On functions with scattered spectra on lea groups

by

PAWEŁ GŁOWACKI (Wrocław)

Abstract. Let G be a locally compact abelian group. For a closed subset E of the dual group \hat{G} we denote by $L_E^\infty(G)$ the space of all essentially bounded measurable functions with their Fourier transforms (which are pseudomeasures on \hat{G}) supported by E. As \hat{G} with a discrete topology is a dual of \tilde{G} , the Bohr compactification of G, we may consider $L_E^\infty(\tilde{G})$ as well. Our main theorem is that if E is closed and scattered, that is, if it contains no non-empty perfect subset, then the Banach spaces $L_E^\infty(G)$ and $L_E^\infty(\tilde{G})$ are isometric. This isometry is canonical in the following sense: if m is a topological mean on G and if $F \in L_E^\infty(\tilde{G})$ corresponds to $f \in L_E^\infty(G)$ by this map, then $\hat{F}(\gamma) = m(f\tilde{\gamma})$ for every $\gamma \in \hat{G}$.

Introduction. For a given $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ (G being locally compact abelian group) we consider its "Fourier series" with respect to some topological mean m on G:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\widehat{\Omega}} m(f\overline{\chi}) \cdot \chi.$$

It is not difficult to see that there are only countably many χ such that $m(f\overline{\chi}) \neq 0$ and, moreover, there exists an $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$, \tilde{G} being the Bohr compactification of G, such that (*) is just the Fourier series of F. In general, of course (*) depends on the choice of the mean m and does not determine f uniquely. But this turns out to be the case under some additional conditions on f, e.g. if the spectrum of f is scattered, as we shall see later; so if $E \subset G$ is closed and scattered, we obtain an injective map:

$$L^\infty_E(G)$$
 \ni $f \mapsto F \in L^\infty_E(\tilde{G})$.

It has been proved by Mrs. Lust-Piquard that this map is an isometry of the Banach spaces $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$ and $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ for E countable and discrete. We generalize this to an arbitrary scattered set E. Thus functions with scattered spectra appear to be in some sense similar to almost periodic functions, as they have a kind of extension to \tilde{G} . Our result is based on a theorem of Woodward ([6], Theorem 9 (ii)); we extend the notion of

ergodicity introduced in his paper, but our extension is different from that given in [4], as we use topological means only. Nevertheless the present results are substantially related to these of [4] and [6].

Preliminaries. Let G be a locally compact abelian group, \hat{G} its dual and \tilde{G} its Bohr compactification. The dual of \tilde{G} is \hat{G} with a discrete topology: we denote it by $(\hat{G})_d$. Let $L^{\infty}(G)$ be the usual Banach space of all Haar-measurable, essentially bounded functions on G (with a "supess" norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$). C(G) and AP(G) are its closed subspaces consisting of continuous functions and almost periodic functions, respectively. $C(\tilde{G})$ may be identified in a standard way with AP(G). For $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ we denote by $\sigma(f) \subset \hat{G}$ the spectrum of f in $L^{\infty}(G)$, i.e. the support of the pseudomeasure \hat{f} on \hat{G} . By M(G) we denote the Banach algebra (with respect to convolution *) of finite regular Borel measures on G and by $L^1(G)$ its subalgebra of Haar-integrable functions on G.

Let us now fix some Haar measure dx on G. Put

$$\mathscr{P}(G) = \left\{ u \in L^1(G) \colon u \geqslant 0, \int_G u(x) dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a semigroup with respect to convolution.

Let (k_a) be the Fejér averaging kernel on G as constructed in [6], page 285. It has the following properties:

- (1) $k_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}(G)$.
- (2) $||k_a * u k_a||_{L^1(G)} \to 0$ for any $u \in \mathcal{P}(G)$.

There exists a natural homomorphism $\varrho: M(G) \to M(\tilde{G})$ given by:

$$\int\limits_{\tilde{G}}\varphi\,d\varrho(\mu)=\int\limits_{\tilde{G}}\varphi\,d\mu\quad\text{ for }\quad \mu\in M(G), \varphi\in C(\tilde{G})=AP(G)\,.$$

As $\varrho(\mu)$ $(\chi) = \hat{\mu}(\chi)$ for $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we have $\varrho(\mu * v) = \varrho(\mu) * \varrho(v)$ for $\mu, v \in M(G)$. $\mathscr{P}(G)$ acts by convolution on $L^{\infty}(G)$ as well as on $L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$:

$$L^{\infty}(G)\ni f\to u*f\in L^{\infty}(G)$$
,

$$L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})\ni F\to \rho(u)*F\in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$$

for $u \in \mathcal{P}(G)$.

For $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ $(F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$ denote by $\overline{\mathscr{P}}(f)$ $(\overline{\mathscr{P}}(F))$ the norm closure of the orbit of f (F) under $\mathscr{P}(G)$. It is a closed convex subset of $L^{\infty}(G)$ $(L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$. In both cases, owing to (1) and (2), the assumption of Eberlein's Theorem ([1], th. 3.1) is fulfilled. Thus we have:

PROPOSITION 1. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ $(F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$ and let c (C) be a constant in $L^{\infty}(G)$ $(L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $c \in \overline{\mathscr{P}}(f)$ $(C \in \overline{\mathscr{P}}(F))$.
- (ii) $||k_{\alpha}*f c||_{\infty} \to 0 \ (||\varrho(k_{\alpha})*F C||_{\infty} \to 0).$



Moreover, if (k_a*f) $((\varrho(k_a)*F))$ is Cauchy in $L^{\infty}(G)$ $(L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$, then there exists a c (C) such that (i) and (ii) hold.

Let m be a topological mean on $L^{\infty}(G)$ that is a linear, positive, normed functional invariant under the action of $\mathscr{P}(G)$. Using m, we can identify the constant e in Proposition 1. In fact, if $k_a*f\to e$ in $L^{\infty}(G)$, then $m(f)=m(k_a*f)\to m(e)=e$, so e=m(f). Notice that $\int\limits_{\widetilde{G}}(\varrho(u)*F)(x)dx=(\varrho(u)*F)^{\hat{e}}(0)=\hat{u}(0)\cdot\hat{F}(0)=\int\limits_{\widetilde{G}}F(x)dx$ for $u\in\mathscr{P}(G)$ and $F\in L^{\infty}(\widetilde{G})$. Thus in the bracket version of Proposition 1 we obtain $C=\widehat{F}(0)=\int\limits_{\widetilde{E}}F(x)dx$.

Topologically ergodic functions. We begin with introducing the notion of (topological) ergodicity for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and (topological) G-ergodicity for $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$.

DEFINITION 1. We call $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ $(F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$ ergodic (G-ergodic) at $\chi \in \hat{G}$ if $f_{\overline{\chi}}$ $(F_{\overline{\chi}})$ fulfils one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1. If f(F) is ergodic (G-ergodic) at every $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we call it ergodic (G-ergodic).

Remark 1. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ $(F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}))$. If $\chi \in \hat{G}$ is not a cluster point of $\sigma(f)$ $(\sigma(F))$ in \hat{G} , then f(F) is ergodic (G-ergodic) at χ .

Proof. Let V be a neighbourhood of 0 in G such that $(\chi+V)\cap\sigma(f)$ $\subset \{\chi\}$ $((\chi+V)\cap\sigma(F)\subset \{\chi\})$. Let α_0 be such that the support of \hat{k}_a is contained in V for $\alpha>\alpha_0$. Then $k_a*f\overline{\chi}=c$ $(\varrho(k_a)*F\overline{\chi}=C)$ for $\alpha>\alpha_0$ and some complex e, C. Since $(k_a*f\overline{\chi})_{a>a_0}$ $((\varrho(k_a)*F\overline{\chi})_{a>a_0})$ is constant, it is Cauchy.

Remark 2. If χ is an isolated point of $\sigma(f)$ and m is a topological mean on $L^{\infty}(G)$, then $m(f\overline{\chi}) \neq 0$.

Following [4], we introduce maps A_m and B_{ω} :

DEFINITION 2. Let m be a topological mean on $L^{\infty}(G)$. For $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ we define $A_m f \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ as a functional on $L^1(\tilde{G})$. It is sufficient to define it on $C(\tilde{G}) = AP(G)$ and then to show that it is continuous in $L^1(\tilde{G})$ -norm. So let

$$\langle A_m f, \varphi \rangle = m(f\varphi)$$

 $\text{for} \ \ \varphi \in C(\tilde{G}). \ \ \text{Evidently} \ \ |\langle A_m f, \varphi \rangle| \leqslant \|f\|_{\infty} m(|\varphi|) = \|f\|_{\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\tilde{G})}.$

It is easy to check the following properties of $A_m: L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ (cf. [4], II.2. Lemma 3):

PROPOSITION 2.

- (i) A_m is linear with norm equal to 1.
- (ii) $(A_m f)^{\hat{}}(\chi) = m(f\chi)$ for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$, $\chi \in G$.
- (iii) $A_m \varphi = \varphi$ for $\varphi \in AP(G)$.
- (iv) $A_m(\mu * f) = \varrho(\mu) * A_m f$ for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$, $\mu \in M(G)$.



$$(\nabla) \ A_m(f\chi) = A_m f \cdot \chi \ for \ f \in L^{\infty}(G), \ \chi \in \hat{G}.$$

(vi)
$$\sigma(A_m f) \subset \sigma(f)$$
 for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$.

DEFINITION 3. Let ω be an extension of $\delta_0 \in C(\tilde{G})^*$ $(\delta_0(\varphi) = \varphi(0))$ for $\varphi \in C(\tilde{G})$ to a normed functional on $L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$. For $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ we define $B_{\infty}F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}) = L^1(G)^*$ as follows:

$$\langle B_{\omega}F, u \rangle = \langle \omega, \varrho(\check{u}) * F \rangle$$

for $u \in L^1(G)$ (we put $\check{u}(x) = u(-x)$).

It is clear that $B_{\omega}F \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $\|B_{\omega}F\|_{\infty} \leqslant \|F\|_{\infty}$.

Proposition 3 (cf. [4], II.1). $B_{\omega}\colon L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})\to L^{\infty}(G)$ has the following properties:

- (i) B_m is linear with norm equal to 1.
- (ii) $B_{\omega}\varphi = \varphi$ for $\varphi \in C(\tilde{G})$.
- (iii) $B_{\omega}(\varrho(\mu)*F) = \mu*B\omega F$ for $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G}), \ \mu \in M(G)$.
- (iv) $\sigma(B_{\omega}F) \subset \overline{\sigma(F)}$ for $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$.

We omit an easy verification of (i)-(iv).

The main result. Let m_0 be a *weak cluster point of $(k_a)_a$ in $L^{\infty}(G)^*$. Taking a subnet, we may assume that $m_0 = \lim_a k_a$ in the *weak sense. Put $A_{m_0} = A$ and fix some ω as in Definition 3.

THEOREM 1. Let $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ be G-ergodic at $\chi \in \hat{G}$. Then

$$(A(B_{\omega}F))^{\hat{}}(\chi) = \hat{F}(\chi).$$

Proof. By (iii) of Prop. 2, the definition of m_0 and the G-ergodicity of F at χ (Prop. 1 (ii)) we have:

$$\begin{split} A\left(B_{\omega}F\right)^{\hat{}}(\chi) &= m_{0}(B_{\omega}F\overline{\chi}) = \lim_{a}\langle B_{\omega}F\overline{\chi}, k_{a}\rangle \\ &= \lim_{a}\langle B_{\omega}F, k_{a}\overline{\chi}\rangle = \lim_{a}\langle \omega, \varrho(k_{a})\chi *F\rangle \\ &= \lim_{a}\langle \omega, \left(\varrho(k_{a})*F\overline{\chi}\right)\chi\right\rangle = \langle \omega, \hat{F}(\chi)\chi\rangle \\ &= \hat{F}(\chi)\langle \omega, \chi\rangle = \hat{F}(\chi)\chi(0) = \hat{F}(\chi). \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 1. If $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ is G-ergodic, then $A(B_{\omega}F) = F$.

Proof. In fact, we have $A(B_{\omega}F)^{\hat{}}(\chi)=\hat{F}(\chi)$ for every $\chi\in\hat{G}$ so $A(B_{\omega}F)=F$.

We call a closed subset of \hat{G} scattered if it does not contain any nonempty perfect subset. We have the following

PROPOSITION 4. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$. If $\sigma(f)$ is contained in a closed, scattered subset E of \hat{G} , then f is ergodic (at every $\chi \in \hat{G}$).

Proof. As $\sigma(f_{\overline{\chi}}) = \sigma(f) + \chi$ and since translations are homeomorphisms, it is sufficient to show that f is ergodic at 0. To prove our proposition take $u \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ such that the support of \hat{u} is compact. Then the support of $(u*f)^{\hat{}}$ is compact and contained in E and thus by the Loomis theorem ([3], Th. 4) u*f is almost periodic and, a fortiori, ergodic at 0 (Prop. 1). This means that $(k_a*u*f)_a$ is Cauchy. But by (1) we have

$$||k_a*f - k_a*u*f||_{\infty} \to 0$$

and so $(k_{\alpha}*f)_{\alpha}$ is Cauchy.

Now let us restrict A to $L_E^{\infty}(G)$ (the space of all $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ with $\sigma(f) \subset E$) where E is closed and scattered.

THEOREM 2. Let A be as defined at the beginning of this section and let $E \subset G$ be closed and scattered. Then A is an isometry from $L_E^{\infty}(G)$ onto G-ergodic elements of $L_E^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$.

Proof. By Prop. 2 (iv)-(vi) A maps $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$ into G-ergodic elements of $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$. Take $F \in L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ which is G-ergodic. Cor. 1 shows that $A(B_{\infty}F) = F$ and so by Prop. 3 (iv) A maps $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$ onto G-ergodic members of $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$. Suppose now that Af = 0 for some $f \in L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$. This implies $(Af)^{\hat{}}(\chi) = m_0(f\overline{\chi}) = 0$ for every $\chi \in \tilde{G}$. By Remark 2 $\sigma(f) = \emptyset$ and so f = 0. Thus A is injective on $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$. It is isometric by the above and Prop. 2 (i), Prop. 3 (i).

Our last step is to show that every $F \in L^{\infty}_{\underline{B}}(\widetilde{G})$ is G-ergodic for E closed and scattered. Theorem 3 is just an adaptation of [6], Th. 9 (ii).

THEOREM 3. Let $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ be G-ergodic at every $\chi \neq 0$. Then F is G-ergodic also at 0.

Proof. Take $u \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Of course, $\varrho(u) * F$ is G-ergodic at $\chi \neq 0$ and it is G-ergodic at 0 exactly when F is. Using Theorem 1 and Prop. 3 (iii), we have

$$A(u*B_{\omega}F)^{\hat{}}(\chi) = A(B_{\omega}(\varrho(u)*F))^{\hat{}}(\chi)$$

= $(\varrho(u)*F)^{\hat{}}(\chi) = \hat{u}(\chi)\hat{F}(\chi)$ for $\chi \neq 0$.

Thus $\varrho(u)*F = A(u*B_{\omega}F) + \text{const.}$ Being an image of $\varrho(u)*F$ by $B_{\omega} u*B_{\omega}F$ is ergodic at every $\chi \neq 0$ by Prop. 3(iii). As it is uniformly continuous, we may apply [6] Theorem 9(ii) to obtain the G-ergodicity of $A(u*B_{\omega}F)$ at 0. Of course the same is true for $\varrho(u)*F$ and hence for F.

COROLLARY 2. Let $F \in L^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$. If $\sigma(F)$ is contained in a closed and scattered set $E \subset \hat{G}$, then F is G-ergodic.

Proof. In fact, let $\mathscr{N}=\{\chi\in\hat{G}\colon F\text{ is not }G\text{-ergodic at }\chi\}$. By Remark 1, $\mathscr{N}\subset E$, and so it either is empty or contains an isolated point. Suppose that there is an isolated point χ_0 in \mathscr{N} (we may assume that $\chi_0=0$). Let $u\in\mathscr{P}(G)$ be such that $\sup \hat{u}\cap\mathscr{N}=\{0\}$. (By $\sup \hat{u}$ we denote the support of \hat{u} .) Then $\varrho(u)*F$ is G-ergodic at $\chi\neq 0$, and so by Theorem 3 $\varrho(u)*F$

icm®

is G-ergodic at 0, and this implies the ergodicity of F at 0. We have thus obtained a contradiction, as $0 \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$ and our corollary is proved.

Piecing together Theorem 2 and Corollary 2, we get our main result:

THEOREM 4. Let $E \subset \hat{G}$ be closed and scattered and let m be a topological mean on $L^{\infty}(G)$. Then the map

$$A: L_E^{\infty}(G) \to L_E^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$$

defined by $(Af)^{\hat{}}(\chi) = m(f\overline{\chi})$ for $f \in L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$ is an isometry of Banach spaces $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(G)$ and $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}(\tilde{G})$ and it does not depend on the choice of m. Almost periodic functions are fixed points of A.

We end with simple corollaries to Theorem 4. Denote by ${\it I\!\!R}$ the additive group of real numbers.

EXAMPLE 1 (cf. [5]). Let $G = \mathbf{R} = \hat{G}$ and let $(p_n)_1^{\infty}$, $(q_n)_1^{\infty}$ be two sequences of integers, $p_n, q_n \ge 2$. Let

$$E_n = \{p_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n \cdot k \colon k = 0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm q_n\}$$

and let $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. If $K \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is compact and countable, then by [5], Example (I), $L_{E+K}^{\infty}(G) = AP_{E+K}(G)$. It is easy to see that E+K is closed and scattered; hence by Theorem 4 we get $L_{E+K}^{\infty}(\tilde{G}) = AP_{E+K}(G)$.

COROLLARY 3. (cf. [2], Corollary of Theorem 1). Let $E \subset \hat{G}$ be closed, scattered and independent. Then every $f \in L_E^{\infty}(G)$ is a Fourier transform of a discrete measure with a support in E.

Proof. E is Sidon in $(\hat{G})_d$, so $L_E^{\infty}(\tilde{G}) = AP_E(G) = l^1(E)$. By Theorem 4 $L_E^{\infty}(G) = AP_E(G) = l^1(E)$.

References

- W. F. Eberlein, Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), pp. 217-240.
- [2] S. Hartman, Rosenthal sets on the line, Math. Nach. 76 (1977), 153-158.
- [3] L. H. Loomis, The spectral characterization of a class of almost periodic functions, Ann. Math. 72 (1960), pp. 362-368.
- [4] F. Lust, Eléments ergodiques et totalment ergodiques dans $L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, Studia Math. 69 (1981), pp. 191–225.
- [5] L. Pigno, S. Saeki, On the spectra of almost periodic functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25, 2 (1976), pp. 191-194.
- [6] G. S. Woodward, Invariant means and ergodic sets in Fourier analysis, Pacific J. Math. 54, 2 (1974), pp. 281-299.

Some ergodic theorems for commuting L_1 contractions

by

S. A. McGRATH (Woodland Hills, CA)

Abstract. Let T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k be commuting submarkovian operators on L_1 and suppose for some $1 , <math>\|T_i\|_p < 1$, 1 < i < k. Then for $f \in L_1$

$$(1/n)^k \sum_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \dots \sum_{i_k=0}^{n-1} T_1^{i_1} \dots T_k^{i_k} f(x)$$

converges pointwise as $n \to \infty$. Also, the local ergodic theorem is proved for k-parameter semigroups of L_1 isometries.

Introduction. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let $L_p = L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, be the usual Banach spaces of complex-valued functions. A linear operator T on L_1 is submarkovian if it is a positive contraction $(Tf \in L_1^+ \text{ if } f \in L_1^+ \text{ and } ||T||_1 \le 1)$. Suppose T is submarkovian and $||T||_p \le 1$ for some p > 1. Akeoglu and Chaeon [2] showed that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i f(x)$$

exists and is finite a.e. for every $f \in L_1$. In this paper we extend their result to the case of multiple ergodic averages of k commuting submarkovian operators. In obtaining this result we generalize Akcoglu's pointwise ergodic theorem [1] to the case of k noncommuting positive L_p contractions. The final section of the paper contains a proof of the local ergodic theorem for strongly continuous semigroups of (not necessarily positive) L_1 isometries. This result provides a partial answer to the question of whether the local ergodic theorem holds for k-parameter semigroups of nonpositive L_1 contractions.

Let $\{T(t_1,\ldots,t_k)\colon t_1>0\,,\ldots,t_k>0\}$ be a strongly measurable semigroup of L_1 contractions. In considering the question of pointwise convergence of the ergodic averages

$$A(T, a)f = (1/a)^k \int\limits_0^a \dots \int\limits_0^a T(t_1, \dots, t_k) f dt_1 \dots dt_k$$

it is necessary to define $A(T, \alpha)f(x)$ in such a way that the question makes