critical line $\text{Re}\,s=\frac{1}{2}$ does not contain an arithmetical progression. It follows that $f_{a,s}$ is not constant.

Case 4. $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case we invoke a theorem of Putnam [2] saying that the set of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line $\text{Re}\,s = \frac{1}{2}$ does not contain an arithmetical progression. It follows that also in this case $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ cannot be constant.

Case 5. $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. Because of the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ this case may be reduced to Case 3.

Summarizing, we have the following

THEOREM. If a and β are positive constants and $f_{\alpha,\beta}\colon \mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}$ is defined by (3) then $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a constant function only in case $\alpha=\beta=\frac{\log 2}{k}$, where k is any positive integer.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank J. Vaaler at the California Institute of Technology for drawing his attention to Putnam's paper [2].

References

- [1] K. Knopp, Theory and application of infinite series, Blackie and Son Ltd., 1928.
- [2] C. R. Putnam, On the non-periodicity of the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), pp. 97-99.
- [3] E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Oxford 1951.
- [4] Wiskundige Opgaven, Noordhoff N. V., Groningen, 19, I (1950), pp. 308-311.

MATHEMATICAL CENTRE Amsterdam, Netherlands

Received on 26, 11, 1976 (897)

Some results in number theory, I

b;

M. RAM MURTY (Cambridge, Mass.) and V. Kumar Murty (Ottawa, Ont.)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Paul Turán

Let $\varphi(n)$ denote Euler's totient function and V(n) the number of distinct prime factors of n. In this paper, we shall study the quantity $V((n, \varphi(n)))$ which arises naturally in group theory. For example, letting G(n) denote the number of non-isomorphic groups of order n, we have by a classical result of Burnside that G(n) = 1 if and only if $V(n, \varphi(n)) = 0$ (i.e. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$). Erdös [1] showed that the number $F_1(x)$ of $n \leq x$ satisfying the latter condition is

(1)
$$F_1(x) = (1 + o(1))xe^{-\gamma}/\log_3 x$$

where γ is Euler's constant and we write $\log_1 x = \log x$, $\log_a x = \log(\log_{a-1} x)^k$. More generally, we can define $F_k(x)$ to be the number of $n \leq x$ for which G(n) = k. The authors [2] have shown that for each k,

$$F_k(x) \ll x/\log_4 x$$
.

The proof depended essentially on a weak form of the following result stated by Erdös in [1]: for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the number of $n \leqslant x$ that fail to satisfy

$$(1-\varepsilon)\log_4 n < V(n, \varphi(n)) < (1+\varepsilon)\log_4 n$$

is o(x). (A proof of this was supplied by the authors in [2].)

It is an interesting number-theoretic problem to estimate the number $A_k(x)$ of $n \leq x$ for which $V(n, \varphi(n)) = k$. Our main result here is the following theorem.

THEOREM. For each $k \geqslant 0$, we have

(2)
$$A_{k}(x) = \frac{(1 + o(1))xe^{-\gamma}(\log_{4}x)^{k}}{k!\log_{3}x}.$$

The proof will require several lemmas and intermediate results. The first two lemmas are due to Erdös [1].

Some results in number theory, I

LEMMA 1. Let p be a fixed prime. Then

$$\sum_{s \le x} * \frac{1}{s} \ll \frac{1}{p} (\log p + \log_2 x),$$

where the asterisk indicates that the sum is over primes $s \leqslant x$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

We remark here that unless otherwise stated, p, q and s will denote primes.

LEMMA 2. Let $p < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$. Then the number of $pm \le x$ such that m has no prime divisor $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is $o(x/(\log_2 x)^2)$, uniformly in p.

Lemma 3. Let $H_k(x)$ be the number of $n \leq x$ of the form $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k m$, where

(i) $p_i < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}, i = 1, 2, ..., k,$

(ii) all the prime divisors of m are $\gg (\log_2 x)^{1+s}$,

(iii) $(m, \varphi(m)) = 1$.

Then for each fixed k,

$$H_k(x) = \frac{(1+o(1))xe^{-\gamma}(\log_4 x)^k}{k!\log_2 x}.$$

Proof. By definition,

$$(3) H_k(x) = \sum_{n} \sum_{m}' 1$$

where the outer sum is over all $p_i < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$ $(1 \le i \le k)$ and the inner sum is over $m \le x/p_1 \dots p_k$ satisfying (ii) and (iii). Erdös' proof of (1) shows that

(4)
$$\sum_{m}' 1 = \frac{(1+o(1)) x e^{-\gamma}}{(p_1 p_2 \dots p_k) \log_3 x}$$

and as the product $p_1 \dots p_k$ is obtained k! times in the k-fold outer sum of (3), we get

$$H_k(x) = \frac{(1+o(1))xe^{-\gamma}}{k!\log_3 x} \left(\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p}\right)^k = \frac{(1+o(1))xe^{-\gamma}(\log_4 x)^k}{k!\log_3 x}$$

proving the lemma.

We are now ready to prove our theorem.

Proof of theorem. We shall give the proof for k=1 and then sketch the modifications needed for general k. Write

(5)
$$A_1(x) = A_1'(x) + A_1''(x)$$

where $A_1'(x)$ counts the contribution of squarefree n to $A_1(x)$ and $A_1''(x)$ counts the remaining n. First we estimate $A_1''(x)$. If n is not squarefree and $V(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$ then certainly $n = p^a m$ $(a \ge 2)$ with (p, m) = 1 and

 $(m, \varphi(m)) = 1$. The number of such $n \leqslant x$ with $p > \log_2 x = y$ (say) is clearly

$$\ll \sum_{p>y} \sum_{a\geqslant 2} \frac{x}{p^a} \ll \frac{x}{y},$$

and the number of remaining non-squarefree n in $A_1(x)$ is

$$\leqslant \sum_{p \leqslant y} \sum_{a \geqslant 2} A_0 \left(\frac{x}{p^a} \right) \leqslant \frac{x}{\log_3 x}$$

using (1). Thus, we have

$$A_1''(x) \ll x/\log_3 x.$$

If n is squarefree, then $V(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$ implies that

(7) n = pm, $(m, \varphi(m)) = 1$ and m has at least one prime divisor $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then, we write

$$A_1'(x) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4$$

where the sums are over those $n \leq x$ in $A'_1(x)$ of the form (7) and

in
$$\Sigma_1$$
, $p > (\log_2 x)^{1+s}$,

in
$$\Sigma_2$$
, $(\log_2 x)^{1-s} \leqslant p \leqslant (\log_2 x)^{1+s}$,

in Σ_3 , $p < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$ and at least one prime divisor of m is $< (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$,

in Σ_4 , $p < (\log_2 x)^{1-\epsilon}$ and all the prime divisors of m are $> (\log_2 x)^{1-\epsilon}$. Clearly, we have by Lemma 1,

(8)
$$\Sigma_{1} \ll \sum_{p} \sum_{q < x}^{*} \frac{x}{pq} \ll \sum_{p} \frac{x}{p^{2}} (\log p + \log_{2} x)$$
$$\ll \frac{x}{(\log_{2} x)^{1+s}} (\log_{3} x + \log_{2} x) = o(x \log_{4} x / \log_{3} x).$$

Also, we get from (1) that

(9)
$$\mathcal{E}_2 \ll \sum A_0 \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \ll \sum \frac{x}{p \log_3(x/p)} \ll x/\log_3 x,$$

where all the sums are over p in the range indicated for Σ_2 . Now from Lemma 2, the number of $m \leq x$, $(m, \varphi(m)) = 1$ which have a prime divisor $< (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$ is

$$o(x/(\log_2 x)^2) (\log_2 x)^{1-\epsilon} = o(x/\log_2 x).$$

Hence.

(10)
$$\Sigma_3 = o\left(\frac{x}{\log_2 x} \sum \frac{1}{p}\right) = o\left(\frac{x \log_4 x}{\log_2 x}\right)$$

Some results in number theory, I

where the sum is over $p < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$. For Σ_4 , we write

$$\Sigma_4 = \Sigma_4' + \Sigma_4''$$

where in the first sum, all the prime divisors of m are $> (\log_2 x)^{1+\epsilon}$, and the second sum contains the remaining n of Σ_4 . Thus, for the n in Σ_4'' , there is a prime divisor q (say) with

$$(\log_2 x)^{1-\varepsilon} < q < (\log_2 x)^{1+\varepsilon}$$

so

$$\Sigma_4^{\prime\prime} \ll \sum_p \sum_q A_0(x/pq) \ll \frac{x}{\log_3 x} \sum_{p,q} \frac{1}{pq}$$

where the sum over q is in the range (12) and the sum over p is in the range specified for Σ_4 . The sum over q is clearly $< \varepsilon$ so we get

$$\Sigma_4^{\prime\prime} < \varepsilon x \log_4 x / \log_3 x.$$

Finally, recalling the definition of $H_1(x)$ from Lemma 3, noting that our n are now squarefree, and that in the range of Σ_4 every number in (7) satisfies $V(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$, we get

$$H_1(x) \geqslant \varSigma_4^{'} \geqslant H_1(x) - T(x)$$

where T(x) is the number of $pm = n \leq x$ such that m has no prime divisor $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and p is in the range specified for Σ_4 . Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that

(14)
$$\Sigma_4' = \frac{(1+o(1))xe^{-\gamma}\log_4 x}{\log_3 x}$$

so that combining (5), (6), (8)–(11), (13) and (14), and noting that $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the proof for k = 1 is completed.

Now we sketch the modifications needed in the above proof, for general k. As before, we write $A_k(x) = A'_k(x) + A''_k(x)$ using the notation as in (5). Recalling $y = \log_2 x$, we get

(15)
$$A_{k}''(x) \ll \sum_{p \leqslant y} \sum_{a \geqslant 2} A_{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{p^{a}} \right) + \frac{x}{y} \ll \frac{x (\log_{4} x)^{k-1}}{\log_{3} x}$$

by induction. To estimate $A_k(x)$, we write the $n \leqslant x$ that are counted, in the form

(16)
$$n = p_1 \dots p_k m$$
, $(m, \varphi(m)) = 1$ and $(n, \varphi(n)) = p_1 \dots p_k$. Then as before,

$$A_k'(x) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4$$

where now, the sums are over those $n \leq x$ of the form (16) and

in Σ_1 , some $p_i > (\log_2 x)^{1+\epsilon}$,

in Σ_2 , some p_i satisfies $(\log_2 x)^{1-s} < p_i < (\log_2 x)^{1+\epsilon}$

in Σ_3 , all $p_i < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$ and at least one prime divisor of m is $< (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$,

in Σ_4 , all $p_i < (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$ and all the prime divisors of m are $> (\log_2 x)^{1-s}$.

For Σ_1 , the estimate (8) holds as before, and also

$$\Sigma_2 \ll x/\log_3 x, \quad \Sigma_3 = o(x(\log_4 x)^k/\log_3 x),$$

by simple modifications in (9) and (10). Finally, writing $\Sigma_4 = \Sigma_4' + \Sigma_4''$ in the same notation as in (11), we find again by a simple modification that

(18)
$$\Sigma_4^{\prime\prime} = o\left(x(\log_4 x)^k/\log_3 x\right)$$

and

(19)
$$H_k(x) \geqslant \Sigma_4' \geqslant H_k(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(x)$$

as clearly all n counted by $H_k(x)$ satisfy $V(n, \varphi(n)) \leq k$. By induction,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(x) \ll x(\log_4 x)^{k-1}/\log_3 x,$$

so that by Lemma 3, we get from (19) that

(20)
$$\Sigma_4' = \frac{(1+o(1))xe^{-\gamma}(\log_4 x)^k}{k! \log_3 x}$$

and combining (15), (8), (17), (18) and (20), the proof of the theorem is complete.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Professor K. S. Williams for his helpful comments.

References

[1] P. Erdös, Some asymptotic formulas in number theory, J. Indian Math. Soc. 12 (1948), pp. 75-78.

[2] M. Ram Murty and V. Kumar Murty, The number of groups of a given order, to appear.

M.I.T. Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A. CARLETON UNIVERSITY Ottawa, Ontario, Canada