

M. Lemańczyk, Toeplitz Z₂-extensions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 24 (1988), 1-43.

J. C. Martin, Generalized Morse sequences on n symbols, Proc. Amer. Math. [Mar1] Soc. 54 (1976), 379-383.

—, The structure of generalized Morse minimal sets on n symbols, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 232 (1977), 343-355.

[MaNa] J. Mathew and M. G. Nadkarni, A measure preserving transformation whose spectrum has Lebesgue component of multiplicity two, Bull. London Math. Soc. 16 (1984), 402-406.

Men1 M. Mentzen, Some examples of automorphisms with rank r and simple spectrum, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 35 (1987), 417-424.

[Men2] -, Thesis, preprint no. 2/89, Nicholas Copernicus University, Toruń 1989.

D. Newton, On canonical factors of ergodic dynamical systems, J. London Math. Soc. 19 (1979), 129-136.

[ORW] D. S. Ornstein, D. J. Rudolph and B. Weiss, Equivalence of measure preserving transformations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 262 (1982).

W. Parry, Compact abelian group extensions of discrete dynamical systems, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 13 (1969), 95-113.

[Que] M. Queffélec, Substitution Dynamical Systems—Spectral Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. 1294, Springer, 1987.

Rob1 E. A. Robinson, Ergodic measure preserving transformations with arbitrary finite spectral multiplicities, Invent. Math. 72 (1983), 299-314.

Rob2 -, Mixing and spectral multiplicity, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 5 (1985), 617-624.

CNRS, URA 225 163 AVENUE DE LUMINY F-13288 MARSEILLE CEDEX 9, FRANCE

144

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS NICHOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY CHOPINA 12/18 87-100 TORUŃ, POLAND

Received July 9, 1991 (2819)



Oscillatory singular integrals on weighted Hardy spaces

YUE HU (Beijing)

Abstract. Let

$$Tf(x) = \text{p.v.} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^1} e^{iP(x-y)} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy$$
,

where P is a real polynomial on R. It is proved that T is bounded on the weighted $H^1(wdx)$ space with $w \in A_1$.

1. Introduction. Let ψ be a Schwartz function, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), \ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \, dx$ $\neq 0$. Set

$$\psi_t(x) = t^{-1}\psi(x/t) \,, \quad t > 0 \,, \,\, x \in \mathbb{R} \,.$$

For each distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{t>0} |(f*\psi_t)(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The weighted Hardy space $H^1_w(\mathbb{R})$, with weight function w, is defined to be the space of all f such that

$$||f^*||_{L^1_w} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^*(x)w(x) dx < \infty.$$

If $f \in H^1_w$, we define $||f||_{H^1_w} = ||f^*||_{L^1_w}$. An operator T on the weighted Hardy space $H^1_w(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be bounded if there exists a constant C such that for each $f \in H^1_w$,

$$||Tf||_{H^1_w} \le C||f||_{H^1_w}.$$

Let P(x) be a real polynomial on \mathbb{R} . Consider the oscillatory singular integral

(1)
$$Tf(x) = \text{p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iP(x-y)} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy.$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B30. Key words and phrases: oscillatory singular integrals, H^1 space, A_1 condition. This work was supported in part by NSFC.

Oscillatory singular integrals

147

Operators of this type arose in the study of singular integrals on lower dimensional varieties. Their properties have been used in several kinds of problems ([6], [7], [9], [11]). By the theory of singular integrals, these operators are well defined for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ provided $f \in L^p$, $1 \le p < \infty$, ([1], [8]). Their L^p (1) and weak (1, 1) boundedness have been established by F. Ricci, E. M. Stein, S. Chanillo, M. Christ and others ([1], [7], [9]). For an operator <math>T defined by (1), a pointwise estimate for the sharp function of Tf was obtained ([4]), and as a consequence the weighted L^p (1) inequality for <math>T followed. For general oscillatory integrals the weighted L^p estimates were obtained in [5]. The purpose of this paper is to show that T is bounded on the weighted Hardy space H^1_w , with $w \in A_1$.

For a positive locally integrable function w, we say that w satisfies the A_1 condition if there exists a constant A_w such that for all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$,

(2)
$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w(x) dx \le \Lambda_w(\operatorname*{ess \, inf}_{x \in I} w(x))$$

where |I| denotes the Lebesgue measure of I. When (2) holds, we write $w \in A_1$, and call Λ_w the A_1 constant of w.

The main results of this paper are as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let P(x) be a real polynomial of degree k, and let Tf(x) be defined by (1). If P'(0) = 0 and $w \in A_1$, then there exists a constant C_k such that for each $f \in H^1_w$, we have $Tf \in L^1_w$ and

(3)
$$||Tf||_{L^1_w} \le C_k ||f||_{H^1_w},$$

where C_k depends only on k and the A_1 constant Λ_w , but not on f and the coefficients of P(x).

Since the operator we study here is a convolution operator, the characterization of H^1_w in terms of singular integral operators enables us to get the following stronger result.

THEOREM 2. Let T be defined by (1), $P'(0) = 0, w \in A_1$. Then $f \in H^1_w$ implies $Tf \in H^1_w$, and there exists a constant C_k , depending only on Λ_w and the degree k of P, such that

(4)
$$||Tf||_{H^1_w} \le C_k ||f||_{H^1_w},$$

for all $f \in H^1_w$.

Remark. The condition P'(0)=0 is necessary for these theorems. To see this, assume P(x)=ax, $a\neq 0$. Then $Tf(x)=\pi e^{iax}\mathcal{H}(F)(x)$, where \mathcal{H} is Hilbert transform and $F(y)=e^{-ayi}f(y)$. Take $w\equiv 1,\ f\in H^1$. Then $F\in L^1$. If the theorems were true in this case, then $\mathcal{H}(F)(x)\in L^1$ or H^1 . This would imply

$$F(y) = e^{-ayi} f(y) \in H^1$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \in H^1$. But this is obviously not right. For polynomials P(x) with degree higher than one and $P'(0) \neq 0$, the theorems still cannot hold. This will be clear from the proofs.

2. Preliminaries. Weighted Hardy spaces H_w^p have been extensively studied in [12]. The proof of the following theorem can be found there.

Let $w \in A_1$. A real-valued function b is called an H^1_w atom if

- (1) b(x) is supported in an interval I;
- (2) $\int b(x) dx = 0$;
- (3) $||b||_{\infty} \le w(I)^{-1}$, where $w(I) = \int_{I} w(x) dx$.

THEOREM A. For each $f \in H^1_w$, there exist atoms $\{b_j\}$ and coefficients $\{\lambda_j\}$ such that

(5)
$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j b_j(x)$$

and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \leq C ||f||_{H^1_w}$, where C depends only on Λ_w . The sum in (5) is both in the sense of distributions and in the H^1_w norm.

THEOREM B. If $1 and <math>w \in A_p$, then $||Tf||_{L^p_w} \le C_k ||f||_{L^p_w}$, where C_k depends only on the A_1 constant Λ_w of w and the degree k of P(x).

This result was proved in [4]. Details about the A_p condition can be found in [2], [3] and [13].

The next theorem will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 2. It was proved in [12] in the general case. We restate it here in a special form which is sufficient for our use.

Theorem C. Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert transform:

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy.$$

If $f \in H^1_w$ and $w \in A_1$, then

- (1) $\mathcal{H}f \in H_w^1$ and $\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{H_w^1} \le C_1 \|f\|_{H_w^1}$;
- $(2) \|f\|_{H^1_w} \le C_2 \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{L^1_w} + \|f\|_{L^1_w},$

where C_i , i = 1, 2, depend only on the A_1 constant Λ_w of w.

The following fact, useful for us, is a direct consequence of the definition of the A_1 condition.

THEOREM D. Let I be an interval with centre at the origin, $w \in A_1$ and $\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If α is symmetric, nonnegative, and decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, then there exists a constant C, independent of α , such that

(6)
$$\int_{|y|>|I|/2} \alpha(y)w(x-y) \, dy \le C \|\alpha\|_{L^1} \operatorname{ess inf}_{y \in I} w(x-y) \, .$$

3. Some lemmas. We begin by proving the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Suppose P(x) is a real polynomial of degree k with P'(0) = 0, T is defined by (1), and $w \in A_1$. Then for each H_w^1 atom b, there exists a constant C, depending only on the A_1 constant Λ_w and on the degree k of P(x), such that

(7)
$$\int |Tb(x)|w(x) dx \leq C.$$

Proof. It is easily seen that if $w \in A_1$, then for each fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and t>0, the functions w(t+a) and w(tx) are still in the weight class A_1 with the same A_1 constant as w. Furthermore, if b is an H^1_w atom with weight w(x) then b(x+a) and (1/t)b(x/t) are also atoms with weights w(x+a) and w(x/t) respectively. These facts enable us to use translation and dilation on the operator T to change the coefficient of the highest order term of P(x) and the location of the support of the atom b. Therefore, we may assume that $P(x) = x^k + Q(x)$, with Q(x) being a (k-1)th degree polynomial, and the centre of the support I of b is at the origin. We denote the length of I by $\delta = |I|$, let $w(I) = \int_I w(x) dx$, and use λI ($\lambda > 0$) to represent the interval with the same centre as I but λ times as long.

The proof of (7) is by induction on the degree k of P(x). Since P'(0) = 0, we begin with k = 2, that is, $P(x) = x^2$. Rewrite the integral on the left hand side of (7) as

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |Tb(x)|w(x) dx = \int\limits_{2I} |Tb(x)|w(x) dx + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}\backslash 2I} |Tb(x)|w(x) dx.$$

Since $A_1 \subset A_2$, by Theorem B, the first term on the right hand side is dominated by

$$w(2I)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{2I} |Tb(x)|^2 w(x) \, dx \Big)^{1/2} \le Cw(I)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{2I} |b(x)|^2 w(x) \, dx \Big)^{1/2}$$

$$\le Cw(I)^{1/2} w(I)^{-1} w(I)^{1/2} = C.$$

Here we have used the fact that w satisfies the "doubling condition": w(2I)

< Cw(I). For the second term, if $\delta < 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash 2I} |Tb(x)| w(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash 2I} \left| \int_{I} \frac{e^{iP(x-y)}}{x-y} b(y) \, dy \right| w(x) \, dx$$

$$= \left(\int_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1}} + \int_{\delta^{-1} \le |x|} \right) \left| \int_{I} \frac{e^{iP(x-y)}}{x-y} b(y) \, dy \right| w(x) \, dx = I_1 + I_2 \, .$$

Observe that $\int b(y) dy = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} I_{1} & \leq \int\limits_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1}} \bigg| \int\limits_{I} \frac{e^{i(x-y)^{2}} - e^{ix^{2}}}{x-y} b(y) \, dy \bigg| w(x) \, dx \\ & + \int\limits_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1}} \bigg| \int\limits_{I} \left(\frac{1}{x-y} - \frac{1}{x} \right) b(y) \, dy \bigg| w(x) \, dx \\ & \leq C \int\limits_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1}} \delta \int\limits_{I} |b(y)| \, dy \, w(x) \, dx + C \int\limits_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1}} \frac{\delta}{|x|^{2}} \int\limits_{I} |b(y)| \, dy \, w(x) \, dx \, . \end{split}$$

Since $\int_{I} |b(y)| dy \leq C|I|w(I)^{-1}$, by Theorem D it follows that

$$I_1 \le C|I|w(I)^{-1} \operatorname{essinf} w \le C$$
,

where C depends only on the A_1 constant A_w of w.

Since $w \in A_1$, by a "reverse Hölder inequality" there exists p > 1 such that $w^p \in A_1$ ([2], [3], [13]). Thus

$$\begin{split} I_2 & \leq \int\limits_{|x| > \delta^{-1}} \bigg| \int e^{i(x-y)^2} \bigg(\frac{1}{x-y} - \frac{1}{x} \bigg) b(y) \, dy \bigg| w(x) \, dx \\ & + C \int\limits_{|x| > \delta^{-1}} \frac{1}{|x|} \bigg| \int\limits_{I} e^{i(x-y)^2} b(y) \, dy \bigg| w(x) \, dx \\ & \leq C \int\limits_{|x| > \delta^{-1}} \frac{\delta}{|x|^2} w(x) \, dx \int\limits_{I} |b(y)| \, dy \\ & + C \bigg(\int\limits_{|x| > \delta^{-1}} \frac{w(x)^p}{|x|^p} \, dx \bigg)^{1/p} \bigg(\int\limits_{|x| > \delta^{-1}} \bigg| \int\limits_{|x| > \delta^{-1}} e^{i(x-y)^2} b(y) \, dy \bigg|^q \, dx \bigg)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C |I| w(I)^{-1} \operatorname{essinf} w + C \delta^{(p-1)/p} w(I)^{-1} (\operatorname{essinf} w) \delta^{1/p} \leq C \,, \end{split}$$

where we have used Theorem D and the boundedness of the Fourier trans-

form. If $\delta \geq 1$, then

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}\backslash 2I} \bigg|\int\limits_{I} \frac{e^{i(x-y)^2}}{x-y} b(y)\,dy \bigg| w(x)\,dx \leq \int\limits_{\mathbf{1}\leq |x|} \bigg|\int\limits_{I} \frac{e^{i(x-y)^2}}{x-y} b(y)\,dy \bigg| w(x)\,dx\,.$$

The method used for I_2 can be applied to this integral, and it yields that the integral is dominated by a constant C depending only on Λ_w . The proof for k=2 is then complete.

Now supposing that Lemma 1 holds for all polynomials of degree < k (k > 2), we shall prove that it still holds for a polynomial of degree k.

Assume $P(x) = x^k + Q(x)$, where Q(x) is a polynomial of degree k-1. Let $\widehat{\delta} = \max\{\delta^{-1/(k-1)}, \delta\}$. We have

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |Tb(x)|w(x) \, dx = \left(\int\limits_{2I} + \int\limits_{\delta < |x| \le \hat{\delta}} + \int\limits_{\hat{\delta} < |x|} \right) |Tb(x)|w(x) \, dx$$

$$= D_1 + D_2 + D_3 \, .$$

If $\delta \geq 1$, D_2 will disappear. D_1 can be treated in the same way as in the case k = 2. When $\delta < 1$,

$$D_{2} = \int_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1/(k-1)}} \left| \int_{I} e^{iQ(x-y)} \left(\frac{e^{i(x-y)^{k}} - e^{ix^{k}}}{x-y} \right) b(y) \, dy \, \middle| w(x) \, dx \right| + \int_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1}} \left| \int_{I} e^{iQ(x-y)} \frac{b(y)}{x-y} \, dy \, \middle| w(x) \, dx.$$

By the induction hypothesis, the second term is bounded by a constant C. The first term is dominated by

$$C \int_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1/(k-1)}} \left(\int_{\delta < |x| < \delta^{-1/(k-1)}} \left(\int_{|x| < \delta^{-1/(k-1)}} \int_{|x| < \delta^{-1/(k-1)}} w(x) \, dx \, w(I)^{-1} |I| \le C \, dx \right)$$

Therefore, $D_2 \leq C$.

We now deal with D_3 . Let $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}$, supp $\phi \subset \{x : 1/4 < |x| < 1\}$, and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi_j(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x| \geq 1/2$, where $\phi_j(x) = \phi(x/2^j)$. Set

$$T_j b(x) = \int e^{iP(x-y)} \phi_j(x-y) \frac{b(y)}{x-y} dy$$

Then $Tb(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_j b(x)$. Let $\Gamma = \{|x| \ge 1 : |P''(x)| \le (|x|/2)^{k-2}\}$.

It is easy to see that there exist at most 3(k-2) functions ϕ_j such that $\operatorname{supp} \phi_j \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$. In fact, suppose that z_1, \ldots, z_{k-2} are the roots of P''(x), and $2^{j_i} \leq |z_i| < 2^{j_{i+1}}$ $(i=1,\ldots,k-2)$. Then $|x-z_i| > |x|/2$ if $|x| \leq 2^{j_i-1}$ or $|x| > 2^{j_i+2}$. So, if $|j-j_i| \geq 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-2$, then $\operatorname{supp} \phi_j \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$. Let

$$\sigma = \{ j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \operatorname{supp} \phi_j \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \}.$$

Then there are at most 3(k-2) elements in $\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \sigma$. On the other hand, for each $j \geq 0$ we have

$$\int\limits_{\delta<|x|}|T_jb(x)|w(x)\,dx\leq C.$$

In fact, if $2^j \geq 3\widehat{\delta}$, then

$$\int\limits_{\hat{\delta}<|x|} |T_j b(x)| w(x) \ dx \leq C \int\limits_{2^j/6<|x|<3\cdot 2^j} \frac{w(x)}{|x|} \ dx \int\limits_{I} |b(y)| \ dy \leq C \ .$$

If $2^j < 3\hat{\delta}$, then

$$\int\limits_{\hat{\delta}<|x|} |T_jb(x)|w(x)\,dx \leq C\int\limits_{\hat{\delta}<|x|<7\hat{\delta}} rac{w(x)}{|x|}\,dx\int\limits_{I} |b(y)|\,dy \leq C\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \sigma} \int\limits_{\hat{\delta} < |x|} |T_j b(x)| w(x) \, dx \leq C \, .$$

To estimate $\int_{|x|>\hat{\delta}} |\sum_{j\in\sigma} T_j b(x)| w(x) dx$, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Define

$$T_p f(x) = \sum_{j \in \sigma} \int e^{iP(w-y)} \phi_j(x-y) (x-y)^{(k-2)(p-1)/p} f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$||T_p f||_{(L^{p'}, dx)} \le C||f||_{(L^p, dx)}$$

where $1 \le p \le 2$, 1/p + 1/p' = 1, and C is independent of the coefficients of P.

This lemma was proved in [4]. We shall give a proof at the end of this section for convenience.

Let us continue the proof of Lemma 1. Let s = (k-2)(p-1)/p.

Since

$$\int_{|x|>\hat{\delta}} \left| \sum_{j \in \sigma} T_{j} b(x) \right| w(x) dx
= \int_{|x|>\hat{\delta}} \left| \int_{j \in \sigma} e^{iP(x-y)} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \phi_{j}(x-y)(x-y)^{s} \right| \times \left[\frac{1}{(x-y)^{s+1}} - \frac{1}{x^{s+1}} \right] b(y) dy | w(x) dx
+ \int_{|x|>\hat{\delta}} \frac{1}{|x|^{s+1}} \left| \int_{j \in \sigma} e^{iP(x-y)} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \phi_{j}(x-y)(x-y)^{s} b(y) dy | w(x) dx
= E_{1} + E_{2}.$$

Obviously, E_1 is dominated by

$$\int\limits_{|x|>\hat{\delta}} \frac{\delta}{|x|^2} w(x) dx \int |b(y)| dy \le C.$$

Since there exists p > 1 such that $w^p \in A_1$, from Lemma 2 it follows that

$$E_{2} \leq \left(\int_{|x|>\hat{\delta}} \left(\frac{w(x)}{|x|^{s+1}}\right)^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\times \left(\int \left|\int e^{iP(x-y)} \sum_{j\in\sigma} \phi_{j}(x-y)(x-y)^{s} b(y) dy\right|^{p'} dx\right)^{1/p'}$$

$$\leq C(\operatorname{essinf} w) \widehat{\delta}^{((s+1)p+1)/p} \left(\int |b(y)|^{p} dy\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C(\operatorname{essinf} w) \delta^{1-1/p} \delta^{1/p} w(I)^{-1} \leq C.$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

Proof of Lemma 2. We introduce an auxiliary operator

$$A_z(f)(x) = \int e^{iP(x-y)} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \phi_j(x-y)(x-y)^z f(y) dy$$

with a complex parameter z=s+it, $0 \le s \le (k-2)/2$. Observe that $\{A_z\}$ is an analytic family of linear operators in the sense of [10]. It is clear that if s=0, then

(8)
$$||A_{it}(f)||_{(L^{\infty}, dx)} \le C||f||_{(L^{1}, dx)}.$$

Now consider s = (k-2)/2. We are going to show that

$$||A_{(k-2)/2+it}(f)||_{(L^2, dx)} \le C(1+|t|)||f||_{(L^2, dx)}$$

with C independent of the coefficients of P. After this is done, Lemma 2 follows by interpolation of the analytic family of operators $\{A_z\}$ ([10]).

To prove (8), using the Fourier transform we only have to show that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(9) \qquad \left| \int e^{i(-\eta x + P(x))} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \phi_j(x) x^{(k-2)/2 + it} dx \right| \leq C(1 + |t|).$$

For each fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $P_1(x)$ be the derivative of the phase function: $P_1(x) = -i\eta + iP'(x)$. We rewrite it as

$$P_1(x) = ik(x - u_1) \dots (x - u_{k-1}),$$

where $\{u_l\}$ are the roots of P_1 . Let β_l denote the real part of u_l . Then for each β_l , $|\beta_l| \geq 1/2$, there exists an integer $j_l \geq -1$ satisfying $2^{j_l} \leq |\beta_l| < 2^{j_l+1}$. Divide the index set σ into two subsets: $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$, where

$$\sigma_1 = \{j \in \sigma : |j - j_l| \le 1 \text{ for some } j_l\}$$

and $\sigma_2 = \sigma \setminus \sigma_1$. So, the real parts of all roots of P_1 keep some "proper distance" from $\bigcup_{j \in \sigma_2} \operatorname{supp} \phi_j$, and σ_1 is a finite set whose number of elements depends only on k. The left hand side of (9) is dominated by

$$\sum_{j \in \sigma_1} \left| \int e^{i(-\eta x + P(x))} \phi_j(x) x^{(k-2)/2 + it} dx \right| + \left| \int e^{i(-\eta x + P(x))} \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma_2} \phi_j(x) \right) x^{(k-2)/2 + it} dx \right| = E + F.$$

We deal with E first. By rescaling

$$E = \sum_{j \in \sigma_1} \left| 2^{j(k/2+it)} \int e^{i(-2^j \eta x + P(x))} \phi_j(x) x^{(k-2)/2+it} \, dx \right|.$$

Observe that by the definition of σ , the second derivative of the phase function satisfies

$$|4^j P''(2^j x)| \ge C 2^{jk}$$
 for $x \in \operatorname{supp} \phi$.

Applying the van der Corput lemma ([14, p. 197]), we obtain

$$E \le C \sum_{j \in \sigma_1} 2^{jk/2} 2^{-jk/2} (1+|t|) \le C(1+|t|).$$

We now estimate F. By the definition of σ_2 , if $x \in \text{supp}(\sum_{j \in \sigma_2} \phi_j(x))$ then the first derivative of the phase function satisfies

$$|P_1(x)| = |(-\eta x + P(x))'| \ge C|x|^{k-1}$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$F \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma_2} \left| \int e^{i(-\eta x + P(x))} \left(\frac{\phi_j(x) x^{(k-2)/2 + it}}{P_1'(x)} \right)' dx \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j \in \sigma_2} C(1 + |t|) 2^{-2j(k-1)} 2^{j(3k/2 - 2)}$$

$$= C(1 + |t|) \sum_{j \in \sigma_2} 2^{-jk/2} = C(1 + |t|).$$

This completes the proof of (9); then Lemma 2 follows.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \in C_0^{\infty}$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$ if $\varepsilon < |x| < 1/\varepsilon$, and = 0 if $|x| < \varepsilon/2$ and $|x| > 2/\varepsilon$. Set

$$T_{arepsilon}f(x)=\int \, arPhi_{arepsilon}(x-y)e^{ioldsymbol{P}(x-y)}rac{f(y)}{x-y}\,dy\,, \quad \, arepsilon>0\,.$$

Checking the proof of Lemma 1, we will see that if the operator T is replaced by T_{ε} inequality (7) still holds with C independent of ε . Let f be an arbitrary H^1_w function. By Theorem A, it has an atomic decomposition

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j b_j(x) .$$

Since for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)e^{iP(x)}(1/x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that

$$T_{\varepsilon}f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j T_{\varepsilon} b_j(x)$$
.

Therefore,

$$\int |T_{\varepsilon}f(x)|w(x) dx \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \int |T_{\varepsilon}b_j(x)|w(x) dx \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| = C \|f\|_{H^1_w},$$

where C is independent of ε . Note that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{\varepsilon} f(x) = T f(x)$ a.e. An application of Fatou's lemma implies that

$$||Tf||_{L^1_w} \le C||f||_{H^1_w},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f \in H_w^1$. We prove first that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $T_{\varepsilon}f \in H_w^1$. In fact, taking $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}$, $\int \psi(x) dx \neq 0$, $\psi_t = (1/t)\psi(\cdot/t)$, we have

$$(\psi_t * T_{arepsilon} f)(x) = \left((\psi_t * f) * arPhi_{arepsilon} (\cdot) rac{e^{i P(\cdot)}}{|\cdot|}
ight) (x) \, .$$

Let $F_{\varepsilon}(x) = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)e^{iP(x)}/|x|$, and observe that $\int |F_{\varepsilon}(x-y)|w(y) dy \le C_{\varepsilon}w(x)$, which is a consequence of Theorem D. We get

$$\int (T_{\varepsilon}f)^{*}(x)w(x) dx \leq \int \left(\int f^{*}(y)|F_{\varepsilon}(x-y)|dy\right)w(x) dx$$

$$\leq C_{\varepsilon} \int f^{*}(x)w(x) dx = C_{\varepsilon}||f||_{H_{w}^{1}}.$$

This implies $T_{\varepsilon}f \in H_w^1$, for $\varepsilon > 0$. We can now apply Theorem C and Theorem 1 to $T_{\varepsilon}f$. It follows that

$$||T_{\varepsilon}f||_{H_{w}^{1}} \leq C||\mathcal{H}T_{\varepsilon}f||_{L_{w}^{1}} + ||T_{\varepsilon}f||_{L_{w}^{1}} = C||T_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{H}f)||_{L_{w}^{1}} + ||T_{\varepsilon}f||_{L_{w}^{1}}$$

$$\leq C||\mathcal{H}f||_{H_{w}^{1}} + ||T_{\varepsilon}f||_{L_{w}^{1}} \leq C||f||_{H_{w}^{1}},$$

where the constant C is independent of ε . Obviously, for each t > 0,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\psi_t * T_{\varepsilon} f)(x) = (\psi_t * T f)(x).$$

Since $Tf \in L^1_w$, by the theory of maximal functions $\sup_{t>0} (\psi_t * Tf)(x)$ is finite almost everywhere. It follows that for each $\delta > 0$, and almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists t(x) > 0 such that

$$\sup_{t>0} |(\psi_t * Tf)(x)| \leq |(\psi_{t(x)} * Tf)(x)| + \delta = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |(\psi_{t(x)} * T_\varepsilon f)(x)| + \delta.$$

So, for each $\alpha > 0$, applying Fatou's lemma and $||T_{\varepsilon}f||_{H^1_w} \leq C||f||_{H^1_w}$, we get

$$\int_{|x|<\alpha} (Tf)^*(x)w(x) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{|x|<\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |(\psi_{t(x)} * T_{\varepsilon}f)(x)|w(x) dx + \delta \int_{|x|<\alpha} w(x) dx$$

$$\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon \to 0} |(\psi_{t(x)} * T_{\varepsilon}f)(x)|w(x) dx + \delta \int_{|x|<\alpha} w(x) dx$$

$$\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon \to 0} (T_{\varepsilon}f)^*(x)w(x) dx + \delta \int_{|x|<\alpha} w(x) dx$$

$$\leq C||f||_{H^1_w} + \delta \int_{|x|<\alpha} w(x) dx.$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$, and then $\alpha \to \infty$, we thus obtain

$$||Tf||_{H^1_w} \le C||f||_{H^1_w}.$$

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor C. Herz for his helpful suggestions.

References

- S. Chanillo and M. Christ, Weak (1, 1) bounds for oscillatory singular integrals. Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), 141-157.
- [2] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals. Studia Math. 51 (1974), 241-250.
- J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holland Math. Stud. 116 [Notas Mat. 104], North-Holland, Amsterdam 1985.
- [4] Y. Hu, A weighted norm inequality for oscillatory singular integrals, in: Lecture Notes in Math., to appear.
- —, Weighted L^p estimates for oscillatory integrals, preprint.
- D. H. Phong and E. M. Stein, Hilbert integrals, singular integrals, and Radon transforms I, Acta Math. 157 (1986), 99-157,
- [7] F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. I. Oscillatory integrals, J. Funct. Anal. 73 (1987), 179-194.
- E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1978), 1239-1295.
- [10] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [11] R. Strichartz, Singular integrals supported on submanifolds, Studia Math. 74 (1982), 137-151.
- [12] J.-O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy Spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1381, Springer, 1989.
- [13] A. Torchinsky, Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis, Academic Press, Orlando, Fla., 1986.
- A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, London 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BEIJING UNIVERSITY 100871 BEIJING, P.R. CHINA

Current address:

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY 1455 DE MAISONNEUVE BLVD. W. MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC CANADA, H3G 1MB E-mail: YUEHU@CONCOUR.CS.CONCORDIA.CA

Received July 15, 1991

(2822)

On the multiplicity function of ergodic group extensions of rotations

by

G. R. GOODSON † (Towson, Md.), J. KWIATKOWSKI ‡ (Toruń), M. LEMANCZYK ! (Toruń) and P. LIARDET § (Marseille)

Abstract. For an arbitrary set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $1 \in A$ and $lcm(m_1, m_2) \in A$ whenever $m_1, m_2 \in A$, an ergodic abelian group extension of a rotation for which the range of the multiplicity function equals A is constructed.

Introduction. In this paper we study the set \mathcal{M}_T of all essential spectral multiplicities of an ergodic measure preserving the transformation T of a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . \mathcal{M}_T is defined as the essential range of the multiplicity function with respect to the maximal spectral type of the associated unitary operator

$$U_T: L^2(X,\mu) \to L^2(X,\mu), \quad (U_T f)(x) = f(Tx), \quad x \in X.$$

Thus \mathcal{M}_T is a subset of the set $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ of all positive integers and infinity. Many examples in ergodic theory have $\mathcal{M}_T = \{1\}$ (e.g. irrational rotations), $\mathcal{M}_T = \{\infty\}$ (e.g. Kolmogorov automorphisms), $\mathcal{M}_T = \{1, \infty\}$ (e.g. affine transformations). Transformations with $\mathcal{M}_T = \{1, k\}$ have been constructed ([16]), for each positive integer k, and also with $\mathcal{M}_T = \{1, 2k\}$, where 2k corresponds to the multiplicity of the Lebesgue component ([1], [9], [12]).

The problem of whether for an arbitrary nonempty set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ there exists an ergodic transformation T with $\mathcal{M}_T = A$ seems to be open. Toward the full solution of this question, Robinson in [18] has proved that for each finite set A of positive integers satisfying:

- (i) $1 \in A$.
- (ii) $lcm(m_1, m_2) \in A$ whenever $m_1, m_2 \in A$,

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 28D05.

[†] Supported by a T.S.U. summer research grant.

[‡] The second and third named authors' research supported by RP.I.10.

[§] Research partially supported by DRET under contract 901636/A000/DRET/D8/SR1.