

S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, On the difference between consecutive squarefree integers	Pagina
S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, On the difference between consecutive squarefree integers	435–447
C. B. Kotov, S-целые решения одного класса диофантовых уравнений норменного вида с полиномиальной правой частью	449–458
Š. Porubský, T. Šalát and O. Strauch, Transformations that preserve uniform distribution	459–479
Sz. Gy. Révész, Effective oscillation theorems for a general class of real-valued remainder terms	481–505
P. Erdős, C. B. Lacampagne and J. L. Selfridge, Prime factors of binomial coefficients and related problems	507–523
M. B. Nathanson, Minimal bases and powers of 2	525–532

La revue est consacrée à la Théorie des Nombres
The journal publishes papers on the Theory of Numbers
Die Zeitschrift veröffentlicht Arbeiten aus der Zahlentheorie
Журнал посвящен теории чисел

L'adresse de
la Rédaction
et de l'échange

Address of the
Editorial Board
and of the exchange

Die Adresse der
Schrifleitung und
des Austausches

Адрес редакции
и книгообмена

ACTA ARITHMETICA
ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa

Les auteurs sont priés d'envoyer leurs manuscrits en deux exemplaires
The authors are requested to submit papers in two copies
Die Autoren sind gebeten um Zusendung von 2 Exemplaren jeder Arbeit
Рукописи статей редакция просит предлагать в двух экземплярах

© Copyright by Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa 1988

ISBN 83-01-08101-5 ISSN 0065-1036

PRINTED IN POLAND

On the difference between consecutive squarefree integers

by

S. W. GRAHAM (Houghton, Mich.) and G. KOLESNIK (Los Angeles, Cal.)

1. Introduction. Let S_n be the n th squarefree integer. Results of the form $S_{n+1} - S_n = O(n^{\alpha+\epsilon})$ have been obtained by Fogels [1] ($\alpha = 2/5$), Roth [6] ($\alpha = 3/13$), Richert [5] ($\alpha = 2/9$), Rankin [4] ($\alpha = 0.2219821 \dots$) and P. G. Schmidt ($\alpha = 0.2215834 \dots$). In this article, we prove $\alpha \leq 1057/4785 = 0.2208 \dots$

2. Notation. We use the letter D , with and without a subscript, to mean a domain which is bounded by $O(1)$ algebraic curves of bounded degree. $|D|$ is a number greater than or equal to the number of lattice points in D . We use the standard notation $e(w)$ to denote $e^{2\pi i w}$, $f(x) \ll g(x)$ denotes $f(x) = O(g(x))$, $f(x) \ll g(x)$ denotes $f(x) = O(x^\epsilon g(x))$, $f(x) \approx g(x)$ denotes $f(x) = g(x)(1 + o(1))$, and for a small positive number A , $f(x) \gtrsim g(x)$ means

$$\frac{\partial^i f}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial^i g}{\partial x^i} + O\left(A \max \left| \frac{\partial^i g}{\partial x^i} \right| \right)$$

for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and all $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ for which it makes sense.

Finally, $\psi(x) = x - \lceil x \rceil - 1/2$ and

$$H_j(f(x)) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial(f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_j}) \\ \partial(x_1, \dots, x_j) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $j \leq n$.

3. Reduction to exponential sums. Most of the arguments in this section are adapted from Richert [5]; we include them here for the sake of completeness.

To prove $S_{n+1} - S_n \ll n^\alpha$ it suffices to show that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{x-h < n \leq x} \mu^2(n) = 6h/\pi^2 + O(x^{\alpha+\epsilon}) + o(h),$$

whenever $1 \leq h \ll x$. The left-hand side of (1) may be written as

$$\sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(d) (\lfloor x/d^2 \rfloor - \lfloor (x-h)/d^2 \rfloor).$$

The above is equal to

$$mh \sum_{d \leq t} \mu(d) d^{-2} + O(t) + O(S) = 6h/\pi^2 + O(ht^{-1} + t + S),$$

where $t = x^\alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{t < d \leq \sqrt{x}} (\lfloor xd^{-2} \rfloor - \lfloor (x-h)d^{-2} \rfloor) \\ &= \sum_{t < d \leq x^{1/3}} \left(\psi\left(\frac{x-h}{d^2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{d^2}\right) \right) + O(h/t) + \sum_{x^{1/3} < d \leq \sqrt{x}} (\lfloor xd^{-2} \rfloor - \lfloor (x-h)d^{-2} \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

The last sum equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x^{1/3} < d \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{x-h < nd^2 \leq x} 1 &= \sum_{n \leq x^{1/3}} \sum_{\sqrt{(x-h)/n} < d \leq \sqrt{x/n}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x^{1/3}} (\lfloor \sqrt{x/n} \rfloor - \lfloor \sqrt{(x-h)/n} \rfloor) - \sum_{n \leq x^{1/3}} \sum_{\sqrt{(x-h)/n} < d \leq x^{1/3}} 1 \\ &\ll hx^{-1/3} + t + \sum_{t < n \leq x^{1/3}} (\psi(\sqrt{x/n}) - \psi((x-h)/n)^{1/2})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(x-h)x^{-2/3} < n \leq x^{1/3}} (1 + x^{1/3} - ((x-h)x^{-1/3})^{1/2}) \\ &\ll t + hx^{-1/3} + \sum_{t < n \leq x^{1/3}} (\psi(\sqrt{x/n}) - \psi((x-h)/n)^{1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$S_1 = \max_{t \leq N \leq x^{1/3}} \max_{x-h \leq y \leq x} \left| \sum_{N \leq n \leq 2N} \psi(yn^{-2}) \right|,$$

$$S_2 = \max_{t \leq N \leq x^{1/3}} \max_{x-h \leq y \leq x} \left| \sum_{N \leq n \leq 2N} \psi(\sqrt{y/n}) \right|$$

and combining all of the above, we get

$$\sum_{x-h < n \leq x} \mu^2(n) = 6h/\pi^2 + O(x^\alpha + hx^{-\alpha} + S_1 + S_2).$$

To complete our proof, we need to show that

$$(2) \quad \left| \sum_{N \leq n \leq 2N} \psi(yn^{-\beta}) \right| \ll x^\alpha$$

for $x^\alpha \leq N \leq x^{1/3}$, $\beta = 2$ and $x-h \leq y \leq x$ (or $\beta = 1/2$ and $\sqrt{(x-h)} \leq y \leq \sqrt{x}$).

The right-hand side of (2) can be converted into exponential sum by means of the following

LEMMA A. Suppose $K > 0$. There is a function $\psi^*(x)$ such that

$$(i) \quad \psi^*(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \gamma^*(k) e(kx);$$

(ii) $\gamma^*(k) \ll 1/k$ and $(\gamma^*(k))' \ll 1/k^2$;

(iii) $\psi^*(x) - \psi(x) \ll (2K+2)^{-1} \sum_{k \leq K} (1-k/K) e(kx)$.

The lemma is contained in Lemma 18 of [7] (in that paper, $\gamma^*(k)$ is given explicitly). If we set $\gamma(k) = \gamma^*(k) + (1-k/K)(K+2)^{-1}$, then we get

$$\psi(w) \ll 1/(2K+2) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \gamma(k) e(kw),$$

and so

$$\left| \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \psi(yn^{-\beta}) \right| \ll N/(2K+2) + \left| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \gamma(k) \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e(kyn^{-\beta}) \right|.$$

By splitting the sum over k into subsums, we get

$$\left| \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \psi(yn^{-\beta}) \right| \ll N/K + \max_{H \leq K} \left| \sum_{H \leq k \leq 2H} \gamma(k) \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e(kyn^{-\beta}) \right|.$$

Our next step is to remove the γ -factor by partial summation. Regard γ as a function of a real variable w . We shall write

$$V(H; w) = \sum_{H \leq k \leq w} \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e(kyn^{-\beta}),$$

and when H is understood we shall simply write $V(w)$. With this convention, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{H < k \leq 2H} \gamma(k) \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e(kyn^{-\beta}) \\ &= \int_H^{2H} \gamma(w) dV(w) = [\gamma(w) V(w)]_H^{2H} - \int_H^{2H} \gamma^*(w) V(w) dw \\ &\ll (1/H) \max_{w \leq 2H} |V(w)|. \end{aligned}$$

The same bound holds for the corresponding sum over negative k , so

$$\left| \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \psi(yn^{-\beta}) \right| \ll N/K + \max_{H \leq K} \max_{H_1 \leq 2H} (1/H) |V(H, H_1)|.$$

We will complete the proof by obtaining an upper bound for $|V(H, H_1)|$.

4. Basic lemmas. We need 8 lemmas. Lemma 1 is Lemma 1 of [2]. Lemma 2 can be proved similarly to Lemma 1 of [3]; it will be used instead of Lemma 1 in some cases when Lemma 1 cannot be used or is not good enough. Lemma 3 is the generalization of Weyl-van der Corput's inequality (see, for example, Lemma 2 of [3]) and Lemma 4 is Lemma 3 of [3]. Lemma 4 will be used in Lemmas 6, 7, 8 and Theorem 1 to choose parameters Q and Q_1 .

LEMMA 1. Let $f(x)$ be a real C^∞ function such that for all $x \in D \subseteq \{x: X_i \leq x_i \leq 2X_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ we have:

- (i) $|f^i(x)| \ll FX_1^{-i} \dots X_n^{-i}$ for some F ;
(ii) $|H_k(f(x))| \sim M_k^{-1}$ for some $k \in [1, n]$ and some $M_k \ll (X_1 \dots X_k)^2$
 $\times F^{1/3-k-\epsilon_0}$;
(iii) for all $a_{i_l} \in [-C, C]$ the functions $\sum_i \prod_{l \leq i} a_{i_l} f^{i_l}(x)$ do not change sign,
where $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, $i_l = (i_{1l}, \dots, i_{nl})$, $i_{jl} \leq C$.

Then there exist some real numbers B_{ij} such that for

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{(m_1, \dots, m_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) : B_{1j} \leq m_j \leq B_{2j} \ (j = 1, \dots, k); x \in D\} \\ &\cap \{(m_1, \dots, m_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) : f_{x_i}(x) = m_i, x \in D, B_{3j} \leq x_j \leq B_{4j} \\ &\quad (i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, n)\} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{x \in D} e(f(x)) \right| &\ll \sqrt{M_k} \left| \sum_{(m, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \in D_1} e(f_1(m, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)) \right| \\ &\quad + O(N^{1+\epsilon} (F^{k-1} M_k (X_1 \dots X_k)^{-2})^{1/2}) \\ &\ll D/\sqrt{M_k} + N(M_k F^{k-1} (X_2 \dots X_k)^{-2})^{1/2} \\ &\quad + N((F^{k-1} M_k (X_1 \dots X_k)^{-2})^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $N = X_1 \dots X_n$, $f_1 = f(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) - m_1 x_1 - \dots - m_k x_k$, $f_{x_i}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = m_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), $f(x) \sim F$ means that $F \ll f \ll F$.

LEMMA 2. Let $f(x_1, x_2)$ be a real C^∞ function on $D \subset \{(x_1, x_2) : X_1 \leq x_1 \leq 2X_1, X_2 \leq x_2 \leq 2X_2\}$ such that for large X_1, X_2, M_1, M_2 and $N = X_1 X_2$ we have

- (i) $|f_{x_1^2}| \sim M_1^{-1}$; $|f_{x_1 x_2}| \ll X_1/(X_2 M_1)$;
(ii) $|f_{x_1^k}| \ll U^k/(M_1 X^k)$, where $M_1 U^2 \log N \ll X_1^2$;
(iii) $|H_2(f(x))| \sim M_2^{-1}$.

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in D} e(f(x)) \right| \ll |D|/\sqrt{M_2} + X_1 \sqrt{M_2}/M_1 + (X_1 \log N)/M_1 + X_2 \sqrt{M_1} + X_2 \log N.$$

LEMMA 3. Let $f(x)$ be a real function and let D be a subdomain of

$$\{x : X_i \leq x_i \leq X_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}.$$

Let q_1, \dots, q_k be numbers such that

$$q_1/X_1 = \dots = q_k/X_k = Q/N; \quad q_1 \dots q_k = Q \ll |D|^2/N; \quad N = X_1 \dots X_n;$$

$$f_1(x; h) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f(x+ht)) dt; \quad D_1 = \{(x, h) : h \neq 0, |h_j| \leq q_j, x \in D, x+h \in D\};$$

$$S = N^{-1} \sum_{x \in D} e(f(x)), \quad S_1 = (NQ)^{-1} \sum_{(x, h) \in D_1} e(f(x)).$$

Then

$$|S| \ll Q^{-1/2} + (N^{-1} |D| |S_1|)^{1/2}.$$

LEMMA 4. Let M, N be positive integers, $u_m \geq 0$, $v_n \geq 0$, $A_m \geq 0$, $B_n \geq 0$. Then for some q

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} A_m q^{u_m} + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} B_n q^{v_n} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} (A_m^{v_n} B_n^{u_m})^{1/(u_m+v_n)}.$$

LEMMA 5. Let $f(x)$ be a real C^3 function such that for $X \leq x \leq X_1 \leq 2X$ we have

$$|f''| \sim \lambda_2, \quad |f'''| \sim \lambda_3.$$

Then

$$S = \left| \sum_{X \leq x \leq X_1} e(f) \right| \ll (X_1 - X)/\sqrt{\lambda_2} + \min\{1/\sqrt{\lambda_2}, 1/\lambda_3^{1/3}\}$$

and

$$|S| \ll (X_1 - X) \lambda_3^{1/6} + (X_1 - X)^{3/4}.$$

Lemma 5 is van der Corput's estimate.

LEMMA 6. Let $f(x, y)$ be a C^∞ function such that for $(x, y) \in D \subset \{(x, y) : X \leq x \leq 2X, Y \leq y \leq 2Y\}$ and $N = XY$ we have

- (i) $|f_{x^i y^j}| \ll FX^{-i} Y^{-j} (U^{2j-3} + 1) + F\Delta X^{-i} Y^{-j} (U^{2i+2j-3} + 1)$,
 $\Delta \ll 1$, $U \geq 1$, $\Delta U^3 \ll 1$;
(ii) $f_{x^i} = (FX^{-\alpha} Y^{-\beta} x^\alpha y^\beta)_{x^i} + O(F\Delta (U^{2i-3} + 1) X^{-i})$, where
 $\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)(\alpha-3)\beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)(\alpha+\beta-2)(\alpha+\beta-3) \neq 0$;
(iii) $|H_2(f_x)| \sim F^2 a_0 X^{-4} Y^{-2}$, $|f_{x^3} f_{x^3 y} - f_{x^4} f_{x^2 y}| \gg F^2 X^{-6} Y^{-1}$, $|f_{x^i y}| \ll FU^2 X^{-i} Y^{-1}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \left| \sum_{(x, y) \in D} e(f(x, y)) \right| \\ &\ll |D| ((F^2 U^3 N^{-3})^{1/6} \\ &\quad + (XF^{-2} Y^{-3})^{1/22} + (FU^5 N^{-2} a_0^{-1})^{1/8} + (F^2 NX^{-8})^{1/6}) \\ &\quad + (|D|^5 N^2 Y/F)^{1/8} + (|D| Y)^{1/2} + (|D|^4 F^2 X^{-2} N^{-1})^{1/6} \\ &\quad + (|D|^8 F^2 NX^{-4} a_0^{-2})^{1/22} + (|D|^4 N^7 F^{-2})^{1/10}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3 with $k = 2$ and obtain:

$$|S|^2 \ll |D|^2/Q + (|D|/Q) \sum_h \left| \sum_{x, y} e(f_1(x, y)) \right|,$$

where

$$f_1(x, y) = h_1 f_x + h_2 f_y + f_2(x, y),$$

$$|(f_2(x, y))_{x,y}| \ll \varrho^2 F U^{2j+1} X^{-i} Y^{-j}, \quad \varrho = |h_1|/X + |h_2|/Y,$$

Q is a parameter, to be chosen later to our advantage.

We divide D into subdomains of the type

$$D_a = \{(x, y) : a_1 \leq |h_1 f_x + h_2 f_y| \leq 2a_1, \\ a_2 \leq |H_2(h_1 f_x + h_2 f_y)| \leq 2a_2, (x+h_1, y+h_2) \in D\}$$

and assume that $a = (a_1, a_2)$ is such that

$$S^2 \ll |D|^2/Q + (|D|/Q) \sum_h \left| \sum_{(x,y) \in D_a} e(f_1(x, y)) \right|.$$

We consider the following cases:

$$1) \quad a_1 \ll (F^2 Q X^{-5-\varepsilon_0} Y^{-1})^{1/4} + (FYX^{-2}|D|^{-1})^{1/2}, \quad \varepsilon_0 > 0.$$

Using the condition (iii) of the lemma, one can verify that

$$\text{either } a_1 \gg F\varrho X^{-2} \quad \text{or} \quad |h_1 f_x + h_2 f_y| \gg F\varrho X^{-3}.$$

Applying Lemma 4, we obtain:

$$S^2 \ll |D|^2/Q (|D|/Q) \sum_h \sum_y \left(\sqrt{a_1} \sum_x 1 + X(F\varrho)^{-1/3} \right) \\ \ll |D|^2/Q + |D|^2 (X^2 (a_1^3 N/Q)^{1/2}/F + (a_1 N/Q)^{1/2}/X) + |D| N (F^2 Q/N)^{-1/4}. \\ 2) \quad a_1 \gg (F^2 Q X^{-5-\varepsilon_0} Y^{-1})^{1/4} + (FYX^{-2}/|D|)^{1/2}, \\ a_2 \ll (F^6 Q a_0^2 X^{-4} |D|^{-4} N^{-5})^{1/4} + F^2 U^5 N^{-2} \sqrt{Q^3 N^{-3}}.$$

Since

$$(1/Q) \sum_h \sum_{(x,y) \in D_a} 1 \ll (|D|/X) \sqrt{N/Q} (1 + (a_2 X^4 Y^2 F^{-2}/a_0)^{1/2}),$$

we as in 1) use Lemma 4 and obtain

$$S^2 \ll |D|^2/Q + (|D|^2/X) (F^2 Q/N)^{1/4} (Y/(XQ))^{1/2} (1 + (a_2 X^4 Y^2 F^{-2}/a_0)^{1/2}) \\ + |D| N (F^2 Q/N)^{-1/6}.$$

3) a_1 satisfies the same, a_2 satisfies the opposite inequality to as in 2).

We use Lemma 2:

$$S^2 \ll |D|^2/Q + |D| (|D| \sqrt{a_2} + X a_1 / \sqrt{a_2} + X a_1 \log N + Y / \sqrt{a_1} + Y \log N).$$

Combining the obtained in 1)-3) estimates and using Lemma 4 to choose Q which minimizes the obtained expression, we obtain the claimed result.

LEMMA 7. Let $f(x, y)$ be as in Lemma 6 and satisfy the condition

$$f_{x,y} = (FX^{-\alpha} Y^{-\beta} x^\alpha y^\beta)_{x,y} + O(F A (U^{2i+2j-3} + 1) X^{-i} Y^{-j})$$

where

$$\alpha(\alpha-1)\beta(\beta-1)(\alpha+\beta-1)(\alpha+\beta-2) \neq 0.$$

Then

$$S = \left| \sum_{(x,y) \in D} e(f(x, y)) \right| \ll |D| (F^4 X^{-10} Y^{-3})^{1/20} + (|D| Y)^{1/2} \\ + (|D|^4 X^8 Y^5 F^{-2})^{1/12} + (|D|^{11} F^2 X^{-5})^{1/12} \\ + (X^6 Y^3 F^{-2})^{1/4} + (|D|^2 X^8 Y^3 F^{-2})^{1/8}.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3 with $k = 1$ and get:

$$S^2 \ll |D|^2/Q + (|D|/Q) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq Q} \left| \sum_{(x,y) \in D_h} e(f_1(x, y)) \right|,$$

where

$$Q \leq |D|/Y, \quad D_1 = \{(x, y) \in D : (x+h, y) \in D\}, \quad f_1(x, y) = f(x+h, y) - f(x, y).$$

Now we apply Lemma 1 with $k = 1$ to the sum over x and Lemma 5 to the sum over y after that and obtain:

$$S^2 \ll |D|^2/Q + |D| (X^3/(FQ))^{1/2} (FQ Y^3/X)^{1/6} + |D|^2 (FQ X^{-3})^{1/2} (FQ/(Y^3 X))^{1/6} \\ + |D| Y^{3/4} (F^3/(FQ))^{1/2} + X^{1/4} |D|^{7/4} (FQ X^{-3})^{1/2}.$$

Choosing Q to minimize the obtained above expression, we complete the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 8. Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (1/7, 3/7, 4/7, 3/7)$ or $(2/8, 3/8, 5/8, 3/8)$. Let A be small, X_4 be large, $X_1 \geq X_2 \geq X_3 \geq X_4$, $X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 = N$, $A X_1^\alpha X_2^\beta X_3^\gamma X_4^\delta = F$, and let $f(x) \sim A x_1^\alpha x_2^\beta x_3^\gamma x_4^\delta$, $D \subset \{x : X_i \leq x_i \leq 2X_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\}$. Then

$$S = \left| \sum_{x \in D} e(f(x)) \right| \ll N \min \{ (F^5 X_1^{-11} X_2^{-8} X_3^{-3})^{1/39} + \alpha_5^{1/4}; \\ (F^{24} X_1^{-44} X_2^{-44} X_3^{-10} X_4^{-3})^{1/152} + \alpha_6^{1/4} \} \\ + N ((\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)^{1/2} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)^{1/4}),$$

where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6$ are defined in (3), (4), (6)-(10).

Proof. We apply Lemma 3 and obtain:

$$S^2 \ll N^2/Q + (N/Q) \sum_{h_1, h_2} \left| \sum_{x \in D_h} e(g(x)) \right|,$$

where

$$g(x) = f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3, x_4) - f(x),$$

$$D_h = \{x \in D : (x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3, x_4) \in D\}.$$

We assume that $h_1 h_2 \neq 0$ (otherwise the sum is easier to estimate) and

divide D_h into subdomains of the type

$$\begin{aligned} D_a = \{x \in D_h : a_1 \leq X_1^2 X_2^2 F^{-2} \varrho_0^{-2} |H_2(h_1 f_{x_1} + h_2 f_{x_2})| \leq 2a_1, \\ a_2 \leq X_1^3 F^{-1} \varrho_0^{-1} |h_1 f_{x_1}^4 + h_2 f_{x_1 x_2}^3| \leq 2a_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varrho_0 = |h_1|/X_1 + |h_2|/X_2.$$

We take one of them such that

$$S^2 \ll N^2/Q + (N/Q) \sum_h \left| \sum_{x \in D_a} e(g(x)) \right|.$$

If we denote $a_0 = \min\{a_1, a_2\}$, then one can show that

$$|D_a| \ll Na_0 + 1 \quad \text{and, if } a_1 \ll 1, \text{ then } a_2 \gg 1,$$

$$|g_{x_1^3}| \sim F\varrho_0 X_1^{-3}, \quad |g_{x_1 x_2^2}| \sim F\varrho_0 X_1^{-1} X_2^{-2}, \quad |g_{x_1^k}| \ll F\varrho_0 X_1^{-k}.$$

If $a_1 \ll A + (X_1 Q^7 F^{-2}/X_2)^{1/2}$, then we use Lemma 3 with $k = 1$ and van der Corput's estimate to get

$$S_1^2 = \left| \sum_{x \in D_a} e(g(x)) \right|^2 \ll |D_a|^2/Q_1 + (|D_a|/Q_1) \sum_{1 \leq h_3 \leq Q_1} \left| \sum_x e(g_1(x)) \right|,$$

where

$$g_1(x) = g(x_1 + h_3, x_2, x_3, x_4) - g(x),$$

$$|(g_1(x))_{x_1^2}| \sim h_3 |g_{x_1^3}|, \quad Q_1 \ll a_0^2 X_1 X_2,$$

so that (by van der Corput's estimate)

$$\begin{aligned} S_1/N &\ll a_0^2/Q_1 + (a_0/(NQ_1)) \sum_{h_3} (|D_a|(h_3 F\varrho_0 X_1^{-3})^{1/2} + N(h_3 F\varrho_0 X_1^{-1})^{-1/2}) \\ &\ll 1/(X_1 X_2) + a_0^{3/2}/\sqrt{X_1} + a_0^2 (F\varrho_0 X_1^{-3})^{1/3} + (F\varrho_0)^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad (S/N)^2 &\ll (X_1 X_2)^{-1/2} + A^{3/4} X_1^{-1/2} + (A^{12} F^2 X_1^{-7} X_2^{-1})^{1/13} \\ &+ (F^{10} X_1 X_2)^{-1/55} + (F^6 X_2^3 X_1^{-1})^{-1/29} + (F\varrho_0)^{-1/4} \\ &+ (X_1^{11} F^{-24} X_2^{-12})^{1/88} + (X_1^{21} F^{-48} X_2^{-25})^{1/178} \\ &+ (A^6 X_2^{-1} X_1^{-3})^{1/10} = \alpha_0, \end{aligned}$$

Above we have used Lemma 4 to choose Q, Q_1 which minimize the estimate.

If

$$A + (Q^7 X_1 F^{-2} X_2^{-1})^{1/2} \ll a_1 \ll (F^8 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-18} X_3^{-9} X_4^{-9})^{1/72},$$

then we use Lemma 2 and get

$$\begin{aligned} (S_1/N)^2 &\ll a_0^2/Q_1 + (a_0/Q_1) \sum_{1 \leq h_3 \leq Q_1} (a_0 (a_1 F^2 \varrho_0^2 h_3^2 X_1^{-4} X_2^{-2})^{1/2}) \\ &+ a_0^{-1/2}/X_1 + (F\varrho_0/X_1)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing Q_1 and Q to our advantage, we obtain

$$(4) \quad (S/N)^2 \ll X_1^{-1/2} + (F\varrho_0)^{-1/4} + (F^8 X_1^{-14} X_2^{-14} X_3^{-3} X_4^{-3})^{1/24} \\ + (F^8 X_1^{-18} X_2^{-34} X_3^{-9} X_4^{-9})^{1/96} = \alpha_1.$$

If $a_1 \geq (F^8 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-18} X_3^{-9} X_4^{-9})^{1/72}$ then we apply Lemma 3 with $k = 2$ and obtain:

$$(S/N)^4 \ll Q^{-2} + |D_a| Q^{-3} N^{-2} \sum_{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4} \left| \sum_{x \in D_{a,h}} e(\phi(x)) \right|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= g(x_1 + h_3, x_2 + h_4, x_3, x_4) - g(x), \quad |h_3| \leq q_3, \quad |h_4| \leq q_4, \\ q_3/X_1 &= q_4/X_2 = Q/(X_1 X_2)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{a,h} = \{x \in D_a : (x_1 + h_3, x_2 + h_4, x_3, x_4) \in D_a\}.$$

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(\theta) &= \alpha(\alpha-1)\theta^2 + \alpha\beta\theta\sigma_1 + \beta(\beta-1)\sigma_2, \\ \sigma_1 &= h_2/h_1 + h_4/h_3, \quad \sigma_2 = h_2 h_4/(h_1 h_3), \\ \phi_1(\theta) &= \alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)(\alpha-3)\theta^2 + \alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)\beta\theta\sigma_1 + \beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)(\beta-3)\sigma_2, \\ \phi_2(\theta) &= \alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)(\beta-1)\beta\theta^2 + \alpha\beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)\theta\sigma_1 + \beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)(\beta-3)\sigma_2, \\ \phi_3(\theta) &= \alpha\beta(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)\theta^2 + \alpha\beta(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)\theta\sigma_1 + \alpha\beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)\sigma_2, \\ \phi_4 &= \phi_1 \phi_2 - \phi_3^2, \\ \phi_5(\theta) &= \alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)\theta^2 + \alpha\beta(\alpha-1)\theta\sigma_1 + \beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)\sigma_2, \\ \phi_6(\theta) &= \alpha\beta(\alpha-1)\theta^2 + \alpha\beta(\beta-1)\theta\sigma_1 + \beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)\sigma_2, \\ \phi_7(\theta) &= (2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma - 6)\phi_0 \phi_1 + (\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 3)\phi_4, \\ \theta &= x/y, \quad \varrho_1 = |h_3|/X_1 + |h_4|/X_2, \end{aligned}$$

we subdivide $D_{a,h}$ into subdomains of the form

$$D_b = \{x \in D_{a,h} : |\phi_0(\theta)| \sim b_0, |\phi_1(\theta)| \sim b_1, |\phi_2(\theta)| \sim b_2, \dots, |\phi_7(\theta)| \sim b_7\}.$$

We take a domain D_b such that

$$(S/N)^4 \ll Q^{-2} + |D_a| Q^{-3} N^{-2} \sum_h \left| \sum_{x \in D_b} e(\phi(x)) \right|.$$

One can verify that $b_1 + b_4 \gg a_1^2$. If $b_4 \ll a_1^2$ and $b_4 \ll (F^2 Q^3 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2})^{-1/4}$, then $b_1 \gg a_1^2$, and we apply van der Corput's estimate to get

$$(S/N)^4 \ll Q^{-2} + (F^2 Q^3 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2})^{-1/4} + a_0 Q^{-3} N^{-1} \sum_h |D_b| (F^2 Q^3 X_1^{-6} X_2^{-2})^{1/4}.$$

Since for $b_0 = \min_j \{b_j\}$ we have $|D_b| \ll N \sqrt{b_0}$ and, if $b_2 + b_3 \ll 1$ then $|D_b| \ll Nb_1$, we can use the above bounds for $|D_b|$ and, choosing Q appropriately, we get:

$$S/N \ll (F^2 X_1^{-10} X_2^{-2})^{1/38} + (X_1 X_2/F)^{1/5}.$$

If $b_4 \ll a_1^2$ and

$$(5) \quad (F^2 Q^3 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2})^{-1/4} \ll b_4 \ll (X_1^4 X_2^4 F^{-2} Q^{-7} a_0^{-2})^{1/2} + X_1 F_1^{-1} + (X_1 X_2 Q^{-2})^{-1/6} + A^{1/3} + (X_1 X_2/F_1)^{-2/5} + F_1^{-2/5}$$

(where $F_1 = F_{Q_0 Q_1}$), then $b_1 \gg a_1^2$ and, using Lemma 2 and choosing an optimal Q , we get

$$(6) \quad (S/N)^4 \ll (F^2 X_1^{-10} X_2^{-2})^{2/19} + (F^6 X_1^{-13} X_2^{-13})^{2/23} + X_2^{-1/4} (F^6 A^2 X_1^{-12} X_2^{-12})^{2/21} + (X_1 X_2/F)^{4/5} + (F X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2})^{28/41} + (F^3 X_1^{-8} X_2^{-8})^{4/29} = \alpha_2.$$

Similarly as above, we obtain the same estimate if

$$\min \{b_1, b_2, b_3\} \ll X_1 X_2 F^{-1} Q^{-7/2}.$$

If $b_1 \ll X_1/F_1$ and $b_2 = o(1)$, then one can show that $b_4 \gg 1$ and, using Lemma 1 and choosing an appropriate Q , we obtain

$$(7) \quad (S/N)^4 \ll 1/X_2 + F^{-1/2} = \alpha_3.$$

If $b_1 \ll X_1/F_1$ and $b_2 \gg 1$ then $b_4 \gg a_1^2$ and we use Lemma 2 to get

$$(8) \quad (S/N)^4 \ll (X_1 X_2^2)^{-1/4} + (F^2 X_1^{-4} X_2^{-6})^{2/11} = \alpha_4.$$

Now we assume that b_4 is larger than in (5),

$$b_1 \gg X_1/F_1, \quad \min_{i \leq 3} b_i \gg X_1 X_2 F^{-1} Q^{-7/2}.$$

Applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$(S/N)^4 \ll Q^{-2} + |D_b| Q^{-3} N^{-2} \sum_h M_2^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{m_1, m_2, x_3, x_4} e(\varphi(m_1, m_2, x_3, x_4)) \right| + R,$$

where

$$M_2^{-1} = F_1^2 b_4 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2},$$

$$R = (F^2 Q^3 X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2})^{-1/4} + (F^2 Q X_1^{-4} X_2^{-4})^{1/2} + (F^2 Q^3 X_1^{-10} X_2^{-2})^{1/8},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \phi(x_1(m), x_2(m), x_3, x_4) - x_1(m)m_1 - x_2(m)m_2, \\ \phi_{x_i}(x_1(m), x_2(m), x_3, x_4) &= m_i \sim b_5 F_1/X_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \\ \varphi_{x_3^i x_4^j} &= A(m_1, m_2, h)(x_3^{y_1} x_4^{\delta_1})_{x_3^i x_4^j} + O(F_1(A + \varrho_0 + \varrho_1) X_3^{-i} X_4^{-j} (1 + b_1^{3-2i-2j})), \\ A(m_1, m_2, h) &\sim b_0 F_1 X_3^{-\gamma_1} X_4^{-\delta_1}, \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma/(3-\alpha-\beta), \quad \delta_1 = 1/(3-\alpha-\beta), \\ |\varphi_{x_3^i x_4^j}| &\ll X_2^j F_1^{1-j} X_3^{-i} b_4^{3-2i-2j} (A + \varrho_0 + \varrho_1) + (b_4^{3-2j} + 1) X_2^j F_1^{1-j} X_3^{-i}, \\ |\varphi_{x_3^3 x_4^2}| &\sim b_7 X_2^3/(b_4 X_3^3 F_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{m_1, x_4} \left(\sum_{m_2, x_3} 1 \right)^\alpha \ll (F_1^2 b_1 |D_b| X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2})^\alpha (F_1 X_4/X_1)^{1-\alpha},$$

we can apply Lemma 6 to the sum over m_2 and x_3 or Lemma 7 to the sum over x_3, x_4 and, choosing Q to minimize the obtained expression, we complete the proof of the lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad (S/N)^4 &\ll X_1^{-2} X_2^{-2} + (F^5 X_1^{-11} X_2^{-8} X_3^{-3})^{4/39} \\ &\quad + (F^{21} X_1^{-43} X_2^{-44} X_3^{-3})^{4/151} + (F^7 X_1^{-15} X_2^{-13} X_3^{-2})^{4/53} \\ &\quad + (F^9 X_1^{-15} X_2^{-16} X_3^{-7})^{4/51} + (F^3 X_1^{-9} X_2^{-4} X_3^{-3})^{4/33} \\ &\quad + (F X_1^{-3} X_2^{-2})^{4/11} + (F^{17} X_1^{-38} X_2^{-32} X_3^{-4})^{4/139} \\ &\quad + (F^9 X_3 X_1^{-19} X_2^{-20})^{4/67} \\ &= (F^5 X_1^{-11} X_2^{-8} X_3^{-3})^{4/39} + \alpha_5 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad (S/N)^4 &\ll (F^{14} X_1^{-26} X_2^{-26} X_3^{-6}/X_4)^{2/45} + (F^{24} X_1^{-44} X_2^{-44} X_3^{-10} X_4^{-3})^{1/38} \\ &\quad + (F^{10} X_1^{-22} X_2^{-22} X_4^{-3})^{2/39} + (F^8 X_1^{-16} X_2^{-16} X_3^{-2} X_4^{-3})^{1/14} \\ &\quad + (X_1 X_2)^{-2} + (F^6 X_1^{-14} X_2^{-14} X_3^{-4} X_4^{-2})^{2/25} \\ &= (F^{24} X_1^{-44} X_2^{-44} X_3^{-10} X_4^{-3})^{1/38} + \alpha_6. \end{aligned}$$

4. The main results.

THEOREM 1. Let $\alpha = 2$ and $X = x$ or $\alpha = 1/2$ and $X = x^{1/2}$, and let $f(k, n) = kXn^{-\alpha}$. Let N, X be large positive numbers satisfying

$$x^{1057/4785} \leq N \leq x^{1/3}, \quad K \leq N^{1+\varepsilon_0} x^{-1057/4785},$$

and let

$$S = \left| \sum_{K \leq k \leq K_1} \sum_{N \leq n \leq N_1} e(f(k, n)) \right|.$$

Then

$$S \ll K \cdot x^{1057/4785}.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 1 with $k = 1$ and obtain:

$$S \ll NKF^{-1/2} + K + NF^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k,m} e(g(m, k)) \right|,$$

where

$$g(m, k) = (\alpha + 1) \alpha^{-\alpha/(\alpha+1)} X^{1/(\alpha+1)} k^{1/(\alpha+1)} m^{\alpha/(\alpha+1)},$$

$$m \sim M = KXN^{-\alpha-1} = F/N.$$

Now we apply Lemmas 3 and 1 with $k = 1$ to the sum over m in the sum

$$S_1 = \left| \sum_{k,m} e(g(m, k)) \right|$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^2 &\ll K^2 M^2/Q + (KM/Q) \max_{H \leq Q} \left| \sum_{H \leq q \leq 2H} \left(M^3/(Fq) \right)^{1/2} \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{m \sim M} e(g_1(k, m_1, q)) \right| \\ &\quad + K^2 M \left(1 + (M^3/(FQ))^{1/2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_1(k, m_1, q) \sim X_2 (km_1 q^{\alpha+1})^{1/(\alpha+2)},$$

$$X_2^{\alpha+2} \sim X, \quad A = H/M, \quad g_1 \sim F_1 = FH/M, \quad m_1 \sim M_1 = FH/M^2,$$

Q is a parameter, to be chosen later.

We again apply Lemmas 3 and 1 with $k = 1$ to the sum over m_1 in the last sum,

$$S_2 = \left| \sum_{k,q} \sum_{m_1} e(g_1(k, m_1, q)) \right|.$$

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2^2 &\ll K^2 M_1^2 H^2/Q_1 + K^2 H^2 M_1 \left(M_1^3/(Q_1 F_1) \right)^{1/2} + K^2 H^2 M_1 \\ &\quad + KM_1 (H/Q_1) \left(M_1^3/(H_1 F_1) \right)^{1/2} \left| \sum_{q_1 \sim H_1} \sum_{k, m_2, q} e(g_2(k, m_2, q_1, q)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_2(k, m_2, q_1, q) \sim X_3 (km_2^{\alpha+1} q_1^{\alpha+2} q^{\alpha+1})^{1/(2\alpha+3)}, \quad A_1 = H/M + H_1/M_1,$$

$$X_3^{2\alpha+3} \sim X, \quad g_2 \sim F_2 = F_1 H_1/M_1, \quad m_2 \sim M_2 = F_2/M_1.$$

The function $g_2(k, m_2, q_1, q)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 8 with $k = x_1, m_2 = x_2, q_1 = x_3, q = x_4$ (note that $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6$ of Lemma 8 are small compared to the principal terms).

Using Lemma 8 (the first part of the minimum if $N \leq X^{1438/4785}$ and the second part otherwise) and choosing Q_1 and Q to minimize the obtained expressions, we complete the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. *We have*

$$S_{n+1} - S_n = O(n^{1057/4785+\varepsilon}).$$

Proof. As shown in the introduction, we need to show that for

$$x^{1057/4785} \leq N \leq x^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad H \leq H_1 \leq 2H \leq Nx^{-1057/4785}$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{H \leq k \leq H_1} \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e(kyn^{-\beta}) \right| \ll Hx^{1057/4785},$$

where $y \sim x$ and $\beta = 2$ or $y \sim x^{1/2}$ and $\beta = 1/2$. The needed estimate was proved in Theorem 1, which proves Theorem 2.

References

- [1] E. Fogels, *On the average values of arithmetic functions*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 37 (1941), pp. 358–372.
- [2] G. Kolesnik, *On the method of exponent pairs*, Acta Arith. 45 (1985), pp. 115–143.
- [3] — *On the number of Abelian groups of a given order*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 329 (1981), pp. 164–175.
- [4] R. A. Rankin, *Van der Corput's method and the method of exponent pairs*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) (2) 6 (1955), pp. 147–153.
- [5] H. E. Richert, *On the difference between consecutive squarefree numbers*, J. London Math. Soc. 29 (1954), pp. 16–20.
- [6] K. F. Roth, *On the gaps between squarefree numbers*, J. London Math. Soc. 56 (1951), pp. 263–268.
- [7] J. D. Vaaler, *Some extremal problems in Fourier analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (12) 12 (1985), pp. 183–216.

MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, L.A.

Los Angeles, California 90032, USA

Received on 8.4.1986

and in revised form on 21.11.1986

(1619)