The mean square of the Riemann zeta-function in the critical strip III

by

KOHJI MATSUMOTO (Morioka) and TOM MEURMAN (Turku)

1. Introduction. Let $\zeta(s)$ be the Riemann zeta-function, and define E(T) by

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2} dt = T \log T + (2\gamma - 1 - \log 2\pi)T + E(T)$$

for $T \ge 2$, where γ is Euler's constant. In 1949, Atkinson [1] proved the following now famous formula for E(T). For any positive number ξ , let

$$e(T,\xi) = \left(1 + \frac{\pi\xi}{2T}\right)^{-1/4} \left(\frac{2T}{\pi\xi}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\xi}{2T}}\right)^{-1},$$
$$f(T,\xi) = 2T\operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\xi}{2T}} + (\pi^2\xi^2 + 2\pi\xi T)^{1/2} - \frac{\pi}{4},$$

and

$$g(T,\xi) = T \log \frac{T}{2\pi\xi} - T + \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Then Atkinson's formula asserts that for any positive number X with $X \simeq T$ (i.e. $T \ll X \ll T$), the relation

(1.1)
$$E(T) = \Sigma_1(T, X) - \Sigma_2(T, X) + O(\log^2 T)$$

holds, where

$$\Sigma_1(T,X) = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/4} \sum_{n \le X} (-1)^n d(n) n^{-3/4} e(T,n) \cos(f(T,n)),$$

$$\Sigma_2(T,X) = 2 \sum_{n \le B(T,\sqrt{X})} d(n) n^{-1/2} \left(\log\frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \cos(g(T,n)),$$

d(n) is the number of positive divisors of the integer n, and

$$B(T,\xi) = \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 - \xi \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4}\xi^2\right)^{1/2}$$

The analogue of Atkinson's formula in the strip $1/2 < \sigma = \text{Re}(s) < 1$ was first investigated by Matsumoto [9]. Define $E_{\sigma}(T)$ by

$$\int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma+it)|^{2} dt = \zeta(2\sigma)T + (2\pi)^{2\sigma-1} \frac{\zeta(2-2\sigma)}{2-2\sigma} T^{2-2\sigma} + E_{\sigma}(T) \, .$$

Matsumoto proved that if $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$ and $X \simeq T$, then

(1.2)
$$E_{\sigma}(T) = \Sigma_{1,\sigma}(T,X) - \Sigma_{2,\sigma}(T,X) + O(\log T),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{1,\sigma}(T,X) &= \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/4-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq X} (-1)^n \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-5/4} e(T,n) \cos(f(T,n)) \,, \\ \Sigma_{2,\sigma}(T,X) &= 2 \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq B(T,\sqrt{X})} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-1} \left(\log \frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \cos(g(T,n)) \,, \end{split}$$

with the notation $\sigma_a(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^a$, and the implied constant depends only on σ .

The reason of the restriction $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$ in [9] is as follows. Define

$$D_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = \sum_{n \le \xi}' \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \,,$$

where the symbol \sum' means that the last term is to be halved if ξ is an integer. In case $\sigma = 1/2$, the classical formula of Voronoï asserts

$$D_0(\xi) = \xi \log \xi + (2\gamma - 1)\xi + 1/4 + \Delta_0(\xi)$$

with

(1.3)
$$\Delta_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \xi^{1/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) n^{-3/4} \left\{ \cos(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} - \pi/4) -\frac{3}{32\pi} (n\xi)^{-1/2} \sin(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} - \pi/4) \right\} + O(\xi^{-3/4}).$$

This formula is one of the essential tools in the proof of Atkinson's formula. Analogously, Matsumoto's proof of (1.2) depends on the following Voronoï-

type formula of Oppenheim [16]:

(1.4)
$$D_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = \zeta(2\sigma)\xi + \frac{\zeta(2-2\sigma)}{2-2\sigma}\xi^{2-2\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2\sigma-1) + \Delta_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)$$

with

(1.5)
$$\Delta_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \xi^{3/4-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-5/4} \bigg\{ \cos(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} - \pi/4) - \frac{16(1-\sigma)^2 - 1}{32\pi} (n\xi)^{-1/2} \sin(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} - \pi/4) \bigg\} + O(\xi^{-1/4-\sigma}) \,.$$

However, the series in (1.5) converges only for $\sigma < 3/4$, which gives rise to the restriction $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$ in [9]. Therefore a new method is required to obtain an analogue of Atkinson's formula beyond the line $\sigma = 3/4$.

In this paper we shall prove

THEOREM 1. For any σ and X satisfying $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ and $X \simeq T$, the formula (1.2) holds.

Our starting point is the Voronoï-type formula for

$$\widetilde{D}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = \int_{0}^{\xi} \sum_{n \le t} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \, dt \,,$$

given in the next section. The crucial point is that the Voronoï series for $D_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)$ converges for any σ satisfying $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. The basic principle of the proof of Theorem 1 is similar to the proofs of (1.1) and (1.2), but the details are more complicated.

In [9], as an application of (1.2), the upper bound estimate

(1.6)
$$E_{\sigma}(T) = O(T^{1/(1+4\sigma)} \log^2 T)$$

has been proved for $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$. Now it follows easily from Theorem 1 that (1.6) holds for $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. We should mention that already in 1990, in a different way, Motohashi [15] proved (1.6) for $1/2 < \sigma < 1$, and Ivić [6, Ch. 2] gave an improvement by using the theory of exponent pairs. (See also Ivić [7].) (¹)

Another application of Theorem 1 is the mean square result for $E_{\sigma}(T)$. In [9] it has been shown that

 $^(^{1})$ Added in proof (June 1993). In [6] there is an error on top of p. 89 invalidating Theorem 2.11 and its Corollary 1 but not its Corollary 2. However, Professor Ivi/c has informed us that he can now recover his corollaries.

(1.7)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E_{\sigma}(t)^{2} dt$$
$$= \frac{2}{5 - 4\sigma} (2\pi)^{2\sigma - 3/2} \frac{\zeta^{2}(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} \zeta\left(\frac{5}{2} - 2\sigma\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + 2\sigma\right) T^{5/2 - 2\sigma} + F_{\sigma}(T)$$

with $F_{\sigma}(T) = O(T^{7/4-\sigma} \log T)$ for $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$, and in [10], the improvement $F_{\sigma}(T) = O(T)$ has been proved. In case $3/4 \leq \sigma < 1$, by using Heath-Brown's [4] method and Theorem 1, it can be shown easily that

(1.8)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E_{\sigma}(t)^{2} dt \ll T \log^{2} T.$$

This can be slightly improved. In particular, for $\sigma = 3/4$ we get an asymptotic formula.

THEOREM 2. We have

$$\int_{2}^{T} E_{3/4}(t)^2 dt = \frac{\zeta^2(3/2)\zeta(2)}{\zeta(3)} T \log T + O(T(\log T)^{1/2}),$$

and for $3/4 < \sigma < 1$, we have

$$\int_{2}^{T} E_{\sigma}(t)^2 dt \ll T \,.$$

Corollary. $E_{3/4}(T) = \Omega((\log T)^{1/2}).$

Comparing Theorem 2 with (1.7), we can observe, as has already been pointed out in [9], that the line $\sigma = 3/4$ is a kind of "critical line" in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function, or at least for the function $E_{\sigma}(T)$.

It might be possible to reduce the error term $O(T(\log T)^{1/2})$ to O(T) in Theorem 2 without any new idea but only with a lot of extra work.

We also prove in this paper the following result, which has been announced in [10].

THEOREM 3. For any fixed σ satisfying $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$, we have

$$E_{\sigma}(T) = \Omega_{+}(T^{3/4-\sigma}(\log T)^{\sigma-1/4}).$$

COROLLARY. $F_{\sigma}(T) = \Omega(T^{9/4-3\sigma}(\log T)^{3\sigma-3/4}).$

We can deduce Theorem 3 from (1.2). The problem of deducing a certain Ω_+ -result in case $3/4 \leq \sigma < 1$ seems to be much more difficult. This situation also suggests the critical property of the line $\sigma = 3/4$.

2. A Voronoï-type formula. Hereafter, except for the last section, we assume $3/4 \le \sigma < 1$. Let $\xi \ge 1$, and define $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)$ by

(2.1)
$$\widetilde{D}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2\sigma)\xi^2 + \frac{\zeta(2-2\sigma)}{(2-2\sigma)(3-2\sigma)}\xi^{3-2\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2\sigma-1)\xi + \frac{1}{12}\zeta(2\sigma-2) + \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi).$$

Then the following Voronoï-type formula holds.

LEMMA 1. We have

(2.2)
$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = c_1 \xi^{5/4-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} \cos(c_2 \sqrt{n\xi} + c_3) + c_4 \xi^{3/4-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-9/4} \cos(c_2 \sqrt{n\xi} + c_5) + O(\xi^{1/4-\sigma}),$$

where the two infinite series on the right-hand side are uniformly convergent on any finite closed subinterval in $(0, \infty)$, and the values of the constants are $c_1 = -1/(2\sqrt{2}\pi^2)$, $c_2 = 4\pi$, $c_3 = \pi/4$, $c_4 = (5 - 4\sigma)(7 - 4\sigma)/(64\sqrt{2}\pi^3)$ and $c_5 = -\pi/4$.

Voronoï-type formulas are studied in Hafner [3] in a fairly general situation. We can prove the formula (2.2) as a special case of Hafner's theorem. In fact, let $F(s) = \pi^{-s} \zeta(s) \zeta(s-1+2\sigma)$ and $G(s) = \Gamma(s/2) \Gamma((s-1+2\sigma)/2)$. Then the functional equation

$$G(s)F(s) = G(2 - 2\sigma - s)F(2 - 2\sigma - s)$$

holds, which agrees with Hafner's Definition 1.1 with $a(n)=b(n)=\sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)$, $\lambda_n = \mu_n = \pi n, \ \phi(s) = \psi(s) = F(s), \ \sigma_a^* = \sigma_a = 1, \ \Delta(s) = G(s), \ N = 2, \ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2, \ \beta_1 = 0, \ \beta_2 = \sigma - 1/2, \ S = \{1 - 2\sigma, 0, 2 - 2\sigma, 1\}, \ D = \mathbb{C} - S, \ \chi(s) = G(s)F(s) \ \text{and} \ r = 2 - 2\sigma.$ Also we choose $\rho = 1, \ b = 3, \ c = 3/2 \ \text{and} \ R = 2$ in Hafner's notation. In this case Hafner's $A_\rho(x)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\pi n \le x} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)(x-\pi n) = \pi \int_{0}^{x/\pi} \sum_{n \le t} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) dt$$

which is obviously continuous in $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, (2.2) and the claim of uniform convergence in Lemma 1 follow from Theorem B and Lemma 2.1 (with m = 1) of Hafner [3]. Hafner does not give the values of the constants c_1, \ldots, c_5 explicitly, but the values of c_1 , c_2 , c_3 and c_5 can be determined by combining Lemma 2.1 of Hafner [3] with the explicit values of μ and hgiven in Lemma 1 of Chandrasekharan–Narasimhan [2]. (There is a minor misprint in Hafner's paper. The right-hand side of (2.3) in [3] should be multiplied by $\sqrt{2}$.) The value of c_4 may also be determined by tracing the proof of Lemma 1 in Chandrasekharan–Narasimhan [2] carefully, but the value of c_4 is not necessary for the purpose of the present paper.

Meurman [13] gives a considerably simpler proof of (1.3). All the steps of Meurman's proof are explicit, and the same method can be applied to our present case. Therefore we can obtain a different proof of Lemma 1, with explicit values of all the constants c_1, \ldots, c_5 . The details, omitted here, are given in a manuscript form [14].

By using Lemma 1, we can prove the following useful estimate.

LEMMA 2. We have
$$\Delta_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = O(\xi^r \log \xi)$$
, where

$$r = \frac{-4\sigma^2 + 7\sigma - 2}{4\sigma - 1} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. We first note the elementary estimate

(2.3)
$$\Delta_{1-2\sigma}(v) \ll v^{1-\sigma}.$$

In fact, by the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula we have

$$\sum_{m \le \sqrt{v}} m^{-2\sigma} = \frac{1}{1 - 2\sigma} v^{1/2 - \sigma} + \zeta(2\sigma) + O(v^{-\sigma}),$$
$$\sum_{m \le \sqrt{v}} m^{2\sigma - 2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} v^{\sigma - 1/2} + \zeta(2 - 2\sigma) + O(v^{\sigma - 1})$$

and, for $1 \le n \le \sqrt{v}$,

$$\sum_{n \le v/n} m^{1-2\sigma} = \frac{1}{2-2\sigma} \left(\frac{v}{n}\right)^{2-2\sigma} + c(\sigma) + O(v^{1/2-\sigma}),$$

where $c(\sigma)$ is a constant depending on σ . By the well-known splitting up argument of Dirichlet (see Titchmarsh [18, §12.1]), we get

$$\sum_{n \le v} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)$$

= $v \sum_{m \le \sqrt{v}} m^{-2\sigma} + \sum_{n \le \sqrt{v}} \left(\sum_{m \le v/n} m^{1-2\sigma} - \sum_{m \le \sqrt{v}} m^{1-2\sigma} \right) + O(v^{1-\sigma}).$

Applying the above summation formulas we get

$$\sum_{n \le v} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) = \zeta(2\sigma)v + \frac{\zeta(2-2\sigma)}{2-2\sigma}v^{2-2\sigma} + O(v^{1-\sigma}),$$

which implies (2.3). Hence, by (1.4) and (2.1),

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) - \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(u) = \int_{u}^{\xi} \Delta_{1-2\sigma}(v) \, dv \ll |\xi - u| \xi^{1-\sigma}$$

for $u \simeq \xi$. Hence

$$\widetilde{\varDelta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = Q^{-1} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+Q} \widetilde{\varDelta}_{1-2\sigma}(u) \, du + O(Q\xi^{1-\sigma})$$

for $0 < Q \ll \xi$. Formula (2.2) gives trivially

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(u) &= c_1 u^{5/4-\sigma} \sum_{n>N} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} \cos(4\pi \sqrt{nu} + c_3) \\ &+ O(u^{3/4-\sigma}) + O(u^{5/4-\sigma} N^{\sigma-3/4} \log N) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $N \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = c_1 Q^{-1} \sum_{n>N} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+Q} u^{5/4-\sigma} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{nu} + c_3) \, du + O(Q\xi^{1-\sigma}) + O(\xi^{5/4-\sigma} N^{\sigma-3/4} \log N) \, .$$

The integral here is $\ll \xi^{7/4-\sigma} n^{-1/2}$ by the first derivative test. Therefore the series contributes

$$O(Q^{-1}\xi^{7/4-\sigma}N^{\sigma-5/4})\,.$$

Choosing $N = \xi Q^{-2}$ and $Q = \xi^{(2\sigma-1)/(4\sigma-1)}$ completes the proof of Lemma 2.

The following lemma gives the average order of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)$. We shall need it because the factor log ξ in Lemma 2 causes trouble when $\sigma = 3/4$.

LEMMA 3. We have

$$\int_{1}^{x} \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)^2 \, d\xi \ll x^{7/2-2\sigma}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, for $1 \le \xi \le x$ we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = c_1 \xi^{5/4-\sigma} \sum_{n \le N(x)} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} + \pi/4) + O(\xi^{5/4-\sigma})$$

with a sufficiently large N(x) depending only on x (and σ). The rest of the proof is standard and proceeds similarly to the proof of Theorem 13.5 in Ivić [5].

It is not hard to refine Lemma 3 by showing that

$$\int_{1}^{x} \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)^2 d\xi = \frac{\zeta^2(5/2)\zeta(7/2 - 2\sigma)\zeta(3/2 + 2\sigma)}{8\pi^4(7 - 4\sigma)\zeta(5)} x^{7/2 - 2\sigma} + O(x^{3-2\sigma}).$$

However, Lemma 3 is sufficient for our purpose.

It should be noted that except for the inequality $r \leq 1/2$ in Lemma 2, the results in this section are also valid for $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$. However, estimate (4.2) depends on the inequality $r \leq 1/2$, and in §6 there are several estimates which require the condition $3/4 \leq \sigma < 1$. Therefore the proof of Theorem 1 is valid only on this condition.

3. The basic decomposition. Now we start the proof of Theorem 1. At first we assume $X \simeq T$ and X is not an integer. Let u be a complex variable, $\xi \ge 1$,

$$h(u,\xi) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-u} (1+y)^{u-2\sigma} \cos(2\pi\xi y) \, dy$$

and define

$$g_1(u) = \sum_{n \le X} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)h(u,n),$$

$$g_2(u) = \Delta_{1-2\sigma}(X)h(u,X),$$

$$g_3(u) = \int_X^{\infty} (\zeta(2\sigma) + \zeta(2-2\sigma)\xi^{1-2\sigma})h(u,\xi) d\xi,$$

$$g_4(u) = \int_X^{\infty} \Delta_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) \frac{\partial h(u,\xi)}{\partial \xi} d\xi.$$

Since the integral $h(u, \xi)$ is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(u) < 1$, $g_1(u)$ and $g_2(u)$ can be defined in the same region. Also, Matsumoto [9, (4.2)] gives the analytic continuation of $g_3(u)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(u) < 1$. Hence, if $g_4(u)$ can be analytically continued to $\operatorname{Re}(u) < 1$, then we can define

$$G_j = \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} g_j(u) \, du \quad (1 \le j \le 4)$$

for $1/2 < \sigma < 1$, and obtain (see [9, (4.3)])

(3.1)
$$E_{\sigma}(T) = -i(G_1 - G_2 + G_3 - G_4) + O(1).$$

Now we show the analytic continuation of $g_4(u)$. From (1.4) and (2.1) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{12}\zeta(2\sigma-2) + \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) = \int_{0}^{\xi} \Delta_{1-2\sigma}(t) dt.$$

Hence, by integration by parts we have

(3.2)
$$g_4(u) = -\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(X)h'(u,X) - \int_X^\infty \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)h''(u,\xi)\,d\xi,$$

where h' and h'' mean $\partial h/\partial \xi$ and $\partial^2 h/\partial \xi^2$, respectively. Here we have used Lemma 2 and the estimate

(3.3)
$$h'(u,\xi) = O(\xi^{\operatorname{Re}(u)-2})$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(u) < 1$ and bounded u, proved in Atkinson [1]. Differentiating the expression

$$h(u,\xi) = \int_{0}^{i\infty} y^{-u} (1+y)^{u-2\sigma} e^{2\pi i\xi y} \, dy + \int_{0}^{-i\infty} y^{-u} (1+y)^{u-2\sigma} e^{-2\pi i\xi y} \, dy$$

with respect to ξ , and estimating the resulting integrals, we obtain (3.3). One more differentiation gives

(3.4)
$$h''(u,\xi) = -4\pi^2 \int_0^{i\infty} y^{2-u} (1+y)^{u-2\sigma} e^{2\pi i\xi y} dy$$
$$-4\pi^2 \int_0^{-i\infty} y^{2-u} (1+y)^{u-2\sigma} e^{-2\pi i\xi y} dy,$$

and from this formula we can deduce that

(3.5)
$$h''(u,\xi) = O(\xi^{\operatorname{Re}(u)-3}).$$

It follows from (3.5) and Lemma 2 that the integral on the right-hand side of (3.2) is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(u) < 1$. Hence (3.2) gives the desired analytic continuation of $g_4(u)$. And we divide G_4 as

(3.6)
$$G_4 = -\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(X) \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h'(u,X) du$$
$$- \int_X^{\infty} \widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi) \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h''(u,\xi) du d\xi$$
$$= -G_4^* - G_4^{**},$$

say.

The integrals G_1 , G_2 and G_3 can be treated by the method described in [9, §4–§5], and the results are

(3.7)
$$G_1 = i\Sigma_{1,\sigma}(T,X) + O(T^{1/4-\sigma}),$$

(3.8)
$$G_2 = O(T^{1/2-\sigma}),$$

(3.9)
$$G_3 = -2\pi i \zeta (2\sigma - 1) + O(T^{\sigma - 1}).$$

We note that the proof of (3.8) uses (2.3) instead of [9, Lemma 2].

4. Evaluation of G_4^* . We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h'(u,X) \, du &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h(u,X) \, du \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(2i \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\sigma} (1+y)^{-\sigma} \cos(2\pi Xy) \int_{-T}^{T} \left(\frac{1+y}{y} \right)^{it} dt \, dy \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(4i \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi Xy) \sin(T \log((1+y)/y))}{y^{\sigma}(1+y)^{\sigma} \log((1+y)/y)} \, dy \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(4i \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{2\sigma-1} \cos(2\pi y) \sin(T \log((X+y)/y))}{y^{\sigma}(X+y)^{\sigma} \log((X+y)/y)} \, dy \right) \\ &= 4i(2\sigma-1)X^{2\sigma-2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi y) \sin(T \log((X+y)/y))}{y^{\sigma}(X+y)^{\sigma} \log((X+y)/y)} \, dy \\ &+ 4iX^{2\sigma-1}T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi y) \cos(T \log((X+y)/y))}{y^{\sigma}(X+y)^{\sigma+1} \log((X+y)/y)} \, dy \\ &- 4i\sigma X^{2\sigma-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi y) \sin(T \log((X+y)/y))}{y^{\sigma}(X+y)^{\sigma+1} \log((X+y)/y)} \, dy . \end{split}$$

We split up these four integrals at y = T. Then we estimate in each case \int_T^{∞} by the first derivative test and \int_0^T , after the further splitting up into integrals over the intervals $(2^{-k}T, 2^{-k+1}T]$ (k = 1, 2, ...), by the second derivative test (see Ivić [5, (2.3), (2.5)]). This gives

(4.1)
$$\int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h'(u,X) \, du \ll T^{-1/2} \, .$$

Together with Lemma 2 and the definition of G_4^* this gives

$$(4.2) G_4^* \ll \log T \,.$$

The integral in (4.1) has already been calculated in Matsumoto [9, §4], but there are some misprints in the formula stated between (4.6) and (4.7) in [9]. The above calculation contains the correction.

5. Evaluation of G_4^{**} (the first step). In this section we evaluate the inner integral of G_4^{**} . Integrating (3.4) twice by parts, we have

$$h''(u,\xi) = 2\xi^{-2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \{(2-u)(1-u)y^{-u}(1+y)^{u-2\sigma} + 2(2-u)(u-2\sigma)y^{1-u}(1+y)^{u-2\sigma-1} + (u-2\sigma)(u-2\sigma-1)y^{2-u}(1+y)^{u-2\sigma-2}\}\cos(2\pi\xi y) \, dy \, .$$

Hence,

(5.1)
$$\int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h''(u,\xi) \, du = 2\xi^{-2} \int_{0}^{\infty} (1+y)^{-2\sigma-2} I(y) \cos(2\pi\xi y) \, dy \, ,$$

where

$$I(y) = \int_{\sigma - iT}^{\sigma + iT} (u^2 + P_1(y)u + P_2(y)) \left(\frac{1+y}{y}\right)^u du$$

and $P_j(y)$ is a polynomial in y of degree j whose coefficients may depend on $\sigma.$ We have

$$\int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} x^u \, du = 2ix^\sigma \frac{\sin(T\log x)}{\log x} \,,$$

$$\begin{split} & \int\limits_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} ux^u \, du = 2ix^\sigma \frac{\sigma \sin(T\log x) + T\cos(T\log x)}{\log x} - 2ix^\sigma \frac{\sin(T\log x)}{\log^2 x} \,, \\ & \int\limits_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^2 x^u \, du = 2ix^\sigma \frac{\sigma^2 \sin(T\log x) + 2\sigma T\cos(T\log x) - T^2\sin(T\log x)}{\log x} \\ & -4ix^\sigma \frac{\sigma \sin(T\log x) + T\cos(T\log x)}{\log^2 x} + 4ix^\sigma \frac{\sin(T\log x)}{\log^3 x} \,. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$I(y) = 2i\left(\frac{1+y}{y}\right)^{\sigma} \left(\log\frac{1+y}{y}\right)^{-1} \left\{-T^{2}\sin\left(T\log\frac{1+y}{y}\right) + H_{1}(y)T\cos\left(T\log\frac{1+y}{y}\right) + H_{0}(y)\sin\left(T\log\frac{1+y}{y}\right)\right\},$$

where $H_0(y)$ and $H_1(y)$ are linear combinations of terms of the form

$$y^{\mu} \left(\log \frac{1+y}{y} \right)^{-\nu}$$

with non-negative integers μ and ν satisfying $\mu + \nu \leq 2$. We substitute this

expression for I(y) into (5.1). The method used in §4 gives

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(iT\log((1+y)/y))\cos(2\pi\xi y)}{y^{\sigma-\mu}(1+y)^{\sigma+2}(\log((1+y)/y))^{\nu+1}} \, dy \ll T^{-1/2}$$

for $\xi \geq X$. Hence

$$\int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} h''(u,\xi) \, du$$

= $-4iT^2 \xi^{-2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(2\pi\xi y) \sin(T\log((1+y)/y))}{y^{\sigma}(1+y)^{\sigma+2}\log((1+y)/y)} \, dy + O(\xi^{-2}T^{1/2})$

Then we apply [9, Lemma 3] to estimate the integral on the right hand side. Substituting the result into the definition of G_4^{**} we arrive at

$$G_4^{**} = (i\sqrt{\pi})^{-1}T^{5/2}J + O\left(T^{1/2}\int_X^\infty \xi^{-2} |\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)| \, d\xi\right),$$

where

$$J = \int_{X}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)\sin(TV + 2\pi\xi U - \pi\xi + \pi/4)}{\xi^{3}VU^{1/2}(U - 1/2)^{\sigma}(U + 1/2)^{\sigma+2}} d\xi$$

with

$$U = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi\xi} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/2}, \quad V = 2\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{\frac{\pi\xi}{2T}}.$$

Using Lemma 3 we get

(5.2)
$$G_4^{**} = (i\sqrt{\pi})^{-1}T^{5/2}J + O(T^{3/4-\sigma}).$$

6. Evaluation of G_4^{**} (the second step). Now our problem is reduced to the evaluation of J. Consider the truncated integral

$$J(b) = \int_{X}^{b} \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)\sin(TV + 2\pi\xi U - \pi\xi + \pi/4)}{\xi^{3}VU^{1/2}(U - 1/2)^{\sigma}(U + 1/2)^{\sigma+2}} d\xi \qquad (b > X),$$

and substitute (2.2) into the right-hand side. By Lemma 1 the series in the expression for $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(\xi)$ are uniformly convergent when b is finite, so that in J(b) we can perform termwise integration to obtain

(6.1)
$$J(b) = c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} J_1(n,b) + c_4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-9/4} J_2(n,b) + O(T^{-\sigma-7/4}),$$

where

$$J_1(n,b) = \int_X^b \xi^{-7/4-\sigma} \frac{\cos(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} + \pi/4)\sin(TV + 2\pi\xi U - \pi\xi + \pi/4)}{VU^{1/2}(U - 1/2)^{\sigma}(U + 1/2)^{\sigma+2}} d\xi$$

and

$$J_2(n,b) = \int_X^b \xi^{-9/4-\sigma} \frac{\cos(4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} - \pi/4)\sin(TV + 2\pi\xi U - \pi\xi + \pi/4)}{VU^{1/2}(U - 1/2)^{\sigma}(U + 1/2)^{\sigma+2}} d\xi.$$

Hence our task is to evaluate the integral

$$\int_{X}^{b} \frac{\exp(i(\pm 4\pi\sqrt{n\xi} - TV - 2\pi\xi U + \pi\xi))}{\xi^{\sigma+\mu}VU^{1/2}(U^2 - 1/4)^{\sigma}(U + 1/2)^2} d\xi = \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^{\sigma} I_{\mu}(n,b;\pm),$$

where

$$I_{\mu}(n,b;\pm) = \int_{\sqrt{X}}^{\sqrt{b}} x^{1-2\mu} \left(\operatorname{arsinh}\left(x\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T}}\right) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi x^2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{-1/4} \\ \times \left(\left(\frac{T}{2\pi x^2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2} \exp\left\{ i \left(\pm 4\pi x\sqrt{n} - 2T \operatorname{arsinh}\left(x\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T}}\right) - (2\pi T x^2 + \pi^2 x^4)^{1/2} + \pi x^2 \right) \right\} dx \,.$$

LEMMA 4. For $b \ge T^2$ and for $\mu = 7/4$ or $\mu = 9/4$ we have

$$\begin{split} I_{\mu}(n,b;\pm) &= 2\delta_n \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 n^{\mu-\sigma-1} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} - n\right)^{7/2+2\sigma-2\mu} \left(\log\frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times \exp\left(i\left(T - T\log\frac{T}{2\pi n} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right) \\ &+ O\left(\delta_n n^{\mu-\sigma-1} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} - n\right)^{2+2\sigma-2\mu} T^{-3/2}\right) + O(e^{-cT - c\sqrt{nT}}) \\ &+ O\left(X^{1/2+\sigma-\mu}\min\left\{1, \left|\pm 2\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{X} - \left(X + \frac{2T}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}\right|^{-1}\right\}\right) \\ &+ O(b^{1/2+\sigma-\mu} n^{-1/2})\,, \end{split}$$

where c is a positive constant and

$$\delta_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \le n < T/(2\pi), \ nX \le (T/(2\pi) - n)^2 \\ & \text{and the double sign takes } +, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is a slight modification of Lemma 3 of Atkinson [1], and we omit the proof.

We have $\delta_n = 1$ if and only if $1 \leq n \leq B(T, \sqrt{X})$ and the double sign takes +. Apply the above lemma to $J_2(n, b)$, and substitute the result into (6.1). The contribution of the error term including *b* vanishes as *b* tends to infinity. Noting that $B(T, \sqrt{X}) \ll T$ and $T/2\pi - B(T, \sqrt{X}) \gg T$, we conclude that the total contribution of $J_2(n, b)$ to *J* is $O(T^{-\sigma-7/4})$.

Next, applying Lemma 4 to $J_1(n, b)$, we have

(6.2)
$$J_1(n,b) = -\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^{\sigma} \left\{ \delta_n \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 n^{3/4} \left(\log\frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \\ \times \sin\left(T - T\log\frac{T}{2\pi n} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(R_1 + R_2 + R_3^+ + R_3^- + R_4) \right\},$$

where

$$R_{1} = \delta_{n} n^{3/4} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} - n \right)^{-3/2} T^{-3/2} ,$$

$$R_{2} = e^{-cT - c\sqrt{nT}} ,$$

$$R_{3}^{\pm} = X^{-5/4} \min \left\{ 1, \left| \pm 2\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{X} - \left(X + \frac{2T}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \right|^{-1} \right\} ,$$

$$R_{4} = b^{-5/4} n^{-1/2} .$$

The contribution of the error term R_4 to J(b) vanishes as b tends to infinity. The contribution of R_1 and R_2 can be easily estimated by $O(T^{-3})$. The contribution of R_3^+ is

$$\ll T^{-\sigma-5/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} \min\{1, |2(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{B(T, \sqrt{X})})|^{-1}\}$$

= $T^{-\sigma-5/4} \Big(\sum_{n \le B/2} + \sum_{B/2 < n \le B - \sqrt{B}} + \sum_{B-\sqrt{B} < n \le B + \sqrt{B}} + \sum_{B+\sqrt{B} < n < 2B} + \sum_{2B \le n} \Big)$
= $T^{-\sigma-5/4} (R_{31} + R_{32} + R_{33} + R_{34} + R_{35}),$

say, where $B = B(T, \sqrt{X})$. Since $B \simeq T$ it is easy to see that $R_{31} = O(T^{\sigma-5/4} \log T)$ and $R_{35} = O(T^{\sigma-5/4})$. Next,

(6.3)
$$R_{32} \ll B^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{B/2 < n \le B - \sqrt{B} \\ \ll B^{\sigma - 5/4}}} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma - 7/4} (B-n)^{-1} \\ \ll B^{\sigma - 5/4} \sum_{\sqrt{B} \le n \le B/2} n^{-1} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}([B]-n) ,$$

where [B] means the greatest integer $\leq B$. For any positive numbers x and

y, the elementary estimate

(6.4)
$$\sum_{x < n \le x + y} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \ll y + \sqrt{x}$$

holds (see Matsumoto–Meurman [10, (2.1)]). By using this inequality and partial summation, the last sum in (6.3) can be estimated by $O(\log T)$, whence $R_{32} = O(T^{\sigma-5/4}\log T)$. Quite similarly, we have $R_{34} = O(T^{\sigma-5/4}\log T)$. Also, since

$$R_{33} \ll \sum_{B - \sqrt{B} < n \le B + \sqrt{B}} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma - 7/4}$$

the estimate $R_{33} = O(T^{\sigma-5/4})$ follows by using (6.4) again. Hence, the total contribution of R_3^+ is $O(T^{-5/2} \log T)$, and likewise for R_3^- , because $R_3^- \leq R_3^+$ for any n. Therefore we now arrive at

$$J = -c_1 \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^{\sigma+2} \sum_{n \le B} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-1}$$
$$\times \left(\log \frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \sin\left(T - T\log \frac{T}{2\pi n} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(T^{-5/2}\log T),$$

which by (5.2) implies

$$G_4^{**} = -i\Sigma_{2,\sigma}(T,X) + O(\log T) \, .$$

since $c_1 = -1/(2\pi^2\sqrt{2})$. Combining this with (4.2) and (3.6) gives

$$G_4 = i\Sigma_{2,\sigma}(T,X) + O(\log T)$$

Combining this with (3.7)–(3.9) and (3.1), we obtain (1.2) when X is not an integer. This last condition can be removed, because we can easily show that $\Sigma_{j,\sigma}(T,X) - \Sigma_{j,\sigma}(T,X') \ll 1$ (j = 1,2) if $X - X' \ll \sqrt{T}$, by using (6.4) and the fact that $B(T,\sqrt{X}) - B(T,\sqrt{X'}) \ll \sqrt{T}$. The proof of Theorem 1 is, therefore, now complete.

7. An averaged formula. Now we consider the mean square of $E_{\sigma}(T)$. To prove the weak estimate (1.8), Theorem 1 is enough. But the proof of Theorem 2 requires the following ideas: the averaging technique introduced in Meurman [12]; the application of Montgomery–Vaughan's inequality as Preissmann [17] did; the application of the mean value theorem for Dirichlet polynomials similarly to Matsumoto–Meurman [10]. In this section we prove an averaged formula for $E_{\sigma}(T)$.

From (3.1) and (3.6)-(3.9) we get

$$E_{\sigma}(T) = \Sigma_{1,\sigma}(T,X) - iG_4^* - iG_4^{**} + O(1)$$

for $X \simeq T$. We average with respect to X. Let $X = (L + \mu)^2$, where $L \simeq \sqrt{T}, 0 \le \mu \le M$ and $M \simeq \sqrt{T}$.

We note that in Matsumoto–Meurman [10] we chose $M \simeq T^{1/4}$. This was necessary to get $O(T^{-1/4})$ in [10, (3.29)]. In the present situation O(1) is enough (and in fact the best we can get for $\sigma = 3/4$), and hence we may choose $M \simeq \sqrt{T}$.

We have

$$\frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{M} \Sigma_{1,\sigma}(T, (L+\mu)^2) \, d\mu = \Sigma_{1,\sigma}^*(T, L, M) \,,$$

where $\Sigma_{1,\sigma}^*(T, L, M)$ is the same as $\Sigma_{1,\sigma}(T, (L+M)^2)$ except that its terms are multiplied by the function

$$w_1(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \le L^2, \\ 1 + \frac{L}{M} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{M} & \text{if } L^2 < n \le (L+M)^2. \end{cases}$$

From (3.6) and (4.1) we have

$$G_4^* \ll T^{-1/2} |\widetilde{\Delta}_{1-2\sigma}(X)|.$$

Hence, using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{M} G_{4}^{*} \, d\mu \ll 1 \, .$$

From (5.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{M} G_{4}^{**} d\mu = (i\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} T^{5/2} \frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{M} J d\mu + O(1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{M} J \, d\mu = c_1 \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^{\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-7/4} K_n + O(T^{-7/4-\sigma}) \,,$$

where

$$K_n = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^M \int_{L+\mu}^\infty x^{-5/2} \left(\operatorname{arsinh} \left(x \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T}} \right) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi x^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{-1/4} \\ \times \left(\left(\frac{T}{2\pi x^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2} \sin(f(T, x^2) - \pi x^2 + \pi/2) \\ \times \left\{ \cos(4\pi x \sqrt{n} + \pi/4) + c_1^{-1} c_4 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{n}} \cos(4\pi x \sqrt{n} - \pi/4) \right\} dx d\mu$$

This is obtained by applying Lemma 1, and the constants c_1 and c_4 are as in Lemma 1. The change of the summation and the integrations can be justified as in Matsumoto–Meurman [10]. We can evaluate K_n by Jutila [8, Theorem 2.2]. The saddle point is $x_0 = n^{-1/2}(T/(2\pi) - n)$. Note that $c_0 = 1$ in Jutila's theorem. We get

$$K_n = -w_2(n,T) \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 n^{3/4} \left(\log\frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \cos(g(T,n)) + O\left(M^{-1}T^{-5/4} \sum_{j=0}^1 \min\{1, (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{B(T,L+jM)})^{-2}\}\right) + O(R(n)T^{-5/2}n^{3/4}),$$

where

$$w_{2}(n,T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n < B(T,L+M), \\ \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{n} - L \right) & \text{if } B(T,L+M) \le n < B(T,L), \\ 0 & \text{if } n \ge B(T,L) \end{cases}$$

and

$$R(n) = \begin{cases} T^{-1/2} & \text{if } n < B(T, L+M) ,\\ 1 & \text{if } B(T, L+M) \le n < B(T, L) ,\\ 0 & \text{if } n \ge B(T, L) . \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{M} \int_{0}^{M} J \, d\mu &= -c_1 \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^{\sigma+2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-1} w_2(n,T) \\ &\times \left(\log \frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \cos(g(T,n)) + O(T^{-5/2}) \,. \end{split}$$

Collecting the above results, we now obtain

(7.1)
$$E_{\sigma}(T) = \Sigma_{1,\sigma}^{*}(T,L,M) - \Sigma_{2,\sigma}^{*}(T,L,M) + O(1),$$

where $\Sigma_{2,\sigma}^*(T, L, M)$ is the same as $\Sigma_{2,\sigma}(T, B(T, L))$ except that its terms are multiplied by $w_2(n, T)$.

8. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $T \leq t \leq 2T$. From (7.1) with $L = M = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}$ we have

$$E_{\sigma}(t) = \Sigma_{1,\sigma}^{*}(t, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}) - \Sigma_{2,\sigma}^{*}(t, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}) + O(1).$$

We shall prove that

(8.1)

$$\int_{T}^{2T} (\Sigma_{1,\sigma}^{*}(t, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}))^{2} dt = \begin{cases} \zeta^{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(3)} T \log T + O(T) & \text{if } \sigma = \frac{3}{4} \\ O(T) & \text{if } \sigma > \frac{3}{4} \end{cases},$$

and that

(8.2)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} (\Sigma_{2,\sigma}^{*}(t, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}))^{2} dt = O(T) \, .$$

Theorem 2 then follows easily.

Consider the left-hand side of (8.1). We square out and integrate term by term. The non-diagonal terms give O(T), as in Matsumoto–Meurman [10]. The diagonal terms contribute

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq T} w_1(n)^2 n^{2\sigma-2} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)^2 \\ & \times \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1-2\sigma} \left(\operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{2t}{\pi n}+1\right)^{-1/2} dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq T} w_1(n)^2 n^{2\sigma-2} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)^2 \\ & \times \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1-2\sigma} \left(\operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{2t}{\pi n}+1\right)^{-1/2} \cos(2f(t,n)) dt \,. \end{split}$$

Here we have used the formula $\cos^2 z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2z)$. The second sum is $O(T^{2-2\sigma})$, which we can see by estimating the integral by Ivić [5, Lemma 15.3]. For the first sum we use

$$(\operatorname{arsinh} z)^{-2} = z^{-2} + O(1) \quad (z \to 0)$$

and

$$(z+1)^{-1/2} = z^{-1/2} + O(z^{-3/2}) \quad (z \to \infty)$$

to deduce that it is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \le T} w_1(n)^2 n^{2\sigma-2} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)^2 \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1-2\sigma} \left(\frac{2t}{\pi n}\right)^{1/2} dt + O(T) \, .$$

For $\sigma > 3/4$ this is O(T), proving the second part of (8.1). For $\sigma = 3/4$ the above is equal to

$$T\sum_{n\leq T} w_1(n)^2 n^{-1} \sigma_{-1/2}(n)^2 + O(T) = T\sum_{n\leq T/4} n^{-1} \sigma_{-1/2}(n)^2 + O(T) ,$$

because the terms with $T/4 < n \leq T$ contribute O(T) and for $n \leq T/4$ we have $w_1(n) = 1$. By Titchmarsh [18, (1.3.3)] and Perron's formula we get

$$\sum_{n \le T/4} n^{-1} \sigma_{-1/2}(n)^2 = \frac{\zeta^2(3/2)\zeta(2)}{\zeta(3)} \log T + O(1),$$

which proves the first part of (8.1).

Next we prove (8.2). The left-hand side of (8.2) is

(8.3)
$$\ll T^{1-2\sigma} \int_{T}^{2T} \left| \sum_{n} w_2(n,t) \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-1+it} \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi n} \right)^{-1} \right|^2 dt.$$

We proceed to remove the factor $w_2(n,t)/\log(t/(2\pi n))$ in the above sum by partial summation. We have $B(t,\sqrt{T}) \ge \alpha T$ for some sufficiently small positive α . Consequently, $w_2(n,t) = 1$ for $n \le \alpha T$. For $n > \alpha T$ we have $w_2(n+1,t) - w_2(n,t) \ll T^{-1}$. It follows that

(8.4)
$$w_2(n+1,t) \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi(n+1)}\right)^{-1} - w_2(n,t) \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \\ \ll \left(n\log^2 \frac{t}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \ll \left(n\log^2 \frac{T}{n}\right)^{-1}.$$

In particular, since $w_2(n,t) = 0$ for $n \ge B(t, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T})$, we have

(8.5)
$$w_2(\beta, t) \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi\beta}\right)^{-1} \ll T^{-1},$$

where β means the greatest integer $\leq B(t, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T})$. Now using (8.4), (8.5) and partial summation we see that the sum \sum_{n} in (8.3) is

$$\ll T^{-1} \Big| \sum_{n=1}^{\beta} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-1+it} \Big| + \sum_{n=1}^{\beta-1} \left(n \log^2 \frac{T}{n} \right)^{-1} \Big| \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(m) m^{\sigma-1+it} \Big|.$$

The first sum here is trivially $O(T^{\sigma})$, so its contribution to the left-hand side of (8.2) is O(1). Hence it remains to show that

$$\int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum_{n \le T/2} \left(n \log^2 \frac{T}{n} \right)^{-1} \Big| \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(m) m^{\sigma-1+it} \Big| \right)^2 dt \ll T^{2\sigma},$$

since $\beta - 1 \leq T/2$. Here we use Schwarz's inequality, take the integration under the summation and use the mean value theorem for Dirichlet polynomials (see Ivić [5, Theorem 5.2]). We also need the elementary estimate

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)^2 \ll x$$

(see $[10, \S2]$). Then (8.2) follows and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

9. Proof of Theorem 3. In this final section we assume $1/2 < \sigma < 3/4$. Let G be a parameter satisfying G = o(T). Our first goal is to deduce from (1.2) a suitable expression for $E_{\sigma}(T + u)$, where $|u| \leq G$. In (1.2) we take X = T. For $n \leq T$ and $|u| \leq G$ we find by straightforward calculation that

$$e(T+u,n) = e(T,n)(1+O(|u|T^{-1})) = O(1)$$

$$(T+u)^{3/4-\sigma} = T^{3/4-\sigma}(1+O(|u|T^{-1})),$$

and

$$f(T+u,n) = f(T,n) + 2u \operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2T}} + u^2 d(T,n) + O(|u|^3 T^{-2}),$$

where d(T, n) is real and

(9.1)
$$d(T,n) \ll T^{-1}$$

(see Meurman [11, p. 363]). We have

$$B(T+u,\sqrt{T}) = c_6T + O(|u|),$$

where

(9.2)
$$c_6 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{-1} < \frac{1}{4\pi^2}.$$

For $n \leq B(T+u,\sqrt{T})$ and $|u| \leq G$ we have

$$(T+u)^{1/2-\sigma} = T^{1/2-\sigma}(1+O(|u|T^{-1})),$$
$$\left(\log\frac{T+u}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} = \left(\log\frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} + O(|u|T^{-1}),$$

and

$$g(T+u,n) = g(T,n) + u \log \frac{T}{2\pi n} + \frac{u^2}{2T} + O(|u|^3 T^{-2}).$$

Using these facts and (6.4), it may be easily deduced from (1.2) that for $|u| \leq G$ we have

(9.3)
$$E_{\sigma}(T+u)$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/4-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq T} a(n)e(T,n)$$

$$\times \cos\left(f(T,n) + 2u \operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2T}} + u^2 d(T,n)\right)$$

$$- 2 \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq c_6 T} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)n^{\sigma-1} \left(\log \frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\times \cos\left(g(T,n) + u \log \frac{T}{2\pi n} + \frac{u^2}{2T}\right)$$

$$+ O(\log T) + O(G^3 T^{-3/2}) + O(GT^{-1/2}),$$

where

$$a(n) = (-1)^n \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-5/4}.$$

Set $Z = \sqrt{2T/\pi Y}$, and suppose that Y satisfies $1 \le Y \le T^{1/4} \,.$ (9.4)

Our next goal is to deduce from (9.3) an expression for

(9.5)
$$E_{\sigma}(T,Y) = \int_{-G/Z}^{G/Z} E_{\sigma}(T+Zt)e^{-t^2} dt.$$

For this purpose we have to consider the integrals

$$(9.6) \quad I_1(n) = \int_{-G/Z}^{G/Z} \exp\left(2iZ\left(\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2T}}\right)t - (1 - id(T, n)Z^2)t^2\right)dt$$
$$(n \le T)$$

and

(9.7)
$$I_2(n) = \int_{-G/Z}^{G/Z} \exp\left(iZ\left(\log\frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)t - \left(1 - \frac{iZ^2}{2T}\right)t^2\right)dt$$
$$(n \le c_6T).$$

By the general formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(At - Bt^2) dt = (\pi/B)^{1/2} \exp(A^2/4B) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(B) > 0)$$

(see Ivić [5, (A.38)]) we get

$$I_1(n) = \left(\frac{\pi}{1 - id(T, n)Z^2}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(Z \operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\pi n/2T})^2}{1 - id(T, n)Z^2}\right) + O(e^{-(G/Z)^2})$$

and

and

$$I_2(n) = \left(\frac{\pi}{1 - iZ^2/2T}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(Z\log(T/2\pi n))^2}{4 - 2iZ^2/T}\right) + O(e^{-(G/Z)^2}).$$

Suppose now that $G \ge T^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for some fixed positive ε . Then $\exp(-(G/Z)^2) \ll \exp(-T^{\varepsilon})$. In case $n \le Y^2$ we have

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{1 - id(T, n)Z^2}\right)^{1/2} = \pi^{1/2} + O(Z^2T^{-1}) = \pi^{1/2} + O(Y^{-1})$$

by (9.1), since d(T, n) is real. Also, using (9.4) and the formula arsinh x = $x + O(x^3)$, we have

$$-\frac{(Z \operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\pi n/2T})^2}{1 - id(T, n)Z^2} = -Z^2 \frac{\pi n}{2T} + O\left(\left(\frac{Zn}{T}\right)^2\right) + O(Z^4 T^{-2}n)$$
$$= -nY^{-1} + O(nY^{-2}).$$

Hence it follows that, for $n \leq Y^2$,

(9.8)
$$I_1(n) = \pi^{1/2} e^{-n/Y} (1 + O(Y^{-1}) + O(nY^{-2})) + O(\exp(-T^{\varepsilon}))$$

= $\pi^{1/2} e^{-n/Y} + O(n^{-1})$.

In case $Y^2 < n \leq T$ we have

(9.9)
$$I_1(n) \ll \exp(-c_7 Z^2 n/T) + \exp(-T^{\varepsilon})$$
$$= \exp(-2c_7 n/\pi Y) + \exp(-T^{\varepsilon})$$

with some positive c_7 . For any $n \leq c_6 T$ we have

(9.10)
$$I_2(n) \ll \exp\left(-c_8\left(Z\log\frac{T}{2\pi c_6T}\right)^2\right) + \exp(-T^{\varepsilon}) \ll \exp(-T^{\varepsilon})$$

with some positive c_8 . By (9.3) and (9.5)–(9.7) we get

$$\begin{split} E_{\sigma}(T,Y) &= \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/4-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq T} a(n) e(T,n) \operatorname{Re}(e^{if(T,n)} I_1(n)) \\ &- 2 \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq c_6 T} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) n^{\sigma-1} \left(\log \frac{T}{2\pi n}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times \operatorname{Re}(e^{ig(T,n)} I_2(n)) + O(G^3 T^{-3/2}) \,. \end{split}$$

Here we have combined the error terms using $G \geq T^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$ Then we use (9.8)–(9.10) to obtain

$$E_{\sigma}(T,Y) = \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/4-\sigma} \sum_{n \le Y^2} a(n)e(T,n)e^{-n/Y}\cos(f(T,n)) + O(G^3T^{-3/2}) + O(T^{3/4-\sigma}).$$

Now we choose $G = T^{3/4-\sigma/3}$ whence $T^{1/2+\varepsilon} \leq G = o(T)$ with $\varepsilon = 1/4 - \sigma/3$, as required. Then, since e(T, n) = 1 + O(n/T) and

$$f(T,n) = \sqrt{8\pi nT} - \pi/4 + O(n^{3/2}T^{-1/2})$$

(see [5, (15.74), (15.75)]), and noting (9.4), we get easily

(9.11)
$$E_{\sigma}(T,Y) = \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/4-\sigma} \left(S(T,Y) + O(1)\right),$$

where

(9.12)
$$S(T,Y) = \sum_{n \le Y^2} a(n) e^{-n/Y} \cos(\sqrt{8\pi nT} - \pi/4).$$

From (9.5) and (9.11) it is clear that Theorem 3 follows from

LEMMA 5. For any positive T_1 we can choose $T \ge T_1$ and Y satisfying (9.4) for which

(9.13)
$$S(T,Y) \ge 10^{-11} \zeta(2\sigma)^{-2} (\log T)^{\sigma-1/4}.$$

To prove Lemma 5, we shall first obtain a lower bound for the sum

$$\varrho(x) = \sum_{n \le x} (-1)^n \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \,.$$

LEMMA 6. There exists a constant $c_9 = c_9(\sigma) \ge 1$ such that $\varrho(x) \ge x/12$ for any $x \ge c_9$.

Proof. Since

$$\sigma_{1-2\sigma}(2n) \ge 1 + 2^{1-2\sigma}\sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \sim \zeta(2\sigma) x$$

(see (1.4)), it follows that

$$\begin{split} \varrho(x) &= 2 \sum_{n \le x/2} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(2n) - \sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \\ &\ge 2[x/2] + 2^{2-2\sigma} \sum_{n \le x/2} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) - \sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \\ &\sim (1 + (2^{1-2\sigma} - 1)\zeta(2\sigma))x \,. \end{split}$$

By Titchmarsh [18, (2.2.1)], the coefficient of x equals

$$1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} n^{-2\sigma} \ge 2^{-2\sigma} - 3^{-2\sigma} \ge \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{-3/2} > \frac{1}{12},$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 6.

We denote by q the greatest integer $\leq 10^8 \zeta(2\sigma)^2$. Clearly we may suppose that $T_1 \geq \exp((c_9q)^4)$. Let $Y = \log T_1$. Then $Y \geq 1$, as required in (9.4). We apply Dirichlet's theorem (see Ivić [5, Lemma 9.1]) to find a T satisfying

$$T_1 \le T \le T_1 q^{2qY}, \quad \|\sqrt{2nT/\pi}\| \le q^{-1} \quad (1 \le n \le qY),$$

where ||x|| denotes the distance of x from the nearest integer. Then $Y \leq \log T \leq T^{1/4}$ as required in (9.4). Moreover, it follows that

$$\log T \le \log T_1 + 2qY \log q \le q^2 Y$$

whence

(9.14)
$$Y^{\sigma-1/4} \ge q^{1/2-2\sigma} (\log T)^{\sigma-1/4} \ge q^{-1} (\log T)^{\sigma-1/4}$$

Another consequence is that

(9.15)
$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \cos(\sqrt{8\pi nT} - \pi/4) \end{vmatrix}$$

= $|\cos(-\pi/4) - \cos(\pm 2\pi \|\sqrt{2nT/\pi}\| - \pi/4)|$
 $\leq 2\pi \|\sqrt{2nT/\pi}\| \leq 2\pi q^{-1} \quad (1 \leq n \leq qY).$

By a simple elementary argument we have

(9.16)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \le \zeta(2\sigma)x.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \le x} |a(n)| \le 4\zeta(2\sigma) x^{\sigma - 1/4}$$

and

$$\sum_{n>x} |a(n)| n^{-1} \le 3\zeta(2\sigma) x^{\sigma-5/4} \,.$$

Using the last two inequalities and (9.15) we get

(9.17)
$$S(T,Y) = S_1(Y) - S_2(Y) - S_3(Y),$$

where

$$S_{1}(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \le qY} a(n)e^{-n/Y},$$

$$(9.18) \qquad S_{2}(Y) = \sum_{n \le qY} a(n)e^{-n/Y} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \cos(\sqrt{8\pi nT} - \pi/4)\right)$$

$$\le 2\pi q^{-1} \sum_{n \le qY} |a(n)| \le 8\pi \zeta(2\sigma)q^{-1/2}Y^{\sigma-1/4},$$

$$(9.19) \qquad S_{3}(Y) = -\sum_{qY < n \le Y^{2}} a(n)e^{-n/Y}\cos(\sqrt{8\pi nT} - \pi/4)$$

$$\le Y \sum_{n > qY} |a(n)|n^{-1} \le 3\zeta(2\sigma)q^{-1/2}Y^{\sigma-1/4}.$$

Consider $S_1(Y)$. We define $\phi(x) = x^{\sigma-5/4}e^{-x/Y}$. Then

$$\phi'(x) = -((\frac{5}{4} - \sigma)x^{-1} + Y^{-1})\phi(x),$$

which is negative, and for $1 \le x \le c_9$ we have $|\phi'(x)| \le 2/x$. Using these facts, (9.16) and partial summation we get

$$S_1(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \le qY} (-1)^n \sigma_{1-2\sigma}(n) \phi(n) = S_{11}(Y) - S_{12}(Y) - S_{13}(Y) ,$$

where

$$S_{11}(Y) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{c_9}^{qY} \phi'(x)\varrho(x) \, dx \,,$$

$$S_{12}(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{1}^{c_9} \phi'(x)\varrho(x) \, dx \le \sqrt{2}\zeta(2\sigma)c_9 \le \zeta(2\sigma)q^{-1/2}Y^{\sigma-1/4} \,,$$

$$S_{13}(Y) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi(qY)\varrho(qY) \le \zeta(2\sigma)q^{-1/2}Y^{\sigma-1/4} \,.$$

Consider $S_{11}(Y)$. Since $Y \ge c_9$, we get, by Lemma 6,

$$S_{11}(Y) \ge -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{Y}^{qY} \phi'(x)\varrho(x) \, dx \ge -\frac{Y}{12\sqrt{2}} \int_{Y}^{qY} \phi'(x) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{Y}{12\sqrt{2}} (\phi(Y) - \phi(qY)) \ge \frac{1}{100} Y^{\sigma - 1/4} \, .$$

Hence

$$S_1(Y) \ge \left(\frac{1}{100} - 2\zeta(2\sigma)q^{-1/2}\right)Y^{\sigma-1/4}.$$

Combined with (9.17)-(9.19) and (9.14) this gives

$$S(T,Y) \ge c_{10}Y^{\sigma-1/4} \ge c_{10}q^{-1}(\log T)^{\sigma-1/4}$$

where $c_{10} = \frac{1}{100} - (8\pi + 5)\zeta(2\sigma)q^{-1/2}$. By the choice of q we have $c_{10}q^{-1} \ge \frac{1}{200}q^{-1} > 10^{-11}\zeta(2\sigma)^{-2}$,

which completes the proof of Lemma 5, and hence of Theorem 3.

References

- F. V. Atkinson, The mean-value of the Riemann zeta function, Acta Math. 81 (1949), 353–376.
- [2] K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, Approximate functional equations for a class of zeta-functions, Math. Ann. 152 (1963), 30–64.
- [3] J. L. Hafner, On the representation of the summatory functions of a class of arithmetical functions, in: Analytic Number Theory, M. I. Knopp (ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 899, Springer, 1981, 148–165.
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown, The mean value theorem for the Riemann zeta-function, Mathematika 25 (1978), 177-184.
- [5] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-Function. The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function with Applications, Wiley, 1985.
- [6] —, Mean Values of the Riemann Zeta Function, Lectures on Math. 82, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Springer, 1991.
- [7] —, La valeur moyenne de la fonction zêta de Riemann, Sém. Théorie des Nombres 1990/91, Université Orsay, Paris, to appear.

- [8] M. Jutila, A Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums, Lectures on Math. 80, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Springer, 1987.
- K. Matsumoto, The mean square of the Riemann zeta-function in the critical strip, Japan. J. Math. 15 (1989), 1-13.
- [10] K. Matsumoto and T. Meurman, The mean square of the Riemann zetafunction in the critical strip II, Journées Arithmétiques de Genève 1991, Astérisque 209 (1992), 265–274.
- T. Meurman, A generalization of Atkinson's formula to L-functions, Acta Arith. 47 (1986), 351–370.
- [12] —, On the mean square of the Riemann zeta-function, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 38 (1987), 337–343.
- [13] —, A simple proof of Voronoï's identity, to appear.
- [14] —, Voronoi's identity for the Riesz mean of $\sigma_{\alpha}(n)$, unpublished manuscript, 24 pp.
- [15] Y. Motohashi, The mean square of $\zeta(s)$ off the critical line, unpublished manuscript, 11 pp.
- [16] A. Oppenheim, Some identities in the theory of numbers, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 26 (1927), 295–350.
- [17] E. Preissmann, Sur la moyenne quadratique de la fonction zêta de Riemann, preprint.
- [18] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 1951.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF EDUCATION IWATE UNIVERSITY MORIOKA 020 JAPAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF TURKU SF-20500 TURKU FINLAND

Received on 22.12.1992

(2355)