

On a conjecture of A. Schinzel and H. Zassenhaus

by

ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS (Johannesburg)

1. Introduction. Let α be a non-zero algebraic integer of degree d and $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ its conjugates. Write

$$|\overline{\alpha}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\alpha_i|, \quad M(\alpha) = \prod_{t=1}^d \max(1, |\alpha_t|).$$

Suppose that α is not a root of unity. In 1933 Lehmer [6] posed the following question: is it true that there exists an absolute constant $\delta > 0$ such that $M(\alpha) > 1 + \delta$? In 1965 Schinzel and Zassenhaus [8] conjectured that $|\overline{\alpha}| > 1 + c/d$, $c > 0$. It is known (see [9]) that if α is not reciprocal and θ is the real zero of the polynomial $z^3 - z - 1$, then $M(\alpha) \geq \theta$. Hence $|\overline{\alpha}| \geq M(\alpha)^{1/d} > 1 + c/d$.

Now let α be reciprocal, i.e. $d = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_{m+1} = 1/\alpha_1$, $\alpha_{m+2} = 1/\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2m} = 1/\alpha_m$. Various estimates from below for $M(\alpha)$ and $|\overline{\alpha}|$ were obtained by Blanksby and Montgomery [1], Stewart [10], Dobrowolski [3]. In 1979 Dobrowolski [4] proved that

$$(1) \quad M(\alpha) > 1 + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \right)^3, \quad d > d_1(\varepsilon).$$

Since $M(\alpha) \leq |\overline{\alpha}|^{d/2}$, (1) implies

$$(2) \quad |\overline{\alpha}| > 1 + (2 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \right)^3 \frac{1}{d}, \quad d > d_2(\varepsilon).$$

Later, Cantor and Straus [2] replaced the constant $1 - \varepsilon$ by $2 - \varepsilon$ in (1) and $2 - \varepsilon$ by $4 - \varepsilon$ in (2) respectively. In 1983 Louboutin [7] obtained $\frac{9}{4} - \varepsilon$ in (1). Thus (2) holds with the constant $\frac{9}{2} - \varepsilon$ instead of $2 - \varepsilon$. In the present paper the following theorem is proved.

THEOREM. *If α is a non-zero algebraic integer of degree d and α is not a root of unity, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an effective constant $d_0(\varepsilon)$*

such that for $d > d_0(\varepsilon)$

$$(3) \quad |\alpha| > 1 + \left(\frac{64}{\pi^2} - \varepsilon \right) \left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \right)^3 \frac{1}{d}.$$

We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA. *If*

$$(4) \quad D = |a_{ij}x_j^{i-1}|_{i,j=1,2,\dots,n},$$

where a_{ij} , $x_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|x_1| \geq |x_2| \geq \dots \geq |x_n|$, then

$$(5) \quad |D| \leq |x_1|^{n-1} |x_2|^{n-2} \dots |x_{n-1}| \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the case of Vandermonde's determinant $a_{ij} = 1$ this lemma was proved in [5].

2. Proof of the Lemma. If $x_j = 0$, where $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, then $x_{j+1} = x_{j+2} = \dots = x_n = 0$ and $D = 0$. Hence, let $x_j \neq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, and $y_1 = x_2/x_1$, $y_2 = x_3/x_2, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_n/x_{n-1}$. We express the determinant (4) in the form

$$D = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} a_{\sigma(1),1} a_{\sigma(2),2} \dots a_{\sigma(n),n} x_1^{\sigma(1)-1} x_2^{\sigma(2)-1} \dots x_n^{\sigma(n)-1},$$

where σ is a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\sigma(j)-1} &= \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\sigma(j)+j-n-1} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(x_1 \prod_{t=1}^{j-1} y_t \right)^{\sigma(j)+j-n-1} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} x_1^{\sum_{j=1}^n (\sigma(j)+j-n-1)} \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} y_t^{\sum_{j=t+1}^n (\sigma(j)+j-n-1)} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} y_t^{\sum_{j=t+1}^n (\sigma(j)+j-n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=t+1}^n (\sigma(j) + j - n - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=t+1}^n \sigma(j) + \frac{n(n+1) - t(t+1)}{2} - (n-t)(n+1) \\
&\geq 1 + 2 + \dots + (n-t) - \frac{(n-t)(n-t+1)}{2} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(6) \quad D = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}).$$

Here P is a polynomial in y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} \left| a_{ij} \frac{x_j^{i-1}}{x_{n-i+1}^{i-1}} \right|_{i,j=1,2,\dots,n} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{n-j} \left| a_{ij} \left(\frac{x_1 y_1 y_2 \dots y_{j-1}}{x_1 y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n-i}} \right)^{i-1} \right|_{i,j=1,2,\dots,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the maximum modulus principle and the inequalities $|y_j| = |x_{j+1}/x_j| \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$(7) \quad |P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})| \leq |P(y_1^0, y_2^0, \dots, y_{n-1}^0)|,$$

where $|y_1^0| = |y_2^0| = \dots = |y_{n-1}^0| = 1$. From (6), (7) and Hadamard's inequality we find

$$|P(y_1^0, y_2^0, \dots, y_{n-1}^0)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence the inequality (5) holds.

3. Proof of the Theorem.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
h_0(z) = h(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ z^2 \\ \vdots \\ z^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
h_k(z) &= \frac{z^k}{k!} \frac{d^k h(z)}{d^k z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \binom{n-2}{k} z^{n-2} \\ \binom{n-1}{k} z^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the determinant

$$(8) \quad D_1 = |h_{u_r}(\alpha_j^{p_r})|,$$

where D_1 consists of $n = (k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_s)d$ columns, $u_r = 0, 1, \dots, k_r - 1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Here p_r is r th prime number ($p_0 = 1, p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \dots$),

$$s = \left[\frac{\pi^2}{16} \left(\frac{\log d}{\log \log d} \right)^2 \right], \quad k_0 = \left[\frac{\pi^3}{64} \left(\frac{\log d}{\log \log d} \right)^3 \right],$$

$$k_r = \left[s \cos \frac{\pi(r-1)}{2s} \right], \quad 1 \leq r \leq s.$$

Recall that α is reciprocal. Therefore the determinant (8) can be expressed in the form

$$D_1 = \sum_{(v)} c_v \alpha_1^{v_1} \alpha_2^{v_2} \dots \alpha_m^{v_m},$$

where $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $m = d/2$. Let $\varrho = |\alpha|$. Then $1/\varrho \leq |\alpha_i| \leq \varrho$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By the maximum modulus principle we obtain $|D_1| \leq |D_2|$, where

$$D_2 = \sum_{(v)} c_v \beta_1^{v_1} \beta_2^{v_2} \dots \beta_m^{v_m} = |h_{u_r}(\beta_j^{p_r})|,$$

$$|\beta_j| \in \{1/\varrho, \varrho\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad |\beta_{m+1}| = 1/|\beta_1|, \dots, |\beta_{2m}| = 1/|\beta_m|.$$

Assume without loss of generality that $|\beta_1| = |\beta_2| = \dots = |\beta_m| = \varrho$, $|\beta_{m+1}| = \dots = |\beta_{2m}| = 1/\varrho$. Let also $x_u = \varrho^{p_{s-r}}$, $x_{n+1-u} = \varrho^{-p_{s-r}}$, where the indices u are defined as follows:

$$m \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_{s-i} < u \leq m \sum_{i=0}^r k_{s-i}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Now using the lemma we find

$$(9) \quad |D_2| \leq \varrho^A \left(d \sum_{i=0}^s k_i \right)^{\frac{d}{2} \sum_{i=0}^s k_i^2}.$$

Here

$$(10) \quad A = \sum_{r=0}^s p_{s-r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_{s-r}m} \left(n - m \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_{s-i} - j \right) \right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_{j=1}^{k_{s-r}m} \left(m \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_{s-i} + j - 1 \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^s p_{s-r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{s-r}m} \left(n - 2m \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_{s-i} - 2j + 1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^s p_{s-r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{s-r}m} \left(2m \sum_{i=0}^{s-r} k_i - 2j + 1 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=0}^s p_{s-r} \left(2k_{s-r} m^2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-r} k_i - k_{s-r}^2 m^2 \right) \\
&< 2m^2 \sum_{r=0}^s p_{s-r} k_{s-r} \sum_{i=0}^{s-r} k_i = \frac{d^2}{2} \sum_{i=0}^s p_i k_i \sum_{j=0}^i k_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|D_1| \geq \prod_{r=1}^s p_r^{k_r k_0 d}$ (see [7]), from (9), (10) we get

$$k_0 \sum_{r=1}^s k_r \log p_r \leq \frac{1}{2} d \log \varrho \sum_{i=0}^s p_i k_i \sum_{j=0}^i k_j + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(d \sum_{i=0}^s k_i \right) \sum_{i=0}^s k_i^2.$$

For d tending to infinity the following asymptotic formulas hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0 \sum_{r=1}^s k_r \log p_r &\sim \frac{\pi^6}{4096} \frac{(\log d)^7}{(\log \log d)^6}, \\
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^s p_i k_i \sum_{j=0}^i k_j &\sim \frac{\pi^8}{1048576} \frac{(\log d)^{10}}{(\log \log d)^9}, \\
\frac{1}{2} \log \left(d \sum_{i=0}^s k_i \right) \sum_{i=0}^s k_i^2 &\sim \frac{3\pi^6}{16384} \frac{(\log d)^7}{(\log \log d)^6}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $d > d_0(\varepsilon)$, then

$$|\overline{\alpha}| = \varrho > 1 + \log \varrho > 1 + \left(\frac{64}{\pi^2} - \varepsilon \right) \left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \right)^3 \frac{1}{d}.$$

The proof of the inequality (3) is thus complete.

References

- [1] P. E. Blanksby and H. L. Montgomery, *Algebraic integers near the unit circle*, Acta Arith. 18 (1971), 355–369.
- [2] D. C. Cantor and E. G. Straus, *On a conjecture of D. H. Lehmer*, ibid. 42 (1982), 97–100.
- [3] E. Dobrowolski, *On the maximal modulus of conjugates of an algebraic integer*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 26 (1978), 291–292.
- [4] —, *On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, Acta Arith. 34 (1979), 391–401.
- [5] M. Langevin, *Systèmes complets de conjugués sur un corps quadratique imaginaire et ensembles de largeur constante*, in: Number Theory and Applications, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C 265, Kluwer, 1989, 445–457.
- [6] D. H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Ann. of Math. 34 (1933), 461–479.
- [7] R. Louboutin, *Sur la mesure de Mahler d'un nombre algébrique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 296 (1983), 707–708.

- [8] A. Schinzel and H. Zassenhaus, *A refinement of two theorems of Kronecker*, Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965), 81–85.
- [9] C. J. Smyth, *On the product of the conjugates outside the unit circle of an algebraic integer*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 169–175.
- [10] C. L. Stewart, *Algebraic integers whose conjugates lie near the unit circle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 196 (1978), 169–176.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF WITWATERSRAND
JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA

*Received on 25.11.1991
and in revised form on 6.7.1992*

(2197)