M. Morse



- [12] M. Morse, Topologically non-degenerate functions on a compact n-manifold, J. d'Analyse Math. 7 (1959), pp. 189-208.
- [13] F-deformations and F-tractions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA (1973), pp. 1634-1635.
- [14] and S. S. Cairns, Singular homology over Z on topological manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 3 (1969), pp. 257-288.
- [15] — Critical Point Theory in Global Analysis and Differential Topology, New York 1969.
- [16] — Elementary quotients of abelian groups and singular homology on manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 39 (1970), pp. 167-198.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY Princeton, N. J.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 19. 11. 1973

P-ideals and F-ideals in rings of continuous functions

by

David Rudd (Norfolk, Virginia)

Abstract. A ring of continuous functions is a ring of the form C(X), the ring of all continuous real-valued functions on a completely-regular Hausdorff space X.

The author defines two classes of ideals in C(X), P-ideals and F-ideals, which are analogs of P-spaces and F-spaces. He then discusses properties of these ideals, such as their structure spaces and zero-sets of their members, and characterizes those spaces X for which there exist P-ideals (or F-ideals) in C(X).

Introduction. If X is a space so that every prime ideal in C(X) is maximal, then X is said to be a P-space. We extend this concept to ideals in rings of continuous functions by defining a non-zero ideal I to be a P-ideal if every proper prime ideal in I is a maximal ideal in I. It is known [2, 14.29] that C(X) is a P-ideal, i.e. X is a P-space, if and only if its real structure space (vX) is a P-space. We show that a modified version of this theorem holds for P-ideals. We also characterize those spaces whose rings of continuous functions possess a P-ideal.

If X is a space so that mM (= $\{f | f \in fM\}$) is prime for every maximal ideal M in C(X), then X is said to be an F-space. We extend this concept also to ideals, by defining a non-zero ideal I to be an F-ideal if mM is prime whenever $M \not\supseteq I$ and M is a maximal ideal in C(X). We are then able to show that I is an F-ideal if and only if its structure space is an F'-space, an analog to the theorem that X is an F-space if and only if βX is an F-space. We are also able to characterize those spaces whose rings of continuous functions possess an F-ideal.

Preliminaries and notations. The reader is referred to section 2 in [4] for most of the preliminaries. Familiarity with [2] is also assumed.

If $f \in C(X)$, then $Z(f) = \{x | f(x) = 0\}$, $\operatorname{pos} \widehat{f} = \{x | f(x) > 0\}$, and $\operatorname{neg} f = \{x | f(x) < 0\}$. If $f \in C^*(X)$ (i.e. f is bounded), then \widehat{f} denotes the extension of f to βX . In general $Z(\widehat{f}) \supseteq Z(f)^{\beta} \left(=\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\right)$ but $\operatorname{int}_{\beta X} Z(\widehat{f}) = \operatorname{int}_{\beta X} Z(f)^{\beta}$.

We shall use the letter M for maximal ideals of C(X), and $M_x = \{f | f(x) = 0\}$.

We regard βX as the structure space of $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Thus if U is open in βX , $U = {}^{\sim} \{M \mid M \supseteq I\}$ for some ideal I in $\mathcal{C}(X)$.

For any ideal I in C(X), the maximal ideals of I are precisely those ideals of the form $I \cap M$ for $M \not\supseteq I$. These will be denoted by I_M . The structure space of real ideals of I is denoted by ϱI , and the structure space of all maximal ideals of I is denoted by μI . The space μI can be identified with an open subset of βX . (See [4, 3.9].)

A point $x \in X$ is said to be a P-point of X if $mM_x = M_x$. (See [2, 4L].) A space X is said to be an F'-space if mM_x is prime for every $x \in X$. (See [1, 8.13].)

Two non-empty subsets A and B of X are said to be completely separated in X if there exists $f \in C(X)$ with $f(A) = \{0\}$ and $f(B) = \{1\}$.

1. P-ideals.

- 1.1. DEFINITION. A non-zero ideal I is said to be a P-ideal of C(X) if every proper prime ideal of I is maximal in I.
- 1.2. Lemma. I is a P-ideal if and only if every prime ideal of C(X) which doesn't contain I is maximal in C(X).
- Proof. Suppose I is a P-ideal and P is prime in C(X) with $P \not\supseteq I$. Then $I \cap P$ is maximal in I, whence by [4, 3.6], $I \cap P = I \cap M$ for some maximal ideal M in C(X). It follows that P = M. The converse follows easily.
- 1.3. Remark. It follows easily from Lemma 1.2 that arbitrary sums and products of P-ideals are P-ideals.
 - 1.4. Lemma. If I is a P-ideal, then I = mI.
- Proof. Let $f \in I$, and assume $f \notin mI$. Since mI is semiprime in C(X), there exists P prime in C(X) with $P \supseteq mI$ and $f \notin P$. But then $P \not\supseteq I$, whence P is maximal in C(X). Since $P \supseteq mI$, we have a contradiction.
- 1.5. Theorem. Let I be an ideal of C(X). Then the following are equivalent.
 - (1) I is a P-ideal.
- (2) For all ideals A in I, $mA = A = \overline{A}$. (\overline{A} denotes the closure of A in the relative m-topology on I.)
 - (3) For all $f \in I$, Z(f) is open.

Since $mA \subset A \subset \overline{A}$, we have equality.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be a proper ideal in I. Since I=mI, A is contained in at least one maximal ideal of I by [4, 3.7]. We have

$$mA = \bigcap \{P \mid P \text{ is prime in } I \text{ and } P \supseteq mA\}$$

$$= \bigcap \{K \mid K \text{ is maximal in } I \text{ and } K \supseteq mA\}$$

$$= \bigcap \{M \mid M \text{ is maximal in } C(X) \text{ and } M \supseteq mA\} \text{ (by [4])}$$

$$= m\overline{A} \text{ (by [2], 7Q)}$$

$$= \overline{A} \text{ (by [4], 2.5).}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $f \in I$ and form $A = \{nf + if | i \in I \text{ and } n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$, an ideal of I. Since $f \in A$, f = fa for some $a \in A$, so f = f(nf + if). It follows that Z(f) is open.

 $(3)\Rightarrow (1)$ Let P be prime with $P \not\supseteq I$, and let M be the maximal ideal of C(X) which contains P. Let $f \in I \cap M$, and define i=0 on Z(f) and i=1/f on $\sim Z(f)$. Then $f=if^2 \in mI \cap mM \subseteq P$. Thus $I \cap M=I \cap P$, and it follows that P=M.

We remark that several other statements similar to the ones in [2, 14.29] can be found which are equivalent to I being a P-ideal.

- It is evident that every ideal in a P-space is a P-ideal. It is also clear that if a maximal ideal M is a P-ideal in C(X), then X must be a P-space. Below we list some easy examples of P-ideals in spaces which are not P-spaces.
- 1.6. Example. Let $X=N^*$, the one-point compactification of the discrete space of counting numbers N. Let I be the ideal of functions which are eventually zero. Then I is a P-ideal and X is not a P-space. (Nor is X an F-space.)
- 1.7. Example. The ideal $O_{\sigma}=mM_{\sigma}$ in the space \mathcal{Z} [2, 4M] is a prime P-ideal, and \mathcal{Z} is not a P-space. (It is easily seen that Z(f) is open for any $f \in mM_{\sigma}$.)

We shall use the notation ΔI for the (possibly empty) set of all x with $M_x \supseteq I$. We then have

1.8. LEMMA. If I is a P-ideal and $x \in X \setminus I$, then x is a P-point of X. In particular, if I is a free P-ideal, then X is a P-space.

Proof. Trivial.

It is evident that the natural isomorphism of C(X) onto C(vX) preserves P-ideals. Thus if I is a P-ideal of C(X), then I^v (its image under the natural isomorphism) is a P-ideal in C(vX). The structure space of real ideals of I^v is a collection of fixed ideals each of which can be identified (by 1.8) with a P-point of vX.

1.9. Theorem. If I is a P-ideal then its structure space of real ideals (ϱI) is a P-space. Conversely, if ϱI is a P-space, then mI is a P-ideal.

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from the above discussion.

For the second part of the theorem, we may assume without loss of generality that X is realcompact. Consider $f \in mI$. Then $Z(f) \supseteq X \setminus Z(h)$ $\supseteq Z(i)$ for some $h \in C(X)$ and $i \in I$. If $x \in Z(i)$, then $x \in I$ into $x \in I$, from which it follows that $x \in I$ is a $x \in I$ -point and $x \in I$. We have shown that $x \in I$ is open, and hence the result follows by 1.5.



1.10. Remark. The ideal I could be taken to be all of C(X) in the above theorem, and we would then have that X is a P-space if and only if vX is a P-space. Since $vX = \varrho(C(X))$, we have a partial generalization of this result. It is easy to obtain an example of an ideal I which is not a P-ideal but whose structure space of real ideals is a P-space. (Let $I = M_{\infty}$ in $C(N^*)$ where N^* is the one-point compactification of N.)

We now characterize those spaces whose rings of continuous functions have P-ideals.

1.11. THEOREM. C(X) has a P-ideal if and only if the set of P-points in vX has non-empty interior in vX.

Proof. Assume I is a P-ideal in C(X). Without loss of generality, we may assume that X is realcompact. If $\Delta I = \emptyset$, then X is a P-space, so assume $\Delta I \neq \emptyset$. Then $X \setminus \Delta I$ is contained in the set of all P-points of X, and its complement ΔI can not equal X.

Conversely, suppose that the set of P-points in vX contains a non-empty open set U in vX. Let $I^v = \{g^v | Z(g^v) \supseteq vX \setminus U\}$ and consider the ideal mI^v in C(vX). Clearly, I^v is not the zero ideal. Arguing as in 1.9, it follows that mI^v is a P-ideal, and hence mI is a P-ideal in C(X).

- 2. F-ideals. It is natural to attempt to extend the notion of F-spaces to ideals just as it was done in the previous section with P-spaces. The situation is somewhat more complicated, however.
- 2.1. Definition. A non-zero ideal I of C(X) is called an F_1 -ideal in C(X) if mK is prime in I for every maximal ideal K in I.

The above definition would seem to be the natural extension, but unfortunately not every ideal in an F-space will be an F_1 -ideal.

2.2. LEMMA. If mI_M is prime in I, then $mI_M = I \cap (mM)$ and mM is prime in C(X).

Proof. Since mI_M is prime in I, there exists P prime in C(X) with $mI_M = (mI) \cap (mM) = I \cap P$. Since $M \not\supseteq I$, there exist $m \in mM$ and $i \in mI$ with m+i=1. Thus for any $p \in P$, $p=pm+pi \in mM$, and it follows that P=mM.

2.3. Lemma. If mI_M is prime in I for some maximal ideal I_M in I, then I = mI.

Proof. There exist $m \in mM$ and $i \in mI$ so that m+i=1. For any $g \in I$, $g = gm + gi \in mI$, since $I \cap (mM) = (mI) \cap (mM)$.

We note that it is possible for mM to be prime in C(X) with mI_M not prime in I. For example, choose $I \neq mI$ in $C(\beta N)$, where I is a free ideal. Then for any maximal ideal $M \not\supseteq I$, mM is prime but $m(I_M)$ is not prime in I by 2.3.

Since it is necessary that I = mI for I to be an F_1 -ideal, not every ideal in an F-space will be an F_1 -ideal.

To remedy this situation, we modify our definition, guided by Lemma 1.2 in the previous section.

2.4. Definition. A non-zero ideal I in C(X) is said to be an F-ideal if mM is prime whenever M does not contain I.

Trivially, every ideal in an F-space is an F-ideal, and every F_1 -ideal is an F-ideal. Also sums and products of F-ideals are F-ideals.

2.5. LEMMA. For any $f \in C(X)$, post and negf are completely separated if and only if there is a function $g \in C(X)$ with f = g|f|.

Proof. See [2, 14.22].

2.6. THEOREM. An ideal I in C(X) is an F-ideal if and only if posf and negf are completely separated for every $f \in mI$.

Proof. Suppose I is an F-ideal and $f \in mI$. We form the ideals $K = \{k \in C(X) | \text{ pos} f \subseteq Z(k)\}$ and $J = \{j \in C(X) | \text{ neg} f \subseteq Z(j)\}$. Assume K+J is contained in a maximal ideal M in C(X). We claim that $M \supseteq I$. To see this, assume $M \not\supseteq I$. By hypothesis, either $f \lor 0 \in mM$ or $f \land 0 \in mM$. If $f \lor 0 = (f \lor 0)m$ for some $m \in M$, then $1-m \in K \subseteq M$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can not have $f \land 0 \in mM$, and hence $M \supseteq I$. Thus the ideal $I \cap (K+J)$ is contained in no maximal ideal of I, from which it follows by [4, 3.7] that $mI \subseteq I \cap (K+J)$. If f = fi where $i \in mI$, then i = k+j for some $k \in K$ and $j \in J$. It is easily verified that f = (j-k)|f|.

Conversely, suppose posf and negf are completely separated for any $f \in mI$, and consider $M \not\supseteq I$. It suffices to show that mM is pseudoprime. To this end, suppose g : h = 0 with g and h non-negative functions in C(X). There exist $m \in mM$ and $i \in mI$ so that m+i=1 with m and i non-negative. We let $f = gi - hi \in mI$, and form the ideals J and K as above. Since posf and negf are completely separated, it follows that there exist $j \in J$ and $k \in K$ with j+k=1. If $j \in M$, then for some $a \in C(X)$ and $m_1 \in M$, $aj+m_1=1$, and hence $Z(m_1) \subseteq X \setminus Z(j) \subseteq Z(f \vee 0) \subseteq Z(gi)$. Thus $gi \in mM$, from which it follows that $g = gm+gi \in mM$. Similarly, if $k \notin M$, we could infer that $h \in mM$.

We observe that I could be taken to be all of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ for an F-space X in the above proof.

It is possible to have a function f in an F-ideal so that pos f and neg f are not completely separated.

2.7. Example. Let X = [-1, 1] with every point discrete except 0, and a neighborhood of 0 is a neighborhood in the usual topology. Then $M_0 = \{g \in C(X) | g(0) = 0\}$ is an F-ideal since posf and negf are completely separated for any $f \in mM_0$. (Indeed, if $f \in mM_0$, then Z(f) is open.) Of course, the identity function i is in M_0 , and posi and negi are not completely separated.

59



We now wish to obtain a structure-space characterization of F-ideals similar to 1.9. We recall that C(X) is an F-ideal (i.e. X is an F-space) if and only if its structure space (βX) is an F-space.

We first define an analog of a P-point in a space.

- 2.8. DEFINITION. Let $y \in Y$. Then y is an F-point in Y if for any $f \in C(Y)$ there exists a neighborhood U of y so that f does not change sign on U.
 - 2.9. Lemma. The following are equivalent for any $y \in Y$.
 - (1) y is an F-point of Y.
 - (2) If $f \cdot g = 0$, then $y \in \text{int} Z(f)$ or $y \in \text{int} Z(g)$.
 - (3) mM, is prime.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $f \cdot g = 0$ and form h = |f| - |g|. Then there exists an open set U with $y \in U$ and $h(x) \ge 0$ say, for all $x \in U$. Then g(x) = 0 for all x in U.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ It suffices to show that mM_{ν} is pseudoprime, so consider $f \cdot g = 0$. Suppose $y \in \text{int} Z(f)$. Choosing $h \in C(X)$ so that h(y) = 1 and h=0 on $\sim (\operatorname{int} Z(f))$, we have that $f=f(1-h) \in mM_{y}$.
 - $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Given $f \in C(X)$, $(f \lor 0) \cdot (f \land 0) = 0$.
- 2.10. Remark. It is easily seen that X is an F'-space if and only if every point in X is an F-point of X. Thus, for an F-ideal I, if $x \in X \setminus I$, then x is an F-point of X. In particular, if I is a free F-ideal, then X is an F'-space. It is also not hard to show that mM^p is prime in C(X) if and only if p is an F-point of βX , and hence X is an F-space if and only if every point in βX is an F-point of βX .
- 2.11. THEOREM. An ideal I is an F-ideal if and only if μI is an F'-space.

Proof. Suppose I is an F-ideal and let $y \in \mu I$. Suppose $f \in C(\mu I)$ and consider an open set U in βX so that $y \in U \subset \overline{U}$ (in βX) $\subset \mu I$. There exists $h \in C(\beta X)$ with $h | \overline{U} = f$. Now y is an F-point of βX so there exists V open in βX with $y \in V$ so that h does not change sign on V. Clearly f does not change sign on $U \cap V$.

Conversely, suppose μI is an F'-space. Then for any $p \in \mu I$, p is an F-point of μI . To see that p is an F-point of βX , consider $\hat{f} \in C(\beta X)$. Then there exists U open in μI so that $\hat{f}|U$ does not change sign. Since U is open in βX , we have a neighborhood of p (in βX) on which f does not change sign. Since p is an F-point of βX , mM^p is prime, and we have that I is an F-ideal.

2.12. Theorem. Let A denote the set of F-points of βX . Then C(X)has an F-ideal if and only if A has non-empty interior in βX .

Proof. If I is an F-ideal, then $\emptyset \neq \mu I \subseteq A$. Conversely if $\operatorname{int} A \neq \emptyset$, consider $\operatorname{int} A = \sim \{M | M \supset I\}$ for some non-zero ideal I in C(X). Clearly I is an F-ideal.

References

[1] L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1956), pp. 366-391.

[2] — and M. Jerison, Rings of continuous functions, University Series in Higher Math., Princeton, N. J., 1960.

- [3] T. R. Jenkins and J. D. McKnight, Jr., Coherence classes of ideals in rings of continuous functions, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A65 = Indag. Math. 24 (1962), pp. 299-306.
- [4] D. Rudd, On isomorphisms between ideals in rings of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 159 (1971), pp. 335-353.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 19. 11. 1973