2	
2	

ACTA ARITHMETICA XL (1981)

On the mean values and distributions of arithmetic functions

by

GUTTI JOGESH BABU (Calcutta)

1. Introduction. Let $\{b(n)\}$ be a sequence of integers, for which there exist T>0 and $0<\alpha<1$, such that $n^{\alpha}< Tb(n)\leqslant Tn$ for all integers $n\geqslant 2$. A set of positive integers E is said to have b-density $\delta(E)$ if $P_n(E,b)\to \delta(E)$ as $n\to\infty$, where

$$P_n(E, b) = \frac{1}{b(n)} \operatorname{card} \{ m \in E \colon n < m \leqslant n + b(n) \}.$$

The α -density defined in [5] is a special case of b-density, with $b(n) = [n^{\alpha}]$. Here [x] denotes the largest integer not exceeding x. As in [5] it can be shown that a set E has a natural density, whenever it has a b-density and in such a case the two densities are the same. Unlike b-density the natural density is not capable of detecting large gaps; see the examples given in [5].

A complex-valued arithmetic function g is said to have a b-mean value if

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{n < m \leq n + b(n)} g(m)$$

tends to a limit as $n \to \infty$. If $|g(m)| \le 1$ for all $m \ge 1$ and if g has a b-mean value, then it is clear, as shown in [5], that g has a mean-value in the usual sense.

A complex-valued arithmetic function g is called *multiplicative* if g(1) = 1 and g(mn) = g(m)g(n), whenever m and n are prime to each other. Let \mathscr{M} denote the class of all multiplicative functions g satisfying $|g(m)| \leq 1$ for all integers $m \geq 1$.

A complex-valued arithmetic function f is called additive if f(mn) = f(m) + f(n), whenever m and n are prime to each other.

In this paper we obtain conditions for the existence of b-mean values for functions in \mathcal{M} . We then use these results to get some conditions for

the existence of the distributions of additive functions in the sense of b-density.

In the last section we generalize the Erdös-Kac theorem to the b-density case. We also consider weak convergence of additive functions to infinitely divisible distributions as in [2]. As a special case we obtain the following result. Let $\omega(m)$ denote the number of prime factors of m. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ we have, except possibly for $o(n^{\beta})$ integers $m \in (n, n + n^{\beta}]$, that

$$|\omega(m) - \log\log m| < (\log\log m)^{1/2+s}.$$

We mainly use elementary number theoretic arguments. Probabilistic arguments are used liberally in the last two sections.

Throughout this paper p and q stand for prime numbers, d, k, r, s, n, m for positive integers and j for a non-negative integer; d|m means d divides m and $d \nmid m$ means d does not divide m; $p^j|m$ means $p^j|m$ and $p^{j+1} \nmid m$. Finally, let

$$\delta_p(m) = egin{cases} 1 - rac{1}{p} & ext{if} & p | m \,, \ -rac{1}{p} & ext{otherwise} \,. \end{cases}$$

2. b-mean values of multiplicative functions. The main object of this section is to prove Theorem 1 stated below. For this purpose, define for a multiplicative function g,

$$g_k(m) = \prod_{p^j \mid m, p < k} g(p^j)$$
 .

Suppose $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1 - u(p))$ converges. Then the infinite product

$$\prod_p \left(1 - rac{1}{p}
ight) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty u(p^j)
ight) p^{-j}$$

converges. We denote this product by $\xi(u)$. If $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then obviously $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\xi(u_k)$ is well defined. Let \mathcal{M}_b denote the class of u in \mathcal{M} satisfying, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

(1)
$$\operatorname{card}\{p^{j} \leqslant n \colon |1 - u(p^{j})| > \epsilon\} = o(b(n))$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

THEOREM 1. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{M}_b$ and $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1 - \operatorname{Re} u(p)) < \infty$. Then

(2)
$$\frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{n < m \le n + b(n)} u(m) = \xi(u^n) + o(1),$$

 $as. n \rightarrow \infty$, we have the substitution of $a_i = a_i + a_i$

Before proving Theorem 1, we consider the following corollary and the remarks.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{M}_b$ and $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1-u(p))$ converges. Then u has b-mean value $\xi(u)$.

Proof of Corollary 1. If $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1-u(p))$ converges, then $\xi(u_n) \to \xi(u)$ as $n \to \infty$. So the result follows from Theorem 1.

Remark 1. Without some additional assumption like condition (1), the result is false. An example of a sequence $\{q_n\}$ of primes is constructed in [5] such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}q_n^{-1}<\infty$ and the set E of integers, which are not divisible by any of the primes q_n , does not have α -density. In other words the set E does not have a b-density, if $b(n)=[n^a]$. Let u be the multiplicative function defined by $u(q_n^j)=0$ for all n and $j\geqslant 1$ and for other primes $u(p^j)=1$ for all j. Clearly $u\in \mathcal{M}$. But

$$n^{-a} \sum_{n < m \leqslant n+n^a} u(m) = n^{-a} \operatorname{card} \{ m \in E : n < m \leqslant n+n^a \}$$

does not tend to a limit.

Remark 2. From the results of [3], it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\Big|\sum_{m\le n}g(m)\Big|$ exists for all $g\in\mathcal{M}$. But the $u\in\mathcal{M}$ constructed in Remark 1 shows that this is not the case with b-mean values.

To prove Theorem 1, we require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $k \leqslant s$ and $\{a_p\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then

(3)
$$\sum' \left(\sum'' a_p \delta_p(m)\right)^2 \leqslant (2s + 8k^2) \sum'' \frac{1}{p} a_p^2,$$

where \sum' denotes the sum over all integers $m \in (r, r+s]$ and \sum'' denotes the sum over all primes p < k.

Proof. The left-hand side of (3) is not more than

(4)
$$\sum_{n'} a_n^2 \sum_{p'} \delta_p^2(m) + \sum_{\substack{p,q < k, p \neq q}} \left| a_p a_q \sum_{p'} \delta_p(m) \delta_q(m) \right|.$$

We estimate $\sum_{p} \delta_{p}^{2}(m)$ and $|\sum_{p} \delta_{p}(m)| \delta_{q}(m)|$ separately. First note that for any positive integer d, the number of integers $m \in (r, r+s]$ that are divisible by d is [(r+s)/d] - [r/d]. This number lies between s/d-1 and s/d-1. So, for $2 \leq p < k$, we have

5 - Acta Arithmetica XL.1



Also if $2 \le p$, q < k and $p \ne q$, then

$$(6) \left| \sum_{s} \delta_{p}(m) \delta_{q}(m) \right| \leq \left(\frac{s}{pq} + 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) - \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{s}{p} - \frac{s}{pq} - 2 \right) - \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(\frac{s}{q} - \frac{s}{pq} - 2 \right) + \frac{1}{pq} \left(s - \frac{s}{p} - \frac{s}{q} + \frac{s}{pq} + 3 \right) \leq 8.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

(7)
$$\left(\sum^{\prime\prime}|a_p|\right)^2 \leqslant \left(\sum^{\prime\prime}\frac{1}{p}a_p^2\right)\left(\sum^{\prime\prime}p\right) \leqslant k^2\sum^{\prime\prime}\frac{1}{p}a_p^2.$$

The lemma now follows from (4), (5), (6) and (7).

For any complex-valued arithmetic function g and real numbers x < y, let

$$M(g, x, y) = \frac{1}{y - x} \sum_{x < m \le y} g(m).$$

LEMMA 2. Let $s-r \to \infty$ such that $(\log s)^n/(s-r) \to 0$ for some n and let $u, h \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $u(p^j) = 1$ for $p \ge n$, $h(p^j) = 1$ for p < n and $h(p^j) = (h(p))^j$ for all $j \ge 1$. Suppose $k = k(r, s) \to \infty$ such that

(8)
$$M(h_k, r, s) - \xi(h_k) = o(1).$$

Then

$$M(v_k, r, s) = \xi(u) \xi(h_k) + o(1),$$

where v is the multiplicative function defined by v(m) = u(m)h(m) for all $m \ge 1$.

Proof. If g is the multiplicative function defined by

$$g(p^{j}) = v_{k}(p^{j}) - h_{k}(p) v_{k}(p^{j-1})$$

for $j \ge 1$, then $g(p^j) = 0$ for $p \ge n$, $j \ge 1$, $|g(m)| \le 2^{w(m)}$ for all m and g(p) = u(p) - 1 for all primes. Further, we have

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} \leqslant \prod_{p < n} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(p^j)| p^{-j}\right) \leqslant \prod_{p < n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} |1 - u(p)| + O(p^{-2})\right) < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(d)}{d} = \prod_{p < n} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(p^j) p^{-j} \right) = \xi(u).$$

From the definition of g, we have

$$v_k(m) = \sum_{d \mid m} g(d) h_k(m/d),$$

so that for any $j \ge 1$,

 $(9) \quad M(v_k, r, s)$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{d \leqslant s} g(d) \left(\frac{1}{s-r} \sum_{r < md \leqslant s} h_k(m) \right) = \sum_{d \leqslant s} \frac{1}{d} g(d) M(h_k, r/d, s/d) \\ &= \sum_{d \leqslant j} \frac{1}{d} g(d) M(h_k, r/d, s/d) + O\left(\sum_{d \geqslant j} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{s-r} \sum_{d \leqslant s} |g(d)| \right). \end{split}$$

Since $g(p^j) = 0$ for $p \ge n, j \ge 1$, we have

$$(10) \qquad \sum_{d \leqslant s} |g(d)| \leqslant 2^n \operatorname{card} \{d \leqslant s \colon \text{if } p \geqslant n \text{ then } p \nmid d\} \leqslant |(2 \log 2s)/(\log 2)|^n.$$

Further, by (8) we have

(11)
$$M(h_k, r/d, s/d) - \xi(h_k) = o(1)$$

uniformly for all $1 \leqslant d \leqslant j$. Since $\sum_{d>j} \frac{1}{d} |g(d)| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, the lemma follows from (9), (10), (11) and from the hypothesis that $(\log s)^n/(s-r) \to 0$.

LEMMA 3. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is such that $u(p^j) = 1$ for $p \ge n$, $j \ge 0$. Then we have $M(u, r, s) \to \xi(u)$, whenever $s - r \to \infty$ such that $(\log s)^n/(s - r) \to 0$.

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 2 on taking h(m) = 1 for all m.

LEMMA 4. Let $\{a_p\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} a_p^2 < \infty$. Let h be the multiplicative function defined by $h(p^4) = e^{ija_p}$. Suppose $s-r \to \infty$ such that $(\log s)^n/(s-r) \to \infty$ for all integers $n \ge 2$. Then

$$M(h_k, r, s) = \xi(h_k) + o(1),$$

where $k \to \infty$ such that $k^2 < s - r$.

Proof. Let $E_n = \sum_{p < n} \frac{1}{p} a_p$ and $D_n = \sum_{p < n} \frac{1}{p} a_p^2$. Since $|e^{iE_n}| = 1$,

we have for any $n \leq k$,

$$|M(h_{k}, r, s) - \xi(h_{k})| = |M(h_{k}, r, s)e^{-iE_{k}} - \xi(h_{k})e^{-iE_{k}}|$$

$$\leq |M(h_{n}, r, s) - \xi(h_{n})| + |\xi(h_{k})e^{-iE_{k}} - \xi(h_{n})e^{-iE_{n}}| +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{s-r} \left| \sum_{r < m \leq s} \left(h_{k}(m)e^{-iE_{k}} - h_{n}(m)e^{-iE_{n}} \right) \right|.$$

Since
$$\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} a_p^2 < \infty$$
,

(13)
$$\xi(h_n) e^{-iE_n} \to \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} e^{ia_p}\right)^{-1} e^{-i\frac{1}{p}a_p}$$

and

$$(14) \qquad \sum_{p>n} \frac{1}{p} a_p^2 \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Further, since $k^2 < s - r$, the number N_1 , of integers $m \in (r, s]$ which are divisible by p^2 for some $p \in [n, k)$, does not exceed

$$\sum_{n \leqslant p < k} (\lceil sp^{-2} \rceil - \lceil rp^{-2} \rceil) \leqslant \sum_{n \leqslant p < k} \left((s-r)p^{-2} + 1 \right).$$

Thus

(15)
$$N_1 \leq 2(s-r) \sum_{n \geq n} p^{-2} \leq \frac{4}{n} (s-r).$$

Hence by (13), (14) and (15), for any e > 0 there exists an integer n(e) such that, whenever $k > n \ge n(e)$

$$(16) N_1 < (s-r)\varepsilon, D_k - D_n < \varepsilon^*$$

and

$$|\xi(h_k)e^{-iE_k} - \xi(h_n)e^{-iE_n}| < \varepsilon.$$

If $p^2 \nmid m$ for any $p \in [n, k)$, then

$$|h_k(m)e^{-iE_k}-h_n(m)e^{-iE_n}| = \Big|\exp\Big(i\sum_{n\leqslant p\leqslant k}a_p\delta_p(m)\Big) -1\Big|\leqslant \Big|\sum_{n\leqslant p\leqslant k}a_p\delta_p(m)\Big|.$$

By (16), Lemma 1 and by the Chebyshev's inequality, it follows that the number N_2 of integers $m \in (r, s]$ for which $\left|\sum_{n \varepsilon$ is not more than

(18)
$$\varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{r \in m \leq s} \Big| \sum_{n \leq n \leq k} a_p \delta_p(m) \Big|^2 < 10(s-r) \varepsilon^{-2} (D_k - D_n) < 10\varepsilon(s-r).$$

Since $|h_i(m)| = 1$, we have by (12), (16), (17) and (18), that

(19)
$$|M(h_k, r, s) - \xi(h_k)| \le |M(h_n, r, s) - \xi(h_n)| + 2s + (2N_1 + N_2)(s - r)^{-1}$$

 $\le |M(h_n, r, s) - \xi(h_n)| + 14s,$

whenever $s-r>k^2$ and $k>n\geqslant n(s)$. The result now follows from (19) and Lemma 3.

LEMMA 5. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1 - \operatorname{Re} u(p)) < \infty$. Let $s - r \to \infty$ such that for all integers $n \ge 1$, $(\log s)^n/(s-r) \to 0$ and let $k \to \infty$ such that $k^3 < s-r$. Then

$$M(u_k, r, s) - \xi(u_k) = o(1).$$

Proof. Let h be the multiplicative function defined by

$$h(p) = (1 - (\operatorname{Im} u(p))^2)^{1/2} + i \operatorname{Im} u(p)$$

and $h(p^j) = (h(p))^j$. Clearly $h \in \mathcal{M}$ and |h(m)| = 1. So there exists $|a_p| < \pi$ such that $h(p) = e^{ia_p}$. Since $\operatorname{Re} u(p) \leqslant \operatorname{Re} h(p)$ and $|h(p) - u(p)| \leqslant \operatorname{Re} h(p) - \operatorname{Re} u(p) \leqslant 1 - \operatorname{Re} u(p)$, we have

(20)
$$\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1 - \operatorname{Re}h(p)) < \infty$$

and

(21)
$$\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} |h(p) - u(p)| < \infty.$$

Let for any multiplicative function g,

$$g_{nk}(m) = \prod_{p^j \mid m, n \leqslant p < k} g(p^j)$$
 .

We have clearly,

$$| M(u_k, r, s) - \xi(u_k)| \leq | M(|u_k - u_n h_{nk}|, r, s) | + + | M(u_n h_{nk}, r, s) - \xi(u_n) \xi(h_{nk})| + | \xi(u_n) \xi(h_{nk}) - \xi(u_k) |.$$

We now estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (22) separately. Since $u_n \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$|u_k(m) - u_k(m)h_{nk}(m)| \le |u_{nk}(m) - h_{nk}(m)|$$

for all $m \ge 1$. So

$$(24) (s-r)M(|u_{nk}-h_{nk}|, r, s) \leq N_1 + \sum_{n \leq p < h, p \mid n} |u(p)-h(p)|$$

$$\leq N_1 + 2(s-r) \sum_{n \leq p < h, p \mid n} \frac{1}{p} |u(p)-h(p)|,$$

where N_1 is defined in the proof of Lemma 4. By (15), (21), (23) and (24), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $n(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $k > n \ge n(\varepsilon)$,

$$(25) M(|u_k-u_nh_{nk}|, r, s) < \varepsilon.$$

71

Since $|\xi(u_n)| \leq 1$ for all n, we have

$$\begin{split} &|\xi(u_n)\,\xi(h_{nk})-\xi(u_k)|\leqslant |\xi(h_{nk})-\xi(u_{nk})|\\ &\leqslant \left|\prod_{n\leqslant p< k}\left(1+\frac{h(p)-1}{p}\right. +O(p^{-2})\right)-\prod_{n\leqslant p< k}\left(1+\frac{u(p)-1}{p}\right. +O(p^{-2})\right)\right|\\ &\leqslant \sum_{n\leqslant p< k}\frac{1}{p}\left|h(p)-u(p)\right|+O\left(\sum_{p\geqslant p}p^{-2}\right). \end{split}$$

Thus in view of (21) we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $n_1 \ge n(\varepsilon)$, such that for all $k > n \ge n_1$,

$$(26) |\xi(u_n)\xi(h_{nk}) - \xi(u_k)| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for $k > n \ge n_1$ and $s - r > k^3$, we have by (22), (25) and (26) that

$$|M(u_k, r, s) - \xi(u_k)| < 2\varepsilon + |M(u_n h_{nk}, r, s) - \xi(u_n) \xi(h_{nk})|.$$

Finally, as $1 - \operatorname{Re} h(p) = 1 - \cos a_p = 2(\sin \frac{1}{2}a_p)^2 \geqslant \theta a_p^2$ for some constant $\theta > 0$, we have from (20) that $\sum \frac{1}{n} a_p^2 < \infty$. So by Lemma 4, we have for each n < k, that

$$M(h_{nk}, r, s) = \xi(h_{nk}) + o(1).$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2, we have for each n < k, that

(28)
$$M(u_n h_{nk}, r, s) = \xi(u_n) \xi(h_{nk}) + o(1).$$

The lemma now follows from (27) and (28).

LEMMA 6. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \operatorname{Re} u(p)) < \infty$. Then for any $\lambda > 1$, $\xi(u_n) - \xi(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. We have by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$\begin{split} (29) \qquad |\xi(u_n) - \xi(u_{n\lambda})| & \leq \left| \prod_{n \leq p < n^{\lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u(p^j) p^{-j} \right) - 1 \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n \leq p < n^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{p} |1 - u(p)| + O\left(\sum_{p \geqslant n} p^{-2} \right) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{n \leq p \leq n^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{p} |1 - u(p)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \leq p \leq n^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1/2} + O(n^{-1}) \,. \end{split}$$

Since there exists a constant A (see [4]) such that

(30)
$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + A + o(1),$$

as $x \to \infty$ and since $|1-z|^2 \le 2(1-\text{Re}z)$ for $|z| \le 1$, the result follows from (29).

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let α be as in the definition of $\{b(n)\}$. Put $k = k(n) = \lfloor n^{a/4} \rfloor$. We have by Lemmas 5 and 6 that

(31)
$$M(u_h, n, n+b(n)) = \xi(u_n) + o(1),$$

as $n \to \infty$. To complete the proof, it is enough to show that

$$M(|u-u_k|, n, n+b(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Let for $\varepsilon > 0$, $H(n, \varepsilon)$ denote the set of $m \in (n, n + b(n)]$ for which $|u(m) - u_k(m)| > \varepsilon$. Since

$$|u(m)-u_k(m)|\leqslant \sum_{k\leqslant p<2n,\,p^j\parallel m}|1-u(p^j)|,$$

we have that if $m \in H(n, \varepsilon)$, then there exists a $p \in [k, 2n)$ such that $|p^j||m$ and $|1-u(p^j)|>\varepsilon\alpha/8$ for some $j\geqslant 1$. So

$$\operatorname{card} H(n, \varepsilon) \leqslant \sum^* \operatorname{card} \left\{ m \in \left(n, n + b(n) \right] \colon p^j || m \right\},$$

where \sum^* denotes the sum over all $p^j \leq 2n$ for which $|1-u(p^j)| > \varepsilon \alpha/8$ and $k \le p < 2n$. Hence

(32)
$$\operatorname{eard} H(n, \varepsilon) \leq \sum^* (b(n)p^{-j} + 1) \leq b(n) \left(\sum^{**} 1/p + \sum_{p > k; j \geq 2} p^{-j} \right) + \sum^* 1,$$

where \sum^{**} is the sum over $p \leq 2n$ for which $|1-u(p)| > \epsilon \alpha/8$.

$$\sum^{**} \frac{1}{p} \leqslant \frac{64}{\varepsilon^2 a^2} \sum_{p>k} \frac{1}{p} |1 - u(p)|^2 \leqslant \frac{130}{\varepsilon^2 a^2} \sum_{p>k} \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \operatorname{Re} u(p)\right) = o(1).$$

It follows now, by (1) and (32), that $\operatorname{card} H(n, s) = o(b(n))$. So for any s > 0,

$$M(|u-u_k|, n, n+b(n)) \leqslant \varepsilon + \frac{2}{b(n)} \operatorname{card} H(n, \varepsilon) \leqslant \varepsilon + o(1).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Distributions of arithmetic functions. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of real-valued arithmetic functions. The distribution of f_n under $P_n(\,\cdot\,,\,b)$ is given by Q_n , where $Q_n(c) = P_n(m: f_n(m) < c)$, b). If Q_n converges

weakly to F, where F is a distribution function, we write $f_n \stackrel{b}{\Rightarrow} F$. That is for every continuity point c of F, $P_n(m: f_n(m) < c)$, $b) \to F(c)$ as $n \to \infty$.

A real-valued arithmetic function f is said to have a *b-distribution* if $f_n \stackrel{b}{\Rightarrow} F$, for the sequence $f_n = f$, where F is some distribution function on the real line.

THEOREM 2. Let f be a real-valued additive arithmetic function satisfying, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

(33)
$$\operatorname{eard} \{ p^{j} \leqslant n \colon |f(p^{j})| > \varepsilon \} = o(b(n)),$$

as $n \to \infty$. Then f has a b-distribution if and only if

(34)
$$\sum_{p}' \frac{1}{p} f(p) \quad converges,$$

$$(35) \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} f^{2}(p) < \infty$$

and

$$\sum^{"} 1/p < \infty,$$

where \sum' denotes the sum over all primes p for which |f(p)| < 1 and \sum'' denotes the sum over the remaining primes.

Before proving the theorem we make few remarks.

Remark 3. If $b(n) \le n(\log n)^{-2}$, then (33) implies (36). So in this case condition (36) is redundant.

Remark 4. By the Lévy's continuity theorem (see Theorem 3.6.1 in [9]), a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of real-valued arithmetic functions $\stackrel{b}{\Rightarrow}$ to a distribution if and only if, for each real number t

$$\int e^{itf_n([x])} P_n(dx, b) = \frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{n < m \le n + b(n)} e^{itf_n(m)}$$

tends to a limit $\Psi(t)$, which is continuous at t=0.

Proof of Theorem 2. For each real number t, define the multiplicative function $g^{(t)}$ by $g^{(t)}(m) = e^{it/(m)}$. In view of Remark 4, it is enough to show that there exists a function Ψ on the real line which is continuous at zero such that, for each t, $\Psi(t)$ is the b-mean value of $g^{(t)}$. Clearly $g^{(t)} \in \mathcal{M}_b$. Since for any real number x, $|e^{ix}-1-ix| \leq x^2$, it follows

from (34), (35) and (36) that $\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p} (1 - g^{(b)}(p))$ converges. So the infinite product $\xi(g^{(b)})$ converges and by Corollary 1, $\xi(g^{(b)})$ is the *b*-mean value of $g^{(b)}$. Clearly $\xi(g^{(b)})$ is continuous at zero. Hence f has a b-distribution.

As is mentioned in the introduction if f has a b-distribution, then it has a distribution in the sense of natural density. But in this case, by

Erdős-Wintner theorem [8], (34), (35) and (36) hold. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Using Cramér-Wold device [1] and Theorem 2, we can easily deduce the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let f_1, \ldots, f_s be real-valued arithmetic functions satisfying for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \operatorname{eard}\{p^k \leqslant n, \, |f_j(p^k)| > \varepsilon\} \, = oig(b(n)ig).$$

If the series

em

$$\sum_{|f_j(p)| < 1} rac{1}{p} f_j(p), \quad \sum_{|f_j(p)| < 1} rac{1}{p} f_j^2(p) \quad and \quad \sum_{|f_j(p)| \ge 1} rac{1}{p}$$

converge for $j=1,\ldots,s$, then there exists a distribution function F on R^s such that at each of its continuity points

$$P_n((m: f_j(m) < c_j; j = 1, ..., s), b) \rightarrow F(c_1, ..., c_s)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 5. Let g be a real-valued multiplicative function. If g(m) > 0 for all m, then g has a non-degenerate b-distribution if and only if the additive function f, defined by $f(m) = \log g(m)$, has a non-degenerate b-distribution.

The following two theorems can be proved using the techniques of [6], [7] and the tools developed so far in this paper. We leave the details to the reader.

THEOREM 4. Let g be a real-valued multiplicative function satisfying

$$\sum_{g(p)\leqslant 0} 1/p < \infty, \quad \operatorname{card} \{p^j \leqslant n \colon g(p^j) < 0\} = o(b(n))$$

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$

(37)
$$\operatorname{card} \{ p^j \leq n \colon |g(p^j)| > e^s \text{ or } |g(p^j)| < e^{-s} \} = o(b(n)).$$

Suppose for some c > 1, the series

(38)
$$\sum' \frac{1}{p} \log |g(p)|, \sum' \frac{1}{p} (\log |g(p)|)^2$$
 and $\sum'' \frac{1}{p}$

converge, where \sum' denote the sum over all p such that $c^{-1} < |g(p)| < c$ and \sum'' denotes the sum over the remaining primes. Then g has b-distribution.

THEOREM 5. Let g be a real-valued multiplicative function such that $\sum_{g(p)<0} 1/p = \infty, \sum_{g(p)=0} 1/p < \infty.$ Let u be the multiplicative function defined by

$$u(p^j) = egin{cases} 1 & if & g(p^j) \geqslant 0, \ -1 & if & g(p^j) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose for each $\varepsilon > 0$, (37) holds, for some c > 1, the three series in (38) converge and u has a b-mean value (in which case it will have zero mean value, see [7]). Then g has a symmetric non-degenerate b-distribution.

We do not know under what conditions u defined above has a b-mean value.

4. Convergence to infinitely divisible laws. The object of this section is to prove some of the results of Sections 5 and 6 of [2] for b-density. We shall first state a central limit theorem, which follows easily from a more general case to be proved later in this section. For a real-valued additive function f, let

$$A_n = \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p} f(p)$$
 and $B_n^2 = \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p} f^2(p)$.

THEOREM 6. Let f be a real-valued additive arithmetic function. If $B_n \to \infty$ and $\max_{p \le n} |f(p)|/B_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $(f - A_n)/B_n \stackrel{b}{\Rightarrow} \Phi$, where Φ denotes the normal distribution with mean zero and variance 1.

As a consequence of this theorem we have that

$$\frac{\omega - \log \log n}{(\log \log n)^{1/2}} \stackrel{b}{=} \Phi.$$

If $m \in (n, n+b(n)]$, then

$$0 \leqslant \log\log m - \log\log n \leqslant \log\log 2n - \log\log n \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. So for every real number x

(39)
$$P_n(m: \omega(m) - \log\log m < x(\log\log m)^{1/2}, b) \to \Phi(x)$$

as $n \to \infty$. If $\{a(m)\}$ is any sequence of real numbers such that $a(m) \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$, then from (39) we have

$$P_n((m: |\omega(m) - \log\log m| > a(m)(\log\log m)^{1/2}), b) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. In particular for each e > 0 and $\beta > 0$, except possibly for $o(n^{\beta})$ integers $m \in (n, n + n^{\beta}]$, we have

$$|\omega(m) - \log\log m| < (\log\log m)^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

To consider the general case, we start with the class H, introduced by Kubilius [8], consisting of all real-valued additive functions for which $B_n \to \infty$ and for which there exists a sequence $r_n \to \infty$ such that

$$(\log r_n)(\log n)^{-1} \to 0$$
 and $B_n^{-2} \sum_{r_n$

as $n \to \infty$. As done in [2], it will be convenient to omit the normalization by B_n ; this can be done by considering a new class. Let H_b be the class of arrays $\{f_n\}$ of additive functions for which

$$(40) \qquad \sum_{r_n$$

for some sequence $\{r_n\}$ satisfying $(\log r_n)(\log n)^{-1} \to 0$, for which

$$\sup_{n} \sum_{n \leq n} \frac{1}{p} f_n^2(p) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(m) = 0$$

and satisfying for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

(41)
$$\operatorname{card} \{ p^{j} \leqslant n \colon |f_{n}(p^{j})| > \varepsilon \} = o(b(n)).$$

If $f \in H$, $B_n \to \infty$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\operatorname{card} \{p^{j} \leqslant n \colon |f(p^{j})| > \varepsilon B_{n}\} = o(b(n)),$$

then $\{f/B_n\}$ belongs to H_b .

Let K_n be the finite measure on the real line R defined, for each interval M, by

$$K_n(M) = \sum_{p \leqslant n, f_n(p) \in M} \frac{1}{p} f_n^2(p).$$

For any finite measure K, define the infinitely divisible characteristic function

$$\psi_K(u) = \exp\left(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left((e^{iux} - 1 - iux) x^{-2} K(dx) \right) \right),$$

the integrand at x=0 is taken to be $-u^2/2$. If F_K is the distribution function corresponding to ψ_K , F_K has mean zero variance K(R). We write $K_n \xrightarrow{v} K$ to indicate $K_n(I) \to K(I)$ for all finite intervals I, whose boundary has K measure zero. Notice that if $K_n \xrightarrow{v} K$ and $K_n(R) \to K(R)$, then K_n converges weakly to K.

We are now ready to state an analogue of Theorem 5.1 of [2].

THEOREM 7. If $\{f_n\} \in H_b$, then a necessary and sufficient condition for $f_n - A'_n \stackrel{b}{\Rightarrow} F$ is that $F = F_K$ and $K_n \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} K$ for some K, where $A'_n = \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{n} f_n(p)$.

Proof. Let $k = k_n = \lfloor n^{a/4} \rfloor$, where $n^a/b(n) \leqslant T$ for all n. Put

$$f_{kn}(m) = \sum_{p^j || m, p > k} f_n(p^j).$$

Since

$$|f_{kn}(m)| \leqslant \sum_{k$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $|f_{kn}(m)| > \varepsilon$ implies that for some $p \in (k, 2n]$, $p^j || m$ and $|f_n(p^j)| > a\varepsilon/10$ for some $j \ge 1$. As $\{f_n\} \in H_b$, by (30), (40) and (41) we have

$$b(n)P_n((m:|f_{kn}(m)|>\varepsilon),b) \leqslant \sum^*(b(n)p^{-j}+1) = o(b(n))$$

as $n \to \infty$, where \sum^* denotes the sum over $p^j \le 2n$ for which $|f_n(p^j)| > \alpha \varepsilon/10$ and k . Also observe that, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (30) and (40),

$$\left| \sum_{k$$

as $n \to \infty$. So it is enough to show that

$$f_n^* - A_n^* \stackrel{b}{\Rightarrow} F$$

as $n \to \infty$, where f_n^* is the additive function defined by,

$$f_n^*(p^j) = \begin{cases} f_n(p^j) & \text{if } p \leqslant k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_n^* = \sum_{p \leqslant k} \frac{1}{p} f_n(p).$$

The proof of (42) is omitted, since it is similar to that of Theorem 5.1 of [2], the only difference being that we use Lemma 1 instead of Lemma 2.1 of [2].

Similarly, all the results of Sections 5 and 6 of [2] can be extended to b-density case with class H' in [2] replaced by H_b .

References

- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers, 4th ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford 1960.
- [5] G. Jogesh Babu, On the distribution of arithmetic functions, Acta Arith. 29 (1976), pp. 97-104.
- [6] Probabilistic methods in the theory of arithmetic functions, Macmillan Lectures in Mathematics, Series 2, Macmillan Company, New Delhi 1978.
- [7] On the distribution of multiplicative functions, Acta Arith. 36. (1980), pp. 331-340.
- [8] J. Kubilius, Probabilistic methods in the theory of numbers, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Vol. 11, Providence, R. I., 1964.
- [9] E. Lukaes, Characteristic functions, 2nd ed., Griffin, London 1970.

STAT, MATH. DIVISION INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE Calcutta, India

Received on 9.1.1979 and in revised form on 26.4.1979

(1127)

^[1] P. Billingsley, Convergence of probability measures, Wiley, New York 1968.

^{[2] -} The probability theory of additive arithmetic functions, Annals of Probability 2 (1974), pp. 749-791.

^[3] G. Halász, Über die Mittelwerte multiplikativer sahlentheoretischer Funktionen, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 19 (1968), pp. 365-402.