

On three types of simplicial objects

by

S. Balcerzyk, Phan Huu Chan and R. Kiełpiński (Toruń)

Abstract. Let Δ , Δ_s , Δ_a denote categories with the same set of objects consisting of sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and the sets of morphisms consisting of increasing, strictly increasing or all functions, respectively. We consider three categories of simplicial objects (Δ_s^*, A) , (Δ^*, A) , (Δ_a^*, A) of all contravariant functors with values in an Abelian category A and we present some results concerning functors between these categories and the category of complexes over A . Moreover we study the homotopy of maps of simplicial objects of three types and prove several theorems on a preservation of the homotopy by some functors.

Let us denote by Δ (resp., Δ_s , resp., Δ_a) a category with the set of objects consisting of sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and sets of maps $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ consisting of all weakly increasing functions (resp., all strictly increasing functions, resp., all functions). Thus we have $\Delta_s \subset \Delta \subset \Delta_a$. All contravariant functors defined on Δ_s , Δ or Δ_a with values in a fixed category M and with natural transformations as maps form categories (Δ_s^*, M) , (Δ^*, M) , (Δ_a^*, M) . Categories (Δ^*, M) and specially (Δ^*, Set) play an important role in algebra and topology. Categories (Δ_s^*, M) and (Δ_a^*, M) are considered rather seldom (see [5], [7], [4]).

In the first part of this paper we present some results concerning functors (defined below) in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (\Delta_s^*, A) & \xrightleftharpoons[*z_1]{z_1} & (\Delta^*, A) & \xrightleftharpoons[*z_2]{z_2} & (\Delta_a^*, A) \\
 \searrow N_s, k_s & & \downarrow K & & \swarrow N_a, k_a, N'_a \\
 & & (\text{Ch}, A) & &
 \end{array}$$

$\swarrow J$ from (Δ_s^*, A) to (Ch, A) , $\searrow z_2$ from (Δ^*, A) to (Ch, A) , $\swarrow z_1$ from (Δ_a^*, A) to (Ch, A) .

z_1, z_2 and $z: (\Delta_a^*, A) \rightarrow (\Delta_s^*, A)$ are forgetful functors induced by inclusions $\Delta_s \subset \Delta$, $\Delta \subset \Delta_a$, $\Delta_s \subset \Delta_a$; thus $z = z_1 z_2$. If a category A has finite colimits, then there exist left adjoint functors $*z_1, *z_2, *z$ of functors z_1, z_2, z . For each integer $n \geq 0$ we have functions $e^i = e_n^i: [n-1] \rightarrow [n]$, $\eta^i = \eta_n^i: [n+1] \rightarrow [n]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, defined as follows: $e^i(j) = j$ for $j < i$ (resp., $j+1$ for $j \geq i$), $\eta^i(j) = j$ for $j \leq i$ (resp., $j-1$ for $j > i$). If X is an object in (Δ_s^*, A) , then we write $X_n = X([n])$, $d_i = \bar{e}^i = X(e^i)$ (face operator), and if X is in (Δ^*, A) , then we write $s_i = \tilde{\eta}^i = X(\eta^i)$ (degeneracy operator). Let A be an Abelian category, then we denote by (Ch, A) the category of all left

chain complexes over \mathcal{A} . N_s, N, N_a denote normalization functors which associate with an object X a chain complex with the n th component equal to $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(d_i: X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1})$ and the n th differential is induced by $d_0: X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$. Functors k_s, k, k_a associate with X a chain complex with the n th component X_n and with the n th differential equal to $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i$. Let X be an object in $(\Delta_s^*, \mathcal{A})$; then we denote by $D_n(X)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ a subobject $\sum_{\pi} \text{Im}(X(\pi) - \text{sgn}(\pi) 1_{X_n}) + \sum_{\gamma} \text{Im} X(\gamma)$ of X_n , where π runs over $S_n = \text{Aut}_{\Delta_s}([n])$ and γ runs over all such maps $\gamma: [n] \rightarrow [p]$ in Δ_a that $p < n$. The subobjects $D_n(X)$ determine a subcomplex $D(X)$ of $k_a(X)$ and we denote by $N'_a(X)$ the complex $\text{Coker}(D(X) \rightarrow k_a(X))$ (see [4]). Let C be a chain complex in (Ch, \mathcal{A}) with differentials $\partial_n: C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$; then the n th component of an object $J(C)$ in $(\Delta_s^*, \mathcal{A})$ is equal to C_n , face operators d_i are zero for $i > 0$ and $d_0 = \partial_n$. The Kan-Dold functor K (see [2]) associates with a chain complex C in (Ch, \mathcal{A}) an object KC in (Δ^*, \mathcal{A}) such that $(\text{KC})_n = \bigsqcup_{\eta} C_q$ where $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ runs over all epimorphic maps in Δ , $q = 0, 1, \dots, n$. We denote by $w_{\eta}: C_q \rightarrow (\text{KC})_n$ the corresponding imbedding; then, for any map $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ , an induced map $\tilde{\alpha}: (\text{KC})_n \rightarrow (\text{KC})_m$ is defined as follows. Let $\eta\alpha = \varepsilon\eta'$ where η' is epimorphic and ε is monomorphic, then $\tilde{\alpha} \circ w_{\eta}$ is equal to $w_{\eta'} \circ \partial_q$ (resp., $w_{\eta'} \circ d_q$, resp., 0) if $\varepsilon = 1_{[q]}$ (resp., $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^0$, resp., $\varepsilon \neq 1_{[q]}, \varepsilon^0$). Values of all these functors on maps of objects are defined in a natural way.

In the second part we study the homotopy of maps of simplicial objects of three types. In the third part we prove several theorems on a preservation of the homotopy of maps of simplicial objects of three types by some functors.

We give only sketchy proofs and omit all computations; details may be found in [1].

§ 1. Three types of simplicial objects and chain complexes

1. Adjoints of forgetful functors. It is clear that the values of a left adjoint $*z_1$ of z_1 on a functor $X: \Delta_s^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $*z_1(X): \Delta^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, is a Kan extension of X along $\Delta_s^* \subset \Delta^*$ and similarly for $*z_2$ and $*z$. Using the well known method of computing Kan extensions (see [6]), we get

THEOREM 1. Suppose that a category \mathcal{A} has finite colimits.

(i) If X is an object of $(\Delta_s^*, \mathcal{A})$, then

$$(*z_1(X))_n = \bigsqcup_{\eta} X_q, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ runs over epimorphisms in Δ and for a map $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ the induced map $\tilde{\alpha}$ is defined by the formula $\tilde{\alpha} \circ w_{\eta} = w_{\eta'} X(\varepsilon)$, if $\eta\alpha = \varepsilon\eta'$ and η' is an epimorphic map in Δ , ε is a map in Δ_s .

(ii) If X is an object in $(\Delta_s^*, \mathcal{A})$, then

$$(*z(X))_n = \bigsqcup_{\eta} X_q, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ runs over epimorphisms in Δ_a and for a map $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_a the induced map $\tilde{\alpha}$ is defined by the formula $\tilde{\alpha} \circ w_{\eta} = w_{\eta'} X(\varepsilon)$, if $\eta\alpha = \varepsilon\eta'$ and η' is an epimorphic map in Δ_a , ε is a map in Δ_s .

(iii) If X is an object in (Δ^*, \mathcal{A}) , then

$$(*z_2(X))_n = \text{Coker} \left(\bigsqcup_{(\beta, \pi_1, \pi_2)} X_q \xrightarrow[\mu_n]{\lambda_n} \bigsqcup_{\pi \in S_n} X_n \right), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where (β, π_1, π_2) runs over all such triples that $\beta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ is a map in Δ , $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in S_n$ and $\beta\pi_1 = \beta\pi_2$. Moreover, λ_n, μ_n are defined by the formula $\lambda_n w_{(\beta, \pi_1, \pi_2)} = w_{\pi_1} X(\beta)$, $\mu_n w_{(\beta, \pi_1, \pi_2)} = w_{\pi_2} X(\beta)$ and let $v_n: \bigsqcup_{\pi \in S_n} X_n \rightarrow (*z_2(X))_n$ denote the natural map. For a map $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_a we define a map $\tilde{\alpha}: \bigsqcup_{\pi \in S_n} X_n \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\varrho \in S_m} X_m$ by the formula $\tilde{\alpha} \circ w_{\pi} = w_{\varrho} X(\beta)$ if $\pi\alpha = \beta\varrho_1$, β is a map in Δ and ϱ_1 (not unique) is in S_m . Thus $v_m \tilde{\alpha} \lambda_n = v_m \tilde{\alpha} \mu_n$; hence $\tilde{\alpha}$ induces a unique map $\tilde{\alpha}: (*z_2(X))_n \rightarrow (*z_2(X))_m$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} v_n = v_m \tilde{\alpha}$.

For example, let L be a simplicial complex with vertices ordered by a relation \leq . Then the sets $L'_n = \{\langle v_0, \dots, v_n \rangle\}$, where v_0, \dots, v_n are vertices of a simplex in L and $v_0 < \dots < v_n$, with obvious face operators $d_i: L'_n \rightarrow L'_{n-1}$, determine an object L' in (Δ_s^*, Set) . Similarly we define objects L'' in (Δ^*, Set) and L''' in (Δ_s^*, Set) with components consisting of sequences $\langle v_0, \dots, v_n \rangle$ of vertices of a simplex in L , such that $v_0 \leq \dots \leq v_n$, or of all such sequences, respectively. It is easy to see that $L'' = *z_1(L')$, $L''' = *z_2(L'') = *z(L')$.

2. Direct decompositions of components of objects in (Δ^*, \mathcal{A}) . In the sequel we denote by \mathcal{A} an Abelian category. It is known (see [2]) that the functor $K \circ N$ is equivalent to the identity functor; thus there exist natural isomorphisms $X_n \approx \bigsqcup_{\eta} (NX)_q$ where $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ runs over epimorphisms in Δ and X is an object in (Δ^*, \mathcal{A}) . We give an effective description of this decomposition. For this purpose we denote

$$p_q = (1 - s_0 d_1) \dots (1 - s_{q-1} d_q): X_q \rightarrow X_q,$$

$$f_{i,q} = d_{i+1} (1 - s_{i+1} d_{i+2}) \dots (1 - s_{q-1} d_q): X_q \rightarrow X_{q-1}$$

for $q = 0, 1, \dots$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$. It is known and easy to see that

$$p_q p_q = p_q, \quad \text{Im } p_q = (NX)_q, \quad \text{Ker } p_q = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \text{Im}(s_j: X_{q-1} \rightarrow X_q);$$

thus there exists a decomposition

$$p_q: X_q \xrightarrow{p'_q} (NX)_q \xrightarrow{w_q} X_q$$

with p'_q epimorphic and w_q monomorphic. Each epimorphic map $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ in Δ may be uniquely represented as $\eta = \eta_{n-t}^{j_1} \dots \eta_{n-1}^{j_t}$ with $0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t < n$, $t+q = n$. For such η we define maps

$$i_\eta = X(\eta)w_q: (NX)_q \rightarrow X_q \rightarrow X_n,$$

$$p_\eta = p'_q f_{j_1, q+1} \dots f_{j_t, n}: X_n \rightarrow X_q \rightarrow (NX)_q.$$

THEOREM 2. Let A be an Abelian category and let X be an object in (Δ^*, A) . For each $n = 0, 1, \dots$ the family of maps $\{i_\eta, p_\eta\}$, where η runs over all epimorphic maps $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ in Δ , represents X as a direct sum $X_n \approx \bigsqcup_\eta (NX)_q$.

Proof. We prove the formulas $1_{X_n} = \sum_\eta i_\eta p_\eta$, $p_\eta i_{\eta'} = 0$ for $\eta \neq \eta'$, $p_\eta i_\eta = 1_{(NX)_n}$, using the following relations:

$$s_i f_{i,n} s_j f_{j,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ s_i f_{i,n} & \text{for } i = j, \end{cases}$$

$$f_{j,n} s_k = \begin{cases} (1 - s_j d_{j+1}) \dots (1 - s_{n-2} d_{n-1}) & \text{for } k = j, \\ 0 & \text{for } k > j, \\ s_k f_{j-1, n-1} & \text{for } k < j, \end{cases}$$

$$1_{X_n} = p_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} s_j f_{j,n}, \quad f_{j,n} p_n = 0.$$

3. Functors on Δ_s , Δ and chain complexes. It is known that functors N, k are homotopically equivalent, i.e., for each object X in (Δ^*, A) the chain complexes $N(X), k(X)$ are naturally homotopically equivalent (see [2]). The functors N_s, k_s are not homotopically equivalent. To show this let A be the category $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$ and let l be a fixed integer different from 0 and ± 1 . We define an object X in $(\Delta_s^*, \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod})$ as follows: $X_n = \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $d_i(x) = lx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Then $H_{2m+1}(kX) = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ for all m but $(NX)_n = 0$ for all $n > 0$; thus $H_n(NX) = 0$ for all $n > 0$, whence $N_s(X)$ and $k_s(X)$ are not homotopically equivalent.

THEOREM 3. Let A be an Abelian category.

- (i) The functors k_s and $N \circ *z_1$ are equivalent.
- (ii) $k_s \circ J = N_s \circ J = 1_{(\text{Ch}, A)}$.
- (iii) The functors $*z_1 \circ J \circ N$ and $1_{(\Delta^*, A)}$ are equivalent.
- (iv) $K = *z_1 \circ J$.
- (v) (Kan-Dold Theorem) The functors $N \circ K, K \circ N$ are equivalent to the identity functors.
- (vi) Functors $K \circ k_s$ and $*z_1$ are equivalent.

Proof. (i) Let X be an object in (Δ_s^*, A) ; then we define the equivalences φ and ψ as compositions

$$\varphi(X)_n: (k_s(X))_n = X_n \xrightarrow{w_{1[n]}} (*z_1(X))_n \xrightarrow{p'_n} ((N*z_1)(X))_n,$$

$$\psi(X)_n: ((N*z_1)(X))_n \xrightarrow{w_n} (*z_1(X))_n \xrightarrow{p'_n} X_n = (k_s(X))_n,$$

where p'_n denotes a projection on a direct summand. A standard computation, in which we use the formula $d_0 p_q = p_{q-1} d_q$, shows that $\varphi\psi = 1$ and $\psi\varphi = 1$.

(ii) and (iv) follow by the definition of functors k_s, N_s, z_1, K and J .

(iii) In the proof we check that for each object X in (Δ^*, A) the isomorphisms described in Theorem 2

$$((z_1 \circ J \circ N)(X))_n = \bigsqcup_\eta ((J \circ N)(X))_q = \bigsqcup_\eta (NX)_q \approx X_n$$

determine the natural isomorphism of objects $(*z_1 \circ J \circ N)(X) \approx X$ in (Δ^*, A) .

(v) From (i) and (iii) it follows that $NK = N*z_1 J \approx k_s J = 1, KN = *z_1 JN \approx 1$.

(vi) Follows from (i) and (v).

4. Functors on Δ_s and chain complexes. It is not known whether are functors k_a and N'_a homotopically equivalent or not (see [4]). A partial answer is contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let A be an Abelian category.

- (i) The functors k_s and $N'_a *z = N'_a *z_2 *z_1$ are equivalent.
- (ii) The functors k_s and $k_a *z$ are homotopically equivalent.
- (iii) The functors N and $N'_a *z_2$ are equivalent.
- (iv) The functors $k_a *z$ and $N'_a *z$ are homotopically equivalent.
- (v) The functors k and $N'_a *z_2$ are homotopically equivalent.

Proof. (i) Let X be an object in (Δ_s^*, A) . We have the formulas

$$(*z(X))_n = X_n \sqcup D_n(*z(X)),$$

$$D_n(*z(X)) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{Im} \{ (X(\pi) - \text{sgn}(\pi) 1_{X_n}) \circ w_{1[\pi]} \} \sqcup \bigsqcup_\eta X_q,$$

where $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ runs over epimorphisms in Δ and $q < n$; thus we get the isomorphisms

$$(k_s(X))_n = X_n \approx \text{Coker}(D_n(*z(X)) \rightarrow (*z(X))_n) = (N'_a *z(X))_n,$$

and it is easy to check that they are natural and commute with differentials.

(ii) Let X be an object in (Δ_s^*, A) ; then we define maps of chain complexes

$$f(X): k_s(X) \rightarrow (k_a \circ *z)(X), \quad g(X): (k_a \circ *z)(X) \rightarrow k_s(X)$$

as follows:

$$f_n(X): (k_s(X))_n = X_n \xrightarrow{w_{1[n]}} \bigsqcup_\eta X_q = (*z(X))_n = ((k_a \circ *z)(X))_n,$$

$$g_n(X): ((k_a \circ *z)(X))_n = \bigsqcup_\eta X_q \xrightarrow{\Sigma} X_n = (k_s(X))_n,$$

where $\sum = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) p''_\pi$, p''_π denotes a projection onto a direct summand corresponding to π and $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ runs over epimorphisms in \mathcal{A}_a . A standard but lengthy computation shows that $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ are, in fact, maps of complexes. It is clear that $g(X)f(X) = 1_{k_s(X)}$.

We prove that $f(X)g(X)$ is homotopic to the identity map of the complex $(k_a \circ *z)(X)$ by means of acyclic models. In the categories $(\mathcal{A}_s^*, \mathcal{Z}\text{-Mod})$, $(\mathcal{A}_a^*, \mathcal{Z}\text{-Mod})$ the objects $C(p)$, $\bar{C}(p)$ corresponding to a standard p -dimensional simplex are defined as follows:

$$C(p)_n = \bigsqcup_{\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon, \quad \bar{C}(p)_n = \bigsqcup_{\gamma} Z_\gamma, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\varepsilon: [n] \rightarrow [p]$ runs over maps in \mathcal{A}_s , $\gamma: [n] \rightarrow [p]$ runs over maps in \mathcal{A}_a and Z_ε , Z_γ are free \mathcal{Z} -modules on free generators ε , γ . Maps induced by a map $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ in \mathcal{A}_s or \mathcal{A}_a are obvious. We know that $\bar{C}(p) = *z(C(p))$ and the chain complex $k_a \bar{C}(p)$ is homotopically trivial.

For each object X in $(\mathcal{A}_s^*, \mathcal{A})$ and for each epimorphism $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ in \mathcal{A}_a we denote by $w_{X,\eta}$ the imbedding $w_{X,\eta}: X_\eta \rightarrow (*z(X))_n$. We define by induction such natural maps $h_n(X): (*z(X))_n \rightarrow (*z(X))_{n+1}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ that

$$(n) \quad f_n(X)g_n(X) - 1_{k_a *z(X)_n} = \partial_{n+1}^X h_n(X) + h_{n-1}(X) \partial_n^X,$$

where ∂_n^X denotes the n th differential of a complex $k_a *z(X)$. We put $h_0(X) = 0$ and let us assume that the maps $h_0(X), \dots, h_{n-1}(X)$ are defined for all objects X in $(\mathcal{A}_s^*, \mathcal{A})$ and an arbitrary Abelian category \mathcal{A} and that they satisfy (0), \dots , $(n-1)$. Then we have

$$\partial_n^{\bar{C}(p)} [f_n(C(p))g_n(C(p)) - 1_{\bar{C}(p)_n} - h_{n-1}(C(p)) \partial_n^{\bar{C}(p)}] = 0$$

and for each epimorphic map $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [p]$ in \mathcal{A}_a we have $w_{C(p),\eta}(1_{[p]}) \in \bar{C}(p)_n$; thus there exists such an element $b_\eta \in (\bar{C}(p))_{n+1} = \bigsqcup_{\eta'} \bigsqcup_{\varepsilon'} Z_{\varepsilon'}$ (where $\eta': [n+1] \rightarrow [q]$

runs over epimorphic maps in \mathcal{A}_a and $\varepsilon': [q] \rightarrow [p]$ runs over maps in \mathcal{A}_s) that

$$\partial_{n+1}^{\bar{C}(p)} b_\eta = [f_n(C(p))g_n(C(p)) - 1_{\bar{C}(p)_n} - h_{n-1}(C(p)) \partial_n^{\bar{C}(p)}] w_{C(p),\eta}(1_{[p]})$$

and b_η is of the form

$$b_\eta = \sum_{\eta'} w_{C(p),\eta'} \left(\sum_{\varepsilon'} \alpha(\eta, \eta', \varepsilon') \varepsilon' \right),$$

where $\alpha(\eta, \eta', \varepsilon') \in \mathcal{Z}$. For any object X in $(\mathcal{A}_s^*, \mathcal{A})$ we define $h_n(X)$ as follows: let $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [p]$ be an epimorphic map in \mathcal{A}_a ; then

$$h_n(X)w_{X,\eta} = \sum_{\eta'} w_{X,\eta'} \left(\sum_{\varepsilon'} \alpha(\eta, \eta', \varepsilon') X(\varepsilon') \right).$$

It is easy to verify that $h_n(X)$ is natural and the formula (n) follows by a standard, but lengthy, computation.

(iii) We define maps $t_n(X): \bigsqcup_{\pi \in S_n} X_n \rightarrow (NX)_n$ by the conditions $t_n(X)w_\pi = \text{sgn}(\pi)p'_\pi$

and it is easy to prove that the maps $t_n(X)$ induce the maps $i_n(X): (N'_a *z_2(X))_n \rightarrow (NX)_n$. Let maps $\bar{u}_n(X): (NX)_n \rightarrow (N'_a *z_2(X))_n$ be compositions

$$(NX)_n \xrightarrow{w'_n} X_n \xrightarrow{w_{[n]}} \bigsqcup_{\pi \in S_n} X_n \rightarrow (*z_2 X)_n \rightarrow (N'_a *z_2(X))_n.$$

Then $\bar{u}_n(X)$ are inverses of $i_n(X)$ and commute with differentials.

(iv) follows by (i) and (ii), (v) follows by (iii).

§ 2. Homotopies in categories of simplicial objects

1. A standard triangulation of prisms. We denote the vertices of a standard n -dimensional simplex Δ_n by $0, 1, \dots, n$. For any map $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in the category \mathcal{A}_a we denote by $|\alpha|: \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta_n$ such an affine map that $|\alpha|(i) = \alpha(i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and by $\bar{\alpha}: \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta_n$ such a simplicial map of barycentric subdivisions that a barycenter of a face σ of Δ_m is mapped onto a barycenter of a face $|\alpha|(\sigma)$ of Δ_n . If α is monomorphic, then $|\alpha| = \bar{\alpha}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_s[n]$, (resp., $\mathcal{A}[n]$, resp., $\mathcal{A}_a[n]$) a contravariant functor represented by an object $[n]$ and defined on the category \mathcal{A}_s (resp., \mathcal{A} , resp., \mathcal{A}_a). Let $\varphi_{j,n}: \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_n \times I$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, be such affine maps that $\varphi_{j,n}(i) = (i, 0)$ for $i \leq j$ (resp., $(i-1, 1)$ for $i > j$). The maps $\varphi_{j,n}$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, determine a standard triangulation of a prism $\Delta_n \times I$. To this triangulation (with the usual ordering of vertices) correspond objects $P_{n+1,s}$ in the category $(\mathcal{A}_s^*, \text{Set})$, $P_{n+1} = *z_1(P_{n+1,s})$ in the category $(\mathcal{A}^*, \text{Set})$ and $P_{n+1,a} = *z(P_{n+1,s}) = *z_2(P_{n+1})$ in the category $(\mathcal{A}^*, \text{Set})$. It is well known that $P_{n+1} \approx \mathcal{A}[n] \times \mathcal{A}[1]$. It is easy to see that similar formulas do not hold for $P_{n+1,s}$ and $P_{n+1,a}$. Essential properties of standard triangulations of prisms are collected in the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 1. Maps $\varphi_{j,n}: \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_n \times I$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, satisfy the following relations:

$$(1) \quad \varphi_{n,n} \circ |e^{n+1}| = i_0, \quad \varphi_{0,n} \circ |e^0| = i_1$$

where $i_\delta: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_n \times I$, $\delta = 0, 1$ and $i_\delta(x) = (x, \delta)$ for $x \in \Delta_n$,

$$(2) \quad \varphi_{j,n} \circ |e^i| = (|e^i| \times 1) \circ \varphi_{j-1,n-1} \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

$$(3) \quad \varphi_{i,n} \circ |e^{i+1}| = \varphi_{i+1,n} \circ |e^{i+1}| \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(4) \quad \varphi_{j,n} \circ |e^i| = (|e^{i-1}| \times 1) \circ \varphi_{j,n-1} \quad \text{for } i > j+1,$$

$$(5) \quad \varphi_{j,n} \circ |\eta^i| = (|\eta^i| \times 1) \circ \varphi_{j+1,n+1} \quad \text{for } i \leq j,$$

$$(6) \quad \varphi_{j,n} \circ |\eta^i| = (|\eta^{i-1}| \times 1) \circ \varphi_{j,n+1} \quad \text{for } i > j.$$

Each isomorphism $\pi: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ in the category Δ_a induces the simplicial map $|\pi|: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_n$ but the maps $|\pi| \times 1: \Delta_n \times I \rightarrow \Delta_n \times I$ are not simplicial unless $\pi = 1_{[n]}$. Thus to define a homotopy in a category (Δ_a^*, M) which is "consistent with models" we have to consider another triangulation of prisms.

2. Homotopy in categories (Δ^*, M) . Let M be an arbitrary category. We recall a well-known definition of homotopy in (Δ^*, M) .

DEFINITION 2. A homotopy of maps of an object X in Y in a category (Δ^*, M) is a family of maps $\{h_{j,n}\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $h_{j,n}: X_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$ which satisfy the relations

- $$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & d_i h_{j,n} = h_{j-1,n-1} d_i \quad \text{for } i < j, \\ (8) \quad & d_{i+1} h_{i+1,n} = d_{i+1} h_{i,n} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ (9) \quad & d_i h_{j,n} = h_{j,n-1} d_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i > j+1, \\ (10) \quad & s_i h_{j,n} = h_{j+1,n+1} s_i \quad \text{for } i \leq j, \\ (11) \quad & s_i h_{j,n} = h_{j,n+1} s_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i > j. \end{aligned}$$

Homotopy $\{h_{j,n}\}$ joins maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ where

$$(f_0)_n = d_{n+1} h_{0,n}, \quad (f_1)_n = d_0 h_{0,n}.$$

It is well known that there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set of all homotopies of maps of object X in Y and the set of all maps of $X \times \Delta[1]$ in Y (see [2]).

Let us suppose for a moment that $M = \text{Set}$. Then each element $x_n \in X_n$ determines a unique map $\tilde{x}_n: \Delta[n] \rightarrow X$ such that $\tilde{x}_n(1_{[n]}) = x_n$; thus for a map $h: X \times \Delta[1] \rightarrow Y$ corresponding to the homotopy $h_{j,n}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{j,n}(x_n) &= h_{n+1}(s_j x_n, \sigma_j) = h_{n+1}(s_j x_n(1_{[n]}), \sigma_j) = h_{n+1}(\tilde{x}_n(\eta^j), \sigma_j) \\ &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1))_{n+1}(\eta^j, \sigma_j) = (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j,n})_{n+1}(1_{[n+1]}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi'_{j,n}: \Delta[n] \rightarrow P_{n+1}$ denotes such a map that $\varphi_{j,n} = |\varphi'_{j,n}|$ and $\sigma_j = \sigma_{j,n}: [n] \rightarrow [1]$ satisfies $\sigma_j(i) = 0$ for $i \leq j$ (resp., 1 for $i > j$). Now it is easy to check that all the formulas (7)–(11) follow from Proposition 1; for instance, we obtain formula (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i h_{j,n}(x_n) &= d_i (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j,n})_{n+1}(1_{[n+1]}) \\ &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j,n})_n d_i(1_{[n+1]}) \\ &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j,n})(\varepsilon^i) \\ &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j,n})_n \circ \Delta[\varepsilon^i]_n(1_{[n]}) \\ &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j,n} \circ \Delta[\varepsilon^i])_n(1_{[n]}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \times 1) \circ \Delta[\varepsilon^i] \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j-1,n-1}(1_{[n]}) \\ &= (h \circ (\tilde{x}_n \circ \Delta[\varepsilon^i]) \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j-1,n-1}(1_{[n]}) \\ &= (h(\tilde{d}_i x_n \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j-1,n-1})(1_{[n]}) \\ &= h_{j-1,n-1}(d_i x_n) \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi'_{j,n} \circ \Delta[\varepsilon^i] = (\Delta[\varepsilon^i] \times 1) \circ \varphi'_{j-1,n-1}$ and $\tilde{x}_n \circ \Delta[\varepsilon^i](1_{[n-1]}) = \tilde{x}_n(\varepsilon^i) = \tilde{x}_n d_i(1_{[n]}) = d_i \tilde{x}_n(1_{[n]}) = d_i x_n = \tilde{d}_i x_n(1_{[n-1]})$.

Thus relations (7)–(11) reflect properties of the standard triangulation of prisms.

3. Homotopy in categories (Δ^*, M) .

DEFINITION 3. A homotopy of maps of an object X in Y in a category (Δ^*, M) is a family of maps $\{h_{j,n}\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $h_{j,n}: X_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$, which satisfy relations (7)–(9). Homotopy $\{h_{j,n}\}$ joins the maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by (12).

Let us assume that the category M is closed with respect to finite coproducts. For each object X of the category (Δ^*, M) we define an object $X \times \Delta_s[1]$ in (Δ^*, M) as follows:

$$(X \times \Delta_s[1])_n = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{n-1} X_{k,n-1} \bigsqcup_{\kappa=n-1}^n X_{k,n},$$

where $X_{k,n} = X_n$, and we denote the corresponding imbeddings by $w_{k,n}: X_{k,n-1} \rightarrow (X \times \Delta_s[1])_n$, $w'_{k,n}: X_{k,n} \rightarrow (X \times \Delta_s[1])_n$. Face operators are defined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} d_i w_{k,n} &= \begin{cases} w_{k-1,n-1} d_i & \text{for } i < k, \\ w'_{k-1,n-1} & \text{for } i = k, \\ w'_{k,n-1} & \text{for } i = k+1, \\ w_{k,n-1} d_{i-1} & \text{for } i > k+1, \end{cases} \\ d_i w'_{k,n} &= \begin{cases} w'_{k-1,n-1} d_i & \text{for } i \leq k, \\ w'_{k,n-1} d_i & \text{for } i > k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Each map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ induces a map $f \times 1: X \times \Delta_s[1] \rightarrow X' \times \Delta_s[1]$ and maps $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \Delta_s[1]$ are defined by $(i_0)_n = w'_{0,n}$, $(i_1)_n = w'_{1,n}$.

It is easy to see that if X is a scheme of vertices of a polyhedron $|X|$, then $X \times \Delta_s[1]$ is a scheme of vertices of a polyhedron $|X| \times I$.

THEOREM 4. There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set of all homotopies of maps of an object X in Y in the category (Δ_s^*, M) and the set of all maps of $X \times \Delta_s[1]$ in Y . If a map h corresponds to a homotopy which joins f_0 and f_1 , then we have $h \circ i_0 = f_0$, $h \circ i_1 = f_1$.

Proof. If $\{h_{j,n}\}$ is a homotopy, then we define a corresponding map $h: X \times \Delta_s[1] \rightarrow Y$ by the conditions $h_n w_{k,n} = h_{k,n-1}$, $h_n w'_{k,n} = d_{k+1} h_{k,n}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $h_n w'_{-1,n} = d_0 h_{0,n}$. Conversely, if $h: X \times \Delta_s[1] \rightarrow Y$ is any map, then we define a corresponding homotopy $\{h_{j,n}\}$ as $h_{j,n} = h_{n+1} w_{j,n+1}$.

It is easy to see that in the case $M = \text{Set}$ we have $X \times \Delta_s[1] \approx X \otimes \Delta_s[1]$, where \otimes is defined in [7], and in this case our homotopy is identical with that defined in [7].

4. Godement homotopy in categories (Δ_a^*, M) . The definition of homotopy in the category (Δ_a^*, Set) given by Godement in [4] admits an obvious generalization for categories (Δ_a^*, M) . For each object X in (Δ_a^*, M) we define an object $X \times \Delta_a[1]$ in (Δ_a^*, M) as follows:

$$(X \times \Delta_a[1])_n = \bigsqcup_{\sigma} X_{\sigma,n}$$

where $X_{\sigma,n} = X_n$, σ runs over all maps $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow [1]$ in Δ_a and any map $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_a induces a map $\tilde{\alpha}: (X \times \Delta_a[1])_n \rightarrow (X \times \Delta_a[1])_m$ determined by the conditions $\tilde{\alpha} \circ w_{\sigma} = w_{\sigma\alpha} X(\alpha)$ for all σ ($w_{\sigma}: X_{\sigma,n} \rightarrow (X \times \Delta_a[1])_n$ denotes an imbedding). Each map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ induces a map $f \times 1: X \times \Delta_a[1] \rightarrow X' \times \Delta_a[1]$ and maps $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \Delta_a[1]$ are imbeddings corresponding to two constant maps $[n] \rightarrow [1]$.

DEFINITION 5. A G -homotopy of maps of an object X in Y in a category (Δ_a^*, M) is a map $h: X \times \Delta_a[1] \rightarrow Y$ and it joins maps $h \circ i_0$ and $h \circ i_1$.

If $M = \text{Set}$ then a standard computation shows that the functor $\cdot \times \Delta_a[1]: (\Delta_a^*, \text{Set}) \rightarrow (\Delta_a^*, \text{Set})$ is a Kan extension of a functor $Q: \Delta_a \rightarrow (\Delta_a^*, \text{Set})$ along the Yoneda map $\Delta_a \rightarrow (\Delta_a^*, \text{Set})$ where $Q([n]) = \Delta_a[2n+1] \approx \Delta_a[n] \times \Delta_a[1]$ and for $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_a a map $Q(\alpha): \Delta_a[2m+1] \rightarrow \Delta_a[2n+1]$ is defined as follows. For each map $\gamma: [p] \rightarrow [2m+1]$ there exist unique maps $\gamma_1: [p] \rightarrow [m]$, $\gamma_2: [p] \rightarrow [1]$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_1 + (m+1)\gamma_2$ and we define $(Q(\alpha))_p(\gamma) = \alpha \circ \gamma_1 + (n+1)\gamma_2$. It is easy to see that Q is equivalent to a functor $[n] \rightarrow \Delta_a[n] \times \Delta_a[1]$.

5. τ -homotopy in categories (Δ_a^*, M) . We have observed that in the case of categories (Δ_s^*, Set) , (Δ_a^*, Set) (and similarly for (Δ^*, Set)) we can identify a homotopy of maps of an object X in Y with a map of one of objects $X \times \Delta_s[1]$, $X \times \Delta[1]$, $X \times \Delta_a[1]$ in Y and that functors $\cdot \times \Delta_s[1]$, $\cdot \times \Delta[1]$, $\cdot \times \Delta_a[1]$ are Kan extensions of one of the functors P_s, P (which is defined similarly as P_s), Q , along a Yoneda map. Using formulas which express values of Kan extension on an object X , we can define a homotopy in categories (Δ_s^*, M) , (Δ^*, M) and (Δ_a^*, M) , where M is assumed to be closed with respect to direct limits (this assumption is not essential). A choice of one of the functors P_s, P, Q and of transformations i_0, i_1 of a Yoneda map in P_s, P, Q determines a "model" for homotopy. The functors P_s, P and the transformations correspond to traditional "prismatic models" $\Delta_n \times I$ with maps of Δ_n into lower and upper faces of $\Delta_n \times I$. The functor Q corresponds to "simplicial models" Δ_{2n+1} with maps of Δ_n into faces $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $\{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n+1\}$.

Now we describe a homotopy of another type in categories (Δ_a^*, M) which correspond to "prismatic models". A construction of a required functor $\Delta_a \rightarrow (\Delta_a^*, \text{Set})$ is in fact a construction of some special triangulation of prisms $\Delta_n \times I$, appropriately related to maps induced by maps in Δ_a . We describe one such triangulation, called τ -triangulation. It is not as good as the standard triangulation, which corresponds

to the functor P , because maps $|\bar{n}| \times 1: \Delta_n \times I \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1} \times I$ map τ -simplexes onto τ -simplexes, but are not affine in general (compare formula (19)₂).

We can obtain another useful functor $\Delta_a \rightarrow (\Delta_a^*, \text{Set})$ by constructing another triangulation of prisms. Over each simplex σ of a barycentric subdivision of Δ_n (with natural ordering of vertices induced by an inclusion of faces) we build the standard triangulation of a prism $\sigma \times I$. The sum of all such triangulations determines the triangulation of a prism $\Delta_n \times I$ appropriately related to maps in Δ_a . Imbeddings of Δ_n into lower and upper faces of $\Delta_n \times I$ are simplicial if we consider Δ_n with a barycentric triangulation. Consequently, we have to replace objects X in (Δ_a^*, M) by their simplicial subdivisions, generalizing the Kan construction in (Δ^*, Set) . We shall not discuss this subject here.

For fixed n ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) we consider sequences $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta)$ such that $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\delta = 0, 1$, i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{m-1} are different integers and $0 \leq i_k \leq n$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. For each such sequence we denote by i_m, \dots, i_n such integers that $\{i_m, \dots, i_n\} = \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}\}$ and $i_m < \dots < i_n$. We can identify a sequence (i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) with a monomorphic map $i: [m-1] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_a . We denote by $\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta): \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_n \times I$ such an affine map that

$$\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta)(j) = \begin{cases} (b(i_j, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_n), \frac{1}{2}) & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m, \\ (i_{j-1}, \delta) & \text{for } m < j \leq n+1, \end{cases}$$

where $b(i_j, \dots, i_n)$ denotes a barycenter of a face of Δ_n determined by the vertices i_j, \dots, i_n . If $m = 0$, then we have

$$\tau_{n+1}(\cdot; \delta)(0) = (b(0, \dots, n), \frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{n+1}(\cdot; \delta)(j) = (j-1, \delta)$$

for $0 < j \leq n+1$. It is easy to see that all maps $\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta)$ determine a triangulation of a prism $\Delta_n \times \Delta_1$ and we call it the τ -triangulation.

PROPOSITION 6. Maps $\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta)$ satisfy the following relations:

$$(13) \quad \tau_{n+1}(\cdot; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^0| = i_{\delta}, \quad \delta = 0, 1.$$

$$(14) \quad \text{If } m > 0, i, i' \text{ are monomorphic maps and the diagram}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [m-2] & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^0} & [m-1] \\ i' \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ [n-1] & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^0} & [n] \end{array}$$

is commutative, then $\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^0| = (|\varepsilon^{i_0}| \times 1) \circ \tau_n(i'_0, \dots, i'_{m-2}; \delta)$.

$$(15) \quad \text{If } 0 < j < m, \text{ then}$$

$$\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^j| = \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_j, i_{j-1}, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^j|.$$

$$(16) \quad \text{If } m < j \leq n+1, m < n, \text{ then}$$

$$\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^j| = \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i_{j-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^{m+1}|.$$

- (17) $\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^{n+1}| = \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}; 1 - \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^{n+1}|.$
- (18) Let $\pi: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ be an automorphism in Δ_n and let $i'_m < \dots < i'_n$ be such integers that $\{i'_m, \dots, i'_n\} = \pi\{i_m, \dots, i_n\}$; then we define an automorphism $q: [n+1] \rightarrow [n+1]$ as follows: $q(p) = p$ for $0 \leq p \leq m$ and $q(p) = q$ if $m < p \leq n+1$ and $\pi(i_{p-1}) = i'_{q-1}$. Thus we have

$$(|\pi| \times 1) \circ \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) = \tau_{n+1}(\pi(i_0), \dots, \pi(i_{m-1}); \delta) \circ |q|.$$

- (19) Consider a fixed map $\tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta)$ and a fixed integer j such that $0 \leq j \leq n$. Let k, l be such integers that $\{j, j+1\} = \{i_k, i_l\}$ and $k < l$.
- (19)₁ If $k \leq m-1$, then

$$(\eta^j \times 1) \circ \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) = \tau_n(\eta^j(i_0), \dots, \widehat{\eta^j(i_k)}, \dots, \eta^j(i_{m-1}); \delta) \circ |\eta^k|.$$

- (19)₂ If $k > m-1$, then

$$(\eta^j \times 1) \circ \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) = \tau_n(\eta^j(i_0), \dots, \eta^j(i_{m-1}); \delta) \circ \bar{\eta}_m^{k+1}$$

where $\bar{\eta}_m^{k+1}: \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_n$ is defined by the formula

$$\bar{\eta}_m^{k+1}(tx + (1-t)y) = tx + (1-t)\eta^{k+1}(y)$$

for all points x, y lying on faces of Δ_{n+1} determined by the sets of vertices $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, $\{m+1, \dots, n+1\}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ⁽¹⁾.

The following definition of homotopy in (Δ_n^*, M) is related to the τ -triangulation of prisms in a similar way as homotopy in (Δ^*, M) is related to the standard triangulation of prisms. We preserve the notation of Proposition 6.

DEFINITION 7. A τ -homotopy of maps of an object X in Y in a category (Δ_n^*, M) is a family of maps $\{h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n}\}$, $h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n}: X_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$ which satisfy the relations

- (14') $d_0 h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} = h_{i'_0, \dots, i'_{m-2}; \delta, n-1} d_{i_0},$
- (15') $d_j h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} = d_j h_{i_0, \dots, i_j, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n},$
- (16') $d_j h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} = d_{m+1} h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i_j-1; \delta, n},$
- (17') $d_{n+1} h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} = d_{n+1} h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; 1-\delta, n},$
- (18') $h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\rho} h_{\pi(i_0), \dots, \pi(i_{m-1}); \delta, n-1},$
- (19)₁ $h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} s_j = s_k h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, j; \delta, n-1},$
- (19)₂ $h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n} s_j = s_{k+1} h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, j; \delta, n-1}.$

The homotopy $\{h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta, n}\}$ joins maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ where $(f_0)_n = d_0 h_{i_0, n}$, $(f_1)_n = d_0 h_{i_1, n}$.

(1) Les us remark that $|\eta^{k+1}|(tx + (1-t)y) = tx + (1-t)|\eta^{k+1}|(y).$

§ 3. Preservation of homotopy in categories of simplicial objects

Let Top denote the category of topological spaces and continuous maps. By Proposition 1.3 of Chapter II of [3] it follows that there exist pairs of adjoint functors $(\Delta_s^*, \text{Set}) \xrightleftharpoons[|\cdot|_s]{|\cdot|_s} \text{Top}$, $(\Delta_a^*, \text{Set}) \xrightleftharpoons[|\cdot|_a]{|\cdot|_a} \text{Top}$, where $\text{Sing}_s = z_1 \circ \text{Sing}$, Sing_a are functors "simplicial set of singular simplexes", $|\cdot|_s$, $|\cdot|_a$ and $|\cdot|$: $(\Delta^*, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ are geometric realization functors and we have $|\cdot|_s = |\cdot| \circ *z_1 = |\cdot|_a \circ *z$, $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_a \circ *z_2$.

It is well known (see [3]) that $|\Delta[n] \times \Delta[m]| \approx |\Delta[n]| \times |\Delta[m]|$ and this implies that $|X \times \Delta[1]| \approx |X| \times |\Delta[1]|$; thus the functor $|\cdot|$ preserves homotopy. The definitions immediately imply

COROLLARY 1. The forgetful functor $z_1: (\Delta_s^*, M) \rightarrow (\Delta_s^*, M)$ preserves homotopy.

COROLLARY 2. The forgetful functors $z_2: (\Delta_a^*, M) \rightarrow (\Delta^*, M)$, $z: (\Delta_a^*, M) \rightarrow (\Delta_s^*, M)$ preserve G -homotopy.

To prove that the functor $*z_1$ preserves homotopy we need the following

PROPOSITION 3. There exists such an equivalence ϕ of functors that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} *z_1(X \times \Delta_s[1]) & \xrightarrow{\phi(X)} & *z_1(X) \times \Delta[1] \\ *z_1(i_0(X)) \searrow & & \nearrow j_0(*z_1(X)) \\ & *z_1(X) & \end{array}$$

$\delta = 0, 1$ are commutative for all objects X in (Δ_s^*, M) ; i_δ, j_δ denote the appropriate imbeddings.

Proof. Let $w'_{k,q}: *z_1(X)_q \rightarrow *z_1(X)_q \times (\Delta[1])_q$, $k = -1, 0, \dots, q$, denote imbeddings; then we define a natural transformation ϕ and its inverse ψ by the formulas

$$\phi_n(X) w_\eta w_{k,q} = \tilde{\eta} w'_{k,q} w_{\eta^k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1,$$

$$\phi_n(X) w_\eta w'_{k,q} = \tilde{\eta} w_{k,q} w_{1[q]}, \quad k = -1, 0, \dots, q$$

for all epimorphic maps $\eta: [n] \rightarrow [q]$ in Δ , and if $\eta = \eta^{j_1} \dots \eta^{j_t}$ with $0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t < n$ then

$$\psi_n(X) w'_{k,n} w_\eta = \begin{cases} w_\eta w'_{k-m,q} & \text{for } j_m < k < j_{m+1}, \\ w_{\eta^{j_1} \dots \eta^{j_m} j_{m+2} \dots \eta^{j_t}} w_{k-m,q+1} & \text{for } k = j_{m+1}. \end{cases}$$

A standard and lengthy computation shows that ϕ and ψ are in fact natural transformations and that ψ is inverse to ϕ .

THEOREM 4. The functor $*z_1: (\Delta_s^*, M) \rightarrow (\Delta^*, M)$ preserves homotopy.

Proof. Let a homotopy $\{h_{i,n}\}$ join maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ in (Δ_s^*, M) . By Theorem 4 of part 2 to this homotopy corresponds a map $h: X \times \Delta_s[1] \rightarrow Y$ such that $h \circ j_0 = f_0$,

$h \circ j_1 = f_1$ and $h_{j,n} = h_{n+1} w_{j,n+1}$. The map $\bar{h} = *z_1(h) \circ \psi(X): *z_1(X) \times \Delta[1] \rightarrow *z_1(Y)$ determines a homotopy which joins $*z_1(f_0)$ and $*z_1(f_1)$. The components $\bar{h}_{j,n}$ of a homotopy corresponding to \bar{h} are given by the formula

$$\bar{h}_{j,n} w_\eta = w_\eta j_{i_1} \dots j_{i_m} j_{m+1} + 1 \dots j_{i_t} + 1 h_{j-m,q},$$

where $\eta = \eta^{j_1} \dots \eta^{j_t}$ and $j_m < j \leq j_{m+1}$.

In [2] it is proved that the functors k, N, K preserve homotopy.

THEOREM 5. *Let A be an Abelian category.*

- (i) *The functor $k_s: (\Delta_s^*, A) \rightarrow (\text{Ch}, A)$ preserves homotopy.*
- (ii) *The functors $*z_1 \circ z_1$ and $1_{(\Delta_s^*, A)}$ are homotopically equivalent.*

Proof. (i) Let a homotopy $\{h_{j,n}\}$ join maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ in (Δ_s^*, A) ; then the maps $h_n = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j h_{j,n}$ determine homotopy which joins the maps $k_s(f_0)$ and $k_s(f_1)$ in (CH, A) .

(ii) Since $k = k_s \circ z_1$, by Theorem 3(i) of part 1 it follows that $N \circ *z_1 \circ z_1 \approx k_s \circ z_1 = k$; thus by Theorem 3(v) of part 1 (Kan-Dold Theorem) we get $*z_1 \circ z_1 \approx K \circ k$. Since K preserves homotopy and the functors k and N are homotopically equivalent, $K \circ k$ is homotopically equivalent to the identity functor.

THEOREM 6. *The functors k_a and N'_a preserve τ -homotopy.*

Proof. Let a τ -homotopy $\{h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, \delta, n}\}$ join maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$. We define the maps $h_n: (k_a X)_n = X_n \rightarrow (k_a Y)_{n+1} = Y_{n+1}$ as follows:

$$h_n = \sum (-1)^a h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, \delta, n},$$

where the sum is taken over all admissible indices of h 's, $a = \delta + I(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (i_k + k + 1)$ and $I(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1})$ denotes the number of such pairs (k, k') that $0 \leq k < k' < m$ and $i_k > i_{k'}$. Using relations (14')–(19'), one can compute that $\partial_{n+1} h_n + h_{n-1} \partial_n = (k_a(f_0 - f_1))_n$; thus the maps $k_a(f_0)$ and $k_a(f_1)$ are homotopic.

To prove that the maps $N'_a(f_0)$ and $N'_a(f_1)$ are homotopic it is sufficient to show that $h_n(D_n(X)) \subset D_{n+1}(Y)$. This easily follows from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & I(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}) + I(\pi(i_m), \dots, \pi(i_n)) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} i_k \\ & \equiv I(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(n)) + I(\pi(i_0), \dots, \pi(i_{m-1})) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \pi(i_k) \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which holds for each automorphism $\pi: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_a .

Theorem 4 and the formula $|_s = | \circ *z_1$ imply

COROLLARY 7. *The functor $|_s: (\Delta_s^*, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ preserves homotopy.*

To prove a similar statement for $|_a$ we need a lemma.

LEMMA 8. *There exists such a natural transformation σ of functors that the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |X|_a \times |\Delta_a[1]|_a & \xrightarrow{\sigma(X)} & |X \times \Delta_a[1]|_a \\ j_\delta(|X|_a) \swarrow & & \nearrow |i_\delta(X)|_a \\ & |X|_a & \end{array}$$

$\delta = 0, 1$ are commutative, where i_δ, j_δ denote the appropriate imbeddings.

Proof. At first we consider the case $X = \Delta_a[n]$. Then it is easy to see that there exists an isomorphism $\Delta_a[2n+1] \approx \Delta_a[n] \times \Delta_a[1]$ which maps $1_{[2n+1]}$ onto $g = (g_1, g_2)$, where $g_1: [2n+1] \rightarrow [n]$, $g_2: [2n+1] \rightarrow [1]$ satisfy $g_1(i) + (n+1)g_2(i) = i$ for all $i \in [2n+1]$. We denote $b_i = |\tilde{g}|_a(i)$ for all $i \in [2n+1]$ and define

$$\sigma(\Delta_a[n])(t_0 a_0 + \dots + t_n a_n, t) = (1-t)(t_0 b_0 + \dots + t_n b_n) + t(t_0 b_{n+1} + \dots + t_n b_{2n+1}),$$

where a_0, \dots, a_n denote vertices of $|\Delta_a[n]|_a \approx \Delta_n$, t, t_0, \dots, t_n belong to the unit interval and $t_0 + \dots + t_n = 1$. For an arbitrary object X in (Δ_s^*, Set) we extend the definition of σ as follows. Let $x_n \in X_n$; then $\sigma(X)$ is the only map such that

$$\sigma(X)(|\tilde{x}_n|_a \times 1) = |\tilde{x}_n \times 1|_a \circ \sigma(\Delta_a[n]).$$

THEOREM 9. *The functor $|_a: (\Delta_s^*, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ preserves G -homotopy.*

Proof. Let $h: X \times \Delta_a[1] \rightarrow Y$ be a map which joins f_0 and f_1 ; then $|f_\delta|_a = |h \circ i_\delta|_a = |h|_a \circ |i_\delta|_a = |h|_a \circ \sigma(X) \circ j_\delta$ for $\delta = 0, 1$; consequently $|h|_a \circ \sigma(X)$ joins $|f_0|_a$ and $|f_1|_a$.

THEOREM 10. *Let $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ be maps in the category (Δ_s^*, Set) and suppose that the maps $*z(f_0), *z(f_1)$ are τ -homotopic. Then the maps $*z(f_0)|_a, *z(f_1)|_a$ are homotopic.*

Proof. We know that $|*z(X)|_a \approx |X|_a$; thus we can represent $|*z(X)|_a$ as a cokernel of a pair of maps $\coprod_{\substack{\varepsilon \\ x_n}} \Delta_{m, x_n} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \coprod_{\substack{\mu \\ x_n}} \Delta_{n, x_n}$, where $\varepsilon: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ runs over maps in Δ_s , x_n runs over X_n , $\Delta_{m, x_n} = \Delta_m$, $\Delta_{n, x_n} = \Delta_n$ and $\lambda \circ w_{(\varepsilon, x_n)} = w_{(n, x_n)} \circ |\varepsilon|$, $\mu \circ w_{(\mu, x_n)} = w_{(m, x_n)}$. Let $v: \coprod_{\substack{\varepsilon \\ x_n}} \Delta_{m, x_n} \rightarrow |*z(X)|_a$ be a natural map.

Relations (15')–(17') correspond to all pairs of adjacent $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplexes of τ -triangulation of a prism $\Delta_n \times \Delta_1$. Using those relations, we show that for each $x_n \in X_n$ there exists a unique map $H_{x_n}: \Delta_n \times \Delta_1 \rightarrow |*z Y|_a$ such that $H_{x_n} \circ \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) = |h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, \delta, n}(x_n)|_a$. In fact, using for example (16'), we get (abbreviation: $h = h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, \delta, n}$, $h' = h_{i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}, i_j, \delta, n}$)

$$|\tilde{h}(x_n)|_a \circ |e^j|_a = |\tilde{h}(x_n) \circ \Delta[e^j]|_a = |\widetilde{d_j h}(x_n)|_a \doteq |\widetilde{d_{m+1} h'}(x_n)|_a = |\tilde{h}'(x_n)|_a \circ |e^{m+1}|_a$$

and similarly for (15'), (17'). Thus the maps $|\tilde{h}(x_n)|_a$ induce H_{x_n} . By (14') it follows that the maps H_{x_n} induce such a map $H: |X|_s \times \Delta_1 \rightarrow |*Z(Y)|_a$ that $H \circ (v \times 1) \circ (w_{(n, x_n)} \times 1) = H_{x_n}$. In fact, we have (abbreviation: $h'' = h'_{i'_0, \dots, i'_{m-2}; \delta, n}$)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{x_n} \circ (|\varepsilon^0| \times 1) \circ \tau_n(i'_0, \dots, i'_{m-2}; \delta) &= H_{x_n} \circ \tau_{n+1}(i_0, \dots, i_{m-1}; \delta) \circ |\varepsilon^0| = |\tilde{h}(x_n)|_a \circ |\varepsilon^0|_a \\ &= |\widetilde{d_0 h}(x_n)|_a = |\tilde{h}''(d_{i_0} x_n)|_a \\ &= H_{d_{i_0}(x_n)} \circ \tau_n(i'_0, \dots, i'_{m-2}; \delta); \end{aligned}$$

thus for any ε holds $H_{x_n} \circ (|\varepsilon| \times 1) = H_{\tilde{\varepsilon}(x_n)}$. If we put $H' = \sqcup H_{x_n}: \sqcup \Delta_{n, x_n} \times \Delta_1 \rightarrow |*Z(Y)|_a$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H' \circ (\lambda \times 1)(w_{(\varepsilon, x_n)} \times 1) &= H' \circ (w_{(n, x_n)} \times 1) \circ (|\varepsilon| \times 1) = H_{x_n} \circ (|\varepsilon| \times 1) = H_{\tilde{\varepsilon}(x_n)} \\ &= H' \circ (w_{(\mu, \tilde{\varepsilon} x_n)} \times 1) = H' \circ (\mu \times 1)(w_{(\varepsilon, x_n)} \times 1); \end{aligned}$$

thus H' induces H . It is easy to see that H joins $|*z(f_0)|_a$ and $|*z(f_1)|_a$.

References

- [1] S. Balcerzyk, P. H. Chan and R. Kiełpiński, *On three types of simplicial objects*, preprint
- [2] A. Dold and D. Puppe, *Homologie nicht-additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 11 (1961), pp. 201–312.
- [3] P. Gabriel and M. Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Berlin 1967.
- [4] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Paris 1958.
- [5] A. Hanna, *On the homology theory of categories*, J. Reine und Angew. Math. 248 (1971), pp. 133–146, 252 (1972), pp. 112–127.
- [6] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Berlin 1971.
- [7] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, Δ -sets. *Homotopy theory*, Quart. J. Math. 22 (1971), pp. 321–338.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIWERSYTETU MIKOŁAJA KOPERNIKA W TORUNIU
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NICOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY IN TORUŃ

Accepté par la Rédaction le 19. 6. 1974

LIVRES PUBLIÉS PAR L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE DE L'ACADÉMIE POLONAISE DES SCIENCES

S. Banach, *Oeuvres*, Vol. I, 1967, p. 381.

S. Mazurkiewicz, *Travaux de topologie et ses applications*, 1969, p. 380.

W. Sierpiński, *Oeuvres choisies*, Vol. I, 1974, p. 300; Vol. II, 1975, p. 780; Vol. III, 1976, p. 688.

MONOGRAFIE MATEMATYCZNE

41. H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski, *The mathematics of metamathematics*, 3-ème éd., corrigée, 1970, p. 520.
42. W. Sierpiński, *Elementary theory of numbers*, 1964, p. 480.
43. J. Szarski, *Differential inequalities*, 2-ème éd., 1967, p. 256.
44. K. Borsuk, *Theory of retracts*, 1967, p. 251.
45. K. Maurin, *Methods of Hilbert spaces*, 2-ème éd., 1972, p. 552.
47. D. Przeworska-Rolewicz and S. Rolewicz, *Equations in linear spaces*, 1968, p. 380.
50. K. Borsuk, *Multidimensional analytic geometry*, 1969, p. 443.
51. R. Sikorski, *Advanced calculus. Functions of several variables*, 1969, p. 460.
52. W. Ślebodziński, *Exterior forms and their applications*, 1970, p. 427.
53. M. Krzyżański, *Partial differential equations of second order I*, 1971, p. 562.
54. M. Krzyżański, *Partial differential equations of second order II*, 1971, p. 407.
57. W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*, 1974, p. 630.
58. C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, 1975, p. 353.
59. K. Borsuk, *Theory of shape*, 1975, p. 379.
60. R. Engelking, *General topology* (sous presse).

DISSERTATIONES MATHEMATICAE

- CXXVIII. K. Gawędzki, *Fourier-like kernels in geometric quantization*, 1976, p. 83.
- CXXIX. L. Górniewicz, *Homological methods in fixed point theory of multivalued maps*, 1976, p. 71.
- CXXX. F. M. Filipczak, *Sur la structure de l'ensemble des points où une fonction continue n'admet pas de dérivée symétrique*, 1976, p. 54.
- CXXXI. Ph. Turpin, *Convexités dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques généraux*, 1976, p. 224.
- CXXXII. T. Rolski, *Order relations in the set of probability distribution functions and their applications in queueing theory*, 1976, p. 52.

Nouvelle série:

BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS

Vol. 1. *Mathematical control theory*, 1976, p. 166.

Vol. 2. *Mathematical foundations of computer sciences* (sous presse).