The fixed points of holomorphic maps on a convex domain

by DO DUC THAI (Ha noi)

Abstract. We give a simple proof of the result that if D is a (not necessarily bounded) hyperbolic convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n then the set V of fixed points of a holomorphic map $f: D \to D$ is a connected complex submanifold of D; if V is not empty, V is a holomorphic retract of D. Moreover, we extend these results to the case of convex domains in a locally convex Hausdorff vector space.

1. Introduction. In [15] J.-P. Vigué investigated the structure of the fixed point set of a holomorphic map from a bounded convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n into itself. He proved the following. Let D be a bounded convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Then the set V of fixed points of a holomorphic map $f: D \to D$ is a connected complex submanifold of D and, if V is not empty, V is a holomorphic retract of D. His main tools were the results of Vesentini [13], [14] and Lempert [10], [11] about complex geodesics. However, his proof was rather long.

Our purpose in this article is to give a brief and simple proof of this theorem in the general case of (not necessarily bounded) hyperbolic convex domains in \mathbb{C}^n . Moreover, we shall investigate the fixed point sets of holomorphic maps from a convex domain in a locally convex Hausdorff vector space into itself.

We now recall some definitions and properties.

(i) We shall frequently make use of the Kobayashi pseudodistance d_M and the Carathéodory pseudodistance c_M on a complex manifold M (see Kobayashi [9]).

(ii) A complex manifold M is called *taut* [7] if whenever N is a complex manifold and $f_i : N \to M$ is a sequence of holomorphic maps, then either there exists a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic map $f : N \to M$ or a subsequence which is compactly divergent. In order for M to be taut, it suffices that this condition holds for

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primry 32H02, 46G20.

 $N = \Delta$, the unit disk in \mathbb{C}^n [1]. Also, every complete hyperbolic complex space is taut, and a taut complex manifold is hyperbolic [7].

(iii) Let D be a domain in a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space E. A holomorphic map $\varphi : \Delta \to D$ is called a *complex geodesic* [13] if $c_{\Delta}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = c_D(\varphi(\zeta_1), \varphi(\zeta_2))$ for all $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Delta$. Vesentini [13] proved that φ is a complex geodesic iff there exist two distinct points $\zeta_0, \zeta_1 \in \Delta$ such that $c_{\Delta}(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) = c_D(\varphi(\zeta_0), \varphi(\zeta_1))$.

The theorems of the present paper in the infinite-dimensional case were suggested by my friend Ngo Hoang Huy. I wish to thank him for his help.

2. The finite-dimensional case. In this section we always assume that D is a (not necessarily bounded) hyperbolic convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $f: D \to D$ is a holomorphic map. Denote the fixed point set of f by $V = \operatorname{Fix}(f)$.

2.1. THEOREM. If V is not empty then V is a holomorphic retract of D, i.e. there exists a holomorphic map $\varphi : D \to D$ such that $\varphi(D) \subset V$ and $\varphi|V = \text{Id.}$

Proof. The space $\operatorname{Hol}(D, \mathbb{C}^n)$ of all holomorphic maps $g: D \to \mathbb{C}^n$, endowed with the compact-open topology, is a locally convex Hausdorff vector space. Consider its subset $K = \{g \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, D) : g | V = \operatorname{Id}\}$ with the induced topology. Clearly, K is a nonempty convex subset of $\operatorname{Hol}(D, D)$. Since D is a hyperbolic convex domain, D is taut (see Barth [2]). Hence K is compact in $\operatorname{Hol}(D, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Consider the continuous operator

 $T: \operatorname{Hol}(D, D) \to \operatorname{Hol}(D, D), \quad g \mapsto f \circ g.$

It is easy to see that $T(K) \subset K$. By the Schauder fixed point theorem (see Edwards [4]), there exists $\varphi \in K$ such that $f \circ \varphi = \varphi$, i.e. $\varphi(D) \subset V$. Since $\varphi|V = \text{Id}, V$ is a holomorphic retract of D.

By a result of Rossi (see Fischer [5, p. 102]), we deduce the following

2.2. COROLLARY. The fixed point set V of f is a complex submanifold of D.

2.3. PROPOSITION. For any two distinct fixed points x and y of f, there exists a complex geodesic φ which passes through x, y and satisfies $\varphi(\Delta) \subset V = \operatorname{Fix}(f)$.

Proof. Hol (Δ, \mathbb{C}^n) , endowed with the compact-open topology, is a locally convex Hausdorff vector space. Assume that $x, y \in V$ and $x \neq y$. Choose $\eta \in \Delta$ such that $c_{\Delta}(0, \eta) = c_D(x, y)$. Consider the subset $\Gamma = \{g \in$ Hol $(\Delta, D) : g(0) = x, g(\eta) = y\}$ of Hol (Δ, \mathbb{C}^n) with the induced topology. By the results of Lempert [10], [11] and Royden–Wong [12], we have $c_D(x,y) = d_D(x,y) = \delta_D(x,y) = \inf\{c_\Delta(0,\zeta) : \exists \varphi : \Delta \to D \text{ holomorphic with } \varphi(0) = x, \ \varphi(\zeta) = y\}$. Thus there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \text{Hol}(\Delta, D)$ and a sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset \Delta$ such that $\varphi_n(0) = x, \ \varphi_n(\zeta_n) = y$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_\Delta(0,\zeta_n) = c_D(x,y) < \infty$. We can assume that $\{\zeta_n\}$ converges to a point $\zeta_0 \in \Delta$. Since D is taut [2], we may assume that $\{\varphi_n\}$ converges in $\text{Hol}(\Delta, D)$ to a map $\varphi_0 \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, D)$. Clearly $\varphi_0(0) = x, \ \varphi_0(\zeta_0) = y$ and $c_\Delta(0,\zeta_0) = c_D(x,y)$.

Take an automorphism T of Δ such that T(0) = 0, $T(\eta) = \zeta_0$. Then $\varphi_0 \circ T \in \Gamma$. Thus Γ is a nonempty convex subset of $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D)$. On the other hand, since D is taut, Γ is compact in $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Consider the continuous operator

 $T: \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D) \to \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D), \quad g \mapsto f \circ g.$

It is easy to see that $T(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$. By the Schauder fixed point theorem, there is $\varphi \in \Gamma$ such that $f \circ \varphi = \varphi$, i.e. $\varphi(\Delta) \subset V$.

Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 yield the following

2.4. THEOREM. The fixed point set V of f is a connected complex submanifold of D.

2.5. PROPOSITION. Assume that V is a one-dimensional connected complex submanifold of D. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) V is the fixed point set of some holomorphic map $f: D \to D$.
- (ii) V is the image of some complex geodesic $\varphi : \Delta \to D$.

(iii) V is a holomorphic retract of D.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that V = Fix(f), where $f : D \to D$ is a holomorphic map. Take two distinct $x, y \in V$. By Proposition 2.3, there exists a complex geodesic which passes through x, y and satisfies $\varphi(\Delta) \subset V$. Then $\varphi(\Delta) = V$, because $\varphi(\Delta)$ is open and closed in V.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Assume that $\varphi : \Delta \to D$ is a complex geodesic and $V = \varphi(\Delta)$. Take two distinct points $z_1, z_2 \in \Delta$. We have $c_D(\varphi(z_1), \varphi(z_2)) = \sup\{c_\Delta(0, g(\varphi(z_2))) : g \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, \Delta) \text{ with } g(\varphi(z_1)) = 0\}$. By the normality of $\operatorname{Hol}(D, \Delta)$, there exists $g \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, \Delta)$ such that

$$c_D(\varphi(z_1),\varphi(z_2)) = c_\Delta(g(\varphi(z_1)),g(\varphi(z_2))) \,.$$

Hence $c_{\Delta}(z_1, z_2) = c_{\Delta}(g \circ \varphi(z_1), g \circ \varphi(z_2))$. Thus $g \circ \varphi$ is an automorphism of Δ having two distinct fixed points z_1, z_2 . By the Schwarz lemma, $g \circ \varphi =$ Id. Therefore $\varphi \circ g : D \to \varphi(\Delta)$ is a retraction on $\varphi(\Delta) = V$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). The proof follows immediately from the definition of a holomorphic retract of D. \blacksquare From Proposition 2.5 we have the following

2.6. COROLLARY. Let f be a holomorphic map of a hyperbolic convex domain D in \mathbb{C}^2 into itself having a fixed point in D. Then one of the following cases necessarily occurs:

(i) f has a unique fixed point.

(ii) The fixed point set of f is the image of a complex geodesic φ : $\Delta \rightarrow D$.

(iii) f is the identity map.

3. The infinite-dimensional case. Assume that D is a domain in a locally convex Hausdorff vector space E.

The Kobayashi pseudodistance d_D on D is defined as in [6]. If d_D is a distance and if the topology defined by d_D is equivalent to the relative topology of D in E, the domain D is said to be *hyperbolic* (see [6]).

In this section we always assume that D is a convex domain in a locally convex Hausdorff vector space E such that \overline{D} is contained in a hyperbolic domain D' of E and $f : D \to D$ is a holomorphic map such that the image f(D) of f is contained in some compact convex subset K of E.

3.1. THEOREM. If the fixed point set V of f is not empty then V is a holomorphic retract of D.

Proof. The space $\operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$, endowed with the compact-open topology, is a locally convex Hausdorff vector space. Consider its subset $N = \{g \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, D) : g | V = \operatorname{Id} \text{ and } g(D) \subset K\}$ with the induced topology. Then N is a nonempty convex subset of $\operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$.

Now we prove that N is compact in $\operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$. Suppose that a sequence $\{g_n\} \subset N$ converges in $\operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$ to a map $g \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$. Clearly $g|V = \operatorname{Id}$ and $g(D) \subset K$. We must prove that $g(D) \subset D$. Indeed, we have $D = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \partial D} \{x_{\gamma}^* < a_{\gamma}\}$, where x_{γ}^* are (real) linear functionals on E. Therefore $x_{\gamma}^* \circ g$ is plurisubharmonic on $D, x_{\gamma}^* \circ g(z) \leq a_{\gamma}$ for all $z \in D$ and $x_{\gamma}^* \circ g(z) < a_{\gamma}$ for all $z \in V$. By the maximum principle, $x_{\gamma}^* \circ g(z) < a_{\gamma}$ for all $z \in D$, i.e. $g(D) \subset D$. Thus N is a closed subset in $\operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$.

Now we prove that $\operatorname{Hol}(D, D)$ is an even family [8]. Indeed, let $x \in D$, $y \in E$ be any points and let U be a neighbourhood of y in E. Without loss of generality we can assume that $y \in \overline{D} \subset D'$.

Take r > 0 such that $B_r = \{q \in D' : d_{D'}(y,q) < r\} \subset U$. Since D is hyperbolic, $V = \{p \in D : d_D(x,p) < r/2\}$ is an open neighbourhood of xin D. Analogously, the ball $W = B_{r/2} = \{q \in D' : d_{D'}(y,q) < r/2\}$ is an open neighbourhood of y in E. It is easy to see that $\tilde{f}(V) \subset U$ whenever $\tilde{f}(x) \in W$ (for all $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, D)$). By Arzelà–Ascoli's theorem (see [8, Theorems 7.6 and 7.21]), N is compact in $\operatorname{Hol}(D, E)$.

Consider the continuous operator

 $T: \operatorname{Hol}(D, D) \to \operatorname{Hol}(D, D), \quad g \mapsto f \circ g.$

Obviously $T(N) \subset N$. By the Schauder fixed point theorem, there is $\varphi \in N$ such that $f \circ \varphi = \varphi$. As in Theorem 2.1, we have $\varphi(D) \subset V$ and $\varphi|V = \text{Id}$. Thus V is a holomorphic retract of D.

3.2. THEOREM. For any two distinct fixed points x and y of f, there exists a complex geodesic $\varphi : \Delta \to D$ which passes through x, y and satisfies $\varphi(\Delta) \subset \operatorname{Fix}(f)$.

Proof. Consider the space $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, E)$ with the compact-open topology. By our assumption, D is a hyperbolic convex domain and hence $c_D(x, y) = d_D(x, y) = \delta_D(x, y) = \inf\{c_\Delta(0, \zeta) : \exists \varphi : \Delta \to D \text{ holomorphic with } \varphi(0) = x, \ \varphi(\zeta) = y\}$ (see [3]). Thus there exist a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D)$ and a sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset \Delta$ such that $\varphi_n(0) = x, \ \varphi_n(\zeta_n) = y$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_\Delta(0,\zeta_n) = c_D(x,y) < \infty$. We can assume that $\{\zeta_n\}$ converges to a point $\zeta_0 \in \Delta$ and $|\zeta_i| \leq r < 1$ for all $i \geq 0$. Put $\psi_n = f \circ \varphi_n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Consider the subset $A = \{\theta \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D) : \theta(0) = x, \ \theta(\zeta) = y \text{ for some } |\zeta| \leq r \text{ and } \theta(\Delta) \subset K\}$ of $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, E)$ with the induced topology. Reasoning as in Theorem 3.1, we find that A is closed in $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, E)$ and $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D)$ is an even family. By Arzelà–Ascoli's theorem, A is compact.

Since $\{\psi_n\} \subset A$, we can assume that $\{\psi_n\}$ converges in $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D)$ to a map $\psi_0 \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D)$. We have $\psi_0(0) = x$, $\psi_0(\zeta_0) = y$ and $c_{\Delta}(0, \zeta_0) = c_D(x, y)$, i.e. ψ_0 is a complex geodesic passing through x and y.

Consider the subset $N = \{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D) : \varphi(0) = x, \varphi(\zeta_0) = y \text{ and } \varphi(\Delta) \subset K\}$ of $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, E)$ with the induced topology. Just as in Theorem 3.1, N is closed in $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, E)$ and hence it is a nonempty compact convex subset of $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, E)$.

Consider the continuous operator

 $T: \operatorname{Hol}(\varDelta, D) \to \operatorname{Hol}(\varDelta, D), \quad g \mapsto f \circ g \,.$

Again as in Theorem 3.1, there is $\varphi \in N$ such that $f \circ \varphi = \varphi$, i.e. $\varphi(\Delta) \subset \operatorname{Fix}(f)$.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 yield the following

3.3. COROLLARY. Let D be a bounded convex domain in a Banach complex space E. Assume that $f: D \to D$ is a holomorphic map whose image f(D) is contained in some compact convex subset K of E. Then

(i) Fix(f) is a holomorphic retract of D if Fix(f) $\neq \emptyset$.

Do Duc Thai

(ii) For any two distinct fixed points x, y of f, there exists a complex geodesic $\varphi : \Delta \to D$ passing through x, y and satisfying $\varphi(\Delta) \subset \text{Fix}(f)$.

References

- [1] T. Barth, Taut and tight manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 429-431.
- [2] —, Convex domains and Kobayashi hyperbolicity, ibid. 79 (1980), 556–558.
- [3] S. Dineen, R. Timoney et J.-P. Vigué, Pseudodistances invariantes sur les domaines d'un espace localement convexe, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 12 (1985), 515–529.
- [4] R. E. Edwards, *Functional Analysis*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York 1965.
- [5] G. Fischer, Complex Analytic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 538, Springer, 1976.
- [6] T. Franzoni and E. Vesentini, Holomorphic Maps and Invariant Distances, North-Holland Math. Stud. 40, Amsterdam 1980.
- P. Kiernan, On the relations between taut, tight and hyperbolic manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 49–51.
- [8] J. L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, New York 1957.
- [9] S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings, Dekker, New York 1970.
- [10] L. Lempert, La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule, Bull. Soc. Math. France 109 (1981), 427–474.
- [11] —, Holomorphic retracts and intrinsic metrics in convex domains, Anal. Math. 8 (1982), 257–261.
- [12] H. L. Royden and P. Wong, Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics on convex domains, to appear.
- [13] E. Vesentini, Complex geodesics, Compositio Math. 44 (1981), 375–394.
- [14] —, Complex geodesics and holomorphic maps, in: Sympos. Math. 26, Inst. Naz. Alta Mat. Fr. Severi, 1982, 211–230.
- [15] J.-P. Vigué, Points fixes d'applications holomorphes dans un domaine borné convexe de \mathbb{C}^n , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 289 (1985), 345–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PEDAGOGICAL INSTITUTE OF HA NOI N[°]I HA NOI, VIET NAM

> Reçu par la Rédaction le 9.5.1990 Révisé le 10.10.1990