- icm
- ACTA ARITHMETICA XLV (1984)

- 374. Il quadricentenario di Keplero, Archimede, 1972, pp. 1-15.
- 375. Ueber Galoische Geometrien, in Geometrie, Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft Darmstad, 1972, pp. 100-117.
- Inequalities concerning symmetric or almost-symmetric functions, Tensor N.S 24 (1972), pp. 273-287.
- 377. Riflessi vicini e lontani del pensiero e dell'opera di Federigo Enriques, Atti c Conv. Internaz. Geometria, Milano 1971, 1975, pp. 11-25.
- 378. On algebraic varieties as intersections of primals in a projective or affine space, Atti Conv. Internaz. Geometria, Milano 1971, 1975, pp. 35-53.
- Some arithmetical problems on the use of the balance, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, (8), 54 (1973),
 pp. 1-12.
- 380. Strutture matematiche e realtà fisica, Nuova Antologia, N. 2072 (1973), pp. 472-480.
- Proprietà elementari relative ai segmenti ed alle coniche sopra un campo qualsiasi ed una congettura di Seppo Ilkka per il caso dei campi di Galois, Ann. di Mat., (8), 96 (1973), pp. 289-337.
- 382. Generalizzazione di un procedimento di Levi-Civita atto a costruire soluzioni particolari di sistemi differenziali, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, (8), 55₂ (1973), pp. 1-5.
- 383. Il carteggio marconiano presso l'Accademia dei Lincei, Nuova Antologia, N. 2079 (1974), pp. 306-321.
- 384. Sur les correspondences involutoires régulières dans les ensembles finis, C. R. Ac. Sc. Paris 279 (1974), pp. 309-311.
- 385. Prefazione, al Seminario sulla Evoluzione Biologica, Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare, 7 (1975), pp. 5-6.
- 386. Le Accademie Scientifiche, Nuova Antologia, N. 2091 (1975), pp. 319-322.
- 387. Introduzione al Convegno copernicano, Atti del Convegno su: Copernico e la cosmologia moderna, Lincei Quad. 216. (1975), pp. 7-11.
- 388. Sugli insiemi finiti di punti di uno spazio grafico, Rend. di Mat., (6), 8 (1975), pp. 37-63.
- 389. Archimede e la scienza moderna, Archimede 2 (1975), pp. 5-15.
- Su di un presunto controesempio per l'ipotesi dei quattro colori, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, (8),
 (1975), novembre, pp. 1-2.
- 391. Severi Francesco, Dictionary of Scientific Biography, 12 (1975), pp. 330-332.
- 392. L'opera di Tullio Levi-Civita, Atti dei Convegni Lincei 8 (1975), pp. 9-29.
- 393. Lucien Godeaux, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (4), 11 (1975), pp. 639-644.
- 394. Un approccio al problema dei quattro colori, Rend. Accad. Naz. dei Quaranta, (5), 1-2 (1975), pp. 60.
- 395. Incidence structures and Galois geometries, with special regard to regular involutive correspondences, arcs, caps, segments and conics, Teorie combinatorie, Atti dei Convegni Lincei, 17 (Roma, 1973), Vol. I, 1976, pp. 331-369.
- 396. Invarianti proiettivi integrali inerenti a certe coppic o terne di curve chiuse, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, (8), 61 (1976), pp. 420-427.
- (con G. Korchmatos) Una proprietà degli insiemi di punti di un piano di Galois caratterizzante quelli formati dai punti delle singole rette esterne ad una conica, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei, (8), 62 (1977), pp. 1-7.
- 398. Sulle potenze di una matrice quadrata d'ordine $n \ge 2$, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 47 (1978), pp. 71-78.

On Fermat's last theorem

b'

TAKASHI AZUHATA (Tokyo)

1. Introduction. In this paper we will consider the following equation:

(1)
$$X^{p^n} + Y^{p^n} + Z^{p^m} = 0.$$

where p is an odd prime number and n, m are natural numbers. Assume that there exist relatively prime integers x, y, z satisfying (1) in the case m = n. Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem. Under the above assumption, if r is an integer satisfying one of the following conditions:

(i) r|x, $p \nmid x$,

(ii) $r|x-y, p \nmid x^2-y^2$,

(iii) $r|x^2-yz$, $p \nmid xy+yz+zx$,

(iv) $r|x^2 + yz$, $p \nmid x(y-z)(x^2 + yz)$,

then the following congruence holds:

$$(2) r^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

This result was shown by Furtwängler [1], McDonnell [4], Moriya [5] and Inkeri [2], [3] (see Ribenboim [6], Lec. IX). We will show that (2) also holds for mod p^{2n} .

2. Preliminaries. We denote by

Q the field of rational numbers,

Z the ring of rational integers,

 ζ a primitive p^n -th root of unity,

 $K = Q(\zeta)$ the cyclotomic field generated by ζ ,

 $A = Z[\zeta]$ the ring of integers of K,

g a primitive root mod p^n , if n = 1, let $g^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$,

 $s = (\zeta; \zeta^g)$ a substitution generating Gal(K/Q),

 $A\alpha$ the principal ideal in K generated by $\alpha \in A$.

Let $\nu = 2\nu' = p^{n-1}(p-1)$ and $\lambda = 1-\zeta$, then $A\lambda$ is the prime ideal in K such that $Ap = A\lambda^{\nu}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let g_k be the unique integer such that

 $g_k \equiv g^k \pmod{p^n}$ and $1 \le g_k \le p^n - 1$. If k < 0, this stands for the least positive solution of the congruence equation $Xg^{-k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$. Put

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} h_{-i} X^i$$
 with $h_{-i} = \frac{1}{p^n} (gg_{-i} - g_{-i+1}).$

Then $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and

$$f(g) = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{p^n} (g^{i+1} g_{-i} - g^i g_{-i+1}) = \frac{1}{p^n} (g^{\nu} g_{-\nu+1} - g_1)$$
$$= \frac{g_1}{p^n} (g^{\nu} - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

since $g^{\nu} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$. The following lemma is a well-known result which was also used in [3] (see, for example, Washington [7], § 6.2).

Lemma 1. For any ideal $\mathfrak A$ in K, $\mathfrak A^{f(s)}$ is a principal ideal, where we employ the symbolic power.

LEMMA 2. Let $\mathbb Q$ be a prime ideal of K. If $N_{K/\mathbb Q}(\mathbb Q)-1$ is divisible by p^{n+1} , then the degree of $\mathbb Q$ is a divisor of p-1.

Proof. Let f be the degree of \mathfrak{Q} . Then f is the least positive integer satisfying the congruence $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$, where q is the rational prime number divisible by \mathfrak{Q} . If f is divisible by p, putting f = pf', we have $q^{f'} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ from $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$. This contradicts the definition of f. So f is not divisible by p. On the other hand, f is a divisor of $p^{n-1}(p-1)$. Hence we get our assertion.

3. Theorems. Now we may extend the above theorem as follows.

THEOREM 1. If the equation (1) holds for integers x, y, z, with (x, y, z) = 1, then we have $r^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+n}}$ for a rational integer r satisfying the condition (i) or (ii). If in particular m = n, we also have $r^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$ for r satisfying the condition (iii) or (iv):

- (i) $r|x, p \nmid x$,
- (ii) $r|x^2-y^2$, $p \nmid x^2-y^2$,
- (iii) $r|x^2-yz$, $p\nmid xy+yz+zx$,
- (iv) $r|x^2 + yz$, $p \nmid x(y-z)(x^2 + yz)$.

We first prove the following

THEOREM 2. Assume that there exist α , β , $\gamma \in A$ satisfying (1) for $m \le n$ with $(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 1$, and assume that $\lambda^3 | \alpha + \beta$ if $\lambda | \gamma$. If r is a rational integer with $(r, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 1$ satisfying one of the conditions (v), (vi), (vii), then we have

$$(3) r^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+n}}$$

Further assume that m = n and that if one of the integers α , β , γ is divisible by

 λ , then the sum of the other two of them is divisible by λ^3 . Then we also have

$$(4) r^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$$

for a rational integer r satisfying the condition (viii) or (ix):

- (v) $r|\alpha, \lambda / \alpha$,
- (vi) $r|\alpha-\beta$, $\lambda \lambda \alpha^2-\beta^2$
- (vii) $r|\alpha+\beta$, $\lambda / \alpha^2 \beta^2$.
- (viii) $r|\alpha^2 \beta\gamma$, $\lambda \nmid \alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha$,
- (ix) $r|\alpha^2 + \beta \gamma$, $\lambda / \alpha (\beta \gamma)(\alpha^2 + \beta \gamma)$ when $p \ge 5$.

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3. Under the same assumption as in the first half of Theorem 2, we have

- (x) if $\lambda \chi \gamma$, then $((\alpha + \zeta^k \beta)^{p^{m-1}} (\alpha + \zeta^{2k} \beta))^{f(s)} = \zeta^{ck} \xi_k^{p^m}$
- (xi) if $\lambda | \gamma$, then $((\alpha + \zeta^k \beta)^{p^m + 1} (\alpha + \zeta^{2k} \beta))^{f(s)} = \zeta^{dk} (1 + \zeta^k)^{f(s)} \omega_k^{p^m}$, where (k, p) = 1, ξ_k , $\omega_k \in A$, $c \equiv \frac{\beta(1)}{\alpha(1) + \beta(1)} f(g)$, $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ with $\alpha(X)$, $\beta(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\alpha = \alpha(\zeta)$, $\beta = \beta(\zeta)$.

Proof. We only prove the case k = 1. The other cases are shown similarly. It follows from (1) that

(5)
$$\prod_{i=0}^{p^n-1} (\alpha + \zeta^i \beta) = (-\gamma)^{p^m}.$$

First we assume that $\lambda \not\vdash \gamma$. If there exists prime ideal $\mathfrak P$ in K such that $\mathfrak P | A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta)$ and $\mathfrak P | A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta)$ $(0 \le i < j < p^n)$, then $\mathfrak P | A\lambda\alpha$ and $\mathfrak P | A\lambda\beta$. So we see that $\mathfrak P | A\alpha$, $\mathfrak P | A\beta$ from $\mathfrak P | A\gamma$ and $\lambda \not\vdash \gamma$. Thus we may write $A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta) = \mathfrak U \mathfrak C_i$ with ideals $\mathfrak U = (A\alpha, A\beta)$ and $\mathfrak C_i$ in K, where $\mathfrak C_i$ are pairwise relatively prime. Since $\mathfrak U^{p^n} \prod_{i=0}^{p^n-1} \mathfrak C_i = (A\gamma)^{p^m}$ from (5) and $m \le n$, we get $A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta) = \mathfrak U \mathfrak P_i^{p^m}$ with ideals $\mathfrak P_i$ in K such that $\mathfrak P_i^{p^m} = \mathfrak C_i$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\mathfrak U^{f(s)} = A\mu$, $\mathfrak P_i^{f(s)} = A\tau_i$ with μ , $\tau_i \in A$. Hence we have

(6)
$$((\alpha + \zeta \beta)^{p^{m-1}} (\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta))^{f(s)} = \varepsilon (\mu \tau_1^{p^{m-1}} \tau_2)^{p^m},$$

where ε is a unit of K. We notice that s^{ν} is a complex conjugate and $f(s) \times (1+s^{\nu}) = (g-1)(1+s+s^2+\ldots+s^{\nu-1})$. Let $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{N}) = Za$, $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{P}_i) = Zb_i$ where Za, Zb_i are ideals in Z generated by a, $b_i \in Z$ respectively. Then, multiplying (6) by its complex conjugate, we obtain

$$(\pm ab_1^{p^m-1}b_2)^{p^m(y-1)} = \varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon} (\mu \overline{\mu} (\tau_1 \overline{\tau_1})^{p^m-1} \tau_2 \overline{\tau_2})^{p^m}.$$

Hence we see that $\varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon} = \eta^{p^m}$ with a unit η in K. Putting $\varepsilon = \zeta^c \delta$ with a real unit δ , we get $\varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon} = \delta^2 = \eta^{p^m}$. Therefore we have $\delta = (\delta^i \eta^j)^{p^m}$ with $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such

that $p^m i + 2j = 1$. Hence we may write

$$((\alpha + \zeta \beta)^{p^{m-1}} (\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta))^{f(s)} = \zeta^c \xi_1^{p^m}$$

with $\xi_1 = \delta^i \eta^j \mu \tau_1^{p^m - 1} \tau_2$.

Now we estimate the value of c modulo p. Let $\xi_1 = F(\zeta)$ with $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. The polynomial

$$\prod_{i=0}^{v-1} \left(\left(\alpha(X^{g^i}) + X^{g^i} \beta(X^{g^i}) \right)^{p^m-1} \left(\alpha(X^{g^i}) + X^{2g^i} \beta(X^{g^i}) \right) \right)^{h-i} - X^c F(X)^{p^m}$$

vanishes at $X = \zeta$. So we may write

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \left(\left(\alpha(X^{g^i}) + X^{g^i} \beta(X^{g^i}) \right)^{p^m-1} \left(\alpha(X^{g^i}) + X^{2g^i} \beta(X^{g^i}) \right) \right)^{h-i}$$

$$= X^c F(X)^{p^m} + \Phi(X) M(X),$$

where $\Phi(X) = 1 + X^{p^{n-1}} + \ldots + X^{p^{n-1}(p-1)}$, $M(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Putting $X = e^v$ and taking the logarithms of both sides, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} h_{-i}(p^m - 1) \log \left(\alpha(e^{g^i v}) + e^{g^i v} \beta(e^{g^i v}) \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} h_{-i} \log \left(\alpha(e^{g^i v}) + e^{2g^i v} \beta(e^{g^i v}) \right) \\ &= cv + p^m \log F(e^v) + \log (1 + G(e^v)), \end{split}$$

where

$$G(e^{v}) = \frac{\Phi(e^{v}) M(e^{v})}{e^{cv} F(e^{v})^{p^{m}}}.$$

On taking derivative and putting v = 0, it follows from $G'(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ that

$$\frac{\beta(1)}{\alpha(1) + \beta(1)} f(g) \equiv c \pmod{p}.$$

Next we consider the case $\lambda|\gamma$. By the same argument as above, we have $A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta) = A\lambda^{e_i} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}_i^{p^m}$, where $\mathfrak{A} = (A\alpha, A\beta)$ and \mathfrak{B}_i are ideals in K prime to $A\lambda$, and $e_i \ge 1$. If (i, p) = 1, then we see that $e_i = 1$ since $\lambda^3 | \alpha + \beta$, $\alpha + \zeta^i \beta \equiv \alpha + \beta \pmod{A\lambda}$ and $\alpha + \zeta^i \beta \not\equiv \alpha + \beta \pmod{A\lambda^2}$. Putting $\alpha + \beta = (1 - \zeta)\alpha_1 = (1 - \zeta^2)\alpha_2$, we get

$$\frac{\alpha + \zeta \beta}{1 - \zeta} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \zeta} - \beta = \alpha_1 - \beta, \qquad \frac{\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta}{1 - \zeta^2} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \zeta^2} - \beta = \alpha_2 - \beta.$$

It follows from

$$A(\alpha_1 - \beta) = \mathfrak{UB}_1^{p^m}, \quad A(\alpha_2 - \beta) = \mathfrak{UB}_2^{p^m},$$

that

(7)
$$((\alpha_1 - \beta)^{p^m - 1} (\alpha_2 - \beta))^{f(s)} = \zeta^d \tau^{p^m}$$

with $\tau \in A$. Since $\lambda^2 | \alpha_1$, $\lambda^2 | \alpha_2$, we see that $\alpha_1(1) \equiv \alpha_1'(1) \equiv \alpha_2(1) \equiv \alpha_2'(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, where $\alpha_1(X)$, $\alpha_2(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\zeta)$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\zeta)$. So we have

$$d \equiv (p^m - 1) \frac{\alpha_1'(1) - \beta'(1)}{\alpha_1(1) - \beta(1)} f(g) + \frac{\alpha_2'(1) - \beta'(1)}{\alpha_2(1) - \beta(1)} f(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Multiplying (7) by $((1-\zeta)^{p^{m-1}}(1-\zeta^2))^{f(s)}$, we get

$$((\alpha + \zeta \beta)^{p^m - 1} (\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta))^{f(s)} = \zeta^d (1 + \zeta)^{f(s)} \omega_1^{p^m}$$

with $\omega_1 = \tau (1 - \zeta)^{f(s)}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4. Let q be a rational prime number with $q \neq p$. Assume that the following congruence holds:

(8)
$$\zeta^a \equiv t \xi^{p^m} (\text{mod } Aq).$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, (a, p) = 1, τ , $\xi \in A$, $(q, \tau \xi) = 1$ and τ is real. Then we have

$$q^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+n}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb Q$ be a prime ideal in K dividing Aq. Assuming that $\tau = 1$, we see that

$$\xi^{p^{m+n-1}} \equiv \zeta^{ap^{n-1}} \not\equiv 1, \quad \xi^{p^{m+n}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}.$$

Hence we have (9) from $p^{m+n}|N_{K/Q}(\mathfrak{Q})-1$ and by Lemma 2. In the case $\tau \neq 1$, raising to the power $1-s^{\nu}$ on the both sides of (8), we obtain

$$\zeta^{2a} \equiv (\xi \overline{\xi}^{-1})^{p^m} (\operatorname{mod} Aq).$$

Hence (9) holds as shown above.

LEMMA 5. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 2, we have:

(xii) if r satisfies (v), then $(r, \beta \gamma) = 1$,

(xiii) if r satisfies (viii) or (ix), then $(r, \alpha\beta\gamma) = 1$,

(xiv) if r satisfies (vi) or (vii), then $(r, \alpha\beta) = (r, \alpha + \zeta^i\beta) = 1$ $(1 \le i < p^n)$.

Proof. We denote by \mathfrak{Q} a prime ideal in K dividing Ar.

(xii) If $r|\alpha$ and $(r, \beta \gamma) \neq 1$, then we have $r \equiv \alpha \equiv \beta \equiv \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some \mathfrak{Q} from $\alpha^{p^n} + \beta^{p^n} + \gamma^{p^m} = 0$. This contradicts to $(r, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 1$.

(xiii) If $r|\alpha^2 + \beta \gamma$ or $r|\alpha^2 - \beta \gamma$ and $(r, \alpha \beta \gamma) \neq 1$, then we also get $r \equiv \alpha \equiv \beta \equiv \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some \mathbb{Q} , which is a contradiction.

(xiv) In the same way as above, it is impossible that $r|\alpha+\beta$ or $r|\alpha-\beta$ and $(r,\alpha\beta)\neq 1$. If $r|\alpha+\beta$ or $r|\alpha-\beta$ and $(r,\alpha+\zeta^i\beta)\neq 1$ with $1\leq i< p^n$, then we get $(1-\zeta^i)\beta\equiv 0$ or $(1+\zeta^i)\beta\equiv 0\pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}$ from $\alpha+\beta\equiv \alpha+\zeta^i\beta\equiv 0$ or $\alpha-\beta\equiv \alpha+\zeta^i\beta\equiv 0\pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}$ for some \mathfrak{Q} . Since $\lambda\not\downarrow r$, $(r,\beta)=1$ and $1+\zeta^i$ is a unit, this is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 2. We put $\alpha(1) = u$, $\beta(1) = v$ and $\gamma(1) = w$. Notice that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{A\lambda}$ and $u + v + w \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We denote by q a prime number dividing r.

(v) Let $r|\alpha$ and $\lambda \nmid \alpha$. If $\lambda \nmid \gamma$, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$((\alpha + \zeta \beta)^{p^m - 1} (\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta))^{f(s)} \equiv \zeta^a \beta^{p^m f(s)} \equiv \zeta^c \xi_1^{p^m} (\text{mod } Aq),$$

where $\xi_1 \in A$, $a = (p^m + 1)b$, $c \equiv \frac{v}{u+v}b \pmod{p}$, b = f(g). Note that $(q, \beta \xi_1)$ = 1 by Lemma 5. So we have

$$\zeta^{a-c} \equiv \left((\beta^{f(s)})^{-1} \, \xi_1 \right)^{p^m} (\text{mod } Aq).$$

Since λ / α , we see that

$$a-c \equiv b - \frac{v}{u+v} \ b \equiv \frac{u}{u+v} \ b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence we have (3) from Lemma 4. If $\lambda | \gamma$, from Lemma 3,

$$((\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta)^{p^m - 1} (\alpha + \zeta^4 \beta))^{f(s)} \equiv \zeta^{2a} \beta^{p^m f(s)}$$

= $\zeta^{2d} (1 + \zeta^2)^{f(s)} \omega_2^{p^m} \equiv \zeta^{2d + b} (\zeta^{-1} + \zeta)^{f(s)} \omega_2^{p^m} \pmod{Aq},$

where $\omega_2 \in A$, $(q, \beta \omega_2) = 1$, $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. So we see that

$$\zeta^{2a-2d-b} \equiv (\zeta^{-1} + \zeta)^{f(s)} ((\beta^{f(s)})^{-1} \omega_2)^{p^m} (\text{mod } Aq).$$

Since $2a-2d-b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\zeta^{-1}+\zeta$ is real, we have (3) from Lemma 4. (vi) Assume that $r|\alpha-\beta$ and $\lambda\not\vdash\alpha^2-\beta^2$. Then $\lambda\not\vdash\gamma$ from $\lambda\not\vdash\alpha+\beta$. So, from Lemma 3,

$$((\alpha + \zeta^{2} \beta)^{p^{m-1}} (\alpha + \zeta^{4} \beta))^{f(s)} \equiv (\alpha^{p^{m}} (1 + \zeta^{2})^{p^{m-1}} (1 + \zeta^{4}))^{f(s)}$$

$$\equiv \zeta^{a} \alpha^{p^{m} f(s)} ((\zeta^{-1} + \zeta)^{p^{m-1}} (\zeta^{-2} + \zeta^{2}))^{f(s)}$$

$$\equiv \zeta^{2c} \xi_{2}^{p^{m}} (\text{mod } Aq).$$

It follows from Lemma 5 that $(q, \alpha \zeta_2) = 1$. We notice that $\zeta^{-1} + \zeta$ and $\zeta^{-2} + \zeta^2$ are real and that $a - 2c \equiv \frac{u - v}{u + v}$ $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}$ from $\lambda \not\mid \alpha - \beta$. Hence we get (3) from Lemma 4.

(vii) If $r|\alpha + \beta$ and $\lambda \not/ \alpha^2 - \beta^2$, in the same way as above, we have $((\alpha + \zeta^2 \beta)^{p^{m-1}} (\alpha + \zeta^4 \beta))^{2f(s)} \equiv (\alpha^{p^m} (1 - \zeta^2)^{p^m-1} (1 - \zeta^4))^{2f(s)} \equiv \zeta^{2a} \alpha^{2p^m f(s)} ((\zeta^{-1} - \zeta)^{2p^m - 2} (\zeta^{-2} - \zeta^2)^2)^{f(s)} \equiv \zeta^{4c} \xi_2^{2p^m} (\text{mod } Aq),$

where $(q, \alpha \xi_2) = 1$ by Lemma 5. Since $(\zeta^{-1} - \zeta)^2$ and $(\zeta^{-2} - \zeta^2)^2$ are real and $2a - 4c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we also get (3) from Lemma 4. We may also prove the rest of Theorem 2 similarly.

(viii) Assume that $r|\alpha^2 - \beta \gamma$ and $\lambda \not\models \alpha \beta + \beta \gamma + \gamma \alpha$. It follows from the equation $\alpha(\alpha + \zeta^k \beta) - \beta(\gamma + \zeta^k \alpha) = \alpha^2 - \beta \gamma$ that

$$\left(\alpha^{p^n}(\alpha+\zeta^k\beta)^{p^n-1}(\alpha+\zeta^{2k}\beta)\right)^{f(s)} \equiv \left(\beta^{p^n}(\gamma+\zeta^k\alpha)^{p^n-1}(\gamma+\zeta^{2k}\alpha)\right)^{f(s)} (\text{mod } Aq).$$

From Lemma 3, we have the following congruences according to the three cases: (a) $\lambda \not\vdash \beta \gamma$ with k = 1, (b) $\lambda \mid \beta$ with k = 2, (c) $\lambda \mid \gamma$ with k = 2.

Case (a).

$$\zeta^c (\alpha^{f(s)} \, \xi_1)^{p^n} \equiv \zeta^{c'} (\beta^{f(s)} \, \xi_1')^{p^n} (\operatorname{mod} Aq),$$

where

$$c - c' \equiv \frac{v}{u + v} b - \frac{u}{w + u} b \equiv -\left(\frac{v}{w} + \frac{u}{w + u}\right) b \equiv \frac{uv + vw + wu}{vw} b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Case (b).

$$\zeta^{2c} (\alpha^{f(s)} \, \xi_2)^{p^n} \equiv \zeta^{2d'} (1 + \zeta^2)^{f(s)} (\beta^{f(s)} \, \omega_2')^{p^n}$$

$$\equiv \zeta^{b+2d'} (\zeta^{-1} + \zeta)^{f(s)} (\beta^{f(s)} \, \omega_2')^{p^n} (\text{mod } Aq),$$

where

$$2c - (b + 2d') \equiv \frac{2v}{u + v} b - b \equiv -b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Case (c).

$$\zeta^{2d} (1 + \zeta^2)^{f(s)} (\alpha^{f(s)} \omega_2)^{p^n} \equiv \zeta^{b+2d} (\zeta^{-1} + \zeta)^{f(s)} (\alpha^{f(s)} \omega_2)^{p^n}
\equiv \zeta^{2c'} (\beta^{f(s)} \xi_2')^{p^n} \pmod{Aq},$$

where

$$b+2d-2c'\equiv b-\frac{2u}{w+u}b\equiv -b\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In every case, each term of the congruence modulo Aq is prime to Aq from Lemma 5. Hence we obtain (4) by Lemma 4.

(ix) Let $r|\alpha^2 + \beta \gamma$ and $\lambda \chi \alpha (\beta - \gamma)(\alpha^2 + \beta \gamma)$. From the equation $(\alpha + \zeta^k \beta) \times (\alpha + \zeta^{-k} \gamma) = \alpha^2 + \beta \gamma + \zeta^{-k} \alpha (\gamma + \zeta^{2k} \beta)$, we have

$$\frac{\left(\left((\alpha + \zeta^{k}\beta)(\alpha + \zeta^{-k}\gamma)\right)^{p^{n-1}}(\alpha + \zeta^{2k}\beta)(\alpha + \zeta^{-2k}\gamma)\right)^{f(s)}}{\equiv \zeta^{-bk}(\alpha^{p^{n}}(\gamma + \zeta^{2k}\beta)^{p^{n-1}}(\gamma + \zeta^{4k}\beta))^{f(s)} \pmod{Aq}.$$

We get the following congruences from Lemma 3 according to the three cases: (a) $\lambda \chi \beta y$ with k = 1, (b) $\lambda | \beta$ with k = 2, (c) $\lambda | y$ with k = 2.

Case (a).

$$\zeta^{c+c'}(\xi_1 \xi'_{-1})^{p^n} \equiv \zeta^{-b+2c''}(\alpha^{f(s)} \xi''_{2})^{p^n} \pmod{Aq},$$

where

$$c + c' + b - 2c'' \equiv \frac{v}{u + v}b - \frac{w}{u + w}b + b - \frac{2v}{w + v}b \equiv \left(-\frac{v}{w} + \frac{w}{v} + \frac{w - v}{w + v}\right)b$$

$$\equiv \left(\frac{w^2 - v^2}{vw} - \frac{w - v}{u}\right)b \equiv (w - v)\left(\frac{u(v + w) - vw}{uvw}\right)b$$

$$\equiv \frac{(v - w)(u^2 + vw)}{uvw}b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Case (b).

$$\zeta^{2c+2d'}(1+\zeta^2)^{f(s)}(\xi_2 \omega'_{-2})^{p^n} = \zeta^{b+2c+2d'}(\zeta^{-1}+\zeta)^{f(s)}(\xi_2 \omega'_{-2})^{p^n}$$
$$= \zeta^{-2b+4c''}(\alpha^{f(s)}\xi''_{A})^{p^n} \pmod{Aa}.$$

where

$$3b + 2c + 2d' - 4c'' \equiv 3b + \frac{2v}{u+v}b - \frac{4v}{w+v}b \equiv 3b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Case (c).

$$\zeta^{2d+2c'}(1+\zeta^2)^{f(s)}(\omega_2 \xi'_{-2})^{p^n} \equiv \zeta^{b+2d+2c'}(\zeta^{-1}+\zeta)^{f(s)}(\omega_2 \xi'_{-2})^{p^n}$$
$$\equiv \zeta^{-2b+4c''}(\alpha^{f(s)}\xi''_4)^{p^n} \pmod{Aq},$$

where

$$3b + 2d + 2c' - 4c'' \equiv 3b - \frac{2w}{u + w}b - \frac{4v}{w + v}b \equiv -b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

We note that each term of the congruence modulo Aq in every case is prime to Aq from Lemma 5, and $p \ge 5$. Therefore (4) holds by Lemma 4. Note that we need the condition $\lambda \not \mid \alpha$ in (ix). In fact, if $\lambda \mid \alpha$, then we have

$$\zeta^{c+c'}(\xi_1 \, \xi'_{-1})^{p^n} \equiv \zeta^{-b+2d''}(1+\zeta^2)^{f(s)} (\alpha^{f(s)} \, \omega''_2)^{p^n}$$
$$\equiv \zeta^{2d''}(\zeta^{-1}+\zeta)^{f(s)} (\alpha^{f(s)} \, \omega''_2)^{p^n} \; (\text{mod } Aq)$$

with k = 1, but $c + c' - 2d'' \equiv \frac{v}{u + v}b - \frac{w}{u + w}b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark. We use the condition $m \le n$ only to prove Lemma 3. If we further assume that $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, then Lemma 3 is also valid for m > n, and so is Theorem 2. For, in that case, if $\lambda \nmid \gamma$, we have $A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta) = \mathfrak{B}_i^{p^m}$ from (5) since $\alpha + \zeta^i \beta$ are pairwise relatively prime. If $\lambda \mid \gamma$, we have $A(\alpha + \zeta^i \beta) = A\lambda^{e_i} \mathfrak{B}_i^{p^m}$ by the similar argument.



Proof of Theorem 1. Our assertion follows immediately from Theorem 2 and Remark. The conditions (i), (iii) and (iv) are the same things as (v), (viii) and (ix) respectively. If $q|r|x^2-y^2$ with a prime q, then we have q|x+y or q|x-y. So the condition (ii) follows from (vi), (vii). We notice that the first half of Theorem 1 is valid for every m and n as mentioned in Remark since (x, y) = 1, and that (1) has no solutions in Z if p = 3.

COROLLARY 1. Under the same conditions as in Theorem, the congruence (2) also holds for modulo p^{2n} .

COROLLARY 2. If there exist x, y, $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (1) with (x, y, z) = 1, $p \nmid xyz(x-y)$, then $2^{p-1} \equiv 1$, $3^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+n}}$ hold.

Proof. One of the integers x, y, $x^2 - y^2$ is divisible by 2, and one of them by 3. Hence we get the assertion from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3. If the equation (1) has a solution x, y, $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with (x, y, z) = 1, $p \nmid xyz$ when m = n, then we have $2^{p-1} \equiv 1$, $3^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$.

Proof. One of the integers x, y, z is even. Hence we have $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$ from Theorem 1. If 3|xyz, then $3^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$ holds from Theorem 1. Assume that $3\not|xyz$, then x^2-y^2 , y^2-z^2 and z^2-x^2 are divisible by 3 and one of them is not divisible by p, otherwise we have $x \equiv y \equiv z \pmod{p}$ and $3x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which contradicts to the assumption since $p \neq 3$. Hence we have $3^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{2n}}$ from Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Professor I. Yamaguchi for his kind advice and encouragement.

References

- P. Furtwängler, Letzter Fermatschen Satz und Eisensteins'ches Reziprozitätsgesetz, Sitzungsber. Akad. d. Wiss. Wien. Abt. IIa, 121 (1912), pp. 589-592.
- [2] K. Inkeri, Untersuchungen über die Fermatsche Vermutung, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser, A, I, 33 (1946), 60 p.
- [3] Some extensions of criteria concerning singular integers in cyclotomic fields, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser, A, I, 49 (1948), 15 p.
- [4] J. McDonnell, New criteria associated with Fermat's last theorem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1930), pp. 553-558.
- [5] M. Moriya, Uher die Fermatsche Vermutung, J. Reine Angew. Math. 169 (1933), pp. 92-97.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, 13 Lectures on Fernat's last theorem, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [7] L. C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO Tokyo, Japan

Received on 14, 12, 1982 and in revised form on 6, 3, 1984

(1333)