

A NOTE ON LACUNARY APPROXIMATION ON $[-1, 1]$

BY

S. P. ZHOU (HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA)

1. Introduction. Denote by $C_{[-1,1]}^N$ the class of functions which have N continuous derivatives on the interval $[-1, 1]$. Let Π_n be the set of algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n$, and

$$\Pi_n^k = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j : a_k = 0 \right\},$$

where, here and throughout the paper, k is always a natural number. For $f \in C_{[-1,1]} := C_{[-1,1]}^0$, define

$$E_n(f) = \inf\{\|f - p\| : p \in \Pi_n\} = \inf\left\{ \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - p(x)| : p \in \Pi_n \right\},$$

$$E_{n,k}(f) = \inf\{\|f - q\| : q \in \Pi_n^k\} = \|f(\cdot) - p_n^k(f, \cdot)\|.$$

Throughout the paper, we use $C(x)$ to indicate a positive constant depending upon x only, and C a positive absolute constant, which may be different in different relations.

The study of the approximation to continuous functions by lacunary general polynomials in $[a, 1]$ for $a \geq 0$ started from the work of Müntz [7] in 1914, and great advance has been made in the field since then. There are many works concerning the Jackson type theorems for Müntz approximation.

On the other hand, several references [2]–[4], [6], [8]–[10] investigated the approximation of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ and $[-1, 1]$ by elements from Π_n^k (actually, lacunary approximation on $[-1, 1]$ is not a special case of the usual Müntz approximation). For instance, Hasson [2] proved that

$$(1) \quad E_{n,k}(x^k) \approx n^{-k}.$$

By applying (1) Hasson [2] established that if $f \in C_{[-1,1]}^k$, $f^{(k)}(0) \neq 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,k}(f)/E_n(f) = \infty.$$

(Lorentz [6] proved this result in a different way.)

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A10, 41A30.

Supported in part by an NSERC Postdoctoral Fellowship.

In estimating $E_{n,k}(f)/E_n(f)$ by smoothness of the function $f(x)$, Hasson conjectured that

CONJECTURE A. *If $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ and f' does not exist at some interior point of $[-1, 1]$, then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,k}(f)/E_n(f) < \infty.$$

Xu [8], Yang [9] and Zhou [10] gave negative answers to the above conjecture in different ways. Zhou proved

THEOREM B. *There exist continuous and nowhere differentiable functions f on $[-1, 1]$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,k}(f)/E_n(f) = \infty.$$

THEOREM C. *There exists an infinitely differentiable function f on $[-1, 1]$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n,k}(f)/E_n(f) < \infty.$$

The above results thus indicate that the boundedness of the ratios $E_{n,k}(f)/E_n(f)$ is indeed irrelevant to smoothness of functions.

If we consider the relation between smoothness and lacunary approximation, a natural question arises if there are any Jackson type estimates for lacunary approximation. Note that all known results such as that of Hasson cited above (see also [4], [8]–[10]) require the condition $f^{(k)}(0) \neq 0$ (which makes things easier to deal with) and thus another natural question is what happens if we drop this condition.

The present paper will investigate those two questions.

Let $\omega_k^\phi(f, t)$ be the Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness of order k :

$$\omega_k^\phi(f, t) = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|\Delta_{h\phi}^k f(x)\|,$$

where $\phi(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$,

$$\Delta_{h\phi}^k f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} f(x + (k/2 - j)h\phi(x)), & x \pm kh\phi(x)/2 \in [-1, 1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and as usual, we denote by $\omega_k(f, t)$ the ordinary modulus of smoothness of order k :

$$\omega_k(f, t) = \sup \left\{ \left| \Delta_h^k f(x) := \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} f(x + jh) \right| : \right. \\ \left. 0 < h \leq t, x \in [-1, 1 - kh] \right\}.$$

The main results of this note are the following:

THEOREM 1. Let $\phi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$. Then

$$E_{n,k}(f) \leq C(k)\omega_k^\phi(f, n^{-1}).$$

COROLLARY 1. We have

$$E_{n,k}(f) \leq C(k)\omega_k(f, n^{-1}).$$

THEOREM 2. Let $0 < \varrho < 1$. Then the set

$$A := \left\{ f \in C_{[-1,1]} : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-k} \Delta_h^k f(0) = 0, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{n,k}(f)}{n^\varrho \omega_{k+1}(f, n^{-1})} = \infty \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \omega_{k+1}(f, t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0 \right\}$$

is residual in $C_{[-1,1]}$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $0 < \varrho < 1$. Then the set

$$\left\{ f \in C_{[-1,1]} : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-k} \Delta_h^k f(0) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{n,k}(f)}{n^\varrho \omega_{k+1}^\phi(f, n^{-1})} = \infty \text{ and } \omega_{k+1}(f, t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0 \right\}$$

is residual in $C_{[-1,1]}$.

We adopt the familiar categorical vocabulary as in Borwein [1]. A set is *nowhere dense* if the interior of its closure is empty. A set is *category 1* if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A set is *residual* if it is the complement of a category 1 set. So a residual set contains almost all functions from the Baire category point of view.

The following Corollary 3 improves Theorem B.

COROLLARY 3. There exist continuous and nowhere differentiable functions f on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-k} \Delta_h^k f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{n,k}(f)}{\omega_{k+1}(f, n^{-1})} = \infty.$$

Proof. This follows since the class of all continuous but nowhere differentiable functions is also residual in $C_{[-1,1]}$ (cf. [10]). ■

2. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Proof of Theorem 1. We can prove Theorem 1 by following an idea of Leviatan [5] so we will only give a sketch here.

Given a function $f \in C_{[-1,1]}^k$ with

$$(2) \quad \|\phi^k f^{(k)}\| \leq 1,$$

we find an ordinary polynomial $s_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j$ such that

$$\|f - s_n\| \leq C(k)n^{-k}.$$

Then by applying (1), we have a polynomial $q_n \in \Pi_n^k$ such that

$$\|x^k - q_n(x)\| \leq C(k)n^{-k}.$$

Set

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{j=0, j \neq k}^n a_j x^j + a_k q_n(x).$$

Then $Q_n \in \Pi_n^k$ and

$$(3) \quad \|f - Q_n\| \leq C(k)n^{-k}$$

since $|a_k| = O(1)$ (by (2)). For any $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ and $n \geq 1$, using the Peetre kernel K_ϕ^k we deduce that there exists a function $g \in C_{[-1,1]}^k$ with the properties

$$\|f - g\| \leq C(k)\omega_k^\phi(f, n^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad n^{-k}\|\phi^k g^{(k)}\| \leq C(k)\omega_k^\phi(f, n^{-1}).$$

Combining this with (3), we obtain the required result. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0 < \varrho < 1$. Define

$$A_n = \left\{ f \in C_{[-1,1]} : \text{there is an } N \geq n \text{ such that } |\Delta_{N^{-1}}^k f(0)| < n^{-1}N^{-k}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{E_{N,k}(f)}{N^\varrho \omega_{k+1}(f, N^{-1})} > n \text{ and } \omega_{k+1}(f, t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0 \right\}.$$

Then $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n$.

Since for any $g \in C_{[-1,1]}$,

$$E_{N,k}(g) = \|g - p_N^k(g)\| \geq \|f - p_N^k(g)\| - \|g - f\| \geq E_{N,k}(f) - \|g - f\|,$$

and evidently,

$$\omega_{k+1}(g, N^{-1}) \leq \omega_{k+1}(f, N^{-1}) + 2^{k+1}\|g - f\|,$$

we see that obviously g is in A_n if $f \in A_n$ and g and f are close enough, and thus A_n is open for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let

$$h_n^*(x) = \begin{cases} x^k \left(\exp\left(\frac{n^{-4}}{x^2 - n^{-4}}\right) - 1 \right), & |x| < n^{-2}, \\ -x^k, & n^{-2} \leq |x| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By calculation,

$$(4) \quad \|h_n^*\| = O(1),$$

$$(5) \quad \|h_n^*(x) + x^k\| \approx n^{-2k},$$

where $w_n \approx v_n$ indicates that there is a positive constant c independent of n such that $c^{-1}w_n \leq v_n \leq cw_n$. Hence for any $t(x) \in \Pi_n^k$ (that is, $t^{(k)}(0) = 0$), by (5) and applying a Bernstein type inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2k} &\leq C \|h_n^*(x) + x^k\| \leq C(k)n^{-2k}|k! + t^{(k)}(0)| \\ &\leq C(k)n^{-2k}n^k \|t(x) + x^k\| \leq C(k)n^{-k} (\|h_n^*(x) + x^k\| + \|h_n^* - t\|), \end{aligned}$$

or in other words,

$$(6) \quad E_{n,k}(h_n^*) \geq C(k)n^{-k}.$$

For any given $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and sufficiently large $N \geq n$, we find a polynomial $p_N^k(f, x) \in \Pi_N^k$ such that

$$(7) \quad \|f - p_N^k(f)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

(since polynomials p with $p^{(k)}(0) = 0$ are dense in the space of continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ by Theorem 1). Define

$$h_N(x) = \varepsilon h_{m_N}^*(x) + p_N^k(f, x),$$

where

$$m_N = \max\{1, \|p_N^k(f)\|^{1/\theta}\} \varepsilon^{-1/\theta} N^{(2k+2)/\theta}, \quad \theta = \frac{1-\varrho}{2}.$$

From (4), (7),

$$(8) \quad \|f - h_N\| \leq \|f - p_N^k(f)\| + \varepsilon \|h_{m_N}^*\| = O(\varepsilon).$$

It is easy to see that

$$E_{m_N,k}(h_N) = \varepsilon E_{m_N,k}(h_{m_N}^*)$$

since $p_N^k(f) \in \Pi_N^k$. By (6) it follows that

$$(9) \quad E_{m_N,k}(h_N) \geq C(k)\varepsilon m_N^{-k}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad \omega_{k+1}(h_N, m_N^{-1}) &\leq \varepsilon \omega_{k+1}(h_{m_N}^*, m_N^{-1}) + \omega_{k+1}(p_N^k(f), m_N^{-1}) \\ &= \varepsilon \omega_{k+1}(h_{m_N}^*(x) + x^k, m_N^{-1}) + \omega_{k+1}(p_N^k(f), m_N^{-1}) \\ &\leq 2^{k+1} \varepsilon \|h_{m_N}^*(x) + x^k\| + C(k)N^{2k+2} \|p_N^k(f)\| m_N^{-k-1} \\ &\leq 2^{k+1} \varepsilon m_N^{-2k} + C(k)\varepsilon m_N^{-k-1+\theta} = O(m_N^{-k-\varrho-\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Estimates (9) and (10) give

$$(11) \quad \frac{E_{m_N,k}(h_N)}{m_N^\varrho \omega_{k+1}(h_N, m_N^{-1})} \geq C(k)m_N^\theta.$$

It is now obvious that

$$(12) \quad h_N^{(k)}(0) = 0,$$

$$(13) \quad \omega_{k+1}(h_N, t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

So (11)–(13) imply that $h_N \in A_n$ for large enough N . By (8), we have proved that A_n is dense in $C_{[-1,1]}$.

The proof is complete. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] P. B. Borwein, *The usual behavior of rational approximations*, Canad. Math. Bull. 26 (1983), 317–323.
- [2] M. Hasson, *Comparison between the degree of approximation by lacunary and ordinary algebraic polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory 29 (1980), 103–115.
- [3] —, *Approximation by lacunary polynomials*, in: Approximation Theory IV, Academic Press, New York 1983, 505–508.
- [4] M. Hasson and O. Shisha, *Approximation by lacunary polynomials: a converse theorem*, in: Approximation Theory and Applications, Academic Press, New York 1981, 311–317.
- [5] D. Leviatan, *Improved estimates in Müntz Jackson theorems*, in: Progress in Approximation Theory, Academic Press, New York 1991, 575–582.
- [6] G. G. Lorentz, *Problems for incomplete polynomials*, in: Approximation Theory III, Academic Press, New York 1980, 41–73.
- [7] Ch. H. Müntz, *Über den Approximationssatz von Weierstrass*, in: H. A. Schwarz Festschrift, Berlin 1914, 303–312.
- [8] S. S. Xu, *On two conjectures of M. Hasson*, J. Math. Res. Exposition 7 (1) (1987), 35–40. MR 89b:41015.
- [9] C. M. Yang, *A counterexample to a conjecture of Hasson*, J. Approx. Theory 56 (1989), 330–332.
- [10] S. P. Zhou, *A remark on two conjectures of Hasson concerning lacunary approximation*, Anal. Math., in press.

Current adress:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS
AND COMPUTING SCIENCE
DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA
CANADA B3H 3J5

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, ALBERTA
CANADA T6G 2G1

Reçu par la Rédaction le 27.3.1992