

Tree-likeness of hereditarily equivalent continua

by

H. Cook (Houston, Tex.)

A continuum is a compact, connected, metric space. An hereditarily equivalent continuum is a non-degenerate continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its non-degenerate subcontinua.

In [5], S. Mazurkiewicz raised the question: "Un continu dans l'espace à m dimensions qui est homéomorphe de tout continu qu'il contient, est-il necessairement un arc simple?" Moïse, [6], has answered this question in the negative by showing that the pseudo-arc is an indecomposable, planar, hereditarily equivalent continuum; however, G. W. Henderson, [3], has shown that each decomposable, hereditarily equivalent continuum is an arc. The pseudo-arc and the arc are the only known hereditarily equivalent continua. The author has heard, conversationally, speculation that (especially in light of the proof of D. W. Henderson, [2], that there is a continuum each non-degenerate subcontinuum of which is infinite dimensional) there may be an infinite dimensional, hereditarily equivalent continuum. Lemma 3 of this paper shows that this is not the case.

A tree-like continuum is one which, for every positive number ε , can be ε -mapped onto a finite tree. Each tree-like continuum is one-dimensional. Whyburn has shown, [8], that each planar, hereditarily equivalent continuum is tree-like. In this note it is shown that every hereditarily equivalent continuum is tree-like.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that M is an hereditarily equivalent continuum and ε is a positive number. Then there is a homeomorphism h of M onto a proper subcontinuum of M such that, for each point x of M, the distance from x to h(x) is less than ε .

Proof. Let G be an uncountable monotonic collection of non-degenerate subcontinua of M (e.g., for some point p, let G be the collection to which g belongs if, and only if, for some positive number δ , g is the component containing p of the closed δ -neighborhood of p.) For each element g of G, let h_g denote a homeomorphism of M onto g. Let X = $\{h_g \mid g \in G\}$. Then X is a subset of M^M , the space of all mappings (i.e. continuous transformations) of M into M, and, thus, is separable



and metric. Since every uncountable subset of X has a limit element, there is an element k of G such that h_k is a limit element of $\{h_q \mid g \in G \text{ and } k \subseteq g\}$, ([7], Theorem 6, p. 3). Thus there is a sequence $\{g_i\}$ of distinct elements of G which are proper subcontinua of G such that the sequence $\{h_{g_i}\}$ converges to G which are proper subcontinua of G such that the sequence $\{h_{g_i}\}$ converges to G which are proper subcontinua of G such that the sequence $\{h_{g_i}\}$ converges to the identity mapping of G onto itself and, for each G is a proper subcontinuum, G is G is homeomorphic to G, there also exists a sequence G of homeomorphisms, each throwing G onto a proper subcontinuum of G such that the sequence G converges to the identity mapping of G onto G. Thus, Lemma 1 is true.

IEMMA 2. If M is an hereditarily equivalent continuum, every mapping of M into a connected one-dimensional polyhedron is homotopic to a constant.

Proof. Suppose that Y is connected, one-dimensional polyhedron and there is an essential mapping of M into Y. Since the space Y^{M} of all mappings of M into Y is an ANR ([4], p. 260), if we show that there is an essential mapping of M into Y which is a limit point (in Y^{M}) of the set of inessential mapping of M into Y, we will have achieved a contradiction. Let f be a mapping of M onto Y which is essential; there is a subcontinuum K of M such that f|K is essential but, if K' is a proper subcontinuum of K, f|K' is inessential. Since K is homeomorphic to M, there is an essential mapping g of M into Y such that, if M' is a proper subcontinuum of M, q|M' is inessential. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since q is uniformly continuous, there is a positive number δ such that, if h is a mapping of M into M at a distance in M^M less than δ from the identity mapping of M onto M then $[g|h(M)] \circ h$ is a distance less than ε from g in $Y^{\overline{M}}$. But there is a homeomorphism h of M onto a proper subcontinuum M' of M which moves no point of M a distance greater than δ and g|M' is inessential. Thus, the distance in Y^M from g to $\lceil g \mid M' \rceil \circ h$ is less than ε and is inessential. Thus g is an essential mapping of M into Y which is a limit point in Y^{M} of the set of inessential mappings of M into Y, our contradiction. Hence, every mapping of M into a one-dimensional polyhedron is inessential.

LEMMA 3. Every hereditarily equivalent continuum is one-dimensional.

Proof. Suppose M is an hereditarily equivalent continuum and $\dim M > 1$. Then ([4], p. 271) there is a subcontinuum M' of M and an essential mapping f of M' onto a circle. But M' is hereditarily equivalent, a contradiction to Lemma 2.

THEOREM. Every hereditarily equivalent continuum is tree-like.

Proof. Every hereditarily equivalent continuum is a one-dimensional continuum each mapping of which onto a one-dimensional polyhedron is inessential and, thus, [1], Theorem 1, is tree-like.

Tree-likeness of hereditarily equivalent continua References

- J. H. Case and R. E. Chamberlin, Characterizations of tree-like continua, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), pp. 73-84.
- [2] D. W. Henderson, An infinite-dimensional compactum with no positive dimensional compact subsets—a simpler construction, Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967), pp. 105-121.
- [3] G. W. Henderson, Proof that every compact decomposable continuum which is topologically equivalent to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua is an arc, Ann. Math. 27 (1960), pp. 421-428.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, Topologie, II, Warszawa 1961.
- [5] S. Mazurkiewicz, Problem 14, Fund. Math. 2 (1921), p. 286.
- [6] E. E. Moïse, An indecomposable continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), pp. 581-594.
- [7] R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 13, New York 1962.
- [8] G. T. Whyburn, A continuum every subcontinuum of which separates the plane, Amer. J. Math. 52 (1930), pp. 319-330.

THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7.5.1969