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Let $L_{[-1,1]}^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, be the class of real $p$-integrable functions on $[-1,1], L_{[-1,1]}^{\infty}=C_{[-1,1]}$ the class of all real continuous functions on $[-1,1]$. Denote by $C_{[-1,1]}^{r}$ the space of real functions on $[-1,1]$ which have $r$ continuous derivatives, and by $C_{[-1,1]}^{\infty}$ the space of real functions on $[-1,1]$ which are infinitely differentiable.

For $f \in L_{[-1,1]}^{p}$, let $E_{n}(f)_{p}$ be the best approximation to $f$ by polynomials of degree $n$ in $L^{p}$ space.

Our works [1], [5] concern the divergence phenomena of trigonometric Lagrange interpolation approximations in comparison with best approximations in $L^{p}$ space; the paper [1] contains the following theorem:

Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Suppose that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}, X_{n}=\left\{x_{n, j}\right\}_{j=0}^{2 n}$, is a given sequence of real distinct (by $a \neq b$ we mean that $a \not \equiv b(\bmod 2 \pi)$ ) nodes and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is any given positive decreasing sequence. Then there exists an infinitely differentiable function $f$ with period $2 \pi$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|f-L_{n}^{X}(f)\right\|_{L_{0,2 \pi]}^{p}}}{\lambda_{n}^{-1} E_{n}^{*}(f)_{p}}>0,
$$

where $L_{n}^{X}(f, x)$ is the $n$-th trigonometric Lagrange interpolating polynomial of $f(x)$ with nodes $X_{n}$ and $E_{n}^{*}(f)_{p}$ is the best approximation to $f$ by trigonometric polynomials of degree $n$.

Here and throughout, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L_{a, b]}^{p}} & =\left(\int_{a}^{b}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty, \\
\|f\|_{[a, b]} & =\|f\|_{L_{[a, b]}^{\infty}}=\max _{a \leq x \leq b}|f(x)|,
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}}=\|f\|_{L_{[-1,1]}^{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty .
$$

In spite of this counterexample, there do exist several positive results in this direction. For example, in [2], V. P. Motornyı̆ discussed the rate of convergence of the $L_{n}(f, x)$ to $f(x)$ in $L^{1}$, expressed in terms of the sequence of best approximations of the function in $L^{1}$; he proved that if $f$ is absolutely continuous with period $2 \pi, f^{\prime} \in L_{[0,2 \pi]}^{1}$, and $E_{n}^{0}\left(f^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ is the best approximation to $f^{\prime}$ by trigonometric polynomials of degree $n$ with mean value zero in $L^{1}$, then

$$
\left\|f-L_{n}(f)\right\|_{L_{[0,2 \pi]}^{1}}=O\left(n^{-1} \log n E_{n}^{0}\left(f^{\prime}\right)_{1}\right)
$$

where $L_{n}(f, x)$ is the $n$th trigonometric Lagrange interpolating polynomial to $f$ with nodes $x_{n, j}=2 j \pi /(2 n+1)$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, 2 n$.

In $L^{p}$ space for $1<p<\infty, \mathrm{K}$. I. Oskolkov [3] showed the following better estimate. Let $f$ be absolutely continuous with period $2 \pi$, and $f^{\prime} \in L_{[0,2 \pi]}^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$; then

$$
\left\|f-L_{n}(f)\right\|_{L_{[0,2 \pi]}^{p}}=O\left(n^{-1} E_{n}^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)
$$

One might ask what happens to other interpolation operators? More generally, to "interpolation type" operators? In this paper, by "interpolation type" operators we mean operators $I_{n}^{r}(f, X, x)$ of the form

$$
I_{n}^{r}(f, X, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} f^{(k)}\left(x_{n, j}^{k}\right) l_{n, j}^{k}(x)
$$

for $f \in C_{[-1,1]}^{r}$, where $X_{n}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{r}\left\{x_{n, j}^{k}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{k}}$ is a sequence of real nodes within $[-1,1],\left\{x_{n, j}^{r}\right\} \nsubseteq\{-1,1\}$,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{r} n_{j}=n+1
$$

and $l_{n, j}^{k}(x), j=1, \ldots, n_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, r$, are polynomials of degree not greater than $n$. Furthermore, if $f$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$, then $I_{n}^{r}(f, X, x)=f(x)$. In particular, if $r=0$,

$$
l_{n, j}^{0}(x)=\frac{\Omega_{n}(x)}{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n, j}\right)\left(x-x_{n, j}\right)}, \quad \Omega_{n}(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n+1}\left(x-x_{n, k}\right)
$$

then $I_{n}^{r}(f, X, x)$ becomes the $n$th Lagrange interpolating polynomial with nodes $\left\{x_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1}$; if $r=1$,

$$
l_{m, j}^{0}(x)=\left(1-\frac{\Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n, j}\right)}{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n, j}\right)}\left(x-x_{n, j}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\Omega_{n}(x)}{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n, j}\right)\left(x-x_{n, j}\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
l_{m, j}^{1}(x)=\left(x-x_{n, j}\right)\left(\frac{\Omega_{n}(x)}{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n, j}\right)\left(x-x_{n, j}\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

then $I_{n}^{r}(f, X, x)$ becomes the Hermite-Fejér interpolating polynomial of degree $m=2 n+1$ with nodes $\left\{x_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n+1}$; and so on.

In the present paper we refine the idea used in [1] and prove the following
Theorem. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Suppose that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a given sequence of real distinct nodes within $[-1,1]$, and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is any given positive decreasing sequence. Then there exists a function $f \in C_{[-1,1]}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|f-I_{n}^{r}(f, X)\right\|_{L^{p}}}{\lambda_{n}^{-1} E_{n}\left(f^{(r)}\right)_{p}}>0
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $-1<x_{n, 1}^{r}<1$. Fix $n$. Considering the nonnegative function

$$
g_{n}(x)=(1+x)(1-x)^{\left(1-x_{n, 1}^{r}\right) /\left(1+x_{n, 1}^{r}\right)}
$$

we note that $g_{n}(x)$ strictly increases on $\left[-1, x_{n, 1}^{r}\right]$ and strictly decreases on $\left[x_{n, 1}^{r}, 1\right]$; accordingly we can choose a sufficiently large natural number $T_{n}$ such that for all $x$ in $[-1,1] \backslash\left(x_{n, 1}^{r}-\delta_{n}, x_{n, 1}^{r}+\delta_{n}\right)$ and all $m \geq T_{n}$,

$$
g_{n}^{m}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2 n} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n_{r}}\left\|l_{n, j}^{r}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{-1} \eta_{n} g_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n, 1}^{r}\right)
$$

where

$$
\delta_{n}:=\min _{2 \leq j \leq n_{r}}\left|x_{n, j}^{r}-x_{n, 1}^{r}\right|, \quad \eta_{n}:=\left\|l_{n, 1}^{r}\right\|_{L^{p}} .
$$

In particular, for all $2 \leq j \leq n_{r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n, j}^{r}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 n} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n_{r}}\left\|l_{n, j}^{r}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{-1} \eta_{n} g_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n, 1}^{r}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{n}$ be a natural number not less than $T_{n}$, and

$$
N_{n}^{*}=\frac{1-x_{n, 1}^{r}}{1+x_{n, 1}^{r}} N_{n}
$$

Write

$$
h_{n}(x)=g_{n}^{-N_{n}}\left(x_{n, 1}^{r}\right) \int_{-1}^{x} d t_{1} \int_{-1}^{t_{1}} d t_{2} \ldots \int_{-1}^{t_{r-1}} g_{n}^{N_{n}}\left(t_{r}\right) d t_{r}
$$

Then $h_{n} \in C_{[-1,1]}^{r}$ and we clearly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{n}^{(r)}\right\|=h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x_{n, 1}^{r}\right)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $2 \leq j \leq n_{r}$, by (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x_{n, j}^{r}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 n} \eta_{n} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n_{r}}\left\|l_{n, j}^{r}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, a calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{n}^{N_{n}}\right\|_{L^{p}} & =2^{N_{n}+N_{n}^{*}+1 / p}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(N_{n} p+1\right) \Gamma\left(N_{n}^{*} p+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(N_{n} p+N_{n}^{*} p+2\right)}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C g_{n}^{N_{n}}\left(x_{n, 1}^{r}\right) N_{n}^{-1 /(2 p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where here and throughout the paper, $C$ always indicates a positive constant independent of $n$ which may have different values in different places. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C N_{n}^{-1 /(2 p)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $0 \leq s \leq r-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{n}^{(s)}\right\| \leq 2^{r-1}\left\|h_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C N_{n}^{-1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{n}-I_{n}^{r}\left(h_{n}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}-C n \varrho_{n} N_{n}^{-1 /(2 p)}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varrho_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n_{k}, 0 \leq k \leq r-1}\left\{1,\left\|l_{n, j}^{k}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right\} .
$$

In fact, from the definition,

$$
I_{n}^{r}\left(h_{n}, X, x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} h_{n}^{(k)}\left(x_{n, j}^{k}\right) l_{n, j}^{k}(x) .
$$

By (2), (3) and (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{n}-I_{n}^{r}\left(h_{n}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \geq & \eta_{n}-\sum_{j=2}^{n_{r}} h_{n}^{(r)}\left(x_{n, j}^{r}\right)\left\|l_{n, j}^{r}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& -\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} h_{n}^{(k)}\left(x_{n, j}^{k}\right)\left\|l_{n, j}^{k}\right\|_{L^{p}}-\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}-C n \varrho_{n} N_{n}^{-1 /(2 p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus (6) is proved. Without loss suppose that $\lambda_{n} \leq 1$. Now choose

$$
N_{n}=\left[\lambda_{n}^{-2 p} n^{4 p}\left(4 \varrho_{n}^{2 p} \eta_{n}^{-2 p}+1\right)+T_{n}\right] .
$$

Then for sufficiently large $n$, (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{n}-I_{n}^{r}\left(h_{n}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \eta_{n}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C \lambda_{n} \eta_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $h_{n} \in C_{[-1,1]}^{r}$, select an algebraic polynomial $f_{n}^{*}$ with sufficiently large degree $M_{n} \geq n$ such that (cf., for example, A. F. Timan [4]) for
$0 \leq s \leq r$,
(9)

$$
\left\|h_{n}^{(s)}-\left(f_{n}^{*}\right)^{(s)}\right\| \leq n^{-1} \eta_{n} \lambda_{n}\left(1+\left\|I_{n}^{r}\right\|\right)^{-1}
$$

where for bounded operators $B$ on $C_{[-1,1]}$,

$$
\|B\|:=\sup _{f \in C_{[-1,1]},\|f\|=1}\{\|B f\|\}
$$

Hence by (8) and (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(f_{n}^{*}\right)^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{p}} & \leq\left\|\left(f_{n}^{*}\right)^{(r)}-h_{n}^{(r)}\right\|+\left\|h_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \leq n^{-1} \eta_{n} \lambda_{n}+C \eta_{n} \lambda_{n} \leq C \eta_{n} \lambda_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly, from (7) and (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n}^{*}-I_{n}^{r}\left(f_{n}^{*}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \geq & \left\|h_{n}-I_{n}^{r}\left(h_{n}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}-\left\|f_{n}^{*}-h_{n}\right\| \\
& -\left\|I_{n}^{r}\left(h_{n}, X\right)-I_{n}^{r}\left(f_{n}^{*}, X\right)\right\| \\
\geq & C \eta_{n}-n^{-1} \eta_{n} \lambda_{n}\left(\left\|I_{n}^{r}\right\|+1\right)^{-1}\left(1+\left\|I_{n}^{r}\right\|\right) \geq C \eta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for large enough $n$. Set $f_{n}(x)=\eta_{n}^{-1} f_{n}^{*}(x)$; we thus have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|f_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{p}}=O\left(\lambda_{n}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\left\|f_{n}-I_{n}^{r}\left(f_{n}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \geq C \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Select a sequence $\left\{m_{j}\right\}$ by induction. Let $m_{1}=4 r$. After $m_{j}$, choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j+1}=\left[\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{2} \lambda_{m_{j}}^{-1 / m_{j}}\left(\left\|I_{m_{j}}^{r}\right\|+1\right)+m_{j}+1\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{n}^{*}=M_{n}\left(\eta_{n}^{2 / n}+1\right)$. Define

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}} f_{m_{j}}(x)
$$

Clearly $f \in C_{[-1,1]}^{\infty}$ (since $f_{m_{j}}$ is a polynomial of degree $M_{m_{j}}$ ) in view of (2) and (9). Together with (12), (11) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-I_{m_{j}}^{r}(f, X)\right\|_{L^{p}} \geq & \left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}}\left\|f_{m_{j}}-I_{m_{j}}^{r}\left(f_{m_{j}}, X\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \quad-C\left(\left\|I_{m_{j}}^{r}\right\|+1\right) \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}\left(M_{m_{k}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{k}}\left\|f_{m_{k}}\right\| \\
\geq & C\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}}-C\left(M_{m_{j+1}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j+1} / 2} \geq C\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

At the same time, by (10) and (12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{m_{j}}\left(f^{(r)}\right)_{p} & =O\left(\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}}\left\|f_{m_{j}}^{(r)}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}\left(M_{m_{k}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{k}}\left\|f_{m_{k}}^{(r)}\right\|\right) \\
& =O\left(\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}} \lambda_{m_{j}}+\left(M_{m_{j+1}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j+1} / 2}\right)=O\left(\left(M_{m_{j}}^{*}\right)^{-m_{j}} \lambda_{m_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Altogether,

$$
\frac{\left\|f-I_{m_{j}}^{r}(f, X)\right\|_{L^{p}}}{\lambda_{m_{j}}^{-1} E_{m_{j}}\left(f^{(r)}\right)_{p}} \geq C>0
$$

which is the required result.
Remark. Considering the Theorem together with Motornyı's and Oskolkov's results, we might have reasons to guess that there might be some connections between the interpolation approximation rate of a given function with some kinds of nodes in $L^{p}$ space and the best approximation rate of a higher derivative of that function in $L^{p}$.
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