# COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. LXVI

## 1993

## NONCOMMUTATIVE ANALOGS OF SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

#### BY

### MACIEJ BURNECKI (WROCŁAW)

**1. Introduction.** Our aim is to introduce and investigate several analogs of the (commutative) symmetric polynomials (compare [2], Section I.2) in the case of the semigroup algebra of the free noncommutative semigroup with a finite number of generators—this is the algebra of noncommutative polynomials—and in the case of the group algebra of the free noncommutative group with a finite number of generators (for the free group see [3], Section 1.2, for the (semi)group algebra see [1], Definition 5.73).

The general idea is to consider expressions of the form

$$\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} x_{i_1}^{h_1}\ldots x_{i_q}^{h_q}$$

where  $h_i$ 's are nonzero integers and any two consecutive  $i_i$ ,  $i_{i+1}$  are different.

Remarkably, the vector spaces spanned by these functions are algebras. Moreover, many properties of ordinary symmetric functions hold in this new situation.

The algebras m (of Section 4) and  $\lambda$  (of Section 7) are basic while C and M are variations on the same principle.

The author is indebted to Professor M. Bo/zejko for posing the problem and helpful discussions. The author wishes to express his thanks to the referees for useful remarks and comments.

**2.** Notation and terminology. We write  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, \mathbb{N}_+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . We fix a commutative ring K with unit, an integer  $k \geq 2$  and free generators  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  of the free noncommutative group  $\mathbb{F}_k$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_k$  mean the (free noncommutative) semigroup  $\mathbb{P}_k \subseteq \mathbb{F}_k$  with unit generated by  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ . The symbols  $K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  and  $K(\mathbb{P}_k)$  denote the group algebra of  $\mathbb{F}_k$  and the semigroup algebra of  $\mathbb{P}_k$  respectively.

We say that a subset I of noncommutative algebra A is algebraically independent if for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_i \in I$  and a polynomial f of i noncommutating variables the equality  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_i) = 0$  implies f = 0.

We write B < A if B is a subalgebra over K of A and  $K \subset B$ . Notice  $K(\mathbb{P}_k) < K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ .

M. BURNECKI

The algebra over K generated by the sum of its subset T and K is denoted by  $\operatorname{Alg}(T)$ . We call a set T an *algebraic basis* of an algebra  $A < K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  if T is algebraically independent and  $\operatorname{Alg}(T) = A$ .

If T is a set then  $T^i$ ,  $T^{\infty}$  denote respectively the *i*-fold and countable products of T,  $T^i = \{\emptyset\}$  for i < 1,  $T_{\infty} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} T^i$ .

Moreover, if  $a_t$ 's belong to an algebra with unit **1** and with zero **0** then we put  $\prod_{t=1}^{i} a_t = a_1 a_2 \dots a_i$  if  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$  (notice the ordering of  $a_j$ 's),  $\prod_{t \in \emptyset} a_t = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\sum_{t \in \emptyset} a_t = \mathbf{0}$ .

A sequence  $(i_t)_{t=p}^q$ , where p, q are integers, is usually denoted by  $i_{p,q}$ ,  $i_{p,q} = \emptyset$  for p > q.

The symbol  $[\ldots]$  denotes the operation of "writing in" elements of a finite sequence into a sequence, that is,

$$(\dots, a, [i_{p,q}], b, \dots) = (\dots, a, i_p, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_q, b, \dots)$$

for instance  $(\ldots, 1, [(2,3)], 4, \ldots) = (\ldots, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots).$ 

For  $i_{p,p+q} \in \{1, \ldots, k\}_{\infty}, h_{r,r+q} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$  and  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$  we set

$$x_{i_{p,p+q}}^{h_{r,r+q}} = \prod_{t=0}^{q} x_{i_{p+t}}^{h_{r+t}}, \qquad \alpha h_{r,r+q} = (\alpha h_{r+t})_{t=0}^{q}$$

and we let  $1_{p,p+q} \in \{1\}^{q+1}$  be the sequence consisting of 1's.

We say that the condition  $W(i_{p,p+q})$  holds iff  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, -1 \leq q, i_{p,p+q} \in \{1, \ldots, k\}^{q+1}$  and any two consecutive  $i_j, i_{j+1}$  are different.

Let l(y) be the length of a reduced word z, where  $z = y \in \mathbb{F}_k$  (compare [3], Chapters 1.4 and 1.1). Every function  $f \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  can be written in a unique way as

$$f = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_k} a_y y \,,$$

and we set  $d(f) = \max\{l(y) : a_y \neq 0\}, d(\mathbf{0}) = \infty.$ 

The characteristic function of  $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is denoted by  $\delta$ .

In the following to denote the value of a function f at an element which is a sequence  $(i_p, \ldots, i_q)$  we often write  $f(i_p, \ldots, i_q)$  instead of  $f((i_p, \ldots, i_q))$ and this should not be misleading.

**3.** Auxiliary definitions. For calculating coefficients in the products of our symmetric functions we need a useful function L. In the proofs that some sets are algebraic bases we apply the orderings  $<_1, \ldots, <_4$  defined below, and the functions  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are used in proving algebraic independence and in defining  $<_3$  and  $<_4$ .

Notice that L below depends only on its first argument and on whether other arguments are 0 or not.

3.1. DEFINITION. The function  $L: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^4 \to K$  is given by

$$L(a, b, c, d, e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } ab \neq 0, \\ (k-1)^{a-1} & \text{if } b = 0 \text{ and } ac \neq 0, \\ (k-2)(k-1)^{a-1} & \text{if } b = c = 0 \text{ and } a \neq 0 \neq de, \\ (k-1)^a & \text{if } b = c = de = 0 \text{ and } a(d^2 + e^2) \neq 0, \\ k(k-1)^{a-1} & \text{if } b = c = d = e = 0 \text{ and } a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  below are injections.

3.2. DEFINITION. (a) Let  $I_1: (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty} \to (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$  be given by induction as follows: if  $q, r \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $z_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^q$  and  $I_1(z_{1,q}) = \varepsilon_{1,r}$ then  $I_1(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $I_1(z) = \operatorname{sgn}(z) \mathbb{1}_{1,|z|}$ , and

$$I_1([z_{1,q}], z) = \begin{cases} ([\varepsilon_{1,r-1}], \varepsilon_r + \operatorname{sgn}(z), [\operatorname{sgn}(z)\mathbf{1}_{1,|z|-1}]) \\ & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon_r) = \operatorname{sgn}(z), \\ ([\varepsilon_{1,r}], [\operatorname{sgn}(z)\mathbf{1}_{1,|z|}]) & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon_r) = -\operatorname{sgn}(z) \end{cases}$$

(b) Let  $I_2: (\{-1,1\}_{\infty})_{\infty} \to (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$  be given by the following induction: if  $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $h \in \{-1,1\}_{\infty}$ ,  $j \in (\{-1,1\}_{\infty})_{\infty} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  and  $I_2(j) = \varepsilon_{1,r}$  then  $I_2(\emptyset) = \emptyset, I_2(h) = (1, [h]), \text{ and }$ 

$$I_2([j],h) = ([\varepsilon_{1,r-1}], \varepsilon_r + \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon_r), [\operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon_r)h])$$

3.3. DEFINITION. We define orderings  $<_1, <_2$  and  $<_3$  on  $(\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\})_{\infty}$ . Let  $h_{1,q} \neq l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ . In the following for nonempty  $h_{1,q}$ ,  $l_{1,s}$  we write

$$\nu = \min\{t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \min\{q, s\}\} : h_t \neq l_t\}.$$

We define:

(a)  $h_{1,q} <_1 l_{1,s}$  iff  $\sum_{t=1}^q |h_t| > \sum_{u=1}^s |l_u|$  or  $(\sum_{t=1}^q |h_t| = \sum_{u=1}^s |l_u|$  and  $(|h_\nu| < |l_\nu|$  or  $(|h_\nu| = |l_\nu|$  and  $\omega_1$  holds))). The condition  $\omega_1$  is chosen to make  $<_1$  a linear ordering; for instance,  $\omega_1$  holds iff  $h_{\nu} = -l_{\nu} > 0$ .

(b)  $h_{1,q} <_2 l_{1,s}$  iff  $\sum_{t=1}^{q} |h_t| > \sum_{u=1}^{s} |l_u|$  or  $(\sum_{t=1}^{q} |h_t| = \sum_{u=1}^{s} |l_u|$  and  $(|h_\nu| > |l_\nu|)$  or  $(|h_\nu| = |l_\nu|)$  and  $\omega_2$  holds))), where  $\omega_2$  is a condition making  $<_2$  linear; for instance,  $\omega_2$  is equivalent to  $\omega_1$ .

(c)  $h_{1,q} <_3 l_{1,s}$  iff  $I_1(h_{1,q}) <_2 I_1(l_{1,s})$ .

3.4. DEFINITION. A linear ordering  $<_4$  on  $(\{-1,1\}_{\infty})_{\infty}$  is given by the following formula:

T (1)

$$h <_4 l$$
 iff  $I_2(h) <_2 I_2(l)$ ,  
where  $h, l \in (\{-1, 1\}_{\infty})_{\infty}$ .

4. The algebra *m*. We now introduce our first version of symmetric functions. These are functions  $S(h) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  (Definition 4.1) which are analogous to complete symmetric functions. The crucial Lemma 4.2, expressing the product of two S(h)'s as a linear combination of S(h)'s, shows that the linear subspace m of  $K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  spanned by the S(h)'s is in fact a subalgebra.

Then we introduce two subsets n, e, which are analogs of the polynomials  $\sum_i x_i^l$  and of elementary symmetric polynomials respectively. It turns out that both these sets are algebraic bases of m. Moreover, the Euler formula holds.

At the end we remark that m consists of functions invariant under a length preserving action of a product G of permutation groups.

4.1. DEFINITION. If  $h = \emptyset$  or h is a finite sequence of zeros then  $S(h) = \mathbf{1}$ , and

$$S(h) = \sum_{W(j_{1,s})} x_{j_{1,s}}^{l_{1,s}}$$

for other  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ , where the sequence  $l_{1,s}$  arises from h by omission of zeros.

The vector space spanned by the S(h)'s is denoted by m.

Every element  $f \in m$  can be written in a unique way as

$$f = \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}} a_h S(h) \,,$$

where  $a_h \in K$ . We call  $a_h$  the coefficient of S(h) in f.

Practical use of the following Lemma 4.2 is made easier by the fact that if  $h_{q+1-u} + l_u \neq 0$  for an index u then

$$\sum_{w=1}^{t-1} |h_{q+1-w} + l_w| \neq 0 \quad \text{for } t > u ,$$
$$L\left(t, \sum_{w=1}^{t-1} |h_{q+1-w} + l_w|, h_{q+1-t} + l_t, q - t, s - t\right) = 0$$

and we actually sum over t until  $h_{t+1} + l_t \neq 0$ .

4.2. LEMMA. Let  $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_{1,q}$ ,  $l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$  and  $l_0 = h_{q+1} = 0$ . Then

$$S(h_{1,q})S(l_{1,s}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\min(q,s)} L\left(t, \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} |h_{q+1-u} + l_u|, h_{q+1-t} + l_t, q-t, s-t\right)$$
$$\cdot S([h_{1,q-t}], h_{q+1-t} + l_t, [l_{t+1,s}]).$$

Proof. If q = 0 then  $S(\emptyset)S(l_{1,s}) = S(l_{1,s})$ , and similarly for s = 0. Let  $q, s \ge 1$ . Set  $v = \max\{t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \min(q, s)\} : h_{q+1} + l_0 = h_q + l_1 = \dots = h_{q+1-t} + l_t = 0\}$ . If v = 0 then

$$S(h_{1,q})S(l_{1,s}) = S([h_{1,q}], [l_{1,s}]) + S([h_{1,q-1}], h_q + l_1, [l_{2,s}])$$

Let 
$$v > 0$$
. Then  

$$\begin{split} S(h_{1,q})S(l_{1,s}) &= \left(\sum_{W(i_{1,q})} x_{i_{1,q}}^{h_{1,q}}\right) \left(\sum_{W(j_{1,s})} x_{j_{1,s}}^{l_{1,s}}\right) = \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s})} x_{i_{1,q+s}}^{([h_{1,q}],[l_{1,s}])} \\ &+ (k-2) \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-2})} x_{i_{1,q+s-2}}^{([h_{1,q-1}],[l_{2,s}])} \\ &+ (k-2)(k-1) \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-4})} x_{i_{1,q+s-4}}^{([h_{1,q-2}],[l_{3,s}])} + \dots \\ &+ (k-2)(k-1)^t \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-2}(t+1))} x_{i_{1,q+s-2}(t+1)}^{([h_{1,q-1-t}],[l_{t+2,s}])} + \dots \\ &+ \left\{ \begin{aligned} (k-2)(k-1)^{v-1} \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-2v})} x_{i_{1,q+s-2v}}^{([h_{1,q-v-1}],[l_{v+1,s}])} \\ &+ (k-1)^v \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-1-2v})} x_{i_{1,q+s-1-2v}}^{([h_{1,q-v-1}],h_{q-v}+l_{v+1},[l_{v+2,s}])} \\ &+ \begin{cases} (k-1)^v \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-2v})} x_{i_{1,q+s-2v}}^{([h_{1,q-v}],[l_{v+1,s}])} \\ &+ (k-1)^v \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-2v})} x_{i_{1,q+s-2v}}^{([h_{1,q-v}],[l_{v+1,s}])} \\ &+ (k-1)^v \sum_{W(i_{1,q+s-2v})} x_{i_{1,q+s-2v}}^{([h_{1,q-v}],[l_{v+1,s}])} \\ &+ (k-1)^{v-1} & \text{if } v = \min\{q,s\} < \max\{q,s\}, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

4.3. COROLLARY.  $m < K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ .

4.4. DEFINITION. We put  $n = \{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^l : l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ . These are analogs of the polynomials  $\sum_i x_i^l$ .

4.5. THEOREM. (a) Alg({ $f \in n : d(f) \le i$ }) = Alg({ $g \in m : d(g) \le i$ }) for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(n) = m$ .

Proof. To show that if  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^q$  and  $S(h_{1,q}) \in \{g \in m : d(g) \leq i\}$  then  $S(h_{1,q}) \in \operatorname{Alg}(\{f \in n : d(f) \leq i\})$  we apply induction on q. We have  $S(h_{1,1}) \in n$ . If q > 1 then, by Lemma 4.2,

$$S(h_{1,q}) = S(h_1)S(h_{2,q}) - L(1,0,h_1 + h_2,0,q-2)S(h_1 + h_2,[h_{3,q}])$$
  
  $\in Alg(\{f \in n : d(f) \le i\})$  by the inductive assumption.

4.6. THEOREM. The set n is algebraically independent. Thus it forms an algebraic basis of m.

 $\operatorname{Proof.}$  Every polynomial f over K with elements of n as noncommutative variables is of the form

$$f = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}, h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}} a_{h_{1,q}} P(h_{1,q}),$$

M. BURNECKI

where  $a_{h_{1,q}} \in K$ ,  $P(h_{1,q}) = \prod_{t=1}^{q} S(h_t)$  and all but finitely many  $a_{h_{1,q}}$  are equal to 0.

All the elements  $S(l_{1,s}) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ , where  $l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ , appearing with nonzero coefficients in  $P(h_{1,q}) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  for an  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ , satisfy  $\sum_{t=1}^{q} |h_t| \geq \sum_{u=1}^{s} |l_u|$ . If equality holds then every  $l_u$  is a sum of some  $h_t$ 's which are of the same sign and

 $(|l_1| > |h_1| \text{ or } (l_1 = h_1 \text{ and } |l_2| > |h_2|) \text{ or }$ 

 $(l_1 = h_1 \text{ and } l_2 = h_2 \text{ and } |l_3| > |h_3|) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or}$ 

 $(l_1 = h_1 \text{ and } l_2 = h_2 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } l_q = h_q)),$ 

which means that  $h_{1,q} <_1 l_{1,s}$ .

Therefore,  $S(l_{1,s})$  appears with coefficient 0 in  $P(h_{1,q}) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  if  $h_{1,q} >_1 l_{1,s}$  and  $l_{1,s} \neq h_{1,q}$ .

Now, by induction in  $(\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\})_{\infty}$  with respect to  $<_1$ , one can show that  $a_{h_{1,q}}$  is the coefficient of  $S(h_{1,q})$  in f and therefore  $a_{h_{1,q}} = 0$ .

4.7. DEFINITION. Let  $e = \{S(\operatorname{sgn}(i)1_{1,|i|}) : i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ ; these are analogs of elementary symmetric polynomials.

4.8. PROPOSITION (Euler formula). If  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$  then

$$\sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{t} S(\varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{1,t}) S(\varepsilon(i-t)) = \sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{t} S(\varepsilon(i-t)) S(\varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{1,t}) = 0.$$

Proof. It suffices to apply Lemma 4.2 and to consider the differences between the products for t and t+1.  $\blacksquare$ 

4.9. PROPOSITION. (a)  $\operatorname{Alg}(\{f \in e : d(f) \leq i\}) = \operatorname{Alg}(\{g \in m : d(g) \leq i\})$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(e) = m$ .

Proof. (a) is a consequence of Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.8.  $\blacksquare$ 

4.10. THEOREM. The set e is algebraically independent. Thus it forms an algebraic basis of m.

Proof. Let

$$Q(h_{1,q}) = \prod_{t=1}^{q} S(I_1(h_t)) \quad \text{ for } h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$$

 $(I_1 \text{ is defined in } 3.2)$  and let

$$f = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}, h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}} a_{h_{1,q}} Q(h_{1,q}) = 0,$$

where  $a_{h_{1,q}} \in K$  and all but finitely many  $a_{h_{1,q}}$  are 0.

All the elements  $S(l_{1,s}) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ , where  $l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ , which have nonzero coefficients in a fixed  $Q(h_{1,q})$ , satisfy

$$\sum_{t=1}^{q} |h_t| \ge \sum_{u=1}^{s} |l_u|.$$

If equality holds then every  $l_u$  is a sum

$$l_u = \operatorname{sgn}(h_t) + \operatorname{sgn}(h_{t+1}) + \ldots + \operatorname{sgn}(h_p),$$

with all signs equal to 1 or all signs equal to -1. Therefore,  $I_1(h_{1,q}) <_2 l_{1,s}$ . Moreover, the coefficient of  $S(I_1(h_{1,q}))$  in  $Q(h_{1,q})$  is 1.

Finally, one can apply induction in  $(\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\})_{\infty}$  with respect to  $<_3$  and show that each  $a_{h_{1,q}}$  is the coefficient of  $S(I_1(h_{1,q}))$  in f and therefore  $a_{h_{1,q}} = 0$ .

4.11. Remark. The algebra m consists of functions invariant under a length preserving action of the group  $G = S_k \times (S_{k-1})^{\infty}$  on  $K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ , where  $S_l$  denotes the permutation group of  $\{1, \ldots, l\}$ . The action does not preserve multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}_k$  for k > 2. It is defined as follows.

Let  $i\langle j \rangle = i - 1 + \operatorname{sgn}(j - i)$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and let

 $\phi: \{i_{1,q}: q \in \mathbb{N}_+ \text{ and } W(i_{1,q}) \text{ holds}\} \to \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k-1\}_{\infty}$ 

be defined by the formula

$$\phi(i_{1,q}) = (i_1, i_2 \langle i_1 \rangle, i_3 \langle i_2 \rangle, \dots, i_q \langle i_{q-1} \rangle).$$

Notice that  $\phi$  is a bijection.

The group G acts on  $K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  in the following way:

$$(\sigma f)(\mathbf{e}) = f(\mathbf{e}), \quad (\sigma f)(x_{i_{1,q}}^{h_{1,q}}) = f(x_{\phi^{-1}\sigma\phi(i_{1,q})}^{h_{1,q}}),$$

where  $f \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $W(i_{1,q})$  holds,  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^q$  and **e** denotes the unit of  $\mathbb{F}_k$ .

5. The algebra C. We study a second version of symmetric functions: linear combinations of  $S_C(h)$ 's (Definition 5.1). This again turns out to be an algebra with a basis  $e_C$ . The elements of C are functions invariant under a length preserving action of a group  $G_C$ .

5.1. DEFINITION. (a) Let  $S_C(h) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  be defined as follows:  $S_C(h) = 1 \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  if  $h = \emptyset$  or h is a finite sequence of zeros, and  $S_C(h) = S(h) + S(-h)$  for other  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ . These are analogs of the complete symmetric functions.

(b) The set C of all linear combinations of  $S_C(h)$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ , is an analog of the set of symmetric polynomials.

Every element  $f \in C$  can be written in a unique way as

$$f = \sum_{h \in \{\emptyset\} \cup \mathbb{N}_+ \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}} a_h S_C(h) \,,$$

where  $a_h \in K$ . We call  $a_h$  the coefficient of  $S_C(h)$  in f.

To make the use of the following Lemma 5.2 easier notice that if  $h_{q+1-u} + \varepsilon l_u \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq u < t$  then  $L_{\varepsilon,t} = 0$ , and in the formula of Lemma 5.2 we actually sum over t until  $h_{q+1-t} + \varepsilon l_t \neq 0$ .

5.2. LEMMA (an application of Lemma 4.2). Let  $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_{1,q}, l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ ,  $l_0 = h_{q+1} = 0$ , and for  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \min(q, s)\}$  let

$$L_{\varepsilon,t} = L\left(t, \sum_{u=1} |h_{q+1-u} + \varepsilon l_u|, h_{q+1-t} + \varepsilon l_t, q-t, s-t\right)$$
$$S_{\varepsilon,t} = S_C([h_{1,q-t}], h_{q+1-t} + \varepsilon l_t, [\varepsilon l_{t+1,s}]).$$

Then

$$S_C(h_{1,q})S_C(l_{1,s}) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\min(q,s)} 2^{\delta(d(S_{\varepsilon,t})) - \delta(q+s)} \cdot (1 - \delta(qs + 1 + \varepsilon))L_{\varepsilon,t}S_{\varepsilon,t}.$$

5.3. COROLLARY.  $C < K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ .

5.4. DEFINITION. The set  $e_C \subseteq C$  we now define is the analog of the set of elementary symmetric polynomials. Let

$$e_C = \{S_C(h) : h \in \{-1, 1\}_\infty\}.$$

5.5. PROPOSITION (an application of Lemma 5.2). Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty} \setminus \{-1,1\}_{\infty}$  and  $v = \min\{t \in \{1,\ldots,q\} : h_t \notin \{-1,1\}\}$ . Then

$$S_{C}(h_{1,q}) = S_{C}([h_{1,v-1}], \operatorname{sgn}(h_{v}))S_{C}(h_{v} - \operatorname{sgn}(h_{v}), [h_{v+1,q}]) - \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}} S_{C}([h_{1,v-1}], \operatorname{sgn}(h_{v}), \varepsilon(h_{v} - \operatorname{sgn}(h_{v})), \varepsilon[h_{v+1,q}]) - 2^{\delta(|h_{v}|+q-3)}L(1, 0, 2\operatorname{sgn}(h_{v}) - h_{v}, v - 1, q - v) \cdot S_{C}([h_{1,v-1}], 2\operatorname{sgn}(h_{v}) - h_{v}, -[h_{v+1,q}]) - \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}} \sum_{t=2}^{\min(v,q+1-v)} L'_{\varepsilon,t}S'_{\varepsilon,t},$$

where  $L'_{\varepsilon,t}$  and  $S'_{\varepsilon,t}$  are as in Lemma 5.2.

5.6. THEOREM. (a)  $\operatorname{Alg}(\{f \in e_C : d(f) \leq i\}) = \operatorname{Alg}(\{g \in C : d(g) \leq i\})$ for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(e_C) = C$ .

 $\label{eq:proof.First} \mbox{Alg}(\{f \in e_C: d(f) \leq 0\}) = K = \mbox{Alg}(\{g \in C: d(g) \leq 0\}).$ 

Let (a) hold for i < j, where j > 0. To show that if  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ and  $d(S_C(h_{1,q})) \leq j$  then  $S_C(h_{1,q}) \in \text{Alg}(\{f \in e_C : d(f) \leq j\})$ , apply Proposition 5.5 and induction in  $(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$  with respect to  $<_1$ .

5.7. THEOREM. The set  $e_C$  is algebraically independent. Thus it is an algebraic basis of C.

Proof. Let

$$f = \sum_{h_{1,q} \in (\{-1,1\}_{\infty})_{\infty}} a_{h_{1,q}} \prod_{t=1}^{q} S_C(1, [h_t]) = 0,$$

where  $a_{h_{1,q}} \in K$  and all but finitely many  $a_{h_{1,q}}$  are 0.

To show that every  $a_{h_{1,q}} = 0$  it is enough to apply induction in  $(\{-1,1\}_{\infty})_{\infty}$  with respect to  $<_4$  showing that  $a_{h_{1,q}}$  is the coefficient of  $S_C(I_2(h_{1,q}))$  in f and therefore  $a_{h_{1,q}} = 0$ , similarly to Theorems 4.6 and 4.10.

5.8. Remark. The algebra C consists of functions invariant under the following length preserving action of the group  $G_C = G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = (\{-1,1\},\cdot)$  (compare Remark 4.11): if  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}$ ,  $W(i_{1,q})$  holds,  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^q$  and  $f \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  then

$$((\sigma,\varepsilon)f)(x_{i_{1,q}}^{h_{1,q}}) = (\sigma f)(x_{i_{1,q}}^{\varepsilon h_{1,q}})$$

6. The algebra M. We give a third version of analogs: an algebra M with bases N and E. Elements of M are invariant under an action of a group  $G_M$ .

6.1. DEFINITION. (a) We now define functions  $S_M(h) \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  which are analogs of the complete symmetric functions. Let  $S_M(h) = 1$  if either  $h = \emptyset$ or h is a finite sequence of zeros, and

$$S_M(h) = \sum_{\varepsilon_{1,s} \in \{-1,1\}^s} S(\varepsilon_1 l_1, \dots, \varepsilon_s l_s)$$

for other  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ , where the sequence  $l_{1,s}$  is obtained from h by omission of zeros.

(b) The set of all linear combinations of the  $S_M(h)$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ , is denoted by M. This is an analog of the algebra of symmetric polynomials.

Applying Lemma 4.2 we have

6.2. LEMMA. Let  $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_{1,q}, l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$  and  $h_{q+1} = l_0 = \varepsilon_0 = 0$ . Then

$$S_M(h_{1,q})S_M(l_{1,s}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\min(q,s)} \sum_{\varepsilon_{1,t} \in \{-1,1\}^t} 2^{t-1+\delta(h_{q+1-t}+\varepsilon_t l_t)}$$

$$\cdot L\left(t, \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} |h_{q+1-u} + \varepsilon_u l_u|, h_{q+1-t} + \varepsilon_t l_t, q-t, s-t\right) \\ \cdot S_M([h_{1,q-t}], h_{q+1-t} + \varepsilon_t l_t, [l_{t+1,s}]).$$

Similarly to Lemmas 4.2 and 5.2 we can stop the summation in Lemma 6.2 when  $h_{q+1-t} + \varepsilon_t l_t \neq 0$  (compare remarks before 4.2 and 5.2).

6.3. COROLLARY.  $M < K(\mathbb{F}_k)$ .

6.4. DEFINITION. We now define a set  $N \subseteq M$  which is an analog of the set of the polynomials  $\sum_i x_i^l$ . We put  $N = \{S_M(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ .

6.5. THEOREM. (a) Alg $(\{f \in N : d(f) \le i\}) = Alg(\{g \in M : d(g) \le i\})$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(N) = M$ .

Proof. Lemma 6.2 implies that

$$S_M(h_{1,q}) = S_M(h_1)S_M(h_{2,q}) - \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}} 2^{\delta(h_1 + \varepsilon h_2)}L(1,0,h_1 + \varepsilon h_2,0,q-2)S_M(h_1 + \varepsilon h_2,[h_{3,q}])$$

for  $2 \leq q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})_{\infty}$ . To prove that  $S_M(h_{1,q}) \in \text{Alg}(\{f \in N : d(f) \leq i\})$  if  $d(S_M(h_{1,q})) \leq i$ , use induction on q.

6.6. THEOREM. The set N is an algebraic basis of M.

Proof. To show the algebraic independence apply induction with respect to  $<_1$  considered in  $(\mathbb{N}_+)_{\infty}$  (compare Theorem 4.6).

6.7. DEFINITION. We define a set  $E \subseteq M$  which is an analog of the elementary symmetric polynomials. We put  $E = \{S_M(1_{1,q}) : q \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ .

The connection between elements of E and N is given in Proposition 6.8 which follows from Lemma 6.2.

6.8. PROPOSITION (Euler formula). Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{t} S_{M}(1_{1,t}) S_{M}(i-t)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{t} 2^{\delta(i-1-t)} L(1,0,i-1-t,t-1,0) S_{M}(1_{1,t-1},i-1-t)$$

and

$$\sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{t} S_{M}(t) S_{M}(1_{1,i-t})$$

$$=\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (-1)^t 2^{\delta(i-1-t)} L(1,0,t-1,0,i-t-1) S_M(t-1,1_{1,i-t-1}) . \blacksquare$$

6.9. THEOREM. (a) Alg({ $f \in E : d(f) \le i$ }) = Alg({ $g \in M : d(g) \le i$ }) for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(E) = M$ .

Proof. (a) We apply Theorem 6.5, Proposition 6.8 and induction on i.

6.10. THEOREM. The set E is algebraically independent. Thus it is an algebraic basis of M.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.7 because

$$S_M(1_{1,q}) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^{q-1}} S_C(1, [\varepsilon]) \quad \text{for } q \in \mathbb{N}_+ . \blacksquare$$

6.11. Remark. The algebra M consists of functions invariant under the following length preserving action of the group  $G_M = G \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\infty}$  on  $K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  (compare Remarks 4.10 and 5.8): if  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_t)_{t=1}^{\infty} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\infty}$ ,  $W(i_{1,q})$  holds,  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^q$  and  $f \in K(\mathbb{F}_k)$  then

$$((\sigma,\varepsilon)f)(x_{i_{1,q}}^{h_{1,q}}) = (\sigma f)(x_{i_{1,q}}^{(\varepsilon_1h_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_qh_q)}).$$

7. The algebra  $\lambda$ . We give a version of analogs in the case of the algebra  $K(\mathbb{P}_k)$  which consists of noncommutative polynomials. We introduce an algebra  $\lambda$  with algebraic bases  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $n_{\lambda}$ ; the Euler formula also holds in this setting. The algebra  $\lambda$  consists of polynomials invariant under the action of the group G on  $K(\mathbb{P}_k)$ . We show, in the case of k > 2 generators, that the algebra  $\Lambda_{\text{perm}}$  of noncommutative polynomials invariant under permutations of generators cannot be generated by a sum of  $\lambda$  and a finite number of elements of  $\Lambda_{\text{perm}}$ .

7.1. DEFINITION. (a) We introduce analogs  $\lambda$ ,  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $n_{\lambda}$  of the sets of symmetric polynomials, elementary symmetric polynomials and the polynomials  $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{l}$  respectively:

$$\lambda = m \cap K(\mathbb{P}_k), \quad e_{\lambda} = e \cap K(\mathbb{P}_k) \text{ and } n_{\lambda} = n \cap K(\mathbb{P}_k).$$

(b) The functions  $S(h) \in K(\mathbb{P}_k)$  for  $h \in \mathbb{N}_{\infty}$  are analogs of the complete symmetric functions.

7.2. LEMMA (a special case of Lemma 4.2). Let  $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_{1,q}, l_{1,s} \in (\mathbb{N}_+)_{\infty}$  and  $l_0 = h_{q+1} = 0$ . Then

$$S(h_{1,q})S(l_{1,s}) = S([h_{1,q}], [l_{1,s}]) + (1 - \delta(qs))S([h_{1,q-1}], h_q + l_1, [l_{2,s}]).$$

7.3. COROLLARY.  $\lambda < K(\mathbb{P}_k)$ .

7.4. PROPOSITION (follows from Lemma 7.2). Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $h_{1,q} \in (\mathbb{N}_+)_{\infty}$ . Then

$$S(h_{1,q}) = \sum_{t=1}^{q} (-1)^{t+1} S(h_1 + h_2 + \ldots + h_t) S(h_{t+1,q})$$
$$= \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{q-t-1} S(h_{1,t}) S(h_{t+1} + h_{t+2} + \ldots + h_q) . \blacksquare$$

7.5. THEOREM. (a) (an application of Proposition 7.4 and induction on q). Alg $(\{f \in n_{\lambda} : d(f) \leq i\}) = \text{Alg}(\{g \in \lambda : d(g) \leq i\})$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(n_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{Alg}(\lambda)$ .

7.6. THEOREM. The set  $n_{\lambda}$  is an algebraic basis of  $\lambda$ .

Proof. The fact that  $n_{\lambda}$  is algebraically independent follows from Theorem 4.6 because  $n_{\lambda} \subseteq n$ .

7.7. PROPOSITION (Euler formula). If  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$  then

$$\sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{t} S(1_{1,t}) S(i-t) = \sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{t} S(i-t) S(1_{1,t}) = 0.$$

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.8 if  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

7.8. THEOREM. (a)  $\operatorname{Alg}(\{f \in e_{\lambda} : d(f) \leq i\}) = \operatorname{Alg}(\{g \in \lambda : d(g) \leq i\})$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\operatorname{Alg}(e_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{Alg}(\lambda).$ 

Proof. (a) We apply Theorem 7.5, Proposition 7.7 and induction on i.

7.9. THEOREM. The set  $e_{\lambda}$  is an algebraic basis of  $\lambda$ .

Proof. The algebraic independence of  $e_{\lambda}$  follows from Theorem 4.10 because  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq e$ . ■

7.10. Remarks. (a) The algebra  $\lambda$  consists of functions invariant under the length preserving action of the group G on  $K(\mathbb{P}_k)$  (compare Remark 4.11).

(b) M < C < m and  $\lambda < m$ .

We denote by  $\Lambda_{\text{perm}} < K(\mathbb{P}_k)$  the subalgebra composed of functions invariant under permutations of the generators  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  of  $\mathbb{P}_k$ . It is clear from the definitions that  $\lambda < \Lambda_{\text{perm}}$ . Moreover,  $\lambda = \Lambda_{\text{perm}}$  for k = 2, which is essential in the proof of the following theorem.

7.11. THEOREM. If k > 2 then the algebra  $\Lambda_{\text{perm}}$  cannot be obtained as an algebra generated by  $\lambda$  and a finite number of elements of  $\Lambda_{\text{perm}}$ .

Proof. Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $f_1, \ldots, f_t \in \Lambda_{\text{perm}} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $T = \lambda \cup \{f_1, \ldots, f_t\}$  and  $r = \max\{d(f_u) : u \in \{1, \ldots, t\}\} + 1$ , where the degree  $d(f_u)$  is defined in Section 2.

In order to show that  $\Lambda_{\text{perm}} \setminus \text{Alg}(T) \neq \emptyset$  we consider

$$h = \sum_{i \neq j} x_i x_j^r x_i \in \Lambda_{\text{perm}} \,.$$

It is clear that  $h(x_1x_2^rx_1) = 1 \neq 0 = h(x_1x_2^rx_3)$ . We prove that  $h \notin Alg(T)$ .

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and let  $g_v \in T \setminus K$  for  $v \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ . For every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain

$$g_p(x_2^s x_1) = g_p(x_2^s x_3)$$

because  $g_p \in \Lambda_{\text{perm}}$  and

$$g_p(x_1 x_2^r x_1) = g_p(x_1 x_2^r x_3)$$

because if one of  $g_p(x_1x_2^rx_1)$ ,  $g_p(x_1x_2^rx_3)$  is nonzero, then  $d(g_p) > r$  and  $g_p \in \lambda$ . Therefore,

$$(g_1g_2\ldots g_p)(x_1x_2^rx_1) = (g_1g_2\ldots g_p)(x_1x_2^rx_3).$$

This yields that the function h cannot be a linear combination of such products  $g_1g_2 \ldots g_p$ , which means that  $h \notin \operatorname{Alg}(T)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Faith, Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories I, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [2] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [3] W. Magnus, A. Karras and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., Interscience Publishers, New York, 1966.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27 50-370 WROCŁAW, POLAND

> Reçu par la Rédaction le 14.3.1990; en version modifiée le 5.3.1993