

A note on transfinite dimension

by

A. R. Pears (London)

1. Introduction. This paper is concerned with the following sum theorem for large transfinite inductive dimension: if $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are closed and $\text{Ind } A, \text{Ind } B$ exist then $\text{Ind } X = \text{Max}(\text{Ind } A, \text{Ind } B)$. An example due to B. T. Levšenko [3] shows that this is not generally true even if X is a compact metric space. Recently D. W. Henderson has proved this result in the case that X is a hereditarily paracompact Hausdorff space and $\text{Ind } A \cap B$ is finite ([2], Proposition 1). Since the proof only requires the sum theorem for Ind for finite dimensional summands, Henderson's result holds in the wider class of totally normal spaces. In Theorem 1 of the present paper we prove that if X is completely normal, $\text{Ind } X \leq \text{Max}(\text{Ind } A, \text{Ind } B) \oplus (\text{Ind}(A \cap B) + 1)$ where \oplus denotes the 'lower sum' of ordinals introduced by G. H. Toulmin [6]. Henderson's proposition follows immediately from this (Corollary 2). Theorem 2 is a 'Urysohn inequality'. This is merely a reformulation of a theorem due to Levšenko ([4], Theorem 1). However, it might be of interest because it shows up the theorem more clearly as an extension of Yu. M. Smirnov's result [5] in the finite dimensional case. In § 2 the definition of large transfinite inductive dimension is given and some, of course well-known, properties required in the subsequent work are established. Toulmin's definition of the lower sum \oplus is recalled in § 3. § 4 contains the two theorems described above.

2. Transfinite dimension. Large transfinite inductive dimension is defined by transfinite induction as follows. $\text{Ind } X = -1$ if X is empty. $\text{Ind } X \leq \alpha$ if for each closed set E and each open set G such that $E \subset G$ there exists an open set \mathcal{U} such that $E \subset \mathcal{U} \subset G$ and $\text{Ind } b(\mathcal{U}) \leq \beta < \alpha$ where $b(\mathcal{U}) = \overline{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}$ is the boundary of \mathcal{U} . $\text{Ind } X = \alpha$ if $\text{Ind } X \leq \alpha$ and it is not true that $\text{Ind } X \leq \beta$ for any $\beta < \alpha$. X is said to have transfinite dimension if there exists an ordinal α such that $\text{Ind } X = \alpha$. To establish that X has transfinite dimension it is enough to establish that $\text{Ind } X \leq \alpha$ for some ordinal α , for the ordinals are well-ordered and hence there exists a first ordinal for which the inequality is satisfied.

We shall write $b(V)$ to denote the boundary of a subset V of a space X . If A is a subspace of X and $W \subset A$, the boundary of W in A will be denoted by $b_A(W)$.

LEMMA 1. If X has transfinite dimension and A is a closed subset of X , then A has transfinite dimension and $\text{Ind} A \leq \text{Ind} X$.

Proof. The proof is by transfinite induction. Suppose that the result is true for all spaces with transfinite dimension less than α and let $\text{Ind} X = \alpha$. Let $E \subset G \subset A$ where E is closed and G is open in A . Since A is closed, E is closed in X and $E \subset H$ where H is an open set of X such that $H \cap A = G$. Hence there exists an open set V such that

$$E \subset V \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b(V) \leq \beta < \alpha.$$

Let $U = V \cap A$. Then U is open in A , $E \subset U \subset G$ and

$$b_A(U) = \bar{U} - U \subset \bar{V} \cap A - V = b(V) \cap A.$$

Since $b_A(U)$ is a closed subset of $b(V)$ by the induction hypotheses $\text{Ind} b_A(U) \leq \beta < \alpha$. Hence $\text{Ind} A \leq \alpha$. It follows that A has transfinite dimension and $\text{Ind} A \leq \text{Ind} X$.

LEMMA 2. If X is the topological sum of spaces A, B which have transfinite dimension, then X has transfinite dimension and

$$\text{Ind} X = \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B).$$

Proof. The proof is by transfinite induction. Suppose that the result is true for the topological sum of spaces of transfinite dimension less than α and let $\text{Ind} A \leq \alpha$, $\text{Ind} B \leq \alpha$. If $E \subset G \subset X$ where E is closed and G is open then there exists V open in A such that $E \cap A \subset V \subset G \cap A$ and $\text{Ind} b_A(V) < \alpha$ and there exists W open in B such that $E \cap B \subset W \subset G \cap B$ and $\text{Ind} b_B(W) < \alpha$. If $U = V \cup W$ then U is open in X , $E \subset U \subset G$ and the boundary $b(U)$ of U is the topological sum of $b_A(V)$ and $b_B(W)$. Hence by the inductive hypothesis $\text{Ind} b(U) < \alpha$ and so $\text{Ind} X \leq \alpha$. Thus

$$\text{Ind} X \leq \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B).$$

Hence X has transfinite dimension and it follows from Lemma 1 that the reverse inequality holds. Thus we have

$$\text{Ind} X = \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B)$$

as was to be shown.

LEMMA 3. If X is a completely normal space and A is a subspace such that $\text{Ind} A \leq \alpha$ then if $E \subset G \subset X$ where E is closed and G is open there exists an open set U such that

$$E \subset U \subset \bar{U} \subset G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b(U) \cap A < \alpha.$$

Proof. Since X is normal there exist open sets L, M such that

$$E \subset L \subset \bar{L} \subset M \subset \bar{M} \subset G.$$

$\bar{L} \cap A$ is closed in A , $M \cap A$ is open in A and $\bar{L} \cap A \subset M \cap A$. Hence there exists H open in A such that

$$\bar{L} \cap A \subset H \subset M \cap A \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b_A(H) < \alpha.$$

Let $P = E \cup H$, $Q = A - \bar{H}$. Then $\bar{P} = E \cup \bar{H}$ and it is clear that $\bar{P} \cap Q = \emptyset$. $Q \subset A - H$ which is closed in A so that $\bar{Q} \cap A \subset A - H$ and it follows that $H \cap \bar{Q} = \emptyset$. And $A - H \subset X - L$ which is closed in X so that $\bar{Q} \subset X - L$ and it follows that $E \cap \bar{Q} = \emptyset$. Hence $P \cap \bar{Q} = \emptyset$. Since X is completely normal there exists W open in X such that

$$E \cup H \subset W \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{W} \cap (A - \bar{H}) = \emptyset.$$

Let $U = M \cap W$. Then U is open in X and $E \subset U \subset \bar{U} \subset G$. Since $U \subset W$, $\bar{U} \cap (A - \bar{H}) = \emptyset$ and so $\bar{U} \cap A \subset \bar{H}$. But $H \subset U$ and so we have $\bar{U} \cap A = \bar{H} \cap A$. Hence

$$b(U) \cap A = \bar{U} \cap A - U = \bar{H} \cap A - U \subset \bar{H} \cap A - H = b_A(H).$$

$b(U) \cap A$ is closed in A and so is closed in $b_A(H)$. Thus by Lemma 1, $\text{Ind}(b(U) \cap A) < \alpha$.

3. Ordinal arithmetic. We recall some definitions due to Toulmin. A well-ordered set A is said to be a *shuffling* of well-ordered sets B and C if $A = B' \cup C'$ where B' and C' are disjoint sets order-isomorphic with B and C respectively. An ordinal number α is a *shuffling* of ordinals β, γ if there are sets A, B, C with ordinals α, β, γ respectively such that A is a shuffling of B and C . If β, γ are given ordinals then there is at least one ordinal shuffling β and γ , namely the sum $\beta + \gamma$, for it is clear that the well-ordered set $B + C$ (i.e. B followed by C) shuffles B and C . The *lower sum* $\beta \oplus \gamma$ is the least ordinal shuffling β and γ . Clearly \oplus is commutative and associative.

Toulmin obtains a shuffling of well-ordered sets which gives rise to the lower sum of their ordinals. Let B and C be disjoint well-ordered sets with ordinals β, γ respectively. Then there is an order-isomorphism between the initial segment of the ordinals $\{\xi \mid \xi < \beta\}$ and B ; let the image of ξ under this isomorphism be b_ξ . Similarly we can label the elements of C (in order) c_η for $0 \leq \eta < \gamma$. Then $B \cup C$ with the order \prec given by

$$b_\xi \prec c_\eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \xi \leq \eta$$

is a well-ordered set whose ordinal is $\beta \oplus \gamma$. From this Toulmin obtains the following rule: if $\alpha = \alpha' + p$, $\beta = \beta' + q$ where α', β' are limit ordinals and p, q are non-negative integers then

$$\alpha \oplus \beta = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha' > \beta', \\ \alpha + q = \beta + p & \text{if } \alpha' = \beta', \\ \beta & \text{if } \alpha' < \beta'. \end{cases}$$

We make the following convention if just one of the summands is -1 .

$$\alpha \oplus (-1) = (-1) \oplus \alpha = \begin{cases} \alpha - 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha < \omega \\ \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \geq \omega \end{cases}$$

where ω is the first infinite ordinal.

For each ordered pair (α, β) of ordinals such that $\alpha \geq \beta$ let

$$\theta(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \oplus (\beta + 1).$$

And for each ordered pair (α, β) of ordinals let

$$\psi(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Max}(\alpha, \beta) \oplus (\text{Min}(\alpha, \beta) + 1).$$

It is clear that θ, ψ are non-decreasing in each argument.

In the next section we shall need the following strict inequalities.

LEMMA 4. (a) If $\gamma < \alpha$ then $\theta(\alpha, \gamma) < \theta(\alpha, \alpha)$.

(b) If $\beta \leq \gamma < \alpha$ then $\theta(\gamma, \beta) < \theta(\alpha, \beta)$.

(c) If $\alpha \geq \beta$ and $\gamma < \alpha$ then $\psi(\gamma, \beta) < \psi(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. (a) Let $\alpha = \alpha' + p$ where α' is a limit ordinal and $p \geq 0$ is an integer.

If $\gamma < \alpha'$ then

$$\theta(\alpha, \gamma) = \alpha < \alpha + p + 1 = \theta(\alpha, \alpha).$$

If $\gamma = \alpha' + s$ where s is an integer and $0 \leq s < p$ then

$$\theta(\alpha, \gamma) = \alpha + s + 1 < \alpha + p + 1 = \theta(\alpha, \alpha).$$

(b) Let $\alpha = \alpha' + p$ where α' is a limit ordinal and $p \geq 0$ is an integer. Then if $\beta \leq \gamma < \alpha'$

$$\theta(\gamma, \beta) < \alpha' \leq \alpha = \theta(\alpha, \beta).$$

If $\beta < \alpha' \leq \gamma$ then

$$\theta(\gamma, \beta) = \gamma < \alpha = \theta(\alpha, \beta).$$

If $\beta = \alpha' + q$, $\gamma = \alpha' + s$ where q, s are integers and $0 \leq q \leq s < p$ then

$$\theta(\gamma, \beta) = \alpha' + s + q + 1 < \alpha' + p + q + 1 = \theta(\alpha, \beta).$$

(c)

$$\psi(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \theta(\alpha, \beta) & \text{if } \alpha \geq \beta, \\ \theta(\beta, \alpha) & \text{if } \alpha < \beta. \end{cases}$$

Thus if $\beta \leq \gamma < \alpha$

$$\psi(\gamma, \beta) = \theta(\gamma, \beta) < \theta(\alpha, \beta) = \psi(\alpha, \beta).$$

If $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ then

$$\psi(\gamma, \beta) = \theta(\beta, \gamma) < \theta(\alpha, \gamma) \leq \theta(\alpha, \beta) = \psi(\alpha, \beta).$$

Finally if $\gamma < \alpha$, then

$$\psi(\gamma, \alpha) = \theta(\alpha, \gamma) < \theta(\alpha, \alpha) = \psi(\alpha, \alpha).$$

4. The theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a completely normal space which is the union of two closed subsets A and B . If $\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B$ exist then

$$\text{Ind} X \leq \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B) \oplus (\text{Ind}(A \cap B) + 1).$$

Proof. If $\text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B) = \alpha$ and $\text{Ind}(A \cap B) = \beta$ then, in the notation of the preceding paragraph, we must prove that $\text{Ind} X \leq \theta(\alpha, \beta)$. The proof is by transfinite induction.

Let $[\alpha', \beta']$ denote the statement: if A' and B' are closed subsets of X and $\text{Max}(\text{Ind} A', \text{Ind} B') = \alpha'$, $\text{Ind} A' \cap B' = \beta'$, then $\text{Ind} A' \cup B' \leq \theta(\alpha', \beta')$. By Lemma 2, $[\alpha', -1]$ is true. Let us suppose that $[\alpha', \beta']$ is true if $\beta' < \beta$ or if $\beta' = \beta$ and $\beta \leq \alpha' < \alpha$ and prove that $[a, \beta]$ is true. Thus let A, B be closed subsets of X such that $\text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B) = \alpha$, $\text{Ind} A \cap B = \beta$ and let $Y = A \cup B$.

Suppose first that $\alpha = \beta$. If $E \subset G \subset Y$ where E is closed and G open in Y then by Lemma 3 there exists U open in Y such that

$$E \subset U \subset G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b(U) \cap A \cap B = \gamma < \alpha.$$

Now $b(U) = [b(U) \cap A] \cup [b(U) \cap B]$. Moreover $\text{Ind} b(U) \cap A \leq \alpha$ and $\text{Ind} b(U) \cap B \leq \alpha$. Thus since $[a, \gamma]$ is true by hypothesis, we have

$$\text{Ind} b(U) \leq \theta(\alpha, \gamma).$$

But by Lemma 4 (a), $\theta(\alpha, \gamma) < \theta(\alpha, \alpha)$. Thus we have an open set U of Y such that

$$E \subset U \subset G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b(U) < \theta(\alpha, \alpha).$$

Hence $\text{Ind} Y < \theta(\alpha, \alpha)$.

Now suppose $\alpha > \beta$. If $E \subset G \subset Y$ where E is closed and G is open in Y , then by Lemma 3 there exists U open in Y such that

$$E \subset U \subset G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b(U) \cap A < \alpha.$$

And there exists V open in Y such that

$$E \subset V \subset \bar{V} \subset U \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind} b(V) \cap B < \alpha.$$

Let W be the interior (in Y) of $(A \cap U) \cup (B \cap V)$. Then W is open in Y and since $V \subset W \subset U$ we have $E \subset W \subset G$. Clearly $W - A = V - A$. If $x \notin A$ and $x \notin \bar{V}$ then $X - A \cup \bar{V}$ is an open neighbourhood of x which does not meet V and so does not meet W . Thus $\bar{W} - A = \bar{V} - A$ and it follows that

$$b(W) - A = b(V) - A \subset b(V) \cap B.$$

Similarly $b(W) - B \subset b(U) \cap A$. Thus

$$b(W) \subset (b(U) \cap A) \cup (b(V) \cap B) \cup (A \cap B).$$

Since $\bar{V} \subset U$, $b(U)$ and $b(V)$ are disjoint. Thus if $C = (b(U) \cap A) \cup (b(V) \cap B)$, C is the topological sum of $b(U) \cap A$ and $b(V) \cap B$ and it follows that $\text{Ind} C = \alpha' < \alpha$. Hence $\text{Max}(\text{Ind} C, \text{Ind} A \cap B) = \text{Max}(\alpha', \beta) = \gamma < \alpha$ and $\text{Ind}(C \cup A \cap B) = \delta \leq \beta$. By hypothesis $[\gamma, \delta]$ is true and so

$$\text{Ind} C \cup (A \cap B) \leq \theta(\gamma, \delta) \leq \theta(\gamma, \beta).$$

But by Lemma 4 (b), $\theta(\gamma, \beta) < \theta(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus, since $b(W)$ is a closed subset of $C \cup (A \cap B)$ we have $\text{Ind} b(W) < \theta(\alpha, \beta)$. It follows that $\text{Ind} Y \leq \theta(\alpha, \beta)$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a completely normal space which is the union of two closed subsets A and B . If $\text{Ind} A \cap B$ is finite, $\text{Ind} A$, $\text{Ind} B$ exist, and at least one of $\text{Ind} A$, $\text{Ind} B$ is infinite then*

$$\text{Ind} X = \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B).$$

Proof. If $\text{Ind} A \cap B$ is finite, and $\text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B)$ is infinite then the theorem gives

$$\text{Ind} X \leq \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B).$$

Since X has transfinite dimension the reverse inequality holds (Lemma 1) and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be a totally normal space which is the union of two closed subsets A and B . If $\text{Ind} A \cap B$ is finite and $\text{Ind} A$, $\text{Ind} B$ exist then*

$$\text{Ind} X = \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B).$$

Proof. The case in which $\text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B)$ is infinite is dealt with in Corollary 1. But if $\text{Ind} A$ and $\text{Ind} B$ are finite then the result is also known to be true ([1], Theorem 3).

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a completely normal space which is the union of subsets A and B . If $\text{Ind} A$ and $\text{Ind} B$ exist then*

$$\text{Ind} X \leq \text{Max}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B) \oplus (\text{Min}(\text{Ind} A, \text{Ind} B) + 1).$$

Proof. We must prove that if $\text{Ind} A = \alpha$ and $\text{Ind} B = \beta$ then $\text{Ind} X \leq \psi(\alpha, \beta)$. The proof is by transfinite induction.

Let $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$ be the statement: if $A', B' \subset X$ and $\text{Ind} A' = \alpha'$, $\text{Ind} B' = \beta'$ then $\text{Ind} A' \cup B' \leq \psi(\alpha', \beta')$. Let us suppose that $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$ is true if $\text{Min}(\alpha', \beta') < \beta$ or if $\text{Min}(\alpha', \beta') = \beta$ and $\text{Max}(\alpha', \beta') < \alpha$ and then prove $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ where $\alpha \geq \beta$.

Let $A, B \subset X$ and let $\text{Ind} A = \alpha$, $\text{Ind} B = \beta$ where $\alpha \geq \beta$. Let $Y = A \cup B$ and let $E \subset G \subset Y$ where E is closed and G is open. By Lemma 3 there exists U open in Y such that $E \subset U \subset G$ and $\text{Ind} b(U) \cap A = \gamma < \alpha$. And by Lemma 1, $\text{Ind} b(U) \cap B = \delta \leq \beta$. But

$$b(U) = (b(U) \cap A) \cup (b(U) \cap B)$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $\{\gamma, \delta\}$ is true. Thus

$$\text{Ind} b(U) \leq \psi(\gamma, \delta) \leq \psi(\gamma, \beta) < \psi(\alpha, \beta)$$

where the last inequality is supplied by Lemma 4 (c). It follows that $\text{Ind} A \cup B \leq \psi(\alpha, \beta)$ which completes the proof.

References

- [1] C. H. Dowker, *Inductive dimension of completely normal spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxf. Ser. (2), 4 (1953), pp. 267-281.
- [2] D. W. Henderson, *A lower bound for transfinite dimension*, Fund. Math. 63 (1968), pp. 167-173.
- [3] Б. Т. Левшенко, *О бесконечномерных пространствах*, ДАН СССР, 139 (1961), pp. 286-289. English translation: *On infinite-dimensional spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 2 (1961), pp. 915-918.
- [4] — *Пространства трансфинитной размерности*, Матем. сб. 67 (109) (1965), pp. 255-266.
- [5] Ю. М. Смирнов, *Некоторые соотношения в теории размерности*, Матем. сб. 29 (71) (1951), pp. 157-172.
- [6] G. H. Toulmin, *Shuffling ordinals and transfinite dimension*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 4 (1954), pp. 177-195.

QUEEN ELIZABETH COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 6. 1969