ACTA ARITHMETICA

Using (33) to eliminate r, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda(c) \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{2u} \left( 2k - 1 + 2u - w - q \right) \left( 2k - 2 + 2u - w + q \right) \\ \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{2u} \left( 2k - 1 + 2u - w \right) \left( 2k - 2 + 2u - w \right). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{c \in C} \lambda(c) \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{w+2} (2k+1)(2k) & \text{if } w \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\alpha}{w+1} (2k)(2k-1) & \text{if } w \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $w \le 2k-2$ ,  $\frac{2k+1}{w+2} < \frac{2k}{w+1}$ , hence

$$\sum_{c \in C} \lambda(c) < \frac{4k^2\alpha}{w+1}.$$

On the other hand  $\sum_{c} \lambda(c) \ge k^2$ , exactly as in Case 1, so  $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}(w+1)$ . Hence  $a \ge \frac{1}{4}(w+2)$  and the lemma is proved.

## References

- [1] P. Erdös and H. Heilbronn, On the addition of residue classes mod p, Acta Arith. 9 (1964), pp. 149-159.
- [2] J. H. B. Kemperman, On complexes in a semigroup, Indag. Math. 18 (1956), pp. 247-254.
- J. E. Olson, An addition theorem modulo p, J. Combinatorial Theory 5 (1968).
- [4] E. Szemerédi, On a conjecture of Erdős and Heilbronn, Acta Arith. 17 (1970). pp. 227-229.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY University Park, Pennsylvania

> Received on 29, 3, 1974 (548)

XXVIII (1975)

## A note on a cyclotomic diophantine equation

## VEIKKO ENNOLA (Turku)

1. Introduction. Let  $m \ge 3$  be a natural number,  $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ , and let  $K_m = Q(\zeta_m)$  denote the cyclotomic field over the rationals Q. We shall prove the following result:

THEOREM A. If  $q \geqslant 3$ ,  $\beta$  is a unit in  $K_m$ , and the equation

$$a^q = \beta + 1$$

has a solution  $a \in K_m$ , then a = 0 or a is a root of unity.

In the special case when m is a prime > 3 and  $\alpha$  is required to be a unit in  $K_m$ , this result has been recently proved by Newman [5]. His proof depends on the following theorem (for prime values of m):

THEOREM B. If m is any integer  $\geq 4$ ,  $2 \leq g \leq m-2$ , and  $q \geq 2$ , then the only solution  $a \in K_m$  of the equation

$$(2) 1 + \zeta_m + \zeta_m^2 + \ldots + \zeta_m^{g-1} = \alpha^q$$

is given by q=2, m=12, g=7,  $\alpha=\pm\zeta_m^5(1-\zeta_m)^{-1}$ .

In particular, if m is prime, then (2) does not have solutions with  $q \ge 2$ . This fact was stated as a conjecture by Newman [4] and was first proved by the author [1]. A very elegant proof of a more general result was given by Loxton [3]. The proof given by Newman [5] is incorrect. (The formula for  $\eta^n - \zeta$  on p. 87 is wrong.) In the general case Theorem B has been proved by the author [2].

Using the ideas of Newman we shall prove Theorem A directly without leaning on Theorem B. It is possible that the new method will cause a simplification in the proof of Theorem B which is extremely complicated.

2. Proof of Theorem A. We assume that (1) has a solution, where a is nonzero and not a root of unity, and deduce a contradiction. Without loss of generality, we may assume that q=4 or that q is an odd prime. By extending the field  $K_m$  if necessary, we may also assume that  $q \mid m$ . We use the following well-known fact: If  $\gamma$  is any unit in  $K_m$ , then there

exists a root of unity  $\varrho \in K_m$  such that  $\bar{\gamma} = \varrho \gamma$ . The basic idea, due to Newman, is to write (1) as  $\alpha^{q}-1=\beta$ , and then apply this fact to  $\alpha-\zeta_{\alpha}^{k}$ (k = 0, 1, ..., q-1), which are all units in  $K_m$ .

Consider first the possibility q=4. We have

(3) 
$$\ddot{a} - i^{-k} = \xi_k(a - i^k) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$

for some roots of unity  $\xi_k \in K_m$ . Eliminating  $\bar{a}$  we obtain

$$(\xi_2 - \xi_0) \alpha = 2 - \xi_2 - \xi_0.$$

If  $\xi_2 = \xi_0$ , then  $\xi_0 = 1$ , whence  $\alpha$  is real. Applying (3) for k = 1, we obtain  $\alpha - i = 2i/(\xi_1 - 1)$ . Since  $\alpha - i$  is a unit, this is possible only for  $\xi_1=-1.$  But then  $\alpha=0,$  a contradiction. If  $\xi_2\neq\xi_0,$  then  $\alpha-1$  $=2(1-\xi_2)/(\xi_2-\xi_0)$ . Again, this is possible only for  $\xi_2=-\xi_0$ , which implies  $\alpha = -\xi_0^{-1}$ , contradicting the assumption.

Consider now the case when q is an odd prime. We have

$$\bar{a} - \zeta_q^{-k} = \xi_k(\alpha - \zeta_q^k) \quad (k = 0, 1, ..., q - 1)$$

for some roots of unity  $\xi_k \epsilon K_m$ . Assuming that  $\xi_k \neq \xi_0$ , we find, eliminating  $\bar{a}$ ,

(4) 
$$\alpha = (1 - \zeta_q^{-k} + \xi_k \zeta_q^k - \xi_0)/(\xi_k - \xi_0)$$
  $(k = 1, 2, ..., q - 1; \xi_k \neq \xi_0).$ 

Therefore

$$(\xi_k - \xi_0)^q \beta \equiv (1 - \zeta_q^{-k} + \xi_k \zeta_q^k - \xi_0)^q - (\xi_k - \xi_0)^q \equiv 0 \mod q.$$

This is possible only if  $\xi_k \xi_0^{-1} = \zeta_q^{t_k}$  for some  $t_k \not\equiv 0 \mod q$ . Then (4) implies

(5) 
$$a\xi_0(\zeta_q^{t_k}-1) = 1 - \zeta_q^{-k} + \xi_0(\zeta_q^{k+t_k}-1)$$
  $(k=1, 2, ..., q-1; \xi_k \neq \xi_0).$ 

Divide (5) by  $1-\zeta_q$  and consider the resulting equation mod  $1-\zeta_q$ . We conclude that  $\alpha \xi_0 t_k \equiv k + \xi_0 (k + t_k) \mod 1 - \zeta_\alpha$  or

(6) 
$$t_k = (1 + \xi_0^{-1})(\alpha - 1)^{-1} k \mod 1 - \zeta_\alpha.$$

Since  $\xi_k = \xi_0$  for at most one k with  $1 \leqslant k \leqslant q-1$ , the congruence (6) holds for some k, whence  $(1+\xi_0^{-1})(\alpha-1)^{-1} \equiv d \mod 1 - \xi_q$  for some rational integer d  $(1 \le d \le q-1)$ . Thus

$$t_k \equiv dk \bmod q.$$

We can now also see that  $\xi_k = \xi_0$  cannot, in fact, hold for  $k \neq 0$ , because in this case  $\xi_0 = -\zeta_q^{-k}$ , and we would have  $d \equiv 0 \mod 1 - \zeta_q$ .

Consider the polynomial

$$P(x) = \xi_0 x^{d+2} - \alpha \xi_0 x^{d+1} + (\alpha \xi_0 - \xi_0 + 1) x - 1.$$

It follows from (5) and (7) that  $x-\zeta_q^k|P(x)$  for  $k=1,2,\ldots,q-1$ . Clearly also x-1|P(x). Hence  $x^q-1|P(x)$ . However, it is easily seen that this



is not possible, because  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\alpha$  is not a root of unity. This concludes the proof.

## References

- [1] V. Ennola, Proof of a conjecture of Morris Newman, J. Reine Angew. Math. 264 (1973), pp. 203-206.
- [2] Solution of a cyclotomic diophantine equation, J. Reine Angew. Math. 272 (1975), pp. 73-91.
- [3] J. H. Loxton, On a cyclotomic diophantine equation, J. Reine Angew. Math. 270 (1974), pp. 164-168.
- [4] M. Newman, Units in cyclotomic number fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 250 (1971), pp. 3-11.
- Diophantine equations in cyclotomic fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 265 (1974), pp. 84-89.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF TURKU Finland

Received on 28.3.1974

(549)