ANNALES POLONICI MATHEMATICI LIV.2 (1991)

A class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative

by K. S. PADMANABHAN and M. JAYAMALA (Madras)

Abstract. The function $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$ $(p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\})$ analytic in the unit disk E is said to be in the class $K_{n,p}(h)$ if

$$\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f} \prec h, \quad \text{where} \quad D^{n+p-1}f = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+n}} * f$$

and h is convex univalent in E with h(0) = 1. We study the class $K_{n,p}(h)$ and investigate whether the inclusion relation $K_{n+1,p}(h) \subseteq K_{n,p}(h)$ holds for p > 1. Some coefficient estimates for the class are also obtained. The class $A_{n,p}(a,h)$ of functions satisfying the condition

$$a\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f} + (1-a)\frac{D^{n+p+1}f}{D^{n+p}f} \prec h$$

is also studied.

Introduction. Let A(p) denote the class of functions of the form

(1)
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\})$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. We denote by f * g(z) the Hadamard product of two functions f(z) and g(z) in A(p).

Following Goel and Sohi [2] we put

(2)
$$D^{n+p-1}f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z) \quad (n > -p)$$

for the (n + p - 1)th order Ruscheweyh derivative of $f(z) \in A(p)$. Let h be convex univalent in E, with h(0) = 1.

DEFINITION 1. We say that a function $f(z) \in A(p)$ for which

¹⁹⁸⁵ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

 $D^{n+p-1}f(z) \neq 0, \ 0 < |z| < 1$, is in $K_{n,p}(h)$ if and only if

(3)
$$\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f} \prec h.$$

If we take h(z) = 1/(1+z), then (3) reduces to $\operatorname{Re}(D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f) > \frac{1}{2}$ and the class $K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$ reduces to the class K_{n+p-1} in the notation employed in [2] for $n + p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, for p = 1 this class $K_{n,1}$ reduces to the class K_n studied by Ruscheweyh [3] who proved that $K_n \subset K_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [3] it is proved that $K_{n+p} \subset K_{n+p-1}$. We are interested in investigating whether $K_{n+1,p}(h) \subseteq K_{n,p}(h)$ for an arbitrary h. We show that this is not true if p > 1, even for the choice of $h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + z), 0 \le A < 1$.

DEFINITION 2 [1]. Let β and γ be complex constants and let $h(z) = 1 + h_1(z) + \ldots$ be univalent in the unit disc E. The univalent function $q(z) = 1 + q_1(z) + \ldots$ analytic in E is said to be a *dominant* of the differential subordination

(4)
$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z)$$

if and only if (4) implies that $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + ...$ that are analytic in E. If $q(z) \prec \tilde{q}(z)$ for all dominants $\tilde{q}(z)$ of (4), then q(z) is said to be the *best dominant* of (4).

We need the following theorems which provide a method for finding the best dominant for certain differential subordinations.

THEOREM A [1]. Let β and γ be complex constants, and let h be convex (univalent) in E, with h(0) = 1 and $\operatorname{Re} [\beta h(z) + \gamma] > 0$. If $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \ldots$ is analytic in E, then

(5)
$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec h(z).$$

THEOREM B [1]. Let β and γ be complex constants, and let h be convex in E with h(0) = 1 and $\operatorname{Re} [\beta h(z) + \gamma] > 0$. Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \dots$ be analytic in E, and let it satisfy the differential subordination

(6)
$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z).$$

If the differential equation

(7)
$$q(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = h(z),$$

with q(0) = 1, has a univalent solution q(z), then $p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z)$, and q(z) is the best dominant of (6).

168

 $\operatorname{Remark} 1$ [1]. (i) The conclusion of Theorem B can be written in the form

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \Rightarrow p(z) \prec q(z).$$

(ii) The differential equation (7) has a formal solution given by

(8)
$$q(z) = \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{\beta} \left[\frac{H(z)}{F(z)}\right]^{\beta} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta},$$

where

$$\begin{split} F(z) &= \left[\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^{\gamma}} \int\limits_{0}^{z} H^{\beta}(t) t^{\gamma - 1} \, dt \right]^{1/\beta} \\ H(z) &= z \exp \int\limits_{0}^{z} \frac{h(t) - 1}{t} \, dt \, . \end{split}$$

,

COROLLARY 1 [1]. Let p(z) be analytic in E and let it satisfy the differential subordination

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 - (1 - 2\delta)z}{1 + z} \equiv h(z),$$

with $\beta > 0$ and $-\text{Re}(\gamma/\beta) \le \delta < 1$. Then the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = h(z), \quad q(0) = 1$$

has a univalent solution q(z). In addition, $p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z)$ and q(z) is the best dominant of (8).

Finally, we study the class $A_{n,p}(a,h)$ of functions $f(z)\in A(p)$ satisfying the condition

$$a\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f} + (1-a)\frac{D^{n+p+1}f}{D^{n+p}f} \prec h$$

for h univalent convex.

1. The classes $K_{n,p}(h)$

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f \in K_{n+1,p}(h)$, that is, $D^{n+p+1}f/D^{n+p}f \prec h$, n+p>0. Then

$$\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f} \prec K \quad where \quad K = \frac{n+p+1}{n+p}h - \frac{1}{n+p}\,,$$

and for $h = (1+Az)/(1+z), 0 \le A < 1$, we have $D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f \prec q \prec K_1$

 z^{n+p}

and q is the best dominant given by

$$q = \frac{1}{(n+p)(1+z)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{t^{n+p-1} dt}{(1+t)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)}},$$

$$(n+p)(1+Az) - z(1-A)$$

where $K_1 = \frac{(n+p)(1+Az) - z(1-A)}{(n+p)(1+z)}$.

Proof. Set $g(z) = D^{n+p} f(z)/D^{n+p-1} f(z)$. Taking logarithmic derivatives and multiplying by z, we get

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{z(D^{n+p}f(z))'}{D^{n+p}f(z)} - \frac{z(D^{n+p-1}f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}$$

Using the fact that

$$z(D^{n+p}f)' = (n+p+1)D^{n+p+1}f - (n+1)D^{n+p}f,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{(n+p)g(z)} + g(z) = \frac{n+p+1}{n+p} \cdot \frac{D^{n+p+1}f}{D^{n+p}f} - \frac{1}{n+p}$$

This means that if $D^{n+p+1}f/D^{n+p}f \prec h$, then

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{(n+p)g(z)} + g(z) \prec \frac{n+p+1}{n+p}h(z) - \frac{1}{n+p} = K(z).$$

Theorem A now implies that $g(z) \prec K(z)$ if n + p > 0 and $\operatorname{Re} K(z) > 0$, which will be true if $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 1/(n + p + 1)$. Next choose h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + z), $0 \leq A < 1$. This choice of A is consistent with the condition on $\operatorname{Re} h$. Then the differential equation

(10)
$$\frac{zg'(z)}{(n+p)g(z)} + g(z) = K(z)$$

has a univalent solution g(z) = q(z) by Corollary 1 and $g(z) \prec q(z) \prec K(z)$. In the notation of Theorem B and Remark 1, we have

$$H(z) = z \exp \int_{0}^{z} \{K(t) - 1\} t^{-1} dt,$$

which gives on substitution for K(t) the following:

$$H(z) = z \exp \int_{0}^{z} \left\{ \frac{n+p+1}{n+p} \cdot \frac{1+At}{1+t} - \frac{1}{n+p} - 1 \right\} t^{-1} dt$$

On simplification we get

(11)
$$H(z) = \frac{z}{(1+z)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)/(n+p)}},$$

170

Functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative

(12)
$$F(z) = \left[(n+p) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{t^{n+p}}{(1+t)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{t} dt \right]^{1/(n+p)}$$

From (11) and (12) we obtain $q(z) = [H(z)/F(z)]^{(n+p)}$. This leads to (9).

COROLLARY 1.1. Let $f \in K_{n+1,p}(1/(1+z))$, that is $D^{n+p+1}f/D^{n+p}f \prec 1/(1+z)$. Then $D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f \prec 1/(1+z)$ or $f \in K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$ so that

$$K_{n+1,p}\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right) \subset K_{n,p}\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right), \quad n+p \ge 0.$$

Proof. Now (11) becomes $H(z) = z/(1+z)^{(n+p+1)/(n+p)}$ and

$$F(z) = \left[(n+p) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{t^{n+p}}{(1+t)^{(n+p+1)}} \cdot \frac{dt}{t} \right]^{1/(n+p)} = \frac{z}{1+z},$$
$$q(z) = \left[\frac{H(z)}{F(z)} \right]^{(n+p)} = \frac{1}{1+z}.$$

Hence $D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f \prec 1/(1+z)$, that is, $f \in K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$ or $\operatorname{Re}(D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f) > 1/2$. This is the result obtained by Goel and Sohi [2].

In the above corollary put p = 1; we then obtain the following:

COROLLARY 1.2. Let $f \in K_{n+1}$ in Ruscheweyh's notation, that is, $D^{n+2}f(z)/D^{n+1}f(z) \prec 1/(1+z)$. Then $D^{n+1}f/D^n f \prec 1/(1+z)$ or $f \in K_n$ or equivalently $\operatorname{Re}(D^{n+1}f/D^n f) > 1/2$.

This is the same as Ruscheweyh's result [3], $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$. Since

$$K_{n,p}\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right) \subseteq K_{n-1,p}\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right) \subseteq \ldots \subset K_{-(p-1),p}\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right), \quad n+p \ge 0,$$

from Corollary 1.1 we obtain

COROLLARY 1.3. Let $f \in K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$, $n+p \ge 0$. Then $f \in K_{-(p-1),p}(1/(1+z))$, that is, $D^1f/D^0f = zf'/f \prec 1/(1+z)$, that is, $\operatorname{Re}(zf'/f) > 1/2$. Such functions f of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$ are known to be p-valent [4].

Now we proceed to investigate the case $A \neq 0$. In order that the best dominant q given by (9) may reduce to (1 + Az)/(1 + z), we should have

$$\left[\frac{z}{(1+z)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)/(n+p)}}\right]^{n+p} = [F(z)]^{n+p}\frac{1+Az}{1+z}.$$

Taking derivative with respect to z we get

(13)
$$[F(z)^{n+p}]' = \frac{(n+p)(1+Az)(1+z)^{n+p-1} - A(1+z)z^{n+p}}{(1+Az)^2(1+z)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)}} \\ - \frac{[(n+p)(1-A) - A](1+Az)z^{n+p}}{(1+Az)^2(1+z)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)}}.$$

From (12) we get

(14)
$$[F^{(n+p)}]' = \frac{(n+p)z^{n+p-1}}{(1+z)^{(1-A)(n+p+1)}},$$

(13) and (14) must be identical; which on simplification gives the conditions A = 0 or A = 1. A = 1 forces h to be a constant. We rule out this possibility. Hence the best possible solution exists only when A = 0. Hence we conclude that $K_{n+1,p}(h)$ is not contained in $K_{n,p}(h)$ for p > 1, even for the choice of h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + z).

Let $f \in K_{n,p}(h)$. Define

$$G(z) = z^p \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{p/(n+p)}$$

Then $zG'/G = p(D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f)$. We observe that $f \in K_{n,p}(h)$ if and only if $(1/p)zG'/G \prec h$.

We now prove the following

THEOREM 1.2. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $f \in K_{n,p}(h)$ if and only if

$$g(z) = (m+p-1)! z^{1-m} \int_{0}^{z} \int_{0}^{x_{m+p-1}} \cdots$$
$$\cdots \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \left[\frac{1}{(n+p-1)!} (x_{1}^{n-1}f(x_{1}))^{(n+p-1)} \right]^{(m+p)/(n+p)} dx_{1} \dots dx_{m+p-1}$$

belongs to $K_{m,p}(h)$.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{g(z)z^{m-1}}{(m+p-1)!} = \int_{0}^{z} \int_{0}^{x_{m+p-1}} \cdots$$
$$\cdots \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \left[\frac{1}{(n+p-1)!} (x_{1}^{n-1}f(x_{1}))^{(n+p-1)} \right]^{(m+p)/(n+p)} dx_{1} \dots dx_{m+p-1}$$

Differentiating m + p - 1 times, we get

$$\left[\frac{g(z)z^{m-1}}{(m+p-1)!}\right]^{(m+p-1)} = \left[\frac{1}{(n+p-1)!}(z^{n-1}f(z))^{(n+p-1)}\right]^{(m+p)/(n+p)}$$

Since $D^{n+p-1}f = z^p(z^{n-1}f)^{(n+p-1)}/(n+p-1)!$, we get $\frac{D^{m+p-1}g(z)}{z^p} = \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f}{z^p}\right)^{(m+p)/(n+p)} \,.$

Set

$$G(z) = z^p \left(\frac{D^{m+p-1}g}{z^p}\right)^{p/(m+p)} = z^p \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f}{z^p}\right)^{p/(n+p)}$$

As we have already observed we then have

$$\frac{zG'}{G} = p\left(\frac{D^{m+p}g}{D^{m+p-1}g}\right) = p\left(\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f}\right),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{zG'}{G} \prec h \Leftrightarrow g \in K_{m,p}(h) \Leftrightarrow f \in K_{n,p}(h) \,.$$

Coefficient estimates

THEOREM 1.3. Let $f \in A(p)$ satisfy

Re
$$\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in E.$$

Then

(15)
$$|a_{p+k}| \le \frac{p(p+1)\dots(p+k-1)}{k!}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$ and

(16)
$$g(z) = 2\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Then g(0) = 1 and $\operatorname{Re} g(z) > 0$. Writing $g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k z^k$, we note that $|g_k| \le 2, k = 1, 2, \dots$ From (16) we get

$$g(z) = \frac{2zf' - pf}{pf} \,.$$

Substituting for f, f' and g_k and simplifying we obtain

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^k\right) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k z^k\right) = \left\{2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \frac{(p+k)}{p} a_{p+k} z^k\right\} - \left\{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^k\right\}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^n , we obtain

$$a_{p+n} + a_{p+n-1}g_1 + a_{p+n-2}g_2 + \ldots + g_n = \left(1 + \frac{2n}{p}\right)a_{p+n},$$

$$a_{p+n} = \frac{p}{2n} [a_{p+n-1}g_1 + \ldots + g_n].$$

The required coefficient estimate follows by induction, by using the fact $|g_k| \leq 2, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots$

THEOREM 1.4. Let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}.$

Then we have the sharp estimate

$$|a_{p+2} - a_{p+1}^2| \le (1 - |a_{p+1}|^2)/(n + p + 1).$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Re} \{D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f\} > 1/2$, we can write $D^{n+p}f/D^{n+p-1}f = 1/(1+\omega(z)), \omega$ analytic in $E, |\omega(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in E$. Set $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. Using (2) we have

$$\frac{z^{p} + (n+p-1)a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \frac{(n+p+1)(n+p+2)}{2!}a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots}{z^{p} + (n+p)a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \frac{(n+p)(n+p+1)}{2!}a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}z^{n}}.$$

Simplifying and equating like powers of z we get

(17)
$$c_1 = -a_{p+1},$$

(18)
$$c_2 + a_{p+1}c_1(n+p+1) + a_{p+2}(n+p+1) = 0$$

From (17) and (18) we get

$$(n+p+1)(a_{p+2}-a_{p+1}^2) = -c_2.$$

Using the well known fact $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$, we obtain

$$|a_{p+2} - a_{p+1}^2| \le (1 - |a_{p+1}|^2)/(n + p + 1).$$

For p = 1 this reduces to Theorem 3 of [3]. This fact increases the interest in estimates of the functional $|a_{n+p-1} - a_{p+1}^{k+p-2}|$ over the functions in the class $K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$. Such functions, as already observed, are *p*-valent.

THEOREM 1.5. Let
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \in K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$$
 and
 $\binom{(n+p)}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \binom{(n+p+k-2)}{p}$

$$\gamma(n,k,p) = \binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1} \frac{p^{k-1}}{k-1} \frac{n+p+k-2}{k-1}.$$

Then for $\mu \leq \gamma(n,k,p)$, we have the sharp estimate

(19) $|a_{p+k-1} - \mu a_{p+1}^{k-1}| \le 1 - \mu, \quad k = 3, 4, \dots$

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = (n+p+1)! z^{1-n} \int_{0}^{z} \int_{0}^{x_{n+p-1}} \cdots$$

Functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative

$$\cdots \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \left[\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \left(\frac{g(x_{1})}{x_{1}} \right)^{(p-1)} \right]^{(n+p)/p} dx_{1} \dots dx_{n+p-1},$$

where $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k}$. Using Theorem (1.2), from the above integral we find that $D^{n+p} f/D^{n+p-1} f = D^p g/D^{p-1}g$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Re}\left(D^{n+p} f/D^{n+p-1}f\right) > 1/2$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(D^p g/D^{p-1}g\right) > 1/2$. Since

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D^{p}g}{D^{p-1}g}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(D^{p-1}g)'}{pD^{p-1}g}\right),$$

the hypothesis on f implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(D^{p-1}g)'}{pD^{p-1}g}\right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Applying Theorem 1.3 to the function $D^{p-1}g$, we conclude that $|b_{p+k}| \leq 1$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Further $a_{p+1} = b_{p+1}$. Put

$$\left[\left(\frac{g(z)}{z(p-1)!} \right)^{(p-1)} \right]^{(n+p)/p} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j+1} z^j ,$$

so that

$$\left(1+pb_{p+1}z+\frac{p(p+1)}{2!}b_{p+2}z^2+\ldots\right)^{(n+p)/p}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}c_{j+1}z^j\,.$$

This yields

(21)
$$c_k = \binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1} p^{k-1} b_{p+1}^{k-1} + F(b_{p+1}, b_{p+2}, \dots, b_{p+k-1}).$$

Also from (20) we get

$$\frac{f(z)z^{n-1}}{(n+p-1)!} = \frac{z^{n+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k+n-1}}{(n+p-1)!}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{z} \int_{0}^{x_{n+p-1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j+1} x_{1}^{j} dx_{1} \dots dx_{n+p-1}.$$

This becomes on simplification

$$\frac{z^{p+n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k+n-1}}{(n+p-1)!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{j+1} z^{j+n+p-1}}{(j+1)(j+2)\dots(j+n+p-1)}.$$

Equating coefficients of like powers we get

$$\frac{a_{p+k}}{(n+p-1)!} = \frac{c_{k+1}}{(k+1)(k+2)\dots(k+n+p-1)}.$$

This yields

(22)
$$c_{k+1} = {p+k+n-1 \choose n+p-1} a_{p+k} = {p+k+n-1 \choose k} a_{p+k}.$$

Set $(1-z)^{-(n+p)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_{j+1} z^j$ so that $d_k = \binom{n+p+k-2}{k-1}$. Set $\sigma = \mu\binom{n+p+k-2}{k-1}$. We now have from (21)

(23)
$$c_k - \sigma b_{p+1}^{k-1} = F(b_{p+1}, b_{p+2}, \dots, b_{p+k-1}) + \left[\binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1} p^{k-1} - \sigma \right] b_{p+1}^{k-1}.$$

Also it is easily seen that $d_k = c_k$ if $b_{p+1} = \ldots = b_{p+k-1} = 1$. So we write

(24)
$$\binom{n+p+k-2}{k-1} - \sigma = d_k - \sigma$$
$$= F(1,1,\ldots,1) + \left[\binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1}p^{k-1} - \sigma\right].$$

If $\sigma \leq \binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1}p^{k-1}$, that is, if $\mu \leq \binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1}p^{k-1}/\binom{n+p+k-2}{k-1}$, and $c_k = \binom{(n+p+k-2)}{k-1}a_{p+k-1}$, we have from (23) and (24)

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_k - \binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1} p^{k-1} b_{p+1}^{k-1} \end{vmatrix} = |F(b_{p+1}, b_{p+2}, \dots, b_{p+k-1})| \\ \leq F(1, 1, \dots, 1) = d_k - \binom{(n+p)/p}{k-1} p^{k-1}.$$

(19) follows from this, since $b_{p+1} = a_{p+1}$. The coefficient bound in (19) is sharp for the function $f(z) = z^p/(1-z)$, which belongs to the class $K_{n,p}(1/(1+z))$, for all n. For p = 1, this reduces to Ruscheweyh's result ([3], Theorem 4).

Integral transform

For a function $f \in A(p)$ we consider the integral transform given by

$$g(z) = \frac{p+c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (n > -p, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We prove the following

THEOREM 1.6. Let $f \in A(p)$ be in the class $K_{n+1,p}(h)$ for n > -p and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $g(z) \in K_{n+1,p}(h)$, provided $\operatorname{Re} \{(n+p+1)h - (n-c+1)\} > 0$.

Proof. By definition of g(z),

$$zg'(z) + cg(z) = (p+c)f(z),$$

and therefore

(25)
$$D^{n+p}(zg'(z)) + D^{n+p}(cg(z)) = D^{n+p}((p+c)f(z)).$$

176

By using $D^{n+p}(zg'(z)) = z(D^{n+p}g(z))'$ and (26) $z(D^{n+p}g(z))' = (n+p+1)D^{n+p+1}g(z) - (n+1)D^{n+p}g(z)$ equation (25) reduces to

$$(n+p+1)\frac{D^{n+p+1}g(z)}{D^{n+p}g(z)} - (n-c+1) = (p+c)\frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p}g(z)}.$$

Setting $D^{n+p+1}g(z)/D^{n+p}g(z) = R(z)$, this reduces to

$$R(z) - \frac{(n-c+1)}{(n+p+1)} = \frac{p+c}{n+p+1} \frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p}g(z)}$$

Taking logarithmic derivative and multiplying by z we get

$$\frac{zR'(z)}{R(z) - (n-c+1)/(n+p+1)} = \frac{z(D^{n+p}f(z))'}{D^{n+p}f(z)} - \frac{z(D^{n+p}g(z))'}{D^{n+p}g(z)}.$$

Using (26) and simplifying we get

$$\frac{zR'(z)}{(n+p+1)R(z) - (n-c+1)} + R(z) = \frac{D^{n+p+1}f(z)}{D^{n+p}f(z)} \prec h(z) \,,$$

since $f \in K_{n+1,p}(h)$. Hence we conclude that $R(z) \prec h(z)$, that is, $D^{n+p+1}g(z)/D^{n+p}g(z) \prec h(z)$ if $\operatorname{Re} \{(n+p+1)h - (n-c+1)\} > 0$. This completes the proof.

Remark. For p = 1, Theorem 1.6 reduces to Theorem 5 in [3].

2. The classes $A_{n,p}(a,h)$

DEFINITION 2.1. Let h be convex univalent in E with h(0) = 1. The function $f(z) \in A(p)$ such that $D^{n+p-1}f(z) \neq 0$ and $D^{n+p}f(z) \neq 0$ for 0 < |z| < 1 is said to be in $A_{n,p}(a, h)$ if

$$a\frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} + (1-a)\frac{D^{n+p+1}f(z)}{D^{n+p}f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (a \text{ real}).$$

THEOREM 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then

 $A_{n,p}(a,h) \cap A_{n,p}(1,h) \subset A_{n,p}((a-1)t+1,h).$

Proof. If
$$f \in A_{n,p}(a,h)$$
 then

$$a\frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} + (1-a)\frac{D^{n+p+1}f(z)}{D^{n+p}f(z)} \prec h(z).$$
where $f \in A_{n,p}(1,h)$ implies $D^{n+p}f(z)/D^{n+p-1}f(z) \prec h(z)$.

Again,
$$f \in A_{n,p}(1,h)$$
 implies $D^{n+p}f(z)/D^{n+p-1}f(z) \prec h(z)$. Let
 $a \frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} + (1-a)\frac{D^{n+p+1}f(z)}{D^{n+p}f(z)} = h_1(z)$,
 $\frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} = h_2(z)$.

Then $h_1 \prec h$ and $h_2 \prec h$ so that $th_1 + (1-t)h_2 \prec h$. But

 $[1+t(a-1)]\frac{D^{n+p}f}{D^{n+p-1}f} + (1-a)t\frac{D^{n+p+1}f}{D^{n+p}f} = th_1 + (1-t)h_2 \prec h.$ Thus $f \in A_{n,p}((a-1)t+1,h).$

References

- P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, in: General Inequalities 3, Birkhäuser, Basel 1983, 339–348.
- [2] R. M. Goel and N. S. Sohi, A new criterion for p-valent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 353–357.
- [3] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, ibid. 49 (1975), 109–115.
- [4] T. Umezawa, Multivalently close-to-convex functions, ibid. 8 (1957), 869–874.

RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS MADRAS 600 005, INDIA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS QUEEN MARY'S COLLEGE MADRAS 600 004, INDIA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11.12.1989