ACTA ARITHMETICA XXI (1972)

On a diophantine equation

by

E. Trost (Zürich)

Let $r \neq 0$ be an element of a field K with characteristic $p \geqslant 0$ and $n \geqslant 2$ an integer. In this note we consider the diophantine equation

(1)
$$D(n,r) = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_2 & x_3 & \dots & rx_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & rx_1 & \dots & rx_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

(1) is said to be *solvable* in K if there is a solution $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \neq (0, 0, ..., 0)$, $x_i \in K$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n). In the real field (1) is solvable if $r^{1/n}$ is real. Putting in this case $x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_{n-1} = 1$ we get for x_n the equation (see [3], p. 99)

$$(x_n-r)^n-r(x_n-1)^n=0$$
 yielding $x_n=(r\mp r^{1/n})(1\mp r^{1/n})^{-1}$.

For r < 0 and even n there are equations (1) without non-trivial real solutions, for example $rx_1^2 - x_2^2 = 0$.

THEOREM 1. (1) is solvable in K in two cases;

(2)
$$\begin{cases} 1) \ r = s^d, \ d \mid n, \ d > 1, \ s \in K, \\ 2) \ r = -4t^4, \ 4 \mid n, \ t \in K. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let K[x] be the ring of polynomials with coefficients in K. K[x] contains $f(x) = x^n - r$. Let R denote the quotient ring K[x]/(f(x)). We take from R the elements

$$a = x_n + x_{n-1}x + \dots + x_1x^{n-1}, \quad b = y_1 + y_2x + \dots + y_nx^{n-1}$$

and suppose

(3)
$$ab = 0, (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \neq (0, 0, ..., 0).$$

(3) leads to the following system of n linear equations with n unknowns y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n

(4)
$$x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \ldots + x_n y_n = 0, \\ \vdots \\ x_n y_1 + r x_1 y_2 + \ldots + r x_{n-1} y_n = 0.$$

The determinant of the system (4) is D(n,r). (4) has a non-trivial solution if and only if D(n,r) = 0. In this case a, b are zero divisors in R. Whenever f(x) is irreducible in K, R is a field and has therefore no zero divisors. Thus the solvability of (1) implies the reducibility of f(x) in K. By Capelli's theorem (see [4], p. 662) f(x) is reducible in K if and only if (2) holds (1). Now we give a solution in each of the cases (2).

- 1) $x_{km+i} = s^k$, $s \in K$, $m = nd^{-1}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, k = 0, 1, ..., d-1. Setting these values in (1) and denoting the kth row of the resulting determinant by the vector Z_k we have $sZ_1 = Z_{m+1}$. Thus the determinant vanishes.
- 2) $x_i = 0$, $x_{m+i} = 1$, $x_{2m+i} = -2t$, $x_{3m+i} = 2t^2$, $t \in K$, m = n/4, i = 1, $2, \ldots, m$. In this case we observe the relation $tZ_1 + Z_{m+1} = -(2t)^{-1}Z_{2m+1}$. Thus the determinant vanishes.

We can give the complete solution of a solvable equation (1) whenever n is a prime number and K the field Q of rational numbers. In this case we have $r = s^n$.

THEOREM 2. In Q the complete solution of

(5)
$$D(n, s^n) = 0, \quad n \text{ a prime, } s \in Q,$$

is given by $(a, as, ..., as^{n-1})$, $a \in Q$, and $s^{n-1}x_1 + s^{n-2}x_2 + ... + x_n = 0$. Proof. Let A_n denote the cyclic determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_n \\ y_2 & y_3 & \dots & y_1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_n & y_1 & \dots & y_{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

By setting $x_i = s^{i-1}y_i$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) in (5) we get $D(n, s^n) = s^{n(n-1)}A_n$. It is known (see [1], p. 160) that

$$A_n = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} [y_1 + y_2 \xi^r + \ldots + y_n \xi^{(n-1)r}], \quad \xi = e^{2\pi i/n}.$$

From $A_n = 0$ we infer

$$\sum y_i = 0$$
 or $\sum y_i \xi^{(i-1)\nu} = 0$ $(\nu = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$.

The first relation yields $\sum s^{1-i}x_i = 0$ or, by multiplying by s^{n-1} , $\sum s^{n-i}x_i = 0$. Since the cyclotomic polynomial $1+z+z^2+\ldots+z^{n-1}$ is irreducible in Q from each of the remaining n-1 relations follows $y_1 = y_2 = \ldots = y_n = a$. Therefore we have the solution $(a, as, \ldots, as^{n-1})$.

It is an interesting question whether local solvability of a diophantine equation implies global solvability (i.e. solution in integers). In the case of (1) for n a prime and r an integer p-adic fields are not required. It suffices to suppose solvability for almost all(2) finite fields F_p of residues modulo a prime p.

THEOREM 3. Let r be an integer $\neq 0$ and n a prime. The equation D(n,r)=0 is solvable in integers if and only if the congruence $D(n,r)\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\,p)$ is solvable for almost all primes p with $(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n,p)=1$.

Proof. From the solvability of D(n,r) = 0 in F_p $(p \neq n)$ follows by Theorem 1 that r is a nth power residue modulo p. If r has this property for almost all primes there exists a rational integer s such that $r = s^n$ [6]. Applying again Theorem 1 completes the proof.

In [2] L. J. Mordell remarks that it is very difficult to prove the non-existence of integer solutions of the general equation

$$ax^3 + by^3 + cz^3 - dxyz = 0.$$

By setting n=3 in (1) we get an equation of this type with $a=r^2$, b=r, c=1, d=3r. It is obvious that the impossibility of this equation for $r \neq s^3$ can easily be shown by divisibility considerations.

Added in proof (12. 4. 1972): (1) is a special case of an equation treated 1895 by E. Maillet by means of the theory of recurring series (see L. E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, vol. II, p. 695, New York 1966).

In chapter 7, § 2 of his monograph The Jacobi-Perron Algorithm, its Theory and Applications (Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 207, Springer 1971) L. Bernstein deals with the equation $D(n, r) = \pm 1$ where r is a positive integer.

References

- [1] W. Gröbner, Matrizenrechnung, München 1956.
- [2] L. J. Mordell, Diophantine Equations, London 1969.
- [3] G. Pólya und G. Szegö, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis II, New York 1945.
- [4] L. Rédei, Algebra, Leipzig 1959.
- [5] E. Trost, Problem 550, Solution by the Proposer, El. Math. 23 (1968), p. 66.
- [6] Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde 18 (1934), pp. 58-61.

⁽¹⁾ This part of the proof was given in [5].

^{(2) &}quot;Almost all" primes means that the sequence of exceptional primes has Kronecker-density zero.