

- [19] D. Vogt, *On two classes of (F)-spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 45 (1985), 255–266.  
 [20] —, *Tame spaces and power series spaces*, to appear.  
 [21] D. Vogt and M. J. Wagner, *Charakterisierung der Unterräume und Quotientenräume der nuklearen stabilen Potenzreihenräume von unendlichem Typ*, Studia Math. 70 (1981), 63–80.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA

UNIVERSITÀ — C. P. 193

73100 Lecce, Italy

and

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE

24020 Niterói — R. J., Brasil

Received November 26, 1985

Revised version June 6, 1986

(2115)

## On the $M$ -structure of the operator space $L(CK)$

by

DIRK WERNER and WEND WERNER (Berlin)

**Abstract.** Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space. We determine the centralizer of the space of bounded operators on a complex  $C(K)$ -space,  $L(CK)$ , and give a new characterization of the  $M$ -ideals of  $L(CK)$  which does not resort to higher duals of this operator space.

**Introduction.** In this note we completely describe the  $M$ -structure of  $L(CK)$ , the space of bounded operators on a complex  $C(K)$ -space.

In the first section we determine the centralizer of  $L(CK)$ , which yields a characterization of the duals among the  $L(CK)$ -spaces as well as a Banach–Stone type theorem. The second section contains a characterization of the  $M$ -ideals of  $L(CK)$ , based on the earlier paper [8]. Our description has the advantage of avoiding higher duals of operator spaces and yields also some further information on the special nature of these spaces.

Our notation and terminology is standard, special objects of  $M$ -structure are explained at the beginning of the respective section.  $B_X$  denotes the closed unit ball of a Banach space  $X$ .

Lastly, the authors would like to thank E. Behrends for encouragement and helpful discussions.

**1. The centralizer.** Let us recall that an operator  $T$  on a complex Banach space  $X$  is said to belong to the algebra  $\text{Mult } X$  whenever  $T'(p) = a_T(p)p$  for all  $p \in \text{ex } B_X$  and some  $a_T(p) \in C$ . Belonging to  $\text{Mult } X$  is known to be equivalent to the following condition [2, p. 57]:

- (A) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\|y - \lambda x\| \leq r$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|$  and some  $r$  implies  $\|y - Tx\| \leq r$ .

The centralizer  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$  consists of those  $T$  in  $\text{Mult } X$  for which there is an operator  $T^* \in \text{Mult } X$  such that  $a_{T^*}(p) = \overline{a_T(p)}$  for all  $p \in \text{ex } B_X$ .

(When e.g.  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  may be canonically identified with the centre of  $A$ .)

Let us write  $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  for the set of all those elements of  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$  for which all the eigenvalues  $a_T(p)$  are real. It is not difficult to see that

- (B)  $\mathcal{Z}(X) = \mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}}(X) \oplus i\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \text{Mult } X \cap \mathcal{H}(X)$ ,

where  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  denotes the collection of Hermitian operators on  $X$ . (An operator  $T$  is called *Hermitian* iff  $x^*Tx$  is real for all  $(x', x) \in \Pi(X)$  :=  $\{(x', x) \in X' \times X : x'(x) = \|x\| = \|x'\| = 1\}$ , cf. [4].)

1.1. LEMMA. Let  $T \in \text{Mult } X$  and put  $L_T(S) := TS$  for all  $S \in L(X)$ . Then  $L_T$  belongs to  $\text{Mult } L(X)$  and, in addition,  $L_T \in \mathcal{L}^R(L(X))$  whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}^R(X)$ .

Proof. It is a straightforward calculation involving condition (A) that  $L_T \in \text{Mult } L(X)$ .  $T \in \mathcal{L}^R(X)$  is also contained in  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  and thus  $L_T$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}(L(X))$  (use [4, p. 46 and 84]). Now (B) yields  $L_T \in \mathcal{L}^R(L(X))$ .

1.2. THEOREM.  $\mathcal{L}(L(CK)) = \{L_T : T \in \mathcal{L}(C(K))\}$ .

Remark.  $\mathcal{L}(C(K))$  consists of all the operators  $\pi(f), f \in C(K)$ , defined by  $\pi(f)(g) := fg$ .

Proof. Define mappings  $I_1: \mathcal{L}^R(C(K)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^R(L(CK))$  and  $I_2: \mathcal{L}^R(L(CK)) \rightarrow L(CK)$  by  $I_1(T) := L_T$  and  $I_2(\tilde{T}) := \tilde{T}(\text{Id})$ , respectively, where  $\text{Id}$  denotes the unit in  $L(CK)$ . The fact that  $I_1$  is well defined is contained in the above lemma. We show that  $I_2$  is injective: To this end, note first that for  $T \in \text{Mult } X$  the kernel and image of  $T$  are  $M$ -orthogonal, which means that for all  $x \in \text{Ker } T$  and  $y \in X$

$$\|x + Ty\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|Ty\|\}.$$

Now suppose that  $\tilde{T}(\text{Id}) = 0$  for some  $\tilde{T} \in \text{Mult } L(CK)$  and let  $S \in B_{L(CK)} \cap \text{Im } \tilde{T}$ . Then by the above,

$$\|\text{Id} - S\| = \|\text{Id} + S\| = 1,$$

which yields  $S = 0$  and  $\tilde{T} = 0$  since the identity operator is an extreme point of the unit ball.

Next, we make the observation that the image of  $I_2$  is contained in  $\mathcal{L}^R(CK)$ : This is implied by the fact that the range of  $I_2$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}(CK)$  (this is true since  $(x' \otimes x, \text{Id}) \in \Pi(L(X))$  for all  $(x', x) \in \Pi(X)$ ), where the latter set is known to be equal to  $\mathcal{L}^R(CK)$  [5, p. 92].

Combining the above results, we see that  $I_1 I_2: \mathcal{L}^R(L(CK)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^R(L(CK))$  is an injective projection and hence is surjective. In particular,  $I_1$  is surjective, and this is enough to prove our claim.

Remarks. 1. The statement together with its proof that  $I_2$  is injective represents a simplified version of a more general result which is due to G. Wodinski, who has shown that for arbitrary unital Banach algebras  $I_2$  is even isometric [11].

2. The above proof applies for Banach spaces  $X$  for which  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , a condition which is satisfied e.g. when  $X$  belongs to the class of  $L^1$ -preduals (combine [4, p. 85], [5, p. 92], and the fact that  $T'' \in \mathcal{L}(X'')$  implies  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  for a proof).

1.3. COROLLARY.  $L(CK_1)$  and  $L(CK_2)$  are isometrically isomorphic if and only if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are homeomorphic.

Proof. If  $L(CK_1) \cong L(CK_2)$  then

$$C(K_1) \cong \mathcal{L}(L(CK_1)) \cong \mathcal{L}(L(CK_2)) \cong C(K_2),$$

and with the aid of the classical Banach-Stone theorem the more difficult implication follows.

1.4. COROLLARY.  $L(CK)$  is a dual precisely when  $CK$  is.

Proof. For dual spaces  $X$  (with predual  $X_*$ ) we always have  $L(X) \cong (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi X'_*)'$ .

On the other hand, assume that  $L(CK)$  is isometric to the dual of some Banach space. Using  $\mathcal{L}(L(CK)) \cong C(K)$  we infer that  $C(K)$  is a dual, too (i.e.  $K$  is hyperstonean) since  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is known to be a dual space whenever  $X$  is ([2, p. 114], [3, p. 25]).

2. *M-ideals.* This section is devoted to the description of the  $M$ -ideals of  $L(CK)$ . Recall [1, 2] that an  $M$ -ideal  $J$  of a Banach space  $X$  is defined to be a closed subspace the polar  $J^\circ$  of which is an  $L$ -summand of  $X'$ , i.e. there is a projection  $P$  onto  $J^\circ$  satisfying the norm condition  $\|x'\| = \|Px'\| + \|x' - Px'\|$  for all  $x' \in X'$ .

Let  $D \subset K$ . Define a closed subspace  $J_{(D)}$  of  $L(CK)$  by

$$J_{(D)} = \{T : \lim_{t \rightarrow k} \|T'(\delta_t)\| = 0 \text{ for all } k \in D\}.$$

We shall show that exactly the subspaces  $J_{(D)}$  with  $D$  closed are the  $M$ -ideals of  $L(CK)$ . It will be convenient to introduce some more notation. For  $T \in L(CK)$  put

$$v_T(k) = \|T'(\delta_k)\|, \quad |T|(k) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow k} v_T(t)$$

so that  $J_{(D)} = \{T : |T|_D = 0\}$ . Moreover, let  $J_D = \{f \in C(K) : f|_D = 0\}$ . It is well known that the spaces  $J_D, D \subset K$  closed, constitute the  $M$ -ideals of  $C(K)$  [2, p. 40].

2.1. PROPOSITION. Let  $D \subset K$  be closed. Then

$$J_{(D)} = \{\pi(h) \circ S : h \in J_D, S \in L(CK)\}.$$

Proof. Let  $T \in J_{(D)}$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . According to a result of Tong's [9, p. 27] there is  $h \in C(K)$  such that

$$|T|^{1/2} \leq h \leq 1_{K \setminus D}.$$

(Note that  $|T|$  is upper semicontinuous by definition.) In particular,  $h \in J_D$ . It follows easily that

$$|(Tf)(k)| \leq h^2(k) \|f\|$$

for  $f \in C(K)$  and  $k \in K$ . Define a function  $g$  on  $K$  by  $g(k) = 1/h(k)$  if  $h(k) \neq 0$ ,  $g(k) = 0$  otherwise, and put  $Sf = g \cdot Tf$  for  $f \in C(K)$ . Then  $S \in L(CK)$  and  $T = \pi(h) \circ S$ . The other inclusion is obvious.

2.2. THEOREM. A closed subspace  $J$  of  $L(CK)$  is an  $M$ -ideal if and only if there is a closed subset  $D$  of  $K$  such that

$$J = J_{(D)} = \{T: \lim_{t \rightarrow k} \|T'(\delta_t)\| = 0 \text{ for all } k \in D\}.$$

Proof. To prove that  $J_{(D)}$  is an  $M$ -ideal for closed  $D$ , we make use of Lima's characterization [10, Th. 6.17]. Hence it suffices to show:

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in J_{(D)}$ ,  $T \in L(CK)$  with  $\|T_i\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|T\| \leq 1$ , there is  $S \in J_{(D)}$  such that  $\|T_i + T - S\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

In fact, consider the open set  $V = \bigcap_{i \leq 3} \{k: |T_i|(k) < \varepsilon\}$  and choose a continuous function  $h$  with  $0 \leq h \leq 1$ ,  $h(k) = 0$  for  $k \in D$ ,  $h(k) = 1$  for  $k \notin V$ . It is easily verified that  $S = \pi(h)T$  has the required properties.

Conversely, assume  $J$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(CK)$ . It has been shown in [8] that there is a closed subset  $D$  of  $K$  such that  $J = \{T: \pi''(1_D) \cdot T = 0\}$ . Here we understand  $1_D$  to be an element of  $C(K)''$ , and we identify  $T$  with its canonical image in  $L(CK)''$ . Finally, the multiplication of the two elements of the second dual of the Banach algebra  $L(CK)$  is the first Arens multiplication as defined e.g. in [4, p. 106].

$J \subset J_{(D)}$ : Consider the directed set  $\mathcal{V} = \{V \subset K: V \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } D\}$ . Choose continuous functions  $f_V: K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  indexed by  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $f_V(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin V$ ,  $f_V(t) = 1$  for  $t \in D$ . Then the net  $(f_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$  converges to  $1_D$  w.r.t. the topology  $\sigma(C(K)'', C(K)')$ ; this is ensured by the regularity of the measures  $\mu \in C(K)'$ . It follows that

$$\pi''(f_V) = \pi(f_V) \xrightarrow{V \in \mathcal{V}} \pi''(1_D)$$

w.r.t.  $\sigma((L(CK))'', (L(CK))')$ .

Now let  $T \in J$ . A continuity property of the Arens multiplication, which is easily derived from the definition, allows us to conclude

$$\pi(f_V) \cdot T \xrightarrow{V \in \mathcal{V}} \pi''(1_D) \cdot T = 0$$

w.r.t.  $\sigma(L(CK), (L(CK))')$ . Note that  $h_V := 1 - f_V \in J_D$  and thus

$$\pi(h_V) \cdot T \rightarrow T$$

weakly. Therefore  $T$  is in the weak closure of  $J_{(D)}$ , which equals  $J_{(D)}$ , by Proposition 2.1.

$J_{(D)} \subset J$ : Stick to the above notation. Then for  $f \in J_D$ ,  $S \in L(CK)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi''(1_D) \cdot \pi(f) \cdot S &= \text{weak}^* \text{-limit } (\pi(f_V) \cdot \pi(f) \cdot S) \\ &= \text{weak limit } (\pi(f_V \cdot f) \cdot S) \\ &= \pi(\text{weak limit } f_V f) \cdot S \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and again the result follows from Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 2.2 shows why the  $M$ -ideal  $J_{(D)}$  differs from the space of  $J_D$ -valued operators  $L(CK, J_D)$  in general.  $T$  belongs to the latter space if the numerical function  $v_T$  defined above vanishes on  $D$ , whereas in order that  $T$  belong to  $J_{(D)}$  it is necessary that not only  $v_T$  but also its upper semicontinuous regularization  $|T|$  vanishes on  $D$ .

We are going to examine the relationship between  $J_{(D)}$  and  $L(CK, J_D)$  a bit closer.

2.3. PROPOSITION. (a) A compact  $J_D$ -valued operator belongs to  $J_{(D)}$ .

(b) Every operator in  $J_{(D)}$  is compact iff  $K \setminus D$  is discrete.

Proof. Let  $T \in K(CK, J_D)$  (i.e.  $T$  is compact and  $J_D$ -valued). Then  $v_T$  is a continuous function on  $K$  [7, p. 490] so that  $|T|$  coincides with  $v_T$ . Hence assertion (a) follows from the above remark.

To prove (b) assume first that  $K \setminus D$  is discrete. Let  $T = \pi(f) \cdot S \in J_{(D)}$ . It is enough to show that  $\pi(f)$  is compact, i.e.  $t \mapsto \delta_t \circ \pi(f) = f(t) \cdot \delta_t$  is norm-continuous (cf. [7] again). By assumption we only have to consider this property on  $D$ , where in fact the function in question is norm-continuous since  $f \in J_D$ .

On the other hand, let  $t_0 \in K \setminus D$  be a cluster point of  $K \setminus D$ . Choose  $h \in J_D$  with  $h(t_0) = 1$ . It is easy to see that the operator  $\pi(h)$  is a noncompact member of  $J_{(D)}$ .

Remarks. 1)  $W(CK, J_D) \subset J_{(D)}$  is false in general ( $W$  denoting the operator ideal of weakly compact operators). In fact, let  $K = \beta N$  and  $D = \beta N \setminus N$ . Then by Proposition 2.3,  $J_{(D)} = K(CK, J_D) = K(l^\infty, c_0)$ . The Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem tells us that  $K(X, c_0) \neq L(X, c_0)$  for a Banach space  $X$  of infinite dimension, finally the Grothendieck property of  $l^\infty$  is equivalent to  $L(l^\infty, c_0) = W(l^\infty, c_0)$  so that  $J_{(D)}$  is a proper subspace of  $W(CK, J_D)$  in this case. (The reader who is unfamiliar with the notions and results employed above is referred to [6] for relevant information on these topics.)

2) Letting  $K = \beta N$  and  $D = \beta N \setminus N$  we obtain the (well-known) result that  $K(l^\infty, c_0)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(l^\infty, l^\infty)$ .

3) Let us point out that the  $M$ -structure of the space  $K(CK)$  is far easier to determine. Since  $K(CK)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $C(K, M(K))$ , it follows from [2, p. 168] that the  $M$ -ideals of  $K(CK)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the subspaces  $K(CK, J_D)$ ,  $D \subset K$  closed.

## References

- [1] E. M. Alfsen and E. G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces I*, Ann. of Math. 96 (1972), 98–128.
- [2] E. Behrends, *M-Structure and the Banach-Stone Theorem*, Lecture Notes in Math. 736, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1979.
- [3] E. Behrends et al.,  *$L^p$ -Structure in Real Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 613, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.
- [4] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1971.
- [5] —, —, *Numerical Ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 10, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973.
- [6] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Math. 92, Springer, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo 1984.
- [7] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. I: General Theory*, Pure and Appl. Math. 7, Interscience, New York 1958.
- [8] P. H. Flinn and R. R. Smith, *M-Structure in the Banach algebra of operators on  $C_0(\Omega)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 281 (1984), 233–242.
- [9] H. E. Lacey, *The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 208, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1974.
- [10] Á. Lima, *Intersection properties of balls and subspaces in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 227 (1977), 1–62.
- [11] G. Wodinski, *Multiplikatoren in komplexen Banachräumen*, Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 1986.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK I, FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN  
Arnimallee 3, D-1000 Berlin 33, West Berlin

Received March 17, 1986  
Revised version June 16, 1986

(2155)

## Multilinear singular integrals involving a derivative of fractional order

by

MARGARET A. M. MURRAY (Blacksburg, Va.)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we obtain  $L^2$  estimates for certain multilinear singular integrals, which are analogues of the Calderón commutators involving a derivative of fractional order. The estimates are obtained by an application of the tent space theory of Coifman, Meyer, and Stein.

**1. Introduction.** For  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , consider the derivative of fractional order  $\lambda$ , defined for tempered distributions  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R})$  by

$$(1.1) \quad (|D|^\lambda f)^\wedge(\xi) = |\xi|^\lambda \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Here,  $\hat{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform, defined according to the normalization

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx.$$

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be locally integrable functions; let  $M_j$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , denote the operator of pointwise multiplication by  $A_j$ . If  $T$  is an operator, let  $\delta_j(T) = [M_j, T] = M_j T - T M_j$ ; let  $\Delta_n$  denote the iterated commutator  $\delta_n \circ \delta_{n-1} \circ \delta_{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \delta_1$ . We consider the multilinear operators

$$(1.3) \quad C_{\lambda,n} = C_{\lambda,n}(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \Delta_n(|D|^\lambda),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \tilde{C}_{\lambda,n} = \tilde{C}_{\lambda,n}(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \Delta_n(H|D|^\lambda)$$

where  $H$  denotes the Hilbert transform, defined by

$$(1.5) \quad (Hf)^\wedge(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn} \xi \hat{f}(\xi).$$

It is easily seen that  $C_{\lambda,n} = 0$  if  $\lambda n$  is an even integer, and  $\tilde{C}_{\lambda,n} = 0$  if  $\lambda n$  is an odd integer. For all other positive integers  $n$ , it is easily seen that

$$(1.6) \quad C_{\lambda,n} f(x) = \gamma_n(\lambda) \text{ p.v. } \int K_n(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{C}_{\lambda,n} f(x) = \tilde{\gamma}_n(\lambda) \text{ p.v. } \int \tilde{K}_n(x, y) f(y) dy$$

where  $\gamma_n(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}_n(\lambda)$  are constants depending on  $n$  and  $\lambda$ , and

$$(1.8) \quad K_n(x, y) = |x-y|^{-n\lambda-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (A_j(x) - A_j(y)),$$

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{K}_n(x, y) = \operatorname{sgn}(x-y) K_n(x, y)$$