

# Closure-preserving covers

by

Rastislav Telgársky (Bratislava)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact space. If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -locally compact ( $\sigma$ -discrete), then  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover consisting of compact (resp. finite) sets. If  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by finite sets, then  $X$  is totally paracompact. These and some more general results are established by considering order locally finite and order star-finite covers.

The present paper is concerned with covering properties of topological spaces. We shall study the properties

(1) the space  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover consisting of compact subsets of  $X$ ,

(2) the space  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover consisting of finite subsets of  $X$ , and some related ones.

H. Tamano [10] put forward the question whether (1) implies the paracompactness of  $X$ . This question has been answered negatively by H. B. Potoczny [7]. In paper [8] he describes the remarkable structural characteristics of spaces possessing property (1). We dealt with property (1) and its related property in [12]. Paper [14] (announced in [13]) contains several results concerning (1) and (2) established by game-theoretical methods.

The topological terminology is that of [2]. Each space is assumed to be completely regular. Natural numbers are denoted by the letters  $m, n, k, \dots$  and ordinal numbers are denoted by the letters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots, \xi, \eta, \zeta, \dots$

Recall that a collection  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is said to be *order locally finite* if we can introduce a well-ordering  $<$  in the index set  $I$  so that for each  $i \in I$  the family  $\{A_j: j < i\}$  is locally finite at each point of  $A_i$ .

Since every well-ordered set is order-isomorphic to an initial segment of ordinal numbers, we shall use the notation  $\{A_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  instead of  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$ . Order locally finite covers were introduced and studied by Y. Katuta [3].

We say that a collection  $\{A_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is *order star-finite* if for each  $\xi < \alpha$  the set  $A_\xi$  meets at most finitely many  $A_\eta$  with  $\eta < \xi$ .

Clearly, every order star-finite collection of open sets in  $X$  is order locally finite.

LEMMA 1. Let  $X$  be a paracompact space with  $\dim X = 0$ . If  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , where  $E_\xi$  is closed in  $X$  and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , then  $X$  has two order star-finite covers  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  and  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$ , where  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  refines  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ ,  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  refines  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , and  $F_\eta$  is closed in  $X$  and  $V_\eta$  is an open nbhd of  $F_\eta$  for each  $\eta < \beta$ .

Proof. We define, by induction with respect to  $\xi$ , two discrete families  $\{E_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  and  $\{U_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  of subsets of  $X$  so that  $E_\xi = \bigcup \{E_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  and  $E_{\xi,i} \subseteq U_{\xi,i} \subseteq U_\xi$  for each  $i \in I_\xi$ . We set  $\{E_{0,i}: i \in I_0\} = \{E_0\}$  and  $\{U_{0,i}: i \in I_0\} = \{U_0\}$ . Let us assume that for some  $\xi < \alpha$  and for each  $\eta < \xi$  the families  $\{E_{\eta,i}: i \in I_\eta\}$  and  $\{U_{\eta,i}: i \in I_\eta\}$  are defined. For each point  $x \in E_\xi$  there is an open nbhd  $U_x$ , where  $U_x \subseteq U_\xi$  and  $U_x$  meets at most finitely many  $U_\eta$  with  $\eta < \xi$ . Thus the set  $K_x = \{\eta < \xi: U_x \cap U_\eta \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Let  $\eta \in K_x$ . The family  $\{U_{\eta,i}: i \in I_\eta\}$  is discrete (in  $X$ ), therefore there exists an open nbhd  $U_{x,\eta}$  of  $x$ , where  $U_{x,\eta} \subseteq U_x$  and  $U_{x,\eta}$  meets at most one  $U_{\eta,i}$  with  $i \in I_\eta$ . Let us put  $V_x = U_x$  if  $K_x = \emptyset$ , and  $V_x = \bigcap \{U_{x,\eta}: \eta \in K_x\}$  if  $K_x \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $V_x$  is an open nbhd of  $x$  and  $V_x$  meets at most finitely many sets  $U_{\eta,i}$  with  $i \in I_\eta$  and  $\eta < \xi$ . Since  $\{V_x: x \in E_\xi\}$  is an open cover of  $E_\xi$  and  $X$  is a paracompact space with  $\dim X = 0$ , there is a discrete family  $\{U_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  of closed-open subsets of  $X$ , where  $\{U_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  covers  $E_\xi$  and refines  $\{V_x: x \in E_\xi\}$ . Let us put  $E_{\xi,i} = E_\xi \cap U_{\xi,i}$  for each  $i \in I_\xi$ . Now the families  $\{E_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  and  $\{U_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi\}$  have the desired properties. Thus  $\{E_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi$  and  $\xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi$  and  $\xi < \alpha\}$  are defined. Let  $<_\xi$  be a well-ordering of  $I_\xi$ , where  $\xi < \alpha$ . Then we define a well-ordering  $<$  of  $\{(\xi, i): i \in I_\xi$  and  $\xi < \alpha\}$  as follows:  $(\xi, i) < (\eta, j)$  if and only if  $\xi < \eta$ , or  $\xi = \eta$  and  $i <_\xi j$ . Hence the covers  $\{E_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi$  and  $\xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_{\xi,i}: i \in I_\xi$  and  $\xi < \alpha\}$  are order star-finite. Thus we may write  $E_{\xi,i} = F_\eta$  and  $U_{\xi,i} = V_\eta$ , where  $i \in I_\xi$ ,  $\xi < \alpha$ ,  $\eta < \beta$  and  $\{(\xi, i): i \in I_\xi$  and  $\xi < \alpha\}$  is order-isomorphic to  $\{\eta: \eta < \beta\}$ . The covers  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  and  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  satisfy our requirements.

Remark 1. Lemma 1 will remain true if we replace " $X$  is paracompact and  $\dim X = 0$ " by " $X$  is strongly paracompact" or by " $X$  is paracompact and  $E_\xi$  is a locally compact closed subset of  $X$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ ".

Recall that a collection  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is said to be closure-preserving if for each  $J \subseteq I$  we have  $\text{cl} \bigcup \{A_i: i \in J\} = \bigcup \{\text{cl} A_i: i \in J\}$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $X$  has two order star-finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , where  $E_\xi$  is closed in  $X$  and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , then there is a family  $\{T_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  of finite subsets of  $\{\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  so that  $\{\bigcup \{E_\eta: \eta \in T_\xi\}: \xi < \alpha\}$  is a closure-preserving cover of  $X$ .

Proof. Let  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  be the order star-finite covers. We set  $T_\xi^0 = \{\xi\}$  and  $T_\xi^n = \{\eta < \xi: U_\eta \cap U_\xi \neq \emptyset\}$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . Assume that  $T_\xi^n$  is defined for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then we set  $T_\xi^{n+1} = \bigcup \{T_\eta^n: \eta \in T_\xi^n\}$ . It is easy to prove (by induction with respect to  $n$ ) that each  $T_\xi^n$  is a finite set. Now let us put  $T_\xi = \bigcup \{T_\xi^n: n \geq 0\}$ . It is also easy to prove (by induction with respect to  $\xi$ ) that each  $T_\xi$  is a finite set. Let us put  $F_\xi = \bigcup \{E_\eta: \eta \in T_\xi\}$ , where  $\xi < \alpha$ . We shall now prove that the family  $\{F_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  is closure-preserving. Let  $S \subseteq \{\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , let

$$x \in \text{cl} \bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in S\}$$

and let  $\beta = \inf\{\xi: x \in E_\xi\}$ . Since  $x \in E_\beta \subseteq U_\beta$ , it follows that  $U_\beta \cap \bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in S\} \neq \emptyset$ . However,

$$\bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in S\} = \bigcup \{E_\eta: \eta \in T_\xi^n, n \geq 0 \text{ and } \xi \in S\}.$$

Thus the set  $R = \{\eta: U_\beta \cap E_\eta \neq \emptyset, \eta \in T_\xi^n, n \geq 0 \text{ and } \xi \in S\}$  is non-void.

Case 1.  $\sup R \leq \beta$ . Then  $R$  is finite, because the family  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  is order star-finite. Hence  $x \in \bigcup \{E_\eta: \eta \in R\} \subseteq \bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in S\}$ .

Case 2.  $\sup R > \beta$ . Then there exist a  $\eta \in R$  with  $\eta > \beta$ . Hence there exist a  $n \geq 0$  and  $\xi \in S$  such that  $\eta \in T_\xi^n$  and  $\beta \in T_\xi^{n+1}$ , because  $U_\beta \cap U_\eta \neq \emptyset$  and  $\beta < \eta$ . Since  $x \in E_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in T_\xi$  and  $\xi \in S$ , thus  $x \in \bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in S\}$ . Therefore  $\{F_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  is closure-preserving.

LEMMA 3 (K. Nagami [6]). For each paracompact space  $X$  there is a paracompact space  $X'$  with  $\dim X' = 0$ , so that there is a perfect map  $f$  from  $X'$  onto  $X$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , then  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by compact sets.

Proof. By a theorem of Y. Katuta [3] the space under the assumption of Theorem 1 is paracompact. Thus, by Lemma 3, there is a paracompact space  $X'$  with  $\dim X' = 0$ , so that there is a perfect map  $f$  from  $X'$  onto  $X$ . It is easy to verify that  $\{f^{-1}(E_\xi): \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{f^{-1}(U_\xi): \xi < \alpha\}$  are order locally finite covers of  $X'$ , where  $f^{-1}(E_\xi)$  is compact and  $f^{-1}(U_\xi)$  is an open nbhd of  $f^{-1}(E_\xi)$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . Applying Lemma 1, we get two order star-finite covers  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  and  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  of  $X'$ , where  $F_\eta$  is compact and  $V_\eta$  is an open nbhd of  $F_\eta$  for each  $\eta < \beta$ . Applying Lemma 2, we get the family  $\{T_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  of finite subsets of  $\{\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  so that the family  $\{H_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$ , where  $H_\eta = \bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in T_\eta\}$ , is closure-preserving and covers  $X'$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and closed,  $\{f(H_\eta): \eta < \beta\}$  is a closure-preserving cover of  $X$  by compact sets (see [12], Theorem 2).

EXAMPLE 1. A paracompact scattered space  $X^*$  having a closure-preserving cover by finite sets and having no two order locally finite

covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ .

At first we shall construct a space  $X$  by a modification of the construction which has been used by H. B. Potoczny [7]. We set

$$X = \{(a, \beta): a \leq \beta < \omega_2\}, \quad D = \{(a, a): a < \omega_2\},$$

and

$$G_a = \{(a, a)\} \cup \{(a, \xi): a < \xi < \omega_2\} \cup \{(\xi, a): \xi < a\} \quad \text{for each } a < \omega_2.$$

We define a topology of  $X$  as follows: if  $(a, \beta) \in X - D$ , then the singleton  $\{(a, \beta)\}$  is a basic open set; if  $(a, a) \in D$ , then  $G_a - H_a$ , where  $H_a$  is a countable subset of  $X - D$ , is a basic open set. It is clear that the sets described above constitute a basis for a topology of  $X$ .

Every point of  $X - D$  is an isolated point and  $G_a \cap D = \{(a, a)\}$  for each  $a < \omega_2$ . Therefore  $D$  is a closed discrete subset of  $X$ . Thus the space  $X$  is scattered.

Every countable subset  $H$  of  $X$  is closed, because

$$X - H = \bigcup \{G_a - H: a < \omega_2\}.$$

The basic open sets in  $X$  are simultaneously closed, because

$$X - \{(a, \beta)\} = \bigcup \{G_\gamma - \{(a, \beta)\}: \gamma < \omega_2\} \quad \text{whenever } a < \beta < \omega_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X - (G_a - H_a) &= H_a \cup \bigcup \{G_\beta - \{(\beta, a)\}: a < \beta\} \cup \\ &\cup \bigcup \{G_\beta - \{(a, \beta)\}: a < \beta < \omega_2\} \quad \text{for each } a < \omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

$X$  is a Hausdorff space, because the basic open sets separate the points.

Since each countable subset of  $X$  is closed, there is no infinite compact subset in  $X$ ; i.e. each compact subset of  $X$  is finite.

Since each set  $G_a$  has the Lindelöf property,  $X$  is a locally Lindelöf space.

$\{G_a: a < \omega_2\}$  is a point-finite cover of  $X$ , because  $G_a \cap G_\beta = \{(a, \beta)\}$ , where  $a < \beta < \omega_2$ .

The space  $X$  is metacompact, because it has the point-finite cover  $\{G_a: a < \omega_2\}$  by the closed-open Lindelöf sets  $G_a$ .

For each countable subset  $H$  of  $X$  there is a closed-open Lindelöf subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $H \subseteq U$  and  $U \cap D = H \cap D$ . Namely, we set  $U = (H - D) \cup \bigcup \{G_a: (a, a) \in H \cap D\}$ . Since  $H - D$  is a countable set of isolated points,  $H - D$  is a closed-open set. Since  $\bigcup \{G_a: (a, a) \in H \cap D\}$  is open, it remains to show that the set is closed. If  $(\beta, \beta) \in D - H$ , then

$G_\beta \cap \bigcup \{G_a: (a, a) \in H \cap D\}$  is a countable subset of  $X - D$ . Thus  $G_\beta - \bigcup \{G_a: (a, a) \in H \cap D\}$  is a basic nbhd of  $(\beta, \beta)$ . Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} X - \bigcup \{G_a: (a, a) \in H \cap D\} \\ = \bigcup \{G_\beta - \bigcup \{G_a: (a, a) \in H \cap D\}: (\beta, \beta) \in D - H\} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the assertion follows.

We set  $F_{a,\beta} = \{(a, a), (a, \beta), (\beta, \beta)\}$  for each  $(a, \beta) \in X - D$ . The family  $\{F_{a,\beta}: (a, \beta) \in X - D\}$  covers  $X$ . We shall prove that the family is a closure-preserving one. Let  $S \subseteq X - D$  and let  $x \in \text{cl} \bigcup \{F_{a,\beta}: (a, \beta) \in S\}$ . If  $x$  is an isolated point of  $X$ , then obviously  $x \in \bigcup \{F_{a,\beta}: (a, \beta) \in S\}$ . Thus we may assume that  $x = (\gamma, \gamma) \in D$ . Since  $G_\gamma$  is a basic nbhd of  $(\gamma, \gamma)$ , we have  $G_\gamma \cap \bigcup \{F_{a,\beta}: (a, \beta) \in S\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $G_\gamma \cap F_{a,\beta} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $(a, \beta) \in S$ . Since  $F_{a,\beta}$  is a three-point set, we shall consider three cases.

Case 1.  $(a, a) \in G_\gamma$ . Then  $a = \gamma$  and so  $(\gamma, \gamma) \in F_{a,\beta}$ .

Case 2.  $(a, \beta) \in G_\gamma$ . Since  $a < \beta$ , we have  $a = \gamma$ . Thus  $(\gamma, \gamma) \in F_{a,\beta}$ .

Case 3.  $(\beta, \beta) \in G_\gamma$ . Then  $\beta = \gamma$  and so  $(\gamma, \gamma) \in F_{a,\beta}$ .

The space  $X$  is not normal, because the sets  $\{(a, a): a \leq \omega_1\}$  and  $\{(a, a): \omega_1 < a < \omega_2\}$  cannot be separated by open sets. In order to prove this, let  $U$  be an open nbhd of  $\{(a, a): a \leq \omega_1\}$  in  $X$ . For each  $a \leq \omega_1$  there is a basic open set  $U_a$  with  $(a, a) \in U_a \subseteq U$ . For each  $a \leq \omega_1$  we have  $U_a = G_a - H_a$ , where  $H_a$  is a countable subset of  $X - D$ . Let us set

$$S_1 = \{\xi < \omega_2: (\eta, \xi) \in H_a \text{ for some } \eta < \omega_2 \text{ and for some } a \leq \omega_1\},$$

$$S_2 = \{\xi < \omega_2: (\xi, \eta) \in H_a \text{ for some } \eta < \omega_2 \text{ and for some } a \leq \omega_1\}$$

and

$$S = \{\xi: \xi \leq \omega_1\} \cup S_1 \cup S_2.$$

Then  $\text{card } S < \aleph_2$ . Hence there is an ordinal  $\gamma < \omega_2$  for which  $\sup S < \gamma$ . Clearly  $(\gamma, \gamma) \notin \bigcup \{U_a: a \leq \omega_1\}$ . Since  $\gamma \notin S$ , we have  $(a, \gamma) \notin H_a$  for each  $a \leq \omega_1$ . Thus  $(a, \gamma) \in U_a$  for each  $a \leq \omega_1$ . Finally, we shall show that  $(\gamma, \gamma) \in \text{cl} \bigcup \{U_a: a \leq \omega_1\}$ . Let  $U_\gamma = G_\gamma - H_\gamma$  be any basic nbhd of  $(\gamma, \gamma)$ . Since  $H_\gamma$  is countable and  $\{(a, \gamma): a \leq \omega_1\}$  is an uncountable subset of  $G_\gamma$ , there exists an  $a \leq \omega_1$  with  $(a, \gamma) \in U_\gamma$ . Thus  $U_\gamma \cap U_a \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $(\gamma, \gamma) \in \text{cl } U$ .

We define  $X^*$  as a one-point extension  $X \cup \{p\}$  of  $X$  as follows:  $U$  is a basic open nbhd of  $x \neq p$  in  $X^*$  if and only if  $U$  is a basic open nbhd of  $x$  in  $X$ ;  $U$  is a basic open nbhd of  $p$  in  $X^*$  if and only if  $X^* - U$  is a closed-open Lindelöf set in  $X$ .

It follows from the definition of  $X^*$  that the basic open sets are simultaneously closed and they separate points. Thus  $X^*$  is a regular space.

Let  $U$  be a basic open set in  $X^*$ . Then  $U$  has the Lindelöf property if and only if  $p \notin U$ ;  $X^* - U$  has the Lindelöf property if and only if  $p \in U$ . Thus  $X^*$  is a Lindelöf space. Since  $X^*$  is a regular Lindelöf space, it is paracompact (see [2], p. 211).

Each countable subset of  $X^*$  is closed. To prove this, let  $H$  be a countable subset of  $X^*$ . Since  $H - \{p\}$  is a countable subset of  $X$ , there exists a closed-open Lindelöf nbhd  $U$  of  $H - \{p\}$  in  $X$ . Since  $H - \{p\}$  is closed in  $U$  and  $U$  is closed in  $X^*$ , the set  $H - \{p\}$  is closed in  $X^*$ . Thus  $H = \{p\} \cup (H - \{p\})$  is closed in  $X^*$ .

Since each countable subset of  $X^*$  is closed, there is no infinite compact set in  $X^*$ ; i.e. each compact subset of  $X^*$  is a finite set.

Since  $\{E_{\alpha, \beta}: (\alpha, \beta) \in X - D\}$  is a closure-preserving cover of  $X$ ,  $\{\{p\} \cup E_{\alpha, \beta}: (\alpha, \beta) \in X - D\}$  is a closure-preserving cover of  $X^*$  (by four-point sets).

Finally, let us suppose that  $X^*$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  in  $X^*$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . Since  $E_\xi$  is finite,  $E_\xi - \{p\}$  is compact. Hence the space  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi - \{p\}: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi - \{p\}: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi - \{p\}$  is compact and  $U_\xi - \{p\}$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi - \{p\}$  in  $X$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . Thus  $X$  is paracompact by a theorem of Y. Katuta [3]. This is a contradiction, because  $X$  is not normal (see [2], p. 207).

Recall that  $X$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -locally compact if  $X$  has a countable cover  $\{X_n: n \geq 0\}$  where each  $X_n$  is a locally compact closed subset of  $X$ . These spaces were studied by K. Morita [5] and A. H. Stone [9].

LEMMA 4 (Y. Katuta [3]). If  $X$  is paracompact and  $\sigma$ -locally compact, then  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  in  $X$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ .

THEOREM 2. If  $X$  is paracompact and  $\sigma$ -locally compact, then  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by compact sets.

Proof. By Lemma 4 the space  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . Thus, by Theorem 1, the space  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by compact sets.

Recall that  $X$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -discrete if  $X$  has a countable cover  $\{X_n: n \geq 0\}$  where each  $X_n$  is a discrete closed subset of  $X$ .

EXAMPLE 2. A  $\sigma$ -discrete, locally compact, scattered, non-normal space  $X$  with  $\text{ind} X = 0$  that has no closure-preserving cover by compact sets. As an example of the space  $X$  it suffices to take the space defined in [0], p. 167. This space  $X$  is zero-dimensional, locally compact,  $\sigma$ -discrete, separable and contains a closed discrete uncountable subset  $F$ ;  $X - F$  is countable, isolated and dense in  $X$ .

LEMMA 5. Each closure-preserving family of pairwise disjoint closed sets is discrete.

The proof is immediate.

Recall that  $X$  is said to be  $C$ -scattered if for each non-void closed subset  $E$  of  $X$  there is a point  $x \in E$  and an open nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  for which  $E \cap \text{cl} U$  is compact.  $C$ -scattered spaces were studied in [11].

THEOREM 3. Let  $X$  be a paracompact space. If  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi$  is a  $C$ -scattered closed subset of  $X$  and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , then  $X$  has a countable cover  $\{X_n: n > 0\}$  where  $X_n$  is a  $C$ -scattered closed subset of  $X$  for each  $n > 0$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3 there are a paracompact space  $X'$  with  $\dim X' = 0$  and a perfect map  $f$  from  $X'$  onto  $X$ . The set  $E'_\xi = f^{-1}(E_\xi)$  is  $C$ -scattered (see [11], Theorem 1.3) and closed in  $X'$  and  $U'_\xi = f^{-1}(U_\xi)$  is an open nbhd of  $E'_\xi$  in  $X'$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . It is easy to check that  $\{E'_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U'_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  are order locally finite covers of  $X'$ . By Lemma 1 there exist two order star-finite covers  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  and  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  where  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  refines  $\{E'_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ ,  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  refines  $\{U'_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$ , and  $F_\eta$  is closed in  $X'$  and  $V_\eta$  is an open nbhd of  $F_\eta$  for each  $\eta < \beta$ . Since  $F_\eta$  is a closed subset of some  $E'_\xi$ , it is  $C$ -scattered. By Lemma 2 there is a collection  $\{T_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  of finite subsets of  $\{\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  such that  $\{H_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$ , where  $H_\eta = \bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in T_\eta\}$ , is a closure-preserving cover of  $X'$ . Since  $H_\eta$  is the union of a finite family of  $C$ -scattered sets, it is also  $C$ -scattered (see [11], Theorem 1.1). Let us remark that  $\text{card } T_\eta \geq 1$ , because  $\eta \in T_\eta$ . For each  $n > 0$  we set

$$A_n = \{\eta < \beta: \text{card } T_\eta \leq n\}, \quad X'_n = \bigcup \{H_\eta: \eta \in A_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_n = f(X'_n).$$

Since  $\bigcup \{A_n: n > 0\} = \{\eta: \eta < \beta\}$ , it follows that  $\bigcup \{X'_n: n > 0\} = X'$ . Thus  $\bigcup \{X_n: n > 0\} = X$ . Since the family  $\{H_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  is closure-preserving and each  $H_\eta$  is closed, the set  $X'_n$  is closed for each  $n > 0$ . Thus each  $X_n$  is closed, because  $f$  is a closed map. By Theorem 1.3 of [11]  $X_n$  is  $C$ -scattered if and only if  $X'_n$  is  $C$ -scattered. Now we prove (by induction with respect to  $n$ ) that  $X'_n$  is  $C$ -scattered.

$$X'_1 = \bigcup \{H_\eta: \eta \in A_1\} = \bigcup \{F_\eta: \eta \in A_1\}.$$

The family  $\{F_\eta: \eta \in A_1\}$  is closure-preserving and its members are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, by Lemma 5, it is a discrete family. Hence  $X'_1$  is locally  $C$ -scattered and therefore  $C$ -scattered. Assume that for some  $n > 0$  the set  $X'_n$  is  $C$ -scattered. Let us set  $B_{n+1} = \{\eta < \beta: \text{card } T_\eta = n+1\}$ . Then  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup B_{n+1}$ . Let us set

$$Y'_n = \bigcup \{F_\eta: \eta \in B_{n+1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z'_n = \bigcup \{F_\eta: \eta \in T_\xi - \{\xi\} \text{ and } \xi \in B_{n+1}\}.$$

Then  $X'_{n+1} = X'_n \cup Y'_n \cup Z'_n$ . We claim that  $Z'_n \subseteq X'_n$ . If  $\eta \in T_\xi - \{\xi\}$  and  $\xi \in B_{n+1}$ , then  $\eta < \xi$ . Hence  $T_\eta \subseteq T_\xi$  and  $\text{card } T_\eta < \text{card } T_\xi = n+1$ . Thus



$\eta \in A_n$  and  $F_\eta \subseteq H_\eta \subseteq X'_n$ . We claim that  $Y'_n$  is  $C$ -scattered. Since  $F_\eta$  with  $\eta \in B_{n+1}$  is  $C$ -scattered, it suffices to prove that the family  $\{V_\eta: \eta \in B_{n+1}\}$  consists of pairwise disjoint sets. Let us suppose that we have  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $B_{n+1}$  with  $\xi < \eta$  and  $V_\xi \cap V_\eta \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $T_\xi \subseteq T_\eta$  and so  $\text{card } T_\eta \geq n+2$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $Y'_n$  is  $C$ -scattered. Since  $X'_{n+1}$  is the union of  $C$ -scattered sets  $X'_n$  and  $Y'_n$ , it is also  $C$ -scattered (see [11], Theorem 1.1).

Remark 2. If  $\{E_n: n \geq 0\}$  is a countable cover of  $X$  with  $E_n$  closed, then  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_n: n \geq 0\}$  and  $\{U_n: n \geq 0\}$  where  $U_n = X$  for each  $n \geq 0$ .

Remark 3. Theorem 3 is surprising if we look at Theorem 2.5 of [11]; roughly speaking, the countable covers are sufficient to define the class of spaces.

As a corollary of Theorem 3 we have

THEOREM 4. If  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , then  $X$  has a countable cover  $\{X_n: n \geq 0\}$  where  $X_n$  is a  $C$ -scattered closed subset of  $X$  for each  $n \geq 0$ .

THEOREM 5. Each paracompact  $\sigma$ -discrete space has a closure-preserving cover by finite sets.

Proof. If  $X$  is paracompact and  $\sigma$ -discrete, then  $\dim X = 0$  (see [2], p. 274).  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, i.e.  $X = \bigcup \{X_n: n \geq 0\}$  where each  $X_n$  is a discrete closed subset of  $X$ . Remark that  $X_n - \bigcup \{X_k: k < n\}$  is closed in  $X$ . Hence we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $X_m \cap X_n = \emptyset$  for  $m \neq n$ . There is a well-ordering  $\{x_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  of  $X$  where  $\xi < \eta$  holds whenever  $x_\xi \in X_m$ ,  $x_\eta \in X_n$  and  $m < n$ . Let us put  $E_\xi = \{x_\xi\}$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . The family  $\{E_\xi: x_\xi \in X_n\}$  is discrete for each  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $X$  is paracompact, it is collectionwise normal (see [2], p. 214). Thus there is a discrete family  $\{U_\xi: x_\xi \in X_n\}$  of open sets in  $X$  with  $E_\xi \subseteq U_\xi$ . It is easy to check that the covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  of  $X$  are order locally finite. By Lemma 1 we get two order star-finite covers  $\{F_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  and  $\{V_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  of  $X$  where  $F_\eta$  contains at most one point and  $V_\eta$  is an open nbhd of  $F_\eta$  for each  $\eta < \beta$ . By Lemma 2 there is a family  $\{T_\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  of finite subsets of  $\{\eta: \eta < \beta\}$  for which  $\bigcup \{F_\xi: \xi \in T_\eta\}$  is a closure-preserving cover of  $X$ . Thus  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by finite sets.

LEMMA 6. For any family  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  of non-void sets there exists a  $J \subseteq I$  for which the family  $\{E_j: j \in J\}$  is pairwise disjoint and where for each  $i \in I$  there exists a  $j \in J$  with  $E_i \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$ .

The proof is a standard application of the Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma.

THEOREM 6. If  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by finite sets, then  $X$  has a countable cover  $\{X_n: n \geq 0\}$  where  $X_n$  is a scattered closed subset of  $X$  and it is the union of  $n$  discrete (not necessarily closed) subsets for each  $n \geq 0$ .

Proof. Let  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  be a closure-preserving cover of  $X$ , where  $E_i$  is finite for each  $i \in I$ . Let us set  $I_n = \{i \in I: \text{card } E_i \leq n\}$  and  $X_n = \bigcup \{E_i: i \in I_n\}$  for each  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $X_n$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , because  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  is a closure-preserving family of closed subsets of  $X$ . Now it suffices to prove the following auxiliary statement  $S(n)$ : If  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  with  $\text{card } E_i \leq n$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $X$  is scattered and it is the union of  $n$  discrete subsets. Clearly,  $S(0)$  holds. By Lemma 5,  $S(1)$  holds. Assume that  $S(n)$  holds for some  $n > 0$ . We shall prove that  $S(n+1)$  also holds. So let  $X$  be a space with a closure-preserving cover  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  where  $\text{card } E_i \leq n+1$  for each  $i \in I$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $E_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ . By Lemma 6 there exists a  $J \subseteq I$  for which the family  $\{E_j: j \in J\}$  is constituted by pairwise disjoint sets and where for each  $i \in I$  there exists a  $j \in J$  with  $E_i \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$ . Let us put  $Y = \bigcup \{E_j: j \in J\}$ . Since  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  is a closure-preserving family of closed sets,  $Y$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . By Lemma 5 the family  $\{E_j: j \in J\}$  is discrete. Thus  $Y$  is a discrete closed subset of  $X$ . Let us fix  $i \in I$ . Since  $E_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\text{card}(E_i - Y) \leq n$ . Thus  $X - Y$  has the closure-preserving cover  $\{E_i - Y: i \in I\}$  with  $\text{card}(E_i - Y) \leq n$  for each  $i \in I$ . Hence, by  $S(n)$ ,  $X - Y$  is a scattered space and it is the union of  $n$  discrete subsets. Thus  $X = (X - Y) \cup Y$  is also scattered, because the union of two scattered spaces is a scattered space (see [4], p. 79). Clearly,  $X$  is the union of  $n+1$  discrete subsets.

PROBLEM 1. Let  $X$  be a paracompact space with a closure-preserving cover by compact sets. Does  $X$  have a countable cover by  $C$ -scattered closed subsets?

Remark 4. We may consider Theorem 4 (according to Theorem 1) and Theorem 6 as partial solutions of Problem 1.

EXAMPLE 3. A compact scattered space  $X$  that has no closure-preserving cover by finite sets. We take  $X = \{\xi: \xi \leq \omega_1\}$  endowed with the order (interval) topology.  $X$  is a compact scattered space. Assume that  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by finite sets. The set  $\{i \in I: \text{card } E_i = 1\}$  is finite, because  $X$  is compact. Let us set  $I' = \{i \in I: \text{card } E_i > 1\}$ . We define  $f: I' \rightarrow X$  as follows:  $f(i) = \max\{\alpha < \omega_1: \alpha \in E_i\}$ . Clearly, there is a sequence  $\{i_n: n \geq 0\} \subseteq I'$  for which  $f(i_0) < f(i_1) < f(i_2) < \dots$ . Let  $\alpha = \sup\{f(i_n): n \geq 0\}$ . Then  $\alpha \notin \bigcup \{E_{i_n}: n \geq 0\}$  and  $\alpha \in \bigcup \{E_i: i \in I\}$ . Hence  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  is not closure-preserving.

Remark 5. Let  $X$  be the Aleksandrov compactification of the space defined in Example 2. Then  $X$  is another example of a compact scattered space that has no closure-preserving cover by finite sets.

Recall that  $X$  is said to be *totally paracompact* if each open basis of  $X$  contains a locally finite cover of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $X$  is paracompact and if  $X$  has a closure-preserving cover by finite sets, then  $X$  is totally paracompact.*

**Proof.** By Theorem 6 the space  $X$  has a countable cover by its scattered closed subsets. By Theorem 3.1 of [11] each paracompact scattered space is absolutely paracompact. By Theorem 1.7 of D. Curtis [1] a paracompact space having a countable cover by its closed absolutely paracompact subsets is totally paracompact.

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $X$  has two order locally finite covers  $\{E_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  and  $\{U_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}$  where  $E_\xi$  is compact and  $U_\xi$  is an open nbhd of  $E_\xi$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , then  $X$  is totally paracompact.*

**Proof.** By a theorem of Y. Katuta [3] the space  $X$  is paracompact. By Theorem 4 the space  $X$  has a countable cover by its  $C$ -scattered closed subsets. By Theorem 3.1 of [11] and Theorem 1.7 of [1] the space  $X$  is totally paracompact if it is paracompact and if it has a countable cover by its  $C$ -scattered closed subsets.

**PROBLEM 2.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact space with a closure-preserving cover by compact sets. Is  $X$  totally paracompact?

**Remark 6.** Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 (according to Theorem 1) can be considered as partial solutions of Problem 2.

Recall that a family  $\{E_i: i \in I\}$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving if  $I$  is the union of such a countable family  $\{I_n: n \geq 0\}$  that  $\{E_i: i \in I_n\}$  is closure-preserving for each  $n \geq 0$ .

**EXAMPLE 4.** A paracompact scattered space  $X$  for which the following holds: (a)  $X$  has no closure-preserving cover by compact sets, but yet (b)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving cover by compact sets.  $X$  is the space  $S_0$  defined in [11], p. 71. This space  $X$  is paracompact (even Lindelöf) and scattered. It is uncountable and has a countable dense open subset  $N$ . Each compact subset of  $X$  is finite. Hence (a) follows. The family  $\{\{p, p_\alpha\}: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is closure-preserving and covers  $X - N$ . Obviously,  $N$  has a cover by singletons. Hence (b) follows.

**Remark 7.** Let  $X$  be the Aleksandrov compactification of the space defined in Example 2. Then  $X$  is an example of a compact space satisfying (a) and (b).

**Added in proof.** Example 1 provides an improvement of the result of H. B. Potoczny [7] in the following sense. The auxiliary space  $X$ , which is constructed in Example 1, has a closure-preserving cover consisting of three-point sets but  $X$  is even not subparacompact.

Let us recall that  $X$  is said to be *subparacompact* if each open cover of  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement (D. K. Burke, *On subparacompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), pp. 655–663). It is easy to check that (a) each  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $X$

is closed; (b) if each  $F_\sigma$ -set is closed, then each  $\sigma$ -locally finite family is closure-preserving. Thus, if  $X$  were subparacompact, then it would be paracompact by Theorem 1 of E. Michael, *Another note on paracompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), pp. 822–828. But  $X$  is even not normal. Hence the assertion follows.

## References

- [0] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Mappings and spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 21 (1966), 4 (130), pp. 133–184 (Russian).
- [1] D. Curtis, *Total and absolute paracompactness*, Fund. Math. 77 (1973), pp. 277–283.
- [2] R. Engelking, *Outline of General Topology*, Amsterdam 1968.
- [3] Y. Katuta, *A theorem on paracompactness of product spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. 43 (1967), pp. 615–618.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, New York–London–Warszawa 1966.
- [5] K. Morita, *On the product of paracompact spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. 39 (1963), pp. 559–563.
- [6] K. Nagami, *A note on Hausdorff spaces with the star-finite property*, II, Proc. Japan Acad. 37 (1961), pp. 189–192.
- [7] H. B. Potoczny, *A nonparacompact space which admits a closure-preserving cover of compact sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1972), pp. 309–311.
- [8] — *Closure-preserving families of compact sets*, General Topology and its Applications 3 (1973), pp. 243–248.
- [9] A. H. Stone, *Absolute  $F_\sigma$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), pp. 495–499.
- [10] H. Tamano, *A characterization of paracompactness*, Fund. Math. 72 (1971), pp. 189–201.
- [11] R. Telgársky, *C-scattered and paracompact spaces*, Fund. Math. 73 (1971), pp. 59–74.
- [12] — *Concerning two covering properties*, Proc. Japan Acad. (in press).
- [13] — *On topological properties defined by games*, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai 8, Topics in Topology, Keszthely (Hungary), 1972, pp. 617–624.
- [14] — *Spaces defined by topological games*, Fund. Math. (in press).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 2. 1973