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#### Abstract

We define some new classes of univalent functions. The Schiffer differential equations are obtained for extremal functions from some of these classes.


1. Introduction. I would like to suggest studying some new classes of univalent functions. The idea of construction of these classes follows the definitions of the Bieberbach-Eilenberg and Gelfer functions.

Let $D$ denote the unit disc $|z|<1$, let $H_{u}(D)$ be the set of univalent holomorphic functions on $D$ and let $h$ be a homography. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(h, a)=\left\{f \in H_{u}(D): f(0)=a, w \in f(D) \Rightarrow h(w) \notin f(D)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h(z)=-z, a=1$ we get the class of Gelfer functions; for $h(z)=1 / z$, $a=0$, we have the Bieberbach-Eilenberg functions.

From (1.1) it follows that $a \in f(D)$ while $h(a) \notin f(D)$ and $w_{0} \notin f(D)$ where $w_{0}$ is a fixed point of $h$. Since either $h(a)$ or $w_{0}$ is not infinite, $T(h, a) \cup\{f(z) \equiv a\}$ is a compact family.

In this paper I study the form of Schiffer's differential equations for some $T(h, a)$ classes. The idea of writing these equations consists in writing them for some special classes and then translating information to the others.
2. Extremal functions and Schiffer's equations in $T(h, a)$. We start with two theorems:

Theorem 1. Let $h, l$, $p$ be homographies. Suppose that $h(\infty)=\infty$, $l=p \circ h \circ p^{-1}, p(a)=b$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(l, b) \subset p(T(h, a))=\{p \circ f: f \in T(h, a)\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l(\infty)=\infty$ or $l(b)=\infty$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(l, b)=p(T(h, a)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $g \in T(l, b)$. Define $f=p^{-1} \circ g$. Then $f$ is univalent and $f(0)=a$. Suppose that $u \in g(D)$ and $p^{-1}(u)=\infty$. Then $l(u)=p \circ h \circ$ $p^{-1}(u)=p(\infty)=u \in g(D)$. This is impossible, so that $f$ is holomorphic in $D$. From $p^{-1} \circ g\left(z_{1}\right)=h \circ p^{-1} \circ g\left(z_{2}\right), z_{1}, z_{2} \in D$, it follows that $g\left(z_{1}\right)=$ $l\left(g\left(z_{2}\right)\right)$; this contradiction gives $f\left(z_{1}\right) \neq h\left(f\left(z_{2}\right)\right)$ and $f \in T(h, a)$.

If $l(\infty)=\infty$ then $h \circ p^{-1}(\infty)=p^{-1} \circ l(\infty)=p^{-1}(\infty)$. If $l(b)=\infty$ then $p \circ h(a)=l \circ p(a)=\infty$. In both cases the pole of $p$ is not in $f(D)$ for $f \in T(h, a)$. Hence $p \circ f$ is holomorphic and univalent in $D$. That $p \circ f \in T(l, b)$ is proved as above.

Theorem 1 implies
Theorem 2. For every $a \neq 0, \infty$ and every homography $l$ there exist homographies $h$ and $p$ so that:
(i) $l=p \circ h \circ p^{-1}$,
(ii) $h(z)=\lambda z$ or $h(z)=z+1$,
(iii) $p(T(h, a))=T(l, b)$.

Moreover, if $l(\infty)=\infty$ then $b$ is an arbitrary number, otherwise $b$ is the pole of $l$.

Proof. The proof of (i), (ii) can be found in [2]; (iii) follows from Theorem 1.

For a holomorphic function $f(z)=a+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ let $\{f\}_{s}$ denote $a_{s}$. For $n \geq 2$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{n}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right):\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left.\quad x_{s}=\operatorname{Re}\{f\}_{s}, y_{s}=\operatorname{Im}\{f\}_{s}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n, f \in T(h, a)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $F\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ be a real-valued function which satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $F$ is defined in an open set $U \supset V_{n} \cup\{a\}$,
(b) $F$ and its derivatives $F_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial F / \partial x_{s}-i \partial F / \partial y_{s}\right), s=1 \ldots, n$, are continuous in $U$,
(c) $|\operatorname{grad} F|=\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left|F_{s}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}>0$ in $U$.

Then $F$ defines a functional $H$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(f)=F\left(\operatorname{Re}\{f\}_{1}, \operatorname{Im}\{f\}_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Re}\{f\}_{n}, \operatorname{Im}\{f\}_{n}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. A function $f^{*} \in T(h, a)$ is called extremal in $T(h, a)$ if $H\left(f^{*}\right) \geq H(f), f \in T(h, a)$, for some $F$ as above.

It is known [4], [6] that extremal functions in some classes of univalent functions satisfy the Schiffer differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2} P(f(z))=Q(z), \quad|z|<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(w), Q(z)$ are rational functions, $Q(z)=\sum_{s=-n+1}^{n-1} B_{s} / z^{s}, Q$ is real and nonnegative on $|z|=1, B_{0}$ is real and $B_{-s}=\bar{B}_{s}$.

These equations differ in $P(w)$ which depends on the class of univalent functions considered.

Now we may prove the following theorem:
Theorem 3. Let $p(T(h, a))=T(l, b)$ and $l=p \circ h \circ p^{-1}$, where $p, l, h$ are homographies. Then
(i) $f$ is an extremal function in $T(h, a)$ if and only if $p \circ f$ is extremal in $T(l, b)$,
(ii) $f$ satisfies Schiffer's equation with $P(w), Q(z)$ if and only if $p \circ f$ satisfies Schiffer's equation with $\left[\left(p^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2} P\left(p^{-1}(w)\right)$ and $Q(z)$.

Proof. (i) Let $f^{*}$ be an extremal function in $T(h, a)$. There is a function $F\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ so that $H\left(f^{*}\right) \geq H(f), f \in T(h, a)$, where $H$ is defined by (2.5). Let $V_{n}$ and $V_{n}^{*}$ denote the sets (2.3) for $T(h, a)$ and $T(l, b)$. We may define a mapping $m: V_{n}^{*} \rightarrow V_{n}$ by

$$
V_{n}^{*} \ni\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, \ldots x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Re} m_{1}, \operatorname{Im} m_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} m_{n}, \operatorname{Im} m_{n}\right) \in V_{n}
$$

where $m_{1}=m_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}\right), m_{2}=m_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right), \ldots, m_{n}=m_{n}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right)$ are the polynomials appearing in the development

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{-1} \circ g(z) & =m_{0}+m_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}\right) z+\ldots+m_{n}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right) z^{n}+\ldots, \\
g(z) & =b+\left(x_{1}^{*}+i y_{1}^{*}\right) z+\ldots+\left(x_{n}^{*}+i y_{n}^{*}\right) z^{n}+\ldots \in T(l, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $T(l, b)=p(T(h, a))$ that we may define a function $F^{*}$ in an open set $U^{*} \supset V^{*} \cup\{b\}$ by

$$
F^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right)=F\left(\operatorname{Re} m_{1}, \operatorname{Im} m_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} m_{n}, \operatorname{Im} m_{n}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that for $p^{-1}(z)=\alpha z$ or $p^{-1}(z)=z+r$ or $p^{-1}(z)=1 / z$ the Jacobian of $m$ is not zero, so that $F^{*}$ satisfies (2.4). We may define $H^{*}$ as in (2.5). We have $H^{*}(g)=H(f)$ where $g=p \circ f$. Therefore $H^{*}\left(g^{*}\right) \geq H(g)$, $g \in T(l, b)$, where $g^{*}=p \circ f^{*}$, so that $g^{*}$ is extremal in $T(l, b)$. The inverse implication is proved similarly.
(ii) The proof is obvious.

From Theorem 3 it follows that it is sufficient to investigate extremal problems in the classes $T(h, a)$ where $h(z)=\lambda z$ or $h(z)=z+1$.
3. Schiffer's equation in classical form for the Gelfer function. In [1] J. A. Hummel and M. M. Schiffer proved

Theorem 4. Suppose that $\Psi$ is a functional on the class $E$ of BieberbachEilenberg functions. Suppose that for some $f \in E, \operatorname{Re} \Psi(f) \geq \operatorname{Re} \Psi\left(f^{*}\right)$ for
every $f^{*} \in E$, and $\Psi$ has a Gateaux derivative $L(f, \cdot)$ with respect to $f$. Then $f$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{2} A(f(z))=Q(z), \quad|z|<1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A(w) & =D(w)+L(f, f)+D(1 / w) \\
Q(\xi) & =E(\xi)+L\left(f, z f^{\prime}(z)\right)+\overline{E(1 / \bar{\xi})}  \tag{3.2}\\
D(w) & =L\left(f, \frac{w f(z)}{f(z)-w}\right), \quad E(\xi)=L\left(f, \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{z-\xi}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, $L\left(f, z f^{\prime}(z)\right)$ is real and $Q$ is real and nonpositive on $|z|=1$. If $A(w) \not \equiv 0$ then $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash(f(D) \cup h(D))$ has no interior points where $h(z)=1 / f(z)$, and $\{-1,1\} \subset \partial f(D)$.

This equation is written in functional form. We will write it in classical form.

Let $f$ be an extremal function in $E$ and let $H$ be a functional of type (2.5). For every function $g$ holomorphic in $D$ and every "near" $f$, in the sense that $\left|\{f\}_{\nu}-\{g\}_{\nu}\right|$ is sufficiently small for $\nu=1, \ldots, n$, we may define a functional $\Psi$ by $\Psi(g)=H(g)-i H(f-i(f-g))$. If $g \in E$ is "near" $f$ then $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(g) \leq \operatorname{Re} \Psi(f)$. For $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small it is easy to obtain

$$
H(f+\varepsilon g)-H(f)=2 \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} F_{\nu}\{g\}_{\nu}+o(\varepsilon)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(f+\varepsilon g)-\Psi(f) & =2 \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} F_{\nu}\{g\}_{\nu}-i 2 \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} i \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} F_{\nu}\{g\}_{\nu}+o(\varepsilon) \\
& =2 \varepsilon \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} F_{\nu}\{g\}_{\nu}+o(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\Psi$ has Gateaux derivative

$$
L(f, g)=2 \sum_{\nu} F_{\nu}\left(\operatorname{Re}\{f\}_{1}, \operatorname{Im}\{f\}_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Re}\{f\}_{n}, \operatorname{Im}\{f\}_{n}\right)\{g\}_{\nu}
$$

By (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(\xi)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} F_{\nu}\left\{-z f^{\prime}(z) \frac{1}{1-z / \xi}\right\}_{\nu}=B+\frac{B_{-1}}{\xi}+\ldots+\frac{B_{-n+1}}{\xi^{n-1}} \\
\overline{E(1 / \bar{\xi})}=\bar{B}+\bar{B}_{-1} \xi+\ldots+\bar{B}_{-n+1} \xi^{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
Q(\xi)=\sum_{\nu=-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{B_{\nu}}{\xi^{\nu}}
$$

where $B_{-\nu}=\bar{B}_{\nu}, \nu=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $B_{0}=L\left(f, z f^{\prime}(z)\right)+\bar{B}+B$ is real. Similarly

$$
A(w)=\sum_{\nu=-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{A_{\nu}}{w^{\nu}} \quad \text { where } A_{-\nu}=A_{\nu}
$$

Without loss of generality we may multiply both sides by -1 , and then $Q$ is nonnegative on $\partial D$.

We have proved
Theorem 5. Let $f$ be an extremal function in $E$. Then $f$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{2} A(f(z))=Q(z), \quad z \in D
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(w)=\sum_{\nu=-n+1}^{n-1} A_{\nu} / w^{\nu}, \quad Q(z)=\sum_{\nu=-n+1}^{n-1} B_{\nu} / z^{\nu} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $Q$ is real and nonnegative on $|z|=1 . B_{0}$ is real and $B_{-\nu}=\bar{B}_{\nu}$, $A_{-\nu}=A_{\nu}, \nu=1, \ldots, n-1$. The set $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash(f(D) \cup h(D))$ has no interior points where $h(z)=1 / f(z)$, and $\{-1,1\} \in \partial f(D)$.

We know that $E=T(h, 0)$ where $h(z)=1 / z$. Taking $p(z)=(1+z) /(1-$ $z$ ) we get $p(T(h, 0))=T(l, 1)$ where $l(z)=-z$, the class of Gelfer functions. Using Theorems 3 and 5 we may prove:

Theorem 6. Let $f$ be an extremal function in the class of Gelfer functions $T(l, 1)$ where $l(z)=-z$. Then $f$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2} P(f(z))=Q(z) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(w)=U(w) /\left(w^{2}-1\right)^{m+2}, m<n, U(w)$ is a polynomial, $U(-w)=$ $U(w), \operatorname{deg} U \leq 2 m$, and $Q$ is as in Theorem 5. Moreover, $\{0, \infty\} \subset \partial f(D)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash(f(D) \cup(-f(D))$ has no interior points.

Proof. By Theorem 3, $f$ satisfies Schiffer's equations with $Q(z)$ as above and

$$
P(w)=\frac{4}{(w+1)^{4}}\left(\frac{w+1}{w-1}\right)^{2} A\left(\frac{w-1}{w+1}\right)
$$

where $A(u)$ is defined by (3.3). Hence

$$
P(w)=\frac{4}{\left(w^{2}-1\right)^{2}} A\left(\frac{w-1}{w+1}\right)=\frac{4}{\left(w^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \sum_{\nu=-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{A_{\nu}(w+1)^{\nu}}{(w-1)^{\nu}}
$$

We see that $P(-w)=P(w)$ because $A(u)=A(1 / u)$. Let $A_{m+1}=A_{m+2}=$ $\ldots=A_{n-1}=0$. Then $P(w)=U(w) /\left(w^{2}-1\right)^{m+2}$ where $U$ is a polynomial. Since $P(-w)=P(w)$ we have $U(-w)=U(w)$. The degree of $U$ is not greater than $2 m$.

The rest of the assertion follows from Theorem 5 and from the properties of the homography $p$.

Now we will obtain Schiffer's equation in the class $T\left(p \circ h \circ p^{-1}, p(1)\right)$ where $h(z)=-z$ and $p$ is a homography.
4. Schiffer's equations in some $T(h, a)$ classes. Let $h(z)=-z$ and $l=p \circ h \circ p^{-1}$ where $p$ is a homography. Then $l$ has two fixed points $x, y$. Suppose that $x \neq \infty, y \neq \infty$. Then $p$ and $p^{-1}$ have the form [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-1}(z)=\lambda \frac{z-x}{z-y}, \quad p(z)=\frac{y z-\lambda x}{z-\lambda} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
l(z)=\frac{\frac{1}{2}(y+x) z-x y}{z-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)}
$$

By Theorem 2, $P(T(h, 1))=T\left(l, \frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)$. Because $p(1)=\frac{1}{2}(x+y)$ the parameter $\lambda$ is equal to -1 . Now we may prove:

Theorem 7. Let $l, p, h$ be homographies such that $h(z)=-z, l=$ $p \circ h \circ p^{-1}$. Suppose that $x \neq \infty, y \neq \infty$ are fixed points of $l$. Let $f$ be an extremal function in $T\left(l, \frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)$. Then $f$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(f(z)-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)^{2}} A(f(z))=Q(z), \quad|z|<1
$$

where $Q(z)$ is as in (3.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(w)=\sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{C_{k}}{\left(w-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)^{k}}, \quad C_{-k}=\left(\frac{4}{(x-y)^{2}}\right)^{k} C_{k} \\
k=1, \ldots, n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The points $x, y$ lie in $\partial f(D)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash(f(D) \cup l(f(D)))$ has no interior points.
Proof. Let $f$ be an extremal function in $T\left(l, \frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)$. Then $p^{-1} \circ f$ is an extremal function in $T(h, 1)$ so that $p^{-1} \circ f$ satisfies (3.4):

$$
\left[z\left(p^{-1} \circ f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2} U\left(p^{-1} \circ f(z)\right) \frac{1}{\left[1-\left(p^{-1} \circ f(z)\right)^{2}\right]^{m+2}}=Q(z)
$$

By (4.1), $p^{-1}(w)=-(w-x) /(w-y)$. Therefore

$$
\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(f(z)-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)^{2}} A(f(z))=Q(z)
$$

where

$$
A(w)=\frac{4 U\left(p^{-1}(w)\right)}{\left[1-(p-1(w))^{2}\right]^{m}}=\frac{K U\left(\frac{w-x}{w-y}\right)(w-y)^{2 m}}{\left(w-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)^{m}}
$$

$K$ is a constant and $U$ a polynomial of degree not greater than $2 m, m<n$, $U(-w)=U(w)$. Using $U(-w)=U(w)$ it is easy to see that $A(l(w))=$ $A(w)$. The function $A(w)$ is rational and has one pole of degree $m$ at $\frac{1}{2}(x+y)$. Therefore

$$
A(w)=\sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{C_{k}}{\left(w-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)^{k}}, \quad C_{-m-1}=C_{-m-2}=\ldots=C_{-n+1}=0
$$

From $A(l(w))=A(w)$ it follows that

$$
C_{-k}=\left[\frac{4}{(x-y)^{2}}\right]^{k} C_{k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Because $0, \infty \in \partial\left(p^{-1} \circ f(D)\right)$ the points $x, y$ lie in $\partial f(D)$. The set $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash$ $(f(D) \cup l(f(D)))$ has no interior points because $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left(p^{-1} \circ f(D) \cup h \circ p^{-1} \circ f(D)\right)$ has no such points.
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