

### STUDIA MATHEMATICA, T. LI. (1974)

# In non-locally bounded $L_{\varphi}$ -spaces the norm is not almost transitive

by

WERNER FISCHER\* and ULRICH SCHÖLER\* (Bonn, GFR)

Abstract. In this note it is shown that the norm of those F-spaces  $L_{\varphi}(\mathcal{X}, A, \mu)$ , which are not locally bounded, is not almost transitive, in opposition to the norm of the locally bounded spaces  $L_p[0,1]$ . The proof follows from a representation of the linear isometries of these spaces.

Let  $L_{\varphi}(X, A, \mu)$  be the space of  $\mu$ -measurable,  $\varphi$ -integrable, real-valued functions, defined on a  $\sigma$ -finite, non-atomic, separable measure space, where  $\varphi \colon [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is a continuos, strictly increasing, subadditive function with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . As usual functions are identified if they differ only on a set of measure zero.

For an F-space X let G(X) denote the group of all linear isometries which map X onto itself. The norm  $\|x\|$  of an F-space X is called *transitive* resp. almost transitive if for all positive r and each  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = r$ 

resp. 
$$\frac{\{A\,(x)\colon\, A\,\epsilon\,G(X)\}\ = \{y\,\epsilon\,X\colon\, ||y||\, = r\}}{\{A\,(x)\colon\, A\,\epsilon\,G(X)\}\ = \{y\,\epsilon\,X\colon\, ||y||\, = r\},\quad \text{cf. [3]}.}$$

Pełczyński and Rolewicz [3] proved that in the spaces  $L_p[0,1]$ , 0 , the norm is almost transitive.

In this note we shall show

THEOREM. In non-locally bounded  $L_{\varphi}$ -spaces the norm is not almost transitive.

As  $L_{\varphi}(X, A, \mu)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L_{\varphi}(R, A_{\lambda}, \lambda)$  resp.  $L_{\varphi}([0, 1], A_{\lambda}, \lambda)$ , if  $\mu(X) = \infty$  resp.  $\mu(X) = 1$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure, it clearly suffices to prove the theorem for these special cases.

The proof of the theorem is based on the following lemmas.

<sup>\*</sup> The authors were supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich 72 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

127



LEMMA 1. Let f and g be functions in  $L_{\sigma}(X, A, \mu)$ . Then

$$||f+g|| + ||f-g|| = 2(||f|| + ||g||)$$

if and only if fg = 0  $\mu$ -a.e. on X (i.e. if and only if  $\mu(\operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp} g) = 0$ ). Proof. Suppose that  $\mu(\operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp} g) = 0$ . Then clearly

$$\|f+g\| = \int_X \varphi(|f+g|) \, d\mu = \|f\| + \|g\|$$
 and  $\|f-g\| = \|f\| + \|g\|$ .

As  $\varphi$  is subadditive, we have for real numbers a and b  $\varphi(|a+b|)+\varphi(|a-b|) \leqslant 2(\varphi(|a|)+\varphi(|b|))$ . Because  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing, equality holds if and only if either a=0 or b=0.

Suppose that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{X}} \left\{ 2 \left( \varphi(|f|) + \varphi(|g|) \right) - \varphi(|f+g|) - \varphi(|f-g|) \right\} d\mu \, = \, 0 \, .$$

Then  $2(\varphi(|f|)+\varphi(|g|))-\varphi(|f+g|)-\varphi(|f-g|)=0$   $\mu$ -a.e. on X. This implies that for almost all  $t \in X f(t)=0$  when  $g(t)\neq 0$ , and g(t)=0 when  $f(t)\neq 0$ , i.e.

$$\mu(\operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp} g) = 0.$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $L_{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{A}_{\lambda}, \lambda)$  resp.  $L_{\varphi}([0, 1], \boldsymbol{A}_{\lambda}, \lambda)$  be non-locally bounded and  $T \in G(L_{\varphi})$ .

Then for every  $f \in L_{\infty}$ 

$$T(f)(t) = h(t)f(g(t)),$$

where g and h are  $\lambda$ -measurable functions such that

- (i)  $g(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R} \text{ resp. } g([0,1]) = [0,1],$
- (ii) |h(t)| = 1,
- (iii)  $\lambda(g^{-1}(A)) = \lambda(A)$  for all  $A \in A_{\lambda}$ .

Proof. Using Lemma 1, we easily get a generalization of [1], Theorem 3.8.5, to all  $L_{\varphi}$ -spaces. Hence, for each  $f \in L_{\varphi}$ , T has the form

$$(1) T(f)(t) = h(t)f(g(t)),$$

where g and h are  $\lambda$ -measurable functions, g has property (i), and  $g^{-1}(A) = \operatorname{supp} T_{\mathcal{X}_A}$  for each  $A \in A_{\lambda}$ . So we only have to verify properties (ii) and (iii).

Define

$$\psi \colon A_1 \rightarrow A_2$$

by

$$\psi(A)$$
: = supp  $T\chi_A$ 

Then 
$$\lambda(\psi(A) \cap \psi(B)) = 0$$
 if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  (cf. [1]).

As T is onto, we can choose  $h(t)\neq 0$  for all t. Further on, for each  $A\in A_\lambda$  there is an  $f\in L_x$  with

$$T(f) = \chi_A$$
.

Now (1) implies  $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = h(t)f(g(t))$  for all t. Let D := supp f.

$$t \in A \Leftrightarrow f(g(t)) \neq 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow g(t) \in \operatorname{supp} f$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow h(t) \cdot \chi_D(g(t)) \neq 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow T(\chi_D)(t) \neq 0.$$

So for each  $A \in A_{\lambda}$  there is a  $D \in A_{\lambda}$  with

$$(2) \psi(D) = A,$$

Suppose now that property (iii) is not true. Then there is a  $B \in A_{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda(\psi(B)) \neq \lambda(B)$ . Obviously we can assume  $\lambda(\psi(B)) \leq 1$  and  $\lambda(B) \leq 1$ . Now (1) implies that, for all real  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\|\alpha \cdot \chi_B\| = \|T(\alpha \chi_B)\| = \|h(t)\alpha \chi_{\psi(B)}\|$  or

(3) 
$$\lambda(B) = \int_{\varphi(B)} \frac{\varphi(|ah(t)|)}{\varphi(\alpha)} d\lambda.$$

Let  $E := \psi(B)$  and for each  $i \in N$ 

$$E_i \colon = egin{cases} \{t \in E \colon i \leqslant |h(t)| < i+2\} & i ext{ odd,} \ \{t \in E \colon rac{1}{i+1} \leqslant |h(t)| < rac{1}{i-1} \} & i ext{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $E = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i$  and  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

(2) implies that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an  $X_i \in A_\lambda$  with  $\psi(X_i) = E_i$ . By definition of  $\psi$  we have  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i = B$  and  $\lambda(X_i \cap X_j) = 0, i \neq j$ .

As we only consider classes of measurable sets, we can choose  $X_i$  in such a way that  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Now  $\lambda(\psi(B)) \neq \lambda(B)$  implies that there is an  $i \in N$  with  $\lambda(\psi(X_i)) \neq \lambda(X_i)$ . This leads to a contradiction.

We only consider the case: i odd, as the proof is nearly the same for even i.

(3) implies  $\lambda(X_i) \geqslant \lambda(\psi(X_i))$ . Suppose there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda(X_i) = \lambda(\psi(X_i)) + \varepsilon$ .

As  $L_{\varphi}$  is not locally bounded, for every positive real r there is a sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i\in \mathbf{N}}$  of positive reals such that  $\lim a_i = \infty$  or  $\lim a_i = 0$ , and  $\lim \frac{\varphi(a_ir)}{\varphi(a_i)} = 1$ 

(cf. [2], [3]). So there exists an  $n_0 \in N$  with  $\frac{\varphi(a_{n_0}(i+2))}{\varphi(a_{n_0})} \leqslant 1 + \varepsilon/2$ .



Then we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda \left( \psi \left( X_i \right) \right) + \varepsilon &= \lambda (X_i) = \int\limits_{\psi \left( X_i \right)} \frac{\varphi \left( \left| \alpha_{n_0} h \left( t \right) \right| \right)}{\varphi \left( \alpha_{n_0} \right)} \, d \, \lambda \\ &\leqslant \frac{\varphi \left( \alpha_{n_0} (i + 2) \right)}{\varphi \left( \alpha_{n_0} \right)} \, \lambda \left( \psi \left( X_i \right) \right) \leqslant \lambda \left( \psi \left( X_i \right) \right) + \varepsilon / 2 \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction.

So altogether we have  $\lambda(\psi(A)) = \lambda(A)$  for all  $A \in A_{\lambda}$  which implies (iii).

Let  $M:=\{t\colon |h(t)|>1\}$ . Without restriction we can suppose  $\lambda(M)\leqslant 1$ . We showed already that there is an  $N\in A_{\lambda}$  with  $\psi(N)=M$  and  $\lambda(N)=\lambda(M)$ . Then we have  $\varphi(1)\cdot\lambda(N)=\|\chi_N\|=\|T(\chi_N)\|=\|h(t)\chi_M(t)\|$   $=\int\limits_M \varphi(|h(t)|)d\lambda>\varphi(1)\cdot\lambda(M)$ , which implies  $\lambda(M)=0$ . Similarly we get  $\lambda\{t\colon |h(t)|<1\}=0$ , which completes the proof.

The proof of the theorem is a trivial consequence of Lemma 2.

#### References

- G. O. Okikiolu, Aspects of the Theory of Bounded Integral Operators in L<sub>p</sub>-Spaces, Academie Press, London - New York 1971.
- [2] S. Rolewicz, Some remarks on the spaces N(L) and N(l), Studia Math. 18 (1959), pp. 1-9.
- [3] Metric Linear Spaces, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 56, Warszawa 1972.

## Diagonal mappings between sequence spaces

b

## D. J. H. GARLING (Cambridge)

Abstract. Some general results are obtained about r-nuclear, r-integral and r-summing diagonal mappings from one sequence space to another. These are used to give a nearly complete characterization of such mappings from one  $l^p$  space to another, extending results of Schwartz and Tong.

1. Introduction. Schwartz ([4] Théorème (XXVI, 4; 1) and [5]) has given a complete account of 0-summing diagonal mappings from one  $l^p$  space to another. If  $\alpha$  is a sequence, we denote by  $d_{\alpha}$  the linear operator defined by coordinatewise multiplication by  $\alpha$ . Recall that

$$a \in l^{p-1}$$
 if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p (1 + \log |a_n^{-1}|) < \infty$ . If  $q < 2 < p$ , we set  $\varphi(p, q) = (p^{-1} + q^{-1} - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}$ . Schwartz' result is then the following:

THEOREM 1.  $d_a$  is 0-summing from  $l^{p'}$  into  $l^q$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) if p = q < 2,  $\alpha \in l^{p-}$ ;
- (ii) if  $2 \leq q < p$ ,  $\alpha \in l^p$ ;
- (iii) if q < 2 < p,  $\alpha \in l^{\varphi(p,q)}$ ;
  - (iv) otherwise,  $a \in l^{\min(p,q)}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to extend this result to give a nearly complete account of r-summing, r-integral and r-nuclear diagonal nappings from  $l^{p'}$  into  $l^q$ . For this, we shall need the three following theorems:

THEOREM 2. Suppose that  $1 \leq p$ ,  $q \leq 2$ , that F is isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $L^q(0, 1)$  and that E' is isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $L^p(0, 1)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) u is 0-summing;
- (ii) u is r-summing for some r < p;
- (iii) u' is 0-summing;
- (iv) u' is s-summing for some s < q.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that  $1 \le p \le 2$ , and that E' is isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $L^p(0,1)$  and that H is a Hilbert space. If  $u \in L(E,H)$ ,