

The homogeneous transfinite diameter of a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^N

by MIECZYSLAW JJDRZEJOWSKI (Kraków)

Abstract. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^N . A sequence of nonnegative numbers defined by means of extremal points of K with respect to homogeneous polynomials is proved to be convergent. Its limit is called the homogeneous transfinite diameter of K . A few properties of this diameter are given and its value for some compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^N is computed.

1. Introduction. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^N . For a nonnegative integer s let

$$h_s := \binom{s + N - 1}{N - 1}.$$

Let $e_{s,1}(z), \dots, e_{s,h_s}(z)$ be all monomials $z^\alpha := z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_N^{\alpha_N}$ of degree s ordered lexicographically.

For an integer k ($1 \leq k \leq h_s$) let $x^{(k)} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a system of k points in \mathbb{C}^N . Define the “homogeneous Vandermondian” $W_s(x^{(k)})$ of the system $x^{(k)}$ by

$$W_s(x^{(k)}) := \det[e_{s,i}(x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,k}.$$

Then $W_s(x^{(k)})$ is a polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_k of degree sk . Let

$$W_{s,k} := \sup\{|W_s(x^{(k)})| : x^{(k)} \subset K\}.$$

A system $x^{(k)}$ of k points in K is called a *system of extremal points of K with respect to homogeneous polynomials* if

$$|W_s(x^{(k)})| = W_{s,k}.$$

In this paper we prove that for every compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^N the limit

$$D(K) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (W_{s,h_s})^{1/(sh_s)}$$

exists. We call it the *homogeneous transfinite diameter* of K .

This result gives a positive answer to a question put in [11] (see also [12], p. 93). It is obvious that the limit exists for $N = 1$. For $N = 2$ the convergence was proved by Leja [4] (see also [5], p. 261). The limit is then equal to $\sqrt{2\Delta(K)}$, where $\Delta(K)$ is the triangular ecart of K .

We also prove a few properties of $D(K)$ (e.g. comparison of $D(K)$ with some other constants connected with K). Using a characterization of $D(K)$ in terms of directional Chebyshev constants, we compute $D(K)$ for

$$K := \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1|^{p_1} + \dots + |z_N|^{p_N} \leq M\},$$

where M, p_1, \dots, p_N are real positive constants.

We also indicate another method for computing $D(K)$ without calculating W_{s, h_s} .

2. Preliminaries. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^N . Let $\|f\|_K$ denote the supremum norm of a function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. K is called *unisolvent with respect to homogeneous polynomials* if no nonzero homogeneous polynomial vanishes identically on K .

DEFINITION 2.2. K is called *circled* if

$$\{(e^{i\theta} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta} z_N) : (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in K, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset K.$$

DEFINITION 2.3. K is called *N -circular* if

$$\{(e^{i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_N} z_N) : (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in K, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset K.$$

DEFINITION 2.4. Let μ be a nonnegative Borel measure with $\text{supp } \mu \subset K$. The pair (K, μ) is said to satisfy the *Bernstein–Markov property* if for every $\lambda > 1$ there exists an $M > 0$ such that for all polynomials p

$$\|p\|_K \leq M\lambda^{\deg p} \|p\|_2, \quad \text{where } \|p\|_2 := \left(\int_K |p|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

Remark. A few examples of pairs satisfying the Bernstein–Markov property can be found e.g. in [2], [7], [9], [13].

Let δ denote the Lebesgue surface area measure on the unit sphere

$$S := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_N|^2 = 1\},$$

normalized so that $\int_S d\delta = 1$.

DEFINITION 2.5 (see [1]). The *Alexander constant* $\gamma(K)$ is

$$\gamma(K) := \inf_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (\gamma_s(K))^{1/s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\gamma_s(K))^{1/s},$$

where $\gamma_s(K) := \inf\{\|Q\|_K\}$, the infimum being taken over all homogeneous polynomials Q of N complex variables of degree s , normalized so that

$$\int_S \log |Q|^{1/s} d\delta = \kappa_N := \int_S \log |z_N| d\delta.$$

It is known that

$$\kappa_N = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{N-1} \right).$$

DEFINITION 2.6 (see [12]). The *Chebyshev constant* $\varrho(K)$ is

$$\varrho(K) := \inf_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (\varrho_s(K))^{1/s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\varrho_s(K))^{1/s},$$

where $\varrho_s(K) := \inf\{\|Q\|_K\}$, the infimum being taken over all homogeneous polynomials Q of N complex variables of degree s , normalized so that $\|Q\|_S = 1$.

3. The transfinite diameter of a compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^N . For a nonnegative integer s put

$$m_s := \binom{s+N}{N}.$$

Let $e_1(z), e_2(z), \dots$ be all monomials $z^\alpha := z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_N^{\alpha_N}$ ordered so that the degrees of the $e_j(z)$ are nondecreasing and the monomials of a fixed degree are ordered lexicographically. It is easy to check that $e_{s+1,k} = e_{m_s+k}$.

For an integer k let $x^{(k)} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a system of k points in \mathbb{C}^N . Define the ‘‘Vandermondian’’ $V(x^{(k)})$ of the system $x^{(k)}$ by

$$V(x^{(k)}) := \det[e_i(x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,k}.$$

Then $V(x^{(m_s)})$ is a polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_{m_s} of degree

$$l_s := \sum_{j=1}^{m_s} \deg e_j = \sum_{k=0}^s kh_k.$$

It is easy to prove that $l_s = N \binom{s+N}{N+1}$. Put

$$V_k := \sup\{|V(x^{(k)})| : x^{(k)} \subset K\}.$$

Zakharyuta proved in [14] that for every compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^N the limit

$$d(K) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (V_{m_s})^{1/l_s}$$

exists; it is called the *transfinite diameter* of K . This result gave a positive answer to a question put in [6]. For $N = 1$ the convergence was proved by Fekete [3] (see also [5]).

Zakharyuta also computed $d(K)$ in terms of the directional Chebyshev constants. Put

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^{N-1} := \left\{ \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j = 1, \theta_j \geq 0 \right\},$$

$$\Sigma_0 = \Sigma_0^{N-1} := \{ \theta \in \Sigma^{N-1} : \theta_j > 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N \}.$$

For an integer $j \geq 1$ let $\alpha(j) := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, where $z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_N^{\alpha_N} = e_j(z)$. Let

$$M_j := \inf \left\{ \left\| e_j(z) + \sum_{i < j} c_i e_i(z) \right\|_K : c_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

denote the Chebyshev constant of K associated to the monomial $e_j(z)$ and the given ordering. It is known that the infimum is attained for at least one polynomial $t_j(z) = e_j(z) + \sum_{i < j} c_i e_i(z)$. It is called the *Chebyshev polynomial* of K . Put

$$\tau_j := M_j^{1/|\alpha(j)|},$$

where, as usual, $|\alpha(j)| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N$ is the length of the multiindex $\alpha(j)$.

For $\theta \in \Sigma$ let $\tau(K, \theta)$ and $\tau_-(K, \theta)$ denote the ‘‘Chebyshev constants in the direction θ ’’, i.e.

$$\tau(K, \theta) := \limsup \{ \tau_j : j \rightarrow \infty, \alpha(j)/|\alpha(j)| \rightarrow \theta \},$$

$$\tau_-(K, \theta) := \liminf \{ \tau_j : j \rightarrow \infty, \alpha(j)/|\alpha(j)| \rightarrow \theta \}.$$

Zakharyuta proved that $\tau(K, \theta) = \tau_-(K, \theta)$ for each $\theta \in \Sigma_0$ and that $\log \tau(K, \theta)$ is a convex function on Σ_0 . Let

$$\tau(K) := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma} \int_{\Sigma} \log \tau(K, \theta) d\omega(\theta) \right\},$$

where $\text{mes } \Sigma := \int_{\Sigma} d\omega(\theta)$ and ω denotes the Lebesgue surface area measure on the hyperplane $\{\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_N = 1\}$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Zakharyuta proved that $d(K) = \tau(K)$.

4. The homogeneous transfinite diameter. For two integers s, k ($s \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq h_s$) put

$$M_{s,k} := \inf \left\{ \left\| e_{s,k}(z) + \sum_{i < k} c_i e_{s,i}(z) \right\|_K : c_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that there exists at least one homogeneous polynomial $t_{s,k}(z) = e_{s,k}(z) + \sum_{i < k} c_i e_{s,i}(z)$ attaining the infimum. It is called the *Chebyshev polynomial* of K .

Let $\beta(s, k) := \alpha(m_{s-1} + k)$, where $m_{-1} := 0$. Hence $\beta(s, k) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$, where $z_1^{\beta_1} \dots z_N^{\beta_N} = e_{s,k}(z)$. It is obvious that $|\beta(s, k)| = s$.

Put

$$\tau_{s,k} := M_{s,k}^{1/s}.$$

For $\theta \in \Sigma$ let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) &:= \limsup\{\tau_{s,k} : s \rightarrow \infty, \beta(s, k)/s \rightarrow \theta\}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_-(K, \theta) &:= \liminf\{\tau_{s,k} : s \rightarrow \infty, \beta(s, k)/s \rightarrow \theta\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \leq C$ if

$$K \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1| \leq C, \dots, |z_N| \leq C\}.$$

The following lemmas can be proved in the same manner as the similar results in [14] (it suffices to replace the polynomials $e_j(z) + \sum_{i < j} c_i e_i(z)$ by $e_{s,k}(z) + \sum_{i < k} b_i e_{s,i}(z)$, where $e_{s,k} = e_j$):

LEMMA 4.1. For each $\theta \in \Sigma_0$, $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) = \tilde{\tau}_-(K, \theta)$.

LEMMA 4.2. The function $\log \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta)$ is convex in Σ_0 .

COROLLARY 4.3. If $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta') = 0$ for some $\theta' \in \Sigma_0$, then $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \equiv 0$ in Σ_0 .

COROLLARY 4.4. The function $\log \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta)$ is continuous in Σ_0 .

LEMMA 4.5. If $\theta \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, then

$$\tilde{\tau}_-(K, \theta) = \liminf\{\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta') : \theta' \rightarrow \theta, \theta' \in \Sigma_0\}.$$

COROLLARY 4.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{s,k} &= \sup\{\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) : \theta \in \Sigma\}, \\ \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{s,k} &= \inf\{\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) : \theta \in \Sigma\} \\ &= \inf\{\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) : \theta \in \Sigma_0\} = \inf\{\tilde{\tau}_-(K, \theta) : \theta \in \Sigma\}.\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4.7. If $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \not\equiv 0$ in Σ_0 , then $\inf\{\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) : \theta \in \Sigma\} > 0$.

DEFINITION 4.8. The Chebyshev constant $\tilde{\tau}(K)$ is

$$\tilde{\tau}(K) := \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma} \int_{\Sigma} \log \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) d\omega(\theta)\right\}.$$

If $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \equiv 0$ in Σ_0 , then $\tilde{\tau}(K) = 0$. Assume that $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \not\equiv 0$ in Σ_0 . Then $\log \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta)$ is continuous in Σ_0 and bounded on Σ (see Corollaries 4.4 and 4.7). Therefore the integral above exists and is finite. Hence $0 < \tilde{\tau}(K) < \infty$ in this case.

LEMMA 4.9. $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\tau}_s^0(K) = \tilde{\tau}(K)$, where

$$\tilde{\tau}_s^0(K) := \left(\prod_{k=1}^{h_s} \tau_{s,k}\right)^{1/h_s}.$$

LEMMA 4.10. *Let s, k be nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq h_s$. Then*

$$\tau_{s,k}^s W_{s,k-1} \leq W_{s,k} \leq k \tau_{s,k}^s W_{s,k-1},$$

where $W_{s,0} := 1$.

COROLLARY 4.11. *If $W_{s,k} > 0$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, h_s\}$, then*

$$(\tilde{\tau}_s^0(K))^{sh_s} \leq W_{s,h_s} \leq h_s! (\tilde{\tau}_s^0(K))^{sh_s}.$$

THEOREM 4.12. *For every compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^N the limit*

$$D(K) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (W_{s,h_s})^{1/(sh_s)}$$

exists and is equal to $\tilde{\tau}(K)$.

We call this limit the *homogeneous transfinite diameter* of K .

PROOF. If K is not unisolvent with respect to homogeneous polynomials then $Q \equiv 0$ on K , where $Q = e_{s,k} + \sum_{i < k} c_i e_{s,i}$. Hence for each positive integer j

$$z_1^j \dots z_N^j Q(z_1, \dots, z_N) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } K.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta') = 0$, where $\theta' = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)$. By Corollary 4.3, $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \equiv 0$ on Σ_0 . On the other hand, one sees immediately that $W_{r,h_r} = 0$ for $r \geq s$, which completes the proof in this case.

Assume now that K is unisolvent with respect to homogeneous polynomials. Then $\tau_{s,k} > 0$ for $s \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k \leq h_s$. So $W_{s,k} > 0$ by Lemma 4.10. Applying Lemma 4.9 and Corollary 4.11 we get the desired conclusion.

COROLLARY 4.13. *If K is not unisolvent with respect to homogeneous polynomials, then $D(K) = 0$.*

5. Properties of the constant $D(K)$

LEMMA 5.1. *For every compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^N , $d(K) \leq D(K)$. If K is circled, then $d(K) = D(K)$.*

PROOF. It is obvious that $\|t_j\|_K \leq \|t_{s,k}\|_K$ if $\beta(s,k) = \alpha(j)$, i.e. $e_{s,k} = e_j$. By Theorem 4.12 and the equality $d(K) = \tau(K)$, it suffices to show that $\|t_{s,k}\|_K \leq \|t_j\|_K$ if K is circled. By the Cauchy inequalities $\|t_j\|_K \geq \|q_j\|_K$, where $t_j = q_j + p_j$, q_j is homogeneous and $\deg p_j < \deg t_j$ (or $p_j \equiv 0$). Obviously, $\|q_j\|_K \geq \|t_{s,k}\|_K$, which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 5.2. *If K is N -circular and $\theta \in \Sigma_0$, then*

$$\tau(K, \theta) = \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) = \sup\{|z_1|^{\theta_1} \dots |z_N|^{\theta_N} : (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in K\}.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\|t_j\|_K \leq \|t_{s,k}\|_K \leq \|e_j\|_K$, where $e_{s,k} = e_j$. Since K is N -circular, by the Cauchy inequalities $\|e_j\|_K \leq \|t_j\|_K$. Hence for $\theta \in \Sigma_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(K, \theta) &= \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) = \lim\{\|e_j\|_K^{1/|\alpha(j)|} : j \rightarrow \infty, \alpha(j)/|\alpha(j)| \rightarrow \theta\} \\ &= \sup\{|z_1|^{\theta_1} \dots |z_N|^{\theta_N} : (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in K\}. \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion.

LEMMA 5.3. $D(K) = D(\widehat{K})$, where \widehat{K} is the convex hull of K with respect to homogeneous polynomials, i.e.

$$\widehat{K} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |Q(z)| \leq \|Q\|_K \text{ for all homogeneous polynomials } Q\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to use Theorem 4.12 together with the obvious equality $\tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) = \tilde{\tau}(\widehat{K}, \theta)$.

LEMMA 5.4. Let $K_1 = F(K_2)$, where $F(z_1, \dots, z_N) := (c_1 z_1, \dots, c_N z_N)$ for $(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$D(K_1) = |c_1 \dots c_N|^{1/N} D(K_2).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to compare the constants W_{s,h_s} for K_1 with those for K_2 . The details are left to the reader.

LEMMA 5.5. If $U : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a unitary transformation, then $D(U(K)) = D(K)$.

Proof. The lemma can be proved in the same way as the similar result $d(U(K)) = d(K)$ (see [8]).

COROLLARY 5.6. If $A : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a linear mapping, then

$$D(A(K)) = |\det A|^{1/N} D(K).$$

Proof. Combine Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5.

THEOREM 5.7. If K is compact and R is a positive constant such that

$$K \subset B_R := \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_N|^2 \leq R^2\},$$

then

$$\varrho(K)/\sqrt{N} \leq D(K) \leq R^{1-1/N} \varrho(K)^{1/N}.$$

Proof. The theorem can be proved in the same manner as Theorem 3 in [8] (it suffices to replace $e_j(z) + \sum_{i < j} c_i e_i(z)$ by $e_{s,k}(z) + \sum_{i < k} b_i e_{s,i}(z)$, where $e_{s,k} = e_j$).

COROLLARY 5.8. If K is compact and R is a positive constant such that $K \subset B_R$, then

$$\gamma(K)/\sqrt{N} \leq D(K) \leq R^{1-1/N} \exp(-\kappa_N/N) \gamma(K)^{1/N}.$$

Proof. It is known that $\gamma(K) \leq \varrho(K) \leq \gamma(K) \exp(-\kappa_N)$ (see [12], Proposition 12.1). Now apply Theorem 5.7.

THEOREM 5.9. *Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^N . Let μ be a nonnegative Borel measure with $\text{supp } \mu \subset K$. If the pair (K, μ) satisfies the Bernstein–Markov property and $\mu(K) < \infty$, then*

$$D(K) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (G_{s, h_s})^{1/(2sh_s)},$$

where

$$G_{s, k} := \det \left\{ \left[\int_K e_{s, i}(z) \overline{e_{s, j}(z)} d\mu(z) \right]_{i, j=1, \dots, k} \right\},$$

for nonnegative integers s, k ($k \in \{1, \dots, h_s\}$).

Proof. If K is not unisolvent with respect to homogeneous polynomials then $D(K) = 0$ (see Corollary 4.13). On the other hand, for all but a finite number of integers r there exists a nonzero homogeneous polynomial Q_r of degree r that vanishes identically on K , say

$$Q_r = \sum_{j=1}^{h_r} d_j e_{r, j} \quad (d_j \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Obviously, $\|Q_r\|_K = 0$ implies $\|Q_r\|_2 = 0$. Therefore $G_{r, h_r} = 0$ for such r .

Assume that K is unisolvent with respect to homogeneous polynomials. Then none of the Gram determinants $G_{s, k}$ is zero. Indeed, if $G_{s, k} = 0$ for some s and k , we should have $\|Q\|_2 = 0$, where $Q = \sum_{j=1}^k d_j e_{s, j}$ ($d_j \in \mathbb{C}$) and $Q \not\equiv 0$. By the Bernstein–Markov property, $\|Q\|_K = 0$, which is impossible.

Analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [2] now yields our statement (upon replacing again $e_j(z) + \sum_{i < j} c_i e_i(z)$ by $e_{s, k}(z) + \sum_{i < k} b_i e_{s, i}(z)$, where $e_{s, k} = e_j$). Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.12 are used in the proof.

6. The value of $D(K)$ and $d(K)$ for some compact sets K . Consider the following compact N -circular set $K = K(p_1, \dots, p_N, M)$:

$$K := \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1|^{p_1} + \dots + |z_N|^{p_N} \leq M\},$$

where M, p_1, \dots, p_N are real positive constants.

THEOREM 6.1. *If $K = K(p_1, \dots, p_N, M)$ and $a_j = 1/p_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, then*

$$D(K) = d(K) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \log(M a_j) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{z^N \text{Log } z dz}{(z - a_1) \dots (z - a_N)} \right) \right\},$$

where C is any contour in the right half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } z > 0\}$ enclosing all the points a_1, \dots, a_N and $\text{Log } z$ is the principal branch of the logarithm.

In particular, if $p_j \neq p_k$ for $j \neq k$, then

$$D(K) = d(K) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \log(Ma_j) - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{a_j^N \log a_j}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)} \right) \right\}.$$

If $p_1 = \dots = p_N = p$ and $M = R^p$ ($R > 0$), then

$$D(K) = d(K) = R \exp \left(-\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{k} \right).$$

We first prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 6.2. If $f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N)$ is a continuous function on Σ^{N-1} , then

$$\frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) d\omega(\theta) = (N-1) \int_0^1 x^{N-2} H(x) dx,$$

where

$$H(x) := \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-2}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-2}} f(\xi_1 x, \xi_2 x, \dots, \xi_{N-1} x, 1-x) d\omega(\xi).$$

Proof. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) d\omega(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma_*^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma_*^{N-1}} f\left(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N-1}, 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \theta_j\right) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_*^{N-1} := \{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} : \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \theta_j \leq 1, \theta_j \geq 0\}$. We change the variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_j &= \xi_j x \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N-2, \\ \theta_{N-1} &= \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \xi_j\right) x, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N-2}) \in \Sigma_*^{N-2}$. It is obvious that $d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{N-1} = x^{N-2} dx d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{N-2}$ and that

$$\text{mes } \Sigma_*^{N-2} / \text{mes } \Sigma_*^{N-1} = N-1.$$

This proves the lemma (the details are left to the reader).

LEMMA 6.3. *If $a_j \neq a_k$ for $j \neq k$, then*

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)} = 0,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{a_j^N}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)} = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j.$$

Proof. Consider the polynomial

$$P(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} b_m x^m := -1 + \sum_{j=1}^N P_j(x),$$

where

$$P_j(x) := \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \frac{x - a_k}{a_j - a_k}.$$

It is clear that $\deg P \leq N-1$ and $P(a_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, which implies $P \equiv 0$. So $b_{N-1} = 0$, and (6.1) follows.

To prove (6.2), let

$$Q(x) = -x^N + \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} c_m x^m := -x^N + \sum_{j=1}^N a_j^N P_j(x).$$

Since $\deg Q = N$ and $Q(a_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$Q(x) = -(x - a_1)(x - a_2) \dots (x - a_N).$$

Therefore $c_{N-1} = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. It is easy to check, applying Lemma 5.2, that for $K = K(p_1, \dots, p_N, M)$ and $\theta \in \Sigma_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \log \tau(K, \theta) &= \log \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \log(M a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \log \theta_j \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \log(a_1 \theta_1 + \dots + a_N \theta_N). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D(K) = d(K) = \tilde{\tau}(K)$, it is sufficient to prove the following three formulas ($j = 1, \dots, N$):

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} \theta_j d\omega(\theta) = \frac{1}{N},$$

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} \theta_j \log \theta_j d\omega(\theta) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{k},$$

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \right) \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \right) d\omega(\theta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right) + \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{z^N \text{Log } z dz}{(z - a_1) \dots (z - a_N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the particular cases

$$p_j \neq p_k \quad \text{for } j \neq k$$

and

$$p_1 = \dots = p_N = p, \quad M = R^p$$

can be obtained from the main formula (it suffices to apply the Residue Theorem and observe that $f^{(N-1)}(z) = N!z(\text{Log } z + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N})$ if $f(z) = z^N \text{Log } z$).

It suffices to prove (6.3) and (6.4) for $j = N - 1$. Obviously $\text{mes } \Sigma_*^{N-1} = 1/(N - 1)!$ and

$$\frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} \theta_{N-1} d\omega(\theta) = \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma_*^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma_*^{N-1}} \theta_{N-1} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{N-1}.$$

So (6.3) follows immediately if we change the variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= (1 - v_1)v_2 \dots v_{N-1}, \\ \theta_2 &= (1 - v_2)v_3 \dots v_{N-1}, \\ &\vdots \\ \theta_{N-2} &= (1 - v_{N-2})v_{N-1}, \\ \theta_{N-1} &= 1 - v_{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq v_j \leq 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, N - 1$.

Apply the same change of variables to compute

$$\frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma_*^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma_*^{N-1}} \theta_{N-1} \log \theta_{N-1} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{N-1}.$$

Then it is sufficient to check that

$$\int_0^1 x^{N-2}(1 - x) \log(1 - x) dx = -\frac{1}{N(N - 1)} \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{k}.$$

Let $x = 1 - e^{-t}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-2t} (1 - e^{-t})^{N-2} dt \\
&= - \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \binom{N-2}{j} (-1)^j \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-(j+2)t} dt \\
&= - \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \binom{N-2}{j} (-1)^j \frac{1}{(j+2)^2} \\
&= - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} (-1)^j \binom{N}{j+2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{j+2}\right) \\
&= - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \left(\sum_{k=2}^N (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} + \sum_{k=2}^N (-1)^{k+1} \binom{N}{k} \frac{1}{k} \right) \\
&= - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have applied the well-known formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{k+1} \binom{N}{k} \frac{1}{k} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{j}$$

and the obvious equality

$$0 = (1-1)^N = 1 - N + \sum_{k=2}^N (-1)^k \binom{N}{k}.$$

Let us prove (6.5). Both its sides are continuous functions of the parameters a_j . Therefore it suffices to show that the formula is true if $a_j \neq a_k$ for $j \neq k$. So we have to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.6) \quad & \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \right) \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \right) d\omega(\theta) \\
&= - \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{a_j^N \log a_j}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is by induction on N . It is easy to check the case $N = 2$. Assuming (6.6) to hold for $N - 1$ ($N \geq 3$), we will prove it for N . We are going to

apply Lemma 6.2. We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mes } \Sigma^{N-2} \cdot H(x) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma^{N-2}} \left\{ a_N(1-x) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j \xi_j x \right\} \log \left\{ a_N(1-x) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j \xi_j x \right\} d\omega(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

We have $a_N(1-x) \equiv a_N(1-x) \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \xi_j$ on $\Sigma^{N-2} := \{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \xi_j = 1\}$. Therefore

$$\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-2} \cdot H(x) = \int_{\Sigma^{N-2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} A_j \xi_j \right) \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} A_j \xi_j \right) d\omega(\xi),$$

where $A_j = A_j(x) := a_N + (a_j - a_N)x$ for $j = 1, \dots, N-1$. By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= -\frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} A_j \right) + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{A_j^{N-1} \log A_j}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{N-1} (A_j - A_k)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k} \right) \left\{ (N-1)a_N + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j - (N-1)a_N \right) x \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{(a_N + (a_j - a_N)x)^{N-1} \log(a_N + (a_j - a_N)x)}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{N-1} (a_j - a_k)x}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 6.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{mes } \Sigma^{N-1}} \int_{\Sigma^{N-1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \right) \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \theta_j \right) d\omega(\theta) \\ &= (N-1) \int_0^1 x^{N-2} H(x) dx = B_1 + B_2 + B_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -(N-1) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k} \right) a_N \int_0^1 x^{N-2} dx, \\ B_2 &= -\left(\sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j - (N-1)a_N \right) \int_0^1 x^{N-1} dx, \\ B_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\int_0^1 (a_N + (a_j - a_N)x)^{N-1} \log(a_N + (a_j - a_N)x) dx}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{N-1} (a_j - a_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$B_1 + B_2 = -\frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right).$$

Integrating by parts the integral in B_3 , we obtain $B_3 = C_1 + C_2$, where

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{a_j^N - a_N^N}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)},$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{a_j^N \log a_j - a_N^N \log a_N}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)}.$$

Applying (6.1) and (6.2) we get

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{N^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{a_j^N}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)} + \frac{a_N^N}{\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (a_N - a_k)} \right) = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^N a_j.$$

Therefore

$$B_1 + B_2 + C_1 = -\frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{k=2}^N \frac{1}{k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right).$$

By (6.1),

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{a_j^N \log a_j}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)} - a_N^N \log a_N \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{a_j^N \log a_j}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N (a_j - a_k)}.$$

Thus $B_1 + B_2 + C_1 + C_2$ is equal to the right-hand side of (6.6), which proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 6.4 (see [10]). *If*

$$K := \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_1| \leq R_1, \dots, |z_N| \leq R_N\},$$

where $R_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, then

$$D(K) = d(K) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^N R_j \right)^{1/N}.$$

Proof. It is easy to check, applying Lemma 5.2, that for $\theta \in \Sigma_0$

$$\log \tau(K, \theta) = \log \tilde{\tau}(K, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j \log R_j.$$

Applying Theorem 4.12 and (6.3) we obtain the desired conclusion.

References

- [1] H. Alexander, *Projective capacity*, in: Conference on Several Complex Variables, Ann. of Math. Stud. 100, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981, 3–27.
- [2] T. Bloom, L. Bos, C. Christensen and N. Levenberg, *Polynomial interpolation of holomorphic functions in \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}^n* , preprint, 1989.
- [3] M. Fekete, *Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten*, Math. Z. 17 (1923), 228–249.
- [4] F. Leja, *Sur les séries des polynômes homogènes*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 56 (1932), 419–445.
- [5] —, *Theory of Analytic Functions*, PWN, Warszawa 1957 (in Polish).
- [6] —, *Problèmes à résoudre posés à la Conférence*, Colloq. Math. 7 (1959), 153.
- [7] N. Levenberg, *Monge–Ampère measures associated to extremal plurisubharmonic functions in \mathbb{C}^N* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 289 (1) (1985), 333–343.
- [8] N. Levenberg and B. A. Taylor, *Comparison of capacities in \mathbb{C}^n* , in: Proc. Toulouse 1983, Lecture Notes in Math. 1094, Springer, 1984, 162–172.
- [9] Nguyen Thanh Van, *Familles de polynômes ponctuellement bornées*, Ann. Polon. Math. 31 (1975), 83–90.
- [10] M. Schiffer and J. Siciak, *Transfinite diameter and analytic continuation of functions of two complex variables*, Technical Report, Stanford 1961.
- [11] J. Siciak, *On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (2) (1962), 322–357.
- [12] —, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and capacities in \mathbb{C}^n* , Sophia Kokyuroku in Math. 14, Sophia University, Tokyo 1982.
- [13] —, *Families of polynomials and determining measures*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 9 (2) (1988), 193–211.
- [14] V. P. Zakharyuta, *Transfinite diameter, Chebyshev constants and a capacity of a compact set in \mathbb{C}^n* , Mat. Sb. 96 (138) (3) (1975), 374–389 (in Russian).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY
 REYMONTA 4
 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19.8.1990