METRIC CONVEXITY AND NORMABILITY OF METRIC LINEAR SPACES

ВY

L. F. GUSEMAN, JR., AND B. C. PETERS, JR. (COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS)

- 1. Introduction. Let X be a first countable linear topological space over the real numbers R or the complex numbers C. Then (see [5], p. 28) there exists a function $p: X \to [0, \infty)$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$ and scalars λ ,
 - (P1) p(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0;
 - (P2) $p(\lambda x) \leqslant p(x)$ if $|\lambda| \leqslant 1$;
 - (P3) $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$;
 - (P4) the topology of X is given by the metric d(x, y) = p(x-y).
- If X is a linear space and $p: X \to [0, \infty)$ satisfies (P1)-(P3), then p will be called a *pseudonorm*, and the pair (X, p) a *pseudonormed space*. If X is a linear topological space and $p: X \to [0, \infty)$ satisfies (P1)-(P4), then the pair (X, p) will be called a *metric linear space*. A *norm* is a pseudonorm which is absolutely homogeneous.

Following Menger [4], a subset A of a metric space (M, d) will be called metric convex if, for each $x, y \in A, x \neq y$, there exists $z \in A$ such that $d(x, z) = d(z, y) = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$. If M itself is metric convex, then we shall usually say that the metric d is metric convex. In [2], p. 41, it is shown that, for a complete space (M, d), there is a weaker condition equivalent to the convexity of d. If x and y are distinct points of M, then $z \in M$ is metrically between x and y provided $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$, and d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y). If each pair of distinct points in a complete metric space (M, d) has a metrically between point, then, given $x, y \in M$, there is an isometry f mapping the interval [0, d(x, y)] into M so that f(0) = x and f(d(x, y)) = y. In particular, d is metric convex. The image under f of [0, d(x, y)] is called a metric segment between x and y.

There is an equivalent formulation of metric convexity in pseudonormed spaces; namely, a pseudonorm p is metric convex if and only if, for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in X$ such that $p(y) = p(x-y) = \frac{1}{2}p(x)$. If each such y is unique, then p will be called *strictly metric convex*. It is easy to verify

that when p is a norm, this definition coincides with the usual definition of a strictly convex norm.

If A is a subset of a linear topological space X, then co(A) and $\overline{co}(A)$ denote the convex hull and closed convex hull of A, respectively. If K is a convex set, then ∂K denotes the set of extreme points of K. For a pseudonorm p, the closed p-sphere centered at x with radius $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ will be denoted by $B(x, \varepsilon)$.

In Section 2 conditions are investigated under which the space is normable. A preliminary result shows that a continuous strictly metric convex pseudonorm is a norm. A subsequent characterization of those metric convex pseudonorms which are strictly metric convex is obtained. It is also shown that, for finite-dimensional spaces, metric convexity characterizes those pseudonorms which are norms. The final result shows that a locally convex metric linear space with metric convex pseudonorm is necessarily normable.

In Section 3 examples are presented which show that the above results are not true in more general settings.

2. Main results. The following two preliminary lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

LEMMA 1. Let p be a continuous pseudonorm on a linear topological space X. Then p is a norm if and only if $p(\frac{1}{2}x) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. The necessity is immediate from the definition of a norm. Suppose $p(\frac{1}{2}x) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Then (P3) implies $p(\frac{1}{2}x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x)$, and a simple induction argument shows that $p(2^kx) = 2^kp(x)$ for each integer k and each $x \in X$. By (P3), it is sufficient to show (for either R or C) that $p(\lambda x) \leq \lambda p(x)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. But if $n/2^k$ is a dyadic rational in [0, 1], then, from the above and (P3),

$$p\left(\frac{n}{2^k}x\right)=\frac{1}{2^k}p(nx)\leqslant \frac{n}{2^k}p(x),$$

and the result follows from the continuity of p.

LEMMA 2. Let (X, p) be a metric linear space. Then p is a norm if and only if p is metric convex and the spheres $B(x, \varepsilon)$ are convex.

Proof. The necessity follows easily from the definition of a norm. If p is metric convex and the spheres are convex, then, for each $x \in X$, the set

$$C_x = B(0, \frac{1}{2}p(x)) \cap B(x, \frac{1}{2}p(x))$$

is convex and non-empty. If $y \in C_x$, then, clearly, $x - y \in C_x$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}x = \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}(x - y) \in C_x,$$

and it follows that $p(\frac{1}{2}x) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(x)$. By Lemma 1, p is a norm.

THEOREM 1. Let p be a continuous strictly metric convex pseudonorm on a linear topological space X. Then p is a strictly convex norm.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let y be an element of the set C_x defined above. Then $x - y \in C_x$ and, since p is strictly metric convex, y = x - y. Therefore, $p(\frac{1}{2}x) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(x)$ and, by Lemma 1, p is a norm.

THEOREM 2. Let (X, p) be a complete metric linear space and let p be metric convex. Then p is a strictly convex norm if and only if each closed metric convex subset of X is convex.

Proof. Suppose p is a strictly convex norm and let A be a closed metric convex subset of X. Since X is complete and A is closed, it is enough to show that $\frac{1}{2}(x+y) \in A$ whenever $x, y \in A$. Since A is metric convex, there exists a z in A such that

$$p(z-x) = p(y-z) = \frac{1}{2}p(x-y).$$

Hence, p being a strictly convex norm, we get $z = \frac{1}{2}(x+y)$.

Suppose each closed metric convex subset of X is convex and let $x \in X$. Let S be a metric segment between 0 and x. Then S is closed and metric convex. Thus $S = \{\lambda x \colon 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$ and there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$p(\lambda x) = p((1-\lambda)x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x).$$

For either of the cases $\lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ or $1-\lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ we have $p(\frac{1}{2}x) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}p(x)$. Hence, by Lemma 1, p is a norm. Suppose that p(x) = p(y) = 1 and p(x+y) = 2. Then, clearly, p(x+y) = p(x) + p(y). Since

$$p(x-(x-y)) = p(y) = \frac{1}{2}p(x-(-y))$$

and

$$p(x-y-(-y)) = p(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x-(-y)),$$

it follows that $x-y=\frac{1}{2}x+\frac{1}{2}(-y)$. Hence x=y, and p is a strictly convex norm.

The special case of Theorem 2 for finite-dimensional (X, p) with the Euclidean norm p appears in [2], p. 43. A related result appears in [6], p. 101.

The argument used in the proof of the sufficiency of Theorem 2 shows that every metric convex pseudonorm on a one-dimensional space is a norm. We have the following extension to spaces of finite dimension.

THEOREM 3. Let (X, p) be a finite-dimensional metric linear space. Then p is a norm if and only if p is metric convex.

Proof. The necessity is immediate. To prove the sufficiency let us assume that p is metric convex. By Lemma 2, it is enough to show that the spheres $B(0, \varepsilon)$ are convex. We first show that they are compact. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, this is a consequence of the local compactness

of the space. If $x \in B(0, 2\varepsilon)$, then there exists $y \in X$ such that y and x - y are in $B(0, \varepsilon)$. Hence $B(0, 2\varepsilon) = B(0, \varepsilon) + B(0, \varepsilon)$. By induction, it follows that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each non-negative integer n,

$$B(0, 2^n \varepsilon) = \underbrace{B(0, \varepsilon) + \ldots + B(0, \varepsilon)}_{2^n \text{ summands}}.$$

Since the sum of compact sets is compact, each sphere $B(0, \varepsilon)$ is compact.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $K(\varepsilon) = \operatorname{co}(B(0, \varepsilon))$. Then $K(\varepsilon)$ is compact and convex, so that, by Milman's theorem (see [4], p. 68), $\partial K(\varepsilon) \subseteq B(0, \varepsilon)$. We will show by induction that if $x_0 \in \partial K(\varepsilon)$, then

$$p\left(\frac{1}{2^n}x_0\right) = \frac{1}{2^n}p(x_0)$$

for each non-negative integer n. The assertion is trivial for n = 0. Suppose it is true for n = k-1. Then there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$p(z) = p\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}x_0 - z\right) = \frac{1}{2}p\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}x_0\right) = \frac{1}{2^k}p(x_0) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Thus $2^k z$ and $2x_0 - 2^k z$ are in $B(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq K(\varepsilon)$. Since x_0 is an extreme point of $K(\varepsilon)$ and $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}(2^k z) + \frac{1}{2}(2x_0 - 2^k z)$, we have $2^k z = 2x_0 - 2^k z$. Therefore, $z = x_0/2^k$ and the assertion is true for n = k. As in the proof of Lemma 1, we have $p(\lambda x_0) \leq \lambda p(x_0)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Let

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \quad ext{where } \lambda_i \geqslant 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1 ext{ and } x_i \in \partial K(\varepsilon).$$

Then

$$p(y) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(\lambda_{i}x_{i}) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} p(x_{i}) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

Hence, by the Krein-Milman theorem, $K(\varepsilon)$ is a subset of $B(0, \varepsilon)$, and so $B(0, \varepsilon)$ is convex.

By imposing an additional condition on the space X, we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 4. Let (X, p) be a locally convex metric linear space with a metric convex pseudonorm p. Then p is normable.

Proof. By a well-known result of Kolmogorov (see, e.g., [5], p. 41), it is sufficient to show the existence of a convex bounded neighborhood of 0. Since

$$B\Big(0\,,\;rac{1}{2^{n+1}}\Big) + B\Big(0\,,\;rac{1}{2^{n+1}}\Big) \,=\, B\Big(0\,,\;rac{1}{2^n}\Big) \quad ext{ for each } n\,,$$

we have, as in the proof of Theorem 3,

$$\underbrace{B\!\left(0,\frac{1}{2^{n+k}}\right)+\ldots\,+B\!\left(0,\frac{1}{2^{n+k}}\right)}_{2^k \text{ summands}} = B\!\left(0,\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \quad \text{for each } n \text{ and } k.$$

Hence, putting $K_n = co(B(0, 1/2^n))$, we obtain

$$\underbrace{K_{n+k}+\ldots+K_{n+k}}_{2^k \text{ summands}}=2^kK_{n+k}=K_n.$$

Thus, since the sets K_n form a base at 0, they are bounded. In particular, K_0 is bounded.

We note that Theorems 3 and 4 hold for almost convex pseudonorms (see [1]).

- 3. Examples. In example (i) below we present a metric linear space which is not locally convex but has a metric convex pseudonorm. This example shows that Theorem 3 is not true for infinite-dimensional spaces. It also shows that the hypothesis of local convexity cannot be deleted from Theorem 4. In example (ii) below we present a locally convex metric linear space with a convex pseudonorm which is not a norm.
- (i) Let $L^{1/2}[0,1]$ denote the space of Lebesgue measurable scalar functions f on [0,1] such that

$$\int\limits_0^1|f|^{1/2}<\infty.$$

Define p on $L^{1/2}[0,1]$ by

$$p(f) = \int_{0}^{1} |f|^{1/2}.$$

Then p is, clearly, a pseudonorm which is not a norm. Furthermore, the space $(L^{1/2}[0,1],p)$ is not locally convex (see [5], p. 34) and, therefore, not normable. We now show that p is metric convex. Let $f \in L^{1/2}[0,1]$ and let

$$F(x)=\int\limits_0^x|f|^{1/2}.$$

Then, by [3], p. 272, there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$F(t) = \int_0^t |f|^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |f|^{1/2}.$$

Let $g \in L^{1/2}[0,1]$ be the characteristic function of the subset [0,t] of [0,1]. Then

$$p(fg) = \int_{0}^{1} |fg|^{1/2} = \int_{0}^{t} |f|^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} |f|^{1/2}$$

and

$$p(f-fg) = \int_0^1 |f-fg|^{1/2} = \int_0^1 |f(1-g)|^{1/2} = \int_t^1 |f|^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |f|^{1/2}.$$

Hence $p(fg) = p(f-fg) = \frac{1}{2}p(f)$, and so p is a metric convex pseudonorm.

(ii) Let $L^1[0,1]$ be the space of Lebesgue integrable scalar functions on [0,1], with its usual norm

$$||f||=\int\limits_{0}^{1}|f|.$$

Define p on $L^1[0,1]$ by

$$p(f) = ||f|| + \int_{0}^{1} |f|^{1/2}.$$

Then p is, clearly, a pseudonorm on $L^1[0,1]$ which is not a norm. Furthermore, by Hölder's inequality for 0 (see [3], p. 191), we have

$$||f|| \leqslant p(f) \leqslant ||f|| + ||f||^{1/2}$$
 for each $f \in L^1[0, 1]$,

and so p is topologically equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. We now show that p is metric convex. Let $f \in L^1[0,1]$ and let

$$F(x) = \int_{0}^{x} (|f| + |f|^{1/2}).$$

Then, by [3], p. 272, there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$F(t) = \int_0^t (|f| + |f|^{1/2}) = \frac{1}{2}F(1) = \frac{1}{2}p(f).$$

Let $g \in L^1[0,1]$ be defined by g = f on [0,t] and by g = 0 in (t,1]. Then

$$p(g) = \int_0^1 |g| + \int_0^1 |g|^{1/2} = \int_0^t |f| + \int_0^t |f|^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}p(f).$$

Similarly, we have $p(f-g) = \frac{1}{2}p(f)$. Thus p is metric convex.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn, Quelques remarques sur les relations entre les notions d'écort régulier et de distance, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 44 (1938), p. 653-657.
- [2] L. Blumenthal, Theory and applications of distance geometry, Oxford 1953.
- [3] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, Real and abstract analysis, New York 1965.
- [4] K. Menger, Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik, Mathematische Annalen 100 (1928), p. 75-163.
- [5] H. H. Schaefer, Topological vector spaces, New York London 1966.
- [6] F. A. Toranzos, Metric betweenness in normed linear spaces, Colloquium Mathematicum 23 (1971), p. 99-102.

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5.8.1972