{3,5,13\};1) \rightarrow D_1(8)) \rightarrow (S(\{3,5,13\}) \rightarrow S(15;1))$$
.

Proof. We suppose that both  $S(\{3,5,13\};1)$  and the implication  $S(\{3,5,13\}) \rightarrow D_1(8)$  are valid, and we wish to show that S(15;1) must also be valid.

We first note that by (3), it follows that  $S(\{3,13\};1) \rightarrow S(9;1)$ . Let X be a nonempty set of 15-element sets. By theorem 2(g), there exists a function  $f_1$  on X such that for each  $A \in X$ ,  $f_1(A)$  is a subset of A such that  $n(f_1(A)) = z$ , where  $1 \le z \le 7$ . Let  $f_k$  be a (1-ary) choice function on  $X_{(k)}$  for k = 3, 5, 9, and 13.

Let  $A \in X$ . If  $n(f_1(A)) = 1$ , let  $g(A) = f_1(A)$ ; if  $n(f_1(A)) = 2$ , let  $g(A) = f_{12}(A \setminus f_1(A))$ ; if  $n(f_1(A)) = 3$ , let  $g(A) = f_2f_1(A)$ ; if  $n(f_1(A)) = 5$ , let  $g(A) = f_2f_1(A)$ ; if  $n(f_1(A)) = 6$ , let  $g(A) = f_2(A \setminus f_1(A))$ .

Suppose  $n(f_1(A)) = 4$ . By theorem 2(h), there is a function  $F_4$  defined on  $X_{(4)}$  such that for  $B \in X_{(4)}$ ,  $F_4(B) = \{B_1, B_2\}$ , where  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are disjoint 2-element subsets of B. Now let Y be the set of all sets of the form  $(A \setminus f_1(A)) \cup B_i(A)$ , i = 1, 2, where  $A \in X$ ,  $n(f_1(A)) = 4$ , and  $F_4f_1(A) = \{B_1(A), B_2(A)\}$ . Then  $Y \subset X_{(9)}$ . To each  $A \in X$  with  $n(f_1(A)) = 4$ , there corresponds one or two distinct elements of A of the form  $f_9(A \setminus f_1(A)) \cup B_i(A)$ . In case there is just one such element a, let  $g(A) = \{a\}$ ; in case  $a_1$  and  $a_2 \neq a_1$  are both of this form, let  $g(A) = f_{13}(A \setminus \{a_1, a_2\})$ .

Suppose  $n(f_1(A)) = 7$ . By our hypothesis, there is a  $D_1(8)$ -function  $F_8$  defined on  $X_{(8)}$ . Consider  $F_8(A \setminus f_1(A))$ . If any element of  $F_8(A \setminus f_1(A))$  is a unit set, the union of all such unit sets is a subset C of  $A \setminus f_1(A)$  with  $n(C) \le 6$ , by  $(\nabla')$  of definition 2; in this case the argument reduces to one of the previous considerations. Otherwise, if any element x of  $F_8(A \setminus f_1(A))$  is such that n(x) = 2, we take the union of each such set with  $f_1(A)$ , thereby obtaining a subset of  $X_{(9)}$ . If we procede as in the preceding paragraph, we obtain a subset C' of A for which n(C') is at most 4; again we have reduced the case to one of prior considerations. Finally, if  $F_8(A \setminus f_1(A)) = \{D_1, D_2\}$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are disjoint sets with  $n(D_1) = n(D_2) = 4$ , we can obtain another decomposition of  $A \setminus f_1(A)$  into  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$  in which the  $E_4$  are pairwise-disjoint sets such that each  $n(E_1) = 2$ , and each  $E_4$  is an element of  $F_4(D_1)$ , j = 1, 2.

Remark. We have chosen to work with  $D_1(8)$  because theorem 8 and its corollary yield the independence from  $S(\{3,5,13\};1)$  of each of the following axioms: S(7), S(8),  $D_0(7)$ , and  $D_1(7)$ .

#### References

- M. N. Bleicher, Some theorems on vector spaces and the axiom of choice, Fund. Math. 54 (1964), pp. 95-107.
- [2] Multiple choice axioms and the axiom of choice for finite sets, ibid. 57 (1965), pp. 247-252.
- [3] K. Gödel, The consistency of the axiom of choice and of the generalized continuum-hypothesis with the axioms of set theory, 6th ed., Annals of Math. studies 3, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1964.
  - [4] A. Levy, Axioms of multiple choice, Fund. Math. 50 (1962), pp. 475-483.
- [5] A. Mostowski, Über die Unabhängigkeit des Wohlordnungssatzes vom Ordnungsprinzip, ibid. 32 (1939), pp. 201-252.
  - [6] Axiom of choice for finite sets, ibid. 33 (1945), pp. 137-168.
- [7] W. Sierpiński, Cardinal and ordinal numbers, 1st ed., Monografie Matematyczne, 34, Warszawa, 1958.

- [8] M. M. Zuckerman, Finite versions of the axiom of choice, Ph. D. Thesis, Dept. of Math., Yeshiva University, New York (1967).
- [9] Number theoretic results relating to the axioms of choice for finite sets (to appear in Illinois J. Math.).
- [10] Some theorems on the axioms of choice for finite sets (to appear in Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math.).
- [11] Multiple choice axioms (to appear in (Axiomatic Set Theory) Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 13 (1969)).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 6. 9. 1967