

## Some division theorems for vector fields

by ANDRZEJ ZAJTZ (Kraków)

**Abstract.** This paper is concerned with the problem of divisibility of vector fields with respect to the Lie bracket  $[X, Y]$ . We deal with the local divisibility. The methods used are based on various estimates, in particular those concerning prolongations of dynamical systems. A generalization to polynomials of the adjoint operator  $\text{ad}(X)$  is given.

**0. Introduction.** The Lie bracket of differentiable vector fields on a smooth manifold is one of the fundamental operations not only in differential geometry. We deal with the following problem of division:

Given vector fields  $X, Z$ , does there exist a vector field  $Y$  such that  $[X, Y] = Z$ ?

The problem has been considered only for local vector fields and the full and positive answer is known whenever  $X$  has a nonvanishing germ. In this case  $X$  has local representation  $\partial/\partial x_1$  and the “quotient”  $Y$  can be taken to be

$$Y(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{-\delta}^{x_1} Z(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) dt$$

for  $\|x\| = \sup |x_i| \leq \delta$ . This fact has been broadly exploited in papers concerning the well-known Pursell–Shanks theorem and its generalizations.

Since our problem will also be of local character it can be assumed that  $X$  and  $Z$  are vector fields defined in a neighbourhood of the origin  $0$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the equality  $[X, Y] = Z$  is meant in the sense of germs, that is, there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0$  in which it holds.

Thus  $X, Y, Z$  will be elements of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of local  $C^\infty$  vector fields defined near the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In view of the above, the question remains open only for homogeneous vector fields  $X, Z$ , that is, with  $X(0) = Z(0) = 0$ . From now on the notation  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  will be used for the subalgebra of homogeneous elements.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 17B66.

*Key words and phrases*: Lie bracket of vector fields, bounds to flow and its prolongations.

In order to justify what we deal with in the section that follows, let us see how the flow  $\Psi_t$  of a given vector field  $X$  can be involved in the problem of divisibility by  $X$ .

For any field  $Z$  the transfer of  $Z$  along the trajectories of  $X$  is defined by

$$(\Psi_t)_*Z = (D\Psi_{-t} \circ \Psi_t)Z \circ \Psi_t,$$

i.e.

$$(\Psi_t)_*Z(x) = D\Psi_{-t}(\Psi_t(x))Z(\Psi_t(x)).$$

The Lie bracket  $[Z, X]$  is just the infinitesimal version of that and we have

$$[Z, X] = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\Psi_t)_*Z.$$

More generally,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi_t)_*Z = [(\Psi_t)_*Z, X].$$

Setting  $Y_t = (\Psi_t)_*Z$  we can write

$$Y'_t = [Y_t, X] \quad \left( Y' = \frac{d}{dt}Y \right).$$

This gives

$$(0.1) \quad Z = - \left[ \int_0^t Y_s ds, X \right] + Y_t$$

since  $Y_0 = Z$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $X$  is complete so the range of  $t$  is  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . If necessary we can replace  $X$  by  $fX$  where  $f$  is a  $C^\infty$  function which is 1 in a neighbourhood of 0 and has a compact support in the set where  $X$  is defined. Suppose that

- 1°  $Y_t \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- 2° the integral

$$(0.2) \quad Y(x) = \int_0^\infty Y_t(x) dt$$

is convergent and  $Y$  is  $C^\infty$  in a neighbourhood of 0. Then  $Z = [X, Y]$  so  $Y$  is a solution to the problem.

Since  $X(0) = 0$  we have  $\Psi_t(0) = 0$  for all  $t$ . If  $x = 0$  is asymptotically stable then  $\Psi_t(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for small  $\|x\|$ . As also  $Z(0) = 0$ , it follows that  $Z(\Psi_t(x)) \rightarrow 0$ , but what we need is that  $D\Psi_{-t}(\Psi_t(x))Z(\Psi_t(x))$  and all its  $x$ -derivatives converge to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $x$ . The study of this question will be the subject of the next section.

**1. Some bounds to flows.** Consider the system of differential equations

$$(1.1) \quad x' = X(x) \quad (x' = dx/dt)$$

where  $x$ ,  $X(x)$  are  $n$ -vectors,  $X$  is  $C^\infty$  in a neighbourhood of  $x = 0$  and  $X(0) = 0$ . Thus  $X$  can be written

$$(1.1)' \quad X(x) = Ax + h(x)$$

with  $A = DX(0)$  and  $\|h(x)\| \leq L\|x\|^2$ . We may assume that the Lipschitz constant  $L$  is global, so that solutions to (1.1) are defined globally.

Assume that all the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$  satisfy  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i < 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (for short:  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ ). It is known that under this condition there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $c$  such that

$$\|e^{tA}\| \leq Ke^{-ct} \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|\Psi_t(x)\| \leq Ke^{-ct/2}\|x\| \quad \text{for } \|x\| \leq \delta.$$

Here  $\Psi_t(x)$  is the solution of (1.1) passing through  $x$  at  $t = 0$  (the flow of  $X$ ). For the constant  $c$  we may take any number  $< \min(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|)$  (this is easily seen by writing  $A$  in Jordan canonical form).

LEMMA 1.1. *If there is a bound*

$$\|\Psi_t(x)\| \leq Ke^{c(t)}\|x\| \quad \text{for } \|x\| \leq \delta, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with  $K$  a constant and  $c(t)$  depending only on the eigenvalues of  $A$  and not on their multiplicities (as in the above case), then the derivatives  $D^k \Psi_t(x)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , also have similar bounds with the same  $\delta$  and  $c(t)$  and different constants  $K_k$ .

PROOF. Consider the following variational equation ( $k$ th prolongation of (1.1) with respect to  $x$ ):

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} x' = X(x), \\ \xi'_1 = DX(x)\xi_1, \\ \xi'_2 = D^2X\xi_1\xi_1 + DX\xi_2, \\ \dots \\ \xi'_k = \sum_{s=1}^k D^s X \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s = k \\ \alpha_i > 0}} \xi_{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_{\alpha_s}, \end{cases}$$

with  $\xi_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$ . With brief notation  $(x, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_k) = F(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$  the Hessian of this equation, i.e.  $DF(0)$ , is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{of dimension } (k+1)n).$$

Thus  $DF(0)$  has the same eigenvalues as  $A$ .

For any constant vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the system

$$(\Psi_t(x), D\Psi_t(x)v, \dots, D^k\Psi_t(x)v^k)$$

is a solution to (1.3) passing through  $(x, v, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1)n}$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (D^k\Psi_t v^k)' &= (D^k\Psi_t)'v^k = D^k\Psi_t'v^k = D^k(X \circ \Psi_t)v^k \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^k D^s(X)\Psi_t \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_s=k \\ \alpha_i>0}} D^{\alpha_1}\Psi_t \dots D^{\alpha_s}\Psi_t \right) v^k \end{aligned}$$

and  $(D^{\alpha_1}\Psi_t \dots D^{\alpha_s}\Psi_t)v^k = (D^{\alpha_1}\Psi_t v^{\alpha_1}) \dots (D^{\alpha_s}\Psi_t v^{\alpha_s})$ . Therefore, if a bound  $\|\Psi_t(x)\| \leq ke^{c(t)}\|x\|$  holds for  $\|x\| \leq \delta$  and  $t \geq 0$ , then

$$\|D^l\Psi_t(x)v^l\| \leq K_l e^{c(t)}\|(x, v, 0, \dots, 0)\|, \quad l = 1, \dots, k,$$

for all  $\|x\| \leq \delta$  and any  $\|v\| \leq 1$ . This gives  $\|D^l\Psi_t\| \leq K_l' e^{c(t)}$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *We have*

$$(1.4) \quad |\det D\Psi_t(x)| \geq M e^{(\operatorname{tr} A)t} \quad \text{for } \|x\| \leq \delta,$$

with a positive constant  $M$ .

PROOF. Set  $\Delta_t(x) = \det D\Psi_t(x)$ . Then  $\Delta_{t+s}(x) = \Delta_t(\Psi_s(x))$ . Hence

$$\Delta_s'(x) = \Delta_0'(\Psi_0(x))\Delta_s(x).$$

A routine computation leads to  $\Delta_0'(\xi) = \operatorname{tr} DX(\xi)$  and finally

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta_s(x) = \exp \int_0^t \operatorname{tr} DX(\Psi_s(x)) ds,$$

since  $\Delta_0(x) = 1$ . By applying (1.1)' this can be written as

$$\Delta_s(x) = e^{(\operatorname{tr} A)t} \exp \int_0^t \operatorname{tr} Dk(\Psi_s(x)) ds.$$

Since

$$|\operatorname{tr} Dk(\Psi_s(x))| \leq C\|\Psi_s(x)\|^2 \leq C\delta K e^{-ct},$$

the integral  $\int_0^t \operatorname{tr} Dk(\Psi_s(x)) ds$  is bounded from below by  $-C\delta K/c$ . Thus we can take  $M = \exp(-C\delta K/c)$ .

LEMMA 1.3. *There are constants  $K_k$  and  $L_k$  such that*

$$(1.5) \quad \|D^k\Psi_t(x)\| \leq K_k e^{-ct/2}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|D^k[D\Psi_{-t}(\Psi_t(x))]\| \leq L_k e^{(k+1)at},$$

where  $a = -\operatorname{tr} A - (n-1)c$  and  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ .

PROOF. The bounds (1.5) follow immediately from Lemma 1.1 with reference to (1.2).

For (1.6), from the identity  $\Psi_{-t}(\Psi_t(x)) = x$  it follows that  $D\Psi_{-t}(\Psi_t(x))$  is equal to the inverse matrix to  $D\Psi_t(x)$ . In view of (1.5) and Lemma 1.2 the elements of  $(D\Psi_t(x))^{-1}$  are majorized in absolute value by

$$e^{(-\operatorname{tr} A - (n-1)c)t} (= e^{at})$$

up to a constant multiplicative factor.

Now from  $(D\Psi_t)^{-1} \circ D\Psi_t = I$  we get

$$D(D\Psi_t)^{-1}D\Psi_{-t} + (D\Psi_t)^{-1}D^2\Psi_t = 0,$$

which gives

$$\|D(D\Psi_t)^{-1}\| \leq L_1 e^{2at},$$

and (1.6) follows by induction.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{X}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of local vector fields,  $m$ -flat at 0.

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose  $X$  is as above and  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $(k+1)a - mc/2 < 0$  then there exists a  $C^k$  vector field  $Y$  in a neighbourhood of 0 such that  $[X, Y] = Z$ .*

**Proof.**  $Z$  being  $m$ -flat satisfies  $\|D^k Z(x)\| \leq M_k \|x\|^{m-k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$  and it is bounded for  $k \geq m$  when  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ . We have

$$(1.7) \quad \|D^k(D\Psi_{-t}(\Psi_t(x))Z(\Psi_t(x)))\| \leq \sum_{r+s=k} \|D^r(D\Psi_{-t} \circ \Psi_t)\| \|D^s(Z \circ \Psi_t)\|.$$

By (1.2) and (1.5)

$$\|(D^u Z) \circ \Psi_t\| \leq e^{-(m-u)ct/2} \quad \text{for } u \leq m-1,$$

and the left hand side is bounded for  $u \geq m$ . Here and below,  $\leq$  indicates that the bound holds up to a multiplicative constant.

As the other term in (1.7) is bounded by  $e^{-uct/2}$  we get

$$(1.8) \quad \|D^s(Z \circ \Psi_t)\| \leq e^{-mct/2}$$

for all  $s$  since  $u \geq m$ . In view of (1.6)–(1.8) we have

$$\|D^k(D\Psi_{-t} \circ \Psi_t)Z \circ \Psi_t\| \leq e^{((k+1)a - mc/2)t}.$$

Suppose  $(k+1)a - mc/2 < 0$  for a positive integer  $k$ . Then the integral

$$(1.9) \quad F(X, Z) = \int_0^\infty (\Psi_t)_* Z dt \quad \left( = \int_0^\infty (\exp tX)_* Z dt \right)$$

is a vector field of class  $C^k$  in the ball  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ .

Since clearly  $\|(\Psi_t)_* Z\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get by (0.1)

$$(1.10) \quad [X, F(X, Z)] = Z,$$

which was to be proved.

**2. Divisibility by linear vector fields.** Suppose  $X = Ax$ . Then  $\Psi_t(x) = e^{tA}x$ . As previously we assume that  $A$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ .

Let  $c$  be any constant  $< \min(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|)$  and  $b$  any constant  $> \max(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|)$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad \|e^{ta}\| \leq Ke^{-ct}, \quad \|e^{-tA}\| \leq Le^{bt}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We call

$$d(X) = \frac{\max(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|)}{\min(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|)}$$

the *dispersion* of  $X$ . Obviously  $b/c > d(X)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose that  $Z$  is  $m$ -flat at  $x = 0$  and  $m \geq d(X) + 1$ . Then  $Z$  is divisible by  $X$  with a quotient  $F(X, Z)$  defined by (1.9).*

**Proof.** Now

$$(\Psi_t)_*Z(x) = e^{-tA}Z(e^{tA})$$

and

$$D^k((\Psi_t)_*Z(x)) = e^{-tA}D^kZ(e^{tA})e^{ktA}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Exploiting the  $m$ -flatness of  $Z$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 we come to the following estimate:

$$\|D^k((\Psi_t)_*Z(x))\| \leq e^{(b-mc)t}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

The constants  $b$  and  $c$  may be taken such that  $b/c < d(X) + 1$ . It follows that  $b - mc < 0$  for  $m \geq d(X) + 1$ . Consequently, the integral (1.9) converges uniformly together with all its derivatives. Thus  $F(X, Z)$  is a  $C^\infty$  vector field in any ball contained in the domain of  $Z$ .

In particular, if  $X = x$  then  $d(X) = 1$  and taking  $m = 2$  we conclude from Theorem 2.1 that each  $Z$  from  $\mathfrak{X}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is divisible by  $X$ .

**3. Divisibility by means of linearization.** Consider again the general case  $X = Ax + h(x)$  as in (1.1). The field  $X_0 = Ax$  is called the *linearization* of  $X$  at 0. From now on the vector field  $X$  will be thought of locally as the germ at 0 of a smooth map  $X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose that  $X$  is  $C^\infty$ -equivalent to its linearization  $X_0$ , that is, there exists a  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism  $f$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $f(0) = 0$ , such that  $f_*X = X_0$  in a neighbourhood of 0.

For a given  $Z$  set  $Z_0 = f_*Z$  and assume that there is a  $Y_0$  such that  $Z_0 = [Y_0, X_0]$ . This means

$$f_*Z = [Y_0, f_*X] = f_*[(f^{-1})_*Y_0, X].$$

Hence  $Z = [Y, X]$  with  $Y = (f^{-1})_*Y_0$ , and we obtain

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $f_*Z$  is divisible by the linearization of  $X$  then  $Z$  is divisible by  $X$ .*

Note that the transformation  $f_*$  does not change the order of flatness of  $Z$ .

Which (germs of) vector fields are linearizable? The answer is: almost all. This can be concluded from the following theorems of Sternberg:

*Either of the conditions below implies that a vector field  $X$  with  $X(0) = 0$  is  $C^\infty$ -equivalent to its linearization  $DX(0)x$ .*

(i) *Each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $DX(0)$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$  and*

$$(3.1) \quad X(x) = DX(0)x + o(x^\infty).$$

(ii) *Each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfies*

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_i \neq m_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + m_n \lambda_n$$

*whenever the  $m_j$  are non-negative integers with  $m_1 + \dots + m_n \geq 2$  ([1], [2]).*

Combining these facts with our results of previous sections, via Lemma 3.1, we come to the following conclusion.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a  $C^\infty$  vector field and  $DX(0)$  has all eigenvalues with negative real parts. If  $X$  satisfies either (3.1) or (3.2) then every vector field  $Z$ ,  $m$ -flat with  $m \geq d(X) + 1$ , is  $C^\infty$ -divisible by  $X$ .*

Sternberg's algebraic condition (3.2) is also directly involved in the problem of divisibility of vector fields. Namely, let

$$\sum a_\alpha^i x^\alpha, \quad \sum b_\alpha^i x^\alpha, \quad \sum c_\alpha^i x^\alpha$$

be the Taylor series at  $x = 0$  for  $X, Y, Z$  respectively. The equality  $[X, Y] = Z$  gives

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=\gamma \\ j=1, \dots, n}} b_{\alpha+1_j}^i a_\beta^j - a_{\alpha+1_j}^i b_\beta^j = c_\gamma^i$$

with  $1_j$  standing for the multiindex  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where 1 is in the  $j$ th place. For given coefficients  $a$  and  $c$  there is a purely algebraic problem of solvability of this equation with respect to the unknown coefficients  $b$ .

Let us take  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \partial / \partial x_i$ . Then the  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $DX(0)$ .

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = |\alpha|$ . In this case all  $a_\alpha^i$  in formula (3.3) vanish for  $|\alpha| \geq 2$ . Hence (3.3) is now

$$\sum_j \left( \sum_k b_{\alpha+1_j-1_k}^i a_k^j - a_j^i b_\alpha^j \right) = c_\alpha^i.$$

Since  $a_j^i = \lambda_i \delta_j^i$  and the number of the indices  $j$  is  $\alpha_j$  we get

$$\left( \sum_j \alpha_j \lambda_j - \lambda_i \right) b_\alpha^i = c_\alpha^i.$$

Suppose that  $Z$  is  $m$ -flat and  $c_\alpha^i \neq 0$  for  $|\alpha| \geq m$ ; then for the existence of  $Y$  such that  $[X, Y] = Z$  it is necessary to have

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_i \neq \sum_j \alpha_j \lambda_j$$

for any non-negative integers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  satisfying  $|\alpha| \geq m$ . This is exactly Sternberg's condition for  $m = 2$  (in the regularity class  $k = \infty$ ).

If  $\lambda_i = \sum \alpha_j \lambda_j$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$  (or  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ ) then

$$|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i| = \sum \alpha_j |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j| \geq |\alpha| \min(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|).$$

This implies  $|\alpha| \leq \max(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|) / \min(|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|) = d(X)$ . Thus for  $m \geq d(X) + 1$  we have  $|\alpha| \leq m - 1$  and the condition (3.4) is satisfied (as it should be in view of Theorem 3.2). This also shows that the lower bound  $d(X) + 1$  for  $m$  in Theorem 3.2 is sharp.

On the other hand, if there are both negative and positive numbers in  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda$  then the equality  $\lambda_i = \sum \alpha_j \lambda_j$  may occur for all  $|\alpha|$ .

**4. Generalization to polynomials.** For some applications to actions of infinite Lie groups it is useful to know when polynomials of the adjoint mapping  $\operatorname{ad}(X)$  act surjectively in the space of infinitely flat vector fields. An answer to this question is given in the following:

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $P(\xi) = a_0 + a_1\xi + \dots + a_r\xi^r$  be a polynomial of degree  $r > 0$ . Suppose that  $X$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ . For any vector field  $Z$  vanishing up to infinite order at  $x = 0$  there exists a vector field  $Y$  such that  $Z = P(\operatorname{ad}(X))Y$ . The  $Y$  can be defined by*

$$(4.1) \quad Y(x) = - \int_0^\infty f(t) (\Psi_t)_* Z(x) dt$$

where  $f(t)$  is the solution of the differential equation

$$(4.2) \quad a_0\xi - a_1\xi' + \sum_{k=2}^r (k-1)a_k\xi^{(k)} = 0,$$

with initial conditions  $\xi(0) = \dots = \xi^{(r-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $\xi^{(r-1)}(0) = 1/((r-1)a_r)$  for  $r \geq 2$  and  $\xi(0) = -1/a_1$  for  $r = 1$ .

**Proof.** Equation (4.2) being with constant coefficients, there are positive constants  $\alpha, \beta$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad |f(t)| \leq \alpha e^{\beta t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

As in Section 1, we have the following bounds:

$$(4.4) \quad \|f(t) D^k (\Psi_t)_* Z(x)\| \leq \alpha M_m^k e^{(\beta + \gamma_k - mc)t}, \quad c > 0,$$

for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ . With  $k$  fixed we can choose  $m$  great enough so that  $\beta + \gamma_k - mc < 0$ . This makes the integral (4.1) uniformly convergent in  $B(\delta)$  together with all derivatives. Thus  $Y$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $B(\delta)$ .

Set  $Y_t = (\Psi_t)_* Z$ . In the introduction we saw that  $Y'_t = \text{ad}(X)Y_t$ . Hence

$$Y_t^{(k)} = [\text{ad}(X)]^k Y_t, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Therefore

$$(4.5) \quad P(\text{ad}(X))fY_t = a_0 fY_t + a_1 fY'_t + \dots + a_r fY_t^{(r)}.$$

From

$$(fY_t)^{(k)} = fY_t^{(k)} + k(f'Y_t)^{(k-1)} + (1-k)f^{(k)}Y_t$$

for  $k \geq 1$ , we get

$$fY_t^{(k)} = (fY_t)^{(k)} - k(f'Y_t)^{(k-1)} + (k-1)f^{(k)}Y_t.$$

On inserting this into (4.5) one gets for  $r \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{ad}(X))fY_t &= \left( a_0 f - a_1 f' + \sum_{k=2}^r a_k (k-1) f^{(k)} \right) Y_t + a_1 (fY_t)' \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^r a_k [(fY_t)^{(k)} - k(f'Y_t)^{(k-1)}] \\ &= a_1 (fY_t)' + \sum_{k=2}^r a_k [(fY_t)^{(k)} - k(f'Y_t)^{(k-1)}] \end{aligned}$$

according to our assumption on  $f$ . Now, by integrating either side with respect to  $t$  over the interval  $(0, \infty)$  and using notation (4.1) we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad P(\text{ad}(X))Y = a_1 fY_t|_0^\infty + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^r a_k [(fY_t)^{(k-1)} - k(f'Y_t)^{(k-2)}] \right\}_0^\infty,$$

and  $f$  satisfies  $f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(r-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $f^{(r-1)}(0) = 1/((r-1)a_r)$ . So, in view of (4.4) for  $k = 0$ , we have

$$fY_t|_0^\infty = -f(0)Y_0 = -f(0)Z = 0.$$

As the bound (4.3) can be extended to all derivatives of  $f$  and the operator  $\text{ad}(X)$  is bounded in  $B(\delta)$ , there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$\|f^{(p)}Y_t^{(q)}\| \leq \alpha e^{\beta t} \|\text{ad}(X)^q\| \|Y_t\| \leq M e^{(\beta + \gamma_0 - mc)t}, \quad p, q \geq 0,$$

with  $\beta + \gamma_0 - mc < 0$ . Therefore

$$I_k = [(fY_t)^{(k-1)} - k(f'Y_t)^{(k-2)}]_0^\infty = 0$$

for  $2 \leq k \leq r-1$ . For  $k = r$

$$I_r = -f^{(r-1)}(0)Y_0 + r f^{(r-1)}(0)Y_0 = (r-1)f^{(r-1)}(0)Z.$$

Coming back to (4.6) we finally get

$$P(\operatorname{ad}(X))Y = a_r(r-1)f^{(r-1)}(0)Z = Z,$$

as required.

For  $r = 1$ , we take  $f(0) = -1/a_1$ . Then

$$P(\operatorname{ad}(X))Y = a_1 f Y_t|_0^\infty = -a_1 f(0)Z = Z.$$

In particular:

(i) If  $P(u) = a + u$ , then  $f(t) = -e^{at}$  and

$$Y = \int_0^\infty e^{at}(\Psi_t)_* Z dt.$$

(ii) If  $P(u) = u^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , then

$$Y = \frac{1}{(r-1)!(r-1)} \int_0^\infty t^{r-1}(\Psi_t)_* Z dt.$$

This  $Y$  satisfies

$$Z = [X, \dots [X, [X, Y]]] \quad (r \text{ commutators}).$$

As we see from the proof one can expect existence of a solution to the equation  $P(\operatorname{ad}(X))Y = Z$  also in the case where  $Z$  vanishes at  $x = 0$  up to a finite order  $m$ . This would depend on the polynomial  $P$  and the required regularity class of  $Y$  which is to be defined by formula (4.1).

### References

- [1] E. Nelson, *Topics in Dynamics, I. Flows*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1969.
- [2] S. Sternberg, *On the structure of local homeomorphisms of Euclidean  $n$ -space, II*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 623–631.

JONTKOWA GÓRKA 15A  
30-224 KRAKÓW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 18.9.1990*  
*Révisé le 12.11.1992*