

M. DEFANT and M. JUNGE, Characterization of weak type by the entropy distribution of r -nuclear operators	1-14
S. DIEROLF and P. DOMAŃSKI, Factorization of Montel operators	15-32
B. AUBERTIN and J. J. F. FOURNIER, Integrability theorems for trigonometric series	33-59
A. PEŁCZYŃSKI and M. WOJCIECHOWSKI, Molecular decompositions and embedding theorems for vector-valued Sobolev spaces with gradient norm . .	61-100

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

Executive Editors: Z. Ciesielski, A. Pełczyński, W. Żelazko

The journal publishes original papers in English, French, German and Russian, mainly in functional analysis, abstract methods of mathematical analysis and probability theory. Usually 3 issues constitute a volume.

Detailed information for authors is given on the inside back cover. Manuscripts and correspondence concerning editorial work should be addressed to

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

Śniadeckich 8, P.O. Box 137, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland, fax 48-22-293997

Correspondence concerning subscription, exchange and back numbers should be addressed to

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
Publications Department

Śniadeckich 8, P.O. Box 137, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland, fax 48-22-293997

© Copyright by Instytut Matematyczny PAN, Warszawa 1993

Published by the Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences

Typeset in \TeX at the Institute

Printed and bound by

druckarnia
Wydawnictwo i Drukarnia

02-240 WARSZAWA, ul. Jakubów 23

PRINTED IN POLAND

ISSN 0039-3223

Characterization of weak type by the entropy distribution of r -nuclear operators

by

MARTIN DEFANT and MARIUS JUNGE (Kiel)

Abstract. The dual of a Banach space X is of weak type p if and only if the entropy numbers of an r -nuclear operator with values in a Banach space of weak type q belong to the Lorentz sequence space $\ell_{s,r}$ with $1/s + 1/p + 1/q = 1 + 1/r$ ($0 < r < 1$, $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$). It is enough to test this for $Y = X^*$. This extends results of Carl, König and Kühn.

Introduction. We show that the notion of weak type p spaces ($1 \leq p \leq 2$) just characterizes the entropy distribution of (r, w) -nuclear operators. Our main results are contained in the following theorem (for precise definitions see below).

THEOREM. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ the following are equivalent:

- 1) X^* is of weak type p .
- 2) For all $0 < r < 1$, $0 < s, t \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$ with $1/s + 1/p + 1/q = 1 + 1/r$ and all Banach spaces Y of weak type q ,

$$\mathfrak{N}_{r,t}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,t}^e(X, Y).$$

- 3) There are $0 < r \leq 1$ and $0 < s, t \leq \infty$ with $1/s + 2/p = 1 + 1/r$ and

$$\mathfrak{N}_{r,t}(X, X^*) \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^e(X, X^*).$$

Results in this direction were shown by König [KÖN] and Carl [CA3]. Kühn [KÜH] proved that statement 2) of the above theorem holds provided that X^* is of type p and Y of type q . He also remarked that the parameters r, s, t, p, q are optimal.

The proof of our theorem divides into two cases, $1 \leq p < 2$ and $p = 2$. The first uses the generalized Carl–Maurey inequality (see Theorem 1.1), and then follows the proofs of Carl [CA3] and Kühn [KÜH] (see Chapter 1).

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B06, 46B20.

Key words and phrases: entropy numbers, r -nuclear operators, weak type.

Research of the second author supported by the Landau Center for Research, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Since there is a gap in the generalized Carl–Maurey inequality for $p = 2$ we look in Chapter 2 for an alternative approach by estimating the Kolmogorov numbers in the right way by the Weyl numbers.

Preliminaries. In what follows c_0 always denotes a universal constant. We use standard Banach space notations. In particular, the unit ball of a Banach space X is denoted by B_X . For a closed subspace E of X we denote by

$$\iota_E : E \rightarrow X, \ x \mapsto x, \text{ the natural injection,}$$

$$Q_E : X \rightarrow X/E, \ x \mapsto x + E, \text{ the natural surjection.}$$

The Lorentz spaces $\ell_{r,w}$, ℓ_r^n , L_r , $0 < r, w \leq \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined in the usual way. We also need the vector-valued generalizations $L_p(X)$.

Standard references on s -numbers and operator ideals are the monographs of Pietsch [PI1] and [PI2]. The ideals of all linear bounded and all finite rank operators are denoted by \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{F} , respectively.

For every Banach ideal (A, α) the component $A^*(X, Y)$ of the conjugate ideal (A^*, α^*) is the class of all operators $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ such that

$$\alpha^*(T) := \sup\{|\text{tr } TS| \mid S \in \mathfrak{F}(Y, X), \alpha(S) \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

Next we recall the usual notation of some s -numbers of an operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$:

$$a_n(T) := \inf\{\|T - S\| \mid S \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y), \text{rank}(S) < n\},$$

the n th approximation number,

$$c_n(T) := \inf\{\|T\iota_E\| \mid E \subset X, \text{codim } E < n\}$$

the n th Gelfand number,

$$d_n(T) := \inf\{\|Q_F T\| \mid F \subset Y, \dim F < n\},$$

the n th Kolmogorov number,

$$x_n(T) := \sup\{a_n(Tu) \mid u \in \mathfrak{L}(\ell_2, X), \|u\| \leq 1\},$$

the n th Weyl number.

The n th entropy number, n th volume number and n th volume ratio number are defined by

$$e_n(T) := \inf\left\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid \exists (y_k)_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} \subset Y : T(B_X) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} (y_k + \varepsilon B_Y)\right\},$$

$$v_n(T) := \sup\left\{\left(\frac{\text{vol}(T(B_E))}{\text{vol}(B_F)}\right)^{1/(\mu n)} \mid E \subset X, T(E) \subset F \subset Y, \dim E = \dim F = n\right\},$$

$$vr_n(T) := \sup\left\{\left(\frac{\text{vol}(Q_F T(B_X))}{\text{vol}(B_{X/F})}\right)^{1/(\mu n)} \mid F \subset Y, \text{codim } F = n\right\},$$

where μ is 1 or 2 according as the scalar field \mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Let us note that the entropy numbers are surjective and quasi-injective, i.e. for every metric surjection Q and metric injection J we have

$$e_n(T) \leq e_n(TQ) \quad \text{and} \quad e_n(T) \leq 2e_n(JT).$$

The relations between these different geometric numbers are as follows (see [DJ], [MA2]): for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$v_n(T) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{k/n} e_k(T),$$

$$vr_n(T) \leq 2^{k/n} e_k(T),$$

$$\frac{1}{c_0} v_n(T) \leq vr_n(T^*) \leq c_0 v_n(T),$$

$$\frac{1}{c_0} vr_n(T) \leq v_n(T^*) \leq c_0 vr_n(T).$$

Let $\det : \mathbb{K}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be the unique determinant. Then the n th Grothendieck number is defined by

$$\Gamma_n(T) := \sup\{|\det(\langle Tx_i, y_j^* \rangle)|^{1/n} \mid (x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset B_X, (y_k^*)_{k=1}^n \subset B_{Y^*}\}.$$

The Grothendieck numbers were investigated by Geiss. Let us mention that

$$\Gamma_n(T) = \Gamma_n(T^*),$$

$$\Gamma_n(T) = \sup\{\Gamma_n(Q_F T \iota_E) \mid E \subset X, \dim E = n, F \subset Y, \text{codim } F = n\}.$$

Carl [CA4] proved that for $s \in \{c, d\}$,

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^n s_k(T)\right)^{1/n} \leq \Gamma_n(T).$$

In particular, if $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ and X or Y is a Hilbert space then

$$(1) \quad a_n(T) \leq \Gamma_n(T).$$

If both are Hilbert spaces one has (see [GEI], [DJ])

$$(2) \quad v_n(T) = \Gamma_n(T) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k(T)\right)^{1/n}.$$

The following multiplication formula holds for $s \in \{v, vr, \Gamma\}$:

$$s_n(TS) \leq s_n(T)s_n(S),$$

whereas for $s \in \{a, c, d, e, x\}$,

$$s_{n+m-1}(ST) \leq s_n(S)s_m(T).$$

For $s \in \{a, c, d, e, v, vr, \Gamma, x\}$ and $0 < r, w \leq \infty$ the operator ideal $\mathfrak{L}_{r,w}^s$ is the class of all operators T such that

$$\ell_{r,w}^s(T) := \|(s_n(T))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{r,w} < \infty.$$

The multiplication formula yields immediately (see [PI2])

$$\mathfrak{L}_{r_1,w_1}^s \circ \mathfrak{L}_{r_2,w_2}^s \subset \mathfrak{L}_{r,w}^s \quad \text{with } 1/r = 1/r_1 + 1/r_2 \text{ and } 1/w = 1/w_1 + 1/w_2.$$

For $2 \leq r < \infty$ an operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ is said to be *absolutely* $(r, 2)$ -*summing* ($T \in \Pi_{r,2}(X, Y)$) if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset X$,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|Tx_k\|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq c \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We set $\pi_{r,2}(T) := \inf c$, where the infimum is taken over all c satisfying the above inequality.

Let $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, normalized gaussian variables on a measure space (Ω, μ) . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any operator $u \in \mathfrak{L}(\ell_2^n, X)$, we define

$$l(u) := \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n g_k u(e_k) \right\|_{L_2(X)},$$

where e_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) are the unit vectors in ℓ_2^n . An operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ is said to be *absolutely* γ -*summing* ($T \in \ell(X, Y)$) if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{L}(\ell_2^n, X)$,

$$l(Tu) \leq c \|u\|.$$

We define $l(T) := \inf c$, where the infimum is taken over all c satisfying the above inequality.

As is well-known $l^*(v) \leq l(v^*)$ holds for all $v \in \mathfrak{L}(X, \ell_2)$. But in general the converse is not true. A Banach space is *K-convex* if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \ell^*(X, \ell_2)$,

$$l(v^*) \leq cl^*(v).$$

In this case we write $K(X) := \inf c$, where the infimum is taken over all c satisfying the above inequality. Let us mention that X is *K-convex* if and only if X^* is *K-convex*, and in this case $K(X) = K(X^*)$. Pisier [PS] proved that for every n -dimensional Banach space E ,

$$(3) \quad K(E) \leq c_0(1 + \ln n).$$

To end the preliminaries, we recall estimates for entropy numbers. The first is due to Sudakov, Pajor, and Tomczak-Jaegermann (see [PS]). For $u \in \ell(\ell_2, X)$ we have

$$(4) \quad \max\{\ell_{2,\infty}^e(u), \ell_{2,\infty}^e(u^*)\} \leq c_0 l(u).$$

The second estimate concerns the duality problem for entropy numbers and is due to Bourgain, Pajor, Szarek and Tomczak-Jaegermann [BPST]. Let X or Y be a *K-convex* Banach space. Then for every $0 < p < \infty$ there is a constant $c \geq 0$, depending on the *K-convexity* constant and p , such that for all compact operators $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n e_k(T^*)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \left(\sum_{k=1}^n e_k(T)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In particular (using Hardy's inequality), there are constants $c_{r,w} \geq 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad \ell_{r,w}^e(T^*) \leq c_{r,w} \ell_{r,w}^e(T).$$

1. Consequences of the Carl-Maurey inequality. We start with the notion of type and weak type of operators. An operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ is of (*gaussian*) *type* p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ($T \in \mathfrak{T}_p(X, Y)$), if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset X$,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n g_k T x_k \right\|_{L_2(Y)} \leq c \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In this case $t_p(T) := \inf c$, where the infimum is taken over all c satisfying the above inequality. The translation into the language of operator ideals is due to Tomczak-Jaegermann (see [TOJ]):

$T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ is of *type* p if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \ell^*(Y, \ell_2)$,

$$\pi_{q,2}((vT)^*) \leq cl^*(v), \quad \text{where } 2 \leq q \leq \infty \text{ with } 1/q + 1/p = 1.$$

By the use of the well-known inequality $\ell_{q,\infty}^e \leq \pi_{q,2}$ this reformulation leads to Pisier's definition of weak type p (see also [MA1]). An operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ is of *weak type* p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ($T \in \omega\mathfrak{T}_p(X, Y)$), if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \ell^*(Y, \ell_2)$,

$$\ell_{q,\infty}^a(vT) \leq cl^*(v), \quad \text{where } 2 \leq q \leq \infty \text{ with } 1/q + 1/p = 1.$$

In this case $\omega t_p(T) := \inf c$, where the infimum is taken over all c satisfying the above inequality.

It is obvious that every operator of type p is of weak type p . Conversely, every operator of weak type p is of type r for all $1 \leq r < p$.

This chapter relies on the Carl-Maurey inequality for weak type p operators (see [DJ]):

1.1. THEOREM. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. For every operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ we have the following implications: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) for $p < 2$, (i) \Rightarrow (iii) for $p = 2$ and (iii) \Rightarrow (i), provided Y is *K-convex*.*

(i) T is of weak type p .

(ii) There is a constant $c_1(T) \geq 0$ such that for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1^n, X)$,

$$e_k(TS) \leq c_1(T) \left(\frac{1 + \ln(n/k)}{k} \right)^{1-1/p} \|S\|.$$

(iii) There is a constant $c_2(T) \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1^n, X)$,

$$e_n(TS) \leq c_2(T) n^{1/p-1} \|S\|.$$

If $T = \text{Id}_X$ is the identity on a Banach space X the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) holds without the assumption of K -convexity.

In the following we want to indicate some consequences of the Carl-Maurey inequality which were originally formulated with the assumption “type p ” instead of “weak type p ”. In this sense the following theorem is due to Carl [CA3].

1.2. THEOREM. Let $1 \leq p < 2$. For every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of weak type p and for all $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X)$, $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$ and $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_q, \ell_1)$,

$$TSD_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{s,t}^e(\ell_q, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/p = 1/r + 1/q,$$

where $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < s, t \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ such that $1/r + 1/q > 1$.

Since the duality problem for entropy numbers is solved for Lorentz norms in K -convex spaces, we are able to extend a result of Kühn [KÜH] which describes the dual situation.

1.3. COROLLARY. Let $1 \leq p < 2$. For every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ whose dual T^* is of weak type p , and for all $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, \ell_\infty)$, $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$, $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, \ell_q)$,

$$D_\sigma RT \in \mathcal{L}_{s,t}^e(X, \ell_q) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/p + 1/q = 1 + 1/r,$$

where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $0 < r < q \leq \infty$, and $0 < s, t \leq \infty$.

Proof. Let first $1 < q < \infty$. For $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, \ell_\infty)$ we define

$$S := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_i \otimes R^* e_i \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, Y^*).$$

By Theorem 1.2,

$$T^*SD_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{s,t}^e(\ell_{q'}, X^*) \quad \text{if } 1/s + 1/p = 1/r + 1/q'.$$

The K -convexity of ℓ_q together with (5) and $S_{Y^*}^* = R$ implies that

$$\ell_{s,t}^e(D_\sigma RT) \leq \ell_{s,t}^e(D_\sigma S^* T^{**}) \leq c_{r,t,q} \ell_{s,t}^e(T^*SD_\sigma) < \infty.$$

If $q = 1$ or $q = \infty$ there exist $0 < r_1, r_2 < \infty$ and $1 < u < \infty$ with

$$1/r = 1/r_1 + 1/r_2, \quad 1/r_1 > 1/u \quad \text{and} \quad 1/r_2 + 1/u > 1/q.$$

Since $\ell_{r,t} = \ell_{r_1,t} \circ \ell_{r_2,\infty}$ (see [PI2]), for each $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$ we can find two sequences $\mu \in \ell_{r_1,t}$, $\tau \in \ell_{r_2,\infty}$ with $\sigma = \tau\mu$. By a result of Carl [CA2] we have

$$D_\mu \in \mathcal{L}_{s_2,\infty}^e(\ell_u, \ell_q) \quad \text{with } 1/s_2 = 1/r + 1/u - 1/q.$$

Hence by the first part applied to $D_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, \ell_u)$,

$$D_\sigma RT = D_\mu D_\tau RT \in \mathcal{L}_{s_2,t}^e \circ \mathcal{L}_{s_1,\infty}^e \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,t}^e$$

with $1/s = 1/s_1 + 1/s_2 = 1 + 1/r_1 - 1/u - 1/p + 1/r_2 + 1/u - 1/q = 1 + 1/r - 1/p - 1/q$. ■

A combination of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 yields a result for (r, w) -nuclear operators which was proved for “type p ” by Kühn [KÜH]. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < w \leq \infty$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is called (r, w) -nuclear ($T \in \mathfrak{N}_{r,w}(X, Y)$) if there are $R \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_\infty)$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, Y)$ and $\sigma \in \ell_{r,w}$ such that

$$T = SD_\sigma R.$$

In this case $N_{r,w}(T) := \inf \|R\| \|\sigma\|_{r,w} \|S\|$, where the infimum is taken over all representations as above.

1.4. COROLLARY. Let $1 \leq p, q < 2$, $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < s, w \leq \infty$. If X^* is of weak type p and Y of weak type q then

$$\mathfrak{N}_{r,w}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,w}^e(X, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/p + 1/q = 1/r + 1.$$

Proof. First we show that for $0 < r < 1$,

$$\mathfrak{N}_r(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^e(X, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/p + 1/q = 1/r + 1.$$

For this let $T = SD_\sigma R$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_\infty)$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, Y)$, and $\sigma \in \ell_r$, $\sigma \geq 0$. We set $\tau = \sigma^{1/2}$ and consider $D_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, \ell_2)$, $D_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2, \ell_1)$, respectively. Then we have

$$\|\sigma\|_r = \|\tau\|_{2r}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/2 + 1/(2r) > 1, \quad 2r < 2.$$

Hence by Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} SD_\tau &\in \mathcal{L}_{s_1,2r}^e(\ell_2, Y) \subset \mathcal{L}_{s_1,\infty}^e(\ell_2, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s_1 + 1/q = 1/(2r) + 1/2, \\ D_\tau R &\in \mathcal{L}_{s_2,2r}^e(X, \ell_2) \subset \mathcal{L}_{s_2,\infty}^e(X, \ell_2) \quad \text{with } 1/s_2 + 1/p + 1/2 = 1/(2r) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the multiplicativity of the entropy numbers we get

$$\begin{aligned} T = SD_\sigma R &= SD_\tau D_\tau R \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^e(X, Y) \\ &\quad \text{with } 1/s = 1/s_1 + 1/s_2 = 1 + 1/r - 1/p - 1/q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the assertion follows from the real interpolation method, since for $0 < r_1 < r < r_2 < 1$ there is a $0 < \theta < 1$ such that (see e.g. [PI2])

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{r,w} &= (\ell_{r_1}, \ell_{r_2})_{\theta,w} \quad \text{with } 1/r = (1-\theta)/r_1 + \theta/r_2 \quad \text{and} \\ (\mathcal{L}_{s_1,\infty}^e, \mathcal{L}_{s_2,\infty}^e)_{\theta,w} &\subset \mathcal{L}_{s,w}^e \quad \text{with } 1/s = (1-\theta)/s_1 + \theta/s_2. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2. A composition formula for different s -numbers. Up to now we do not know an analog to the Carl–Maurey inequality for $p = 2$ (in the weak case). Nevertheless, by using a composition formula for s -numbers, most of the results of the previous chapter can be carried over to this case. We start with

2.1. PROPOSITION. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $0 < r, s \leq \infty$ with $1/s + 1/p = 1/r + 1/2$. Then for all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of weak type p and $u \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2, X)$ whose dual is in $\mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^x(X^*, \ell_2)$,*

$$\ell_{s,\infty}^v(Tu) \leq c_0(2e)^{1/r} \omega_{t_p}(T) \ell_{s,\infty}^x(u^*).$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H \subset \ell_2$ with $\dim H = n$. Then for $E := \text{Im } Tu|_H \subset Y$ we have $\dim E \leq n$. By a lemma of Lewis (see [PS]), there are $w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n, E)$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}(Y, \ell_2^n)$ such that

$$\text{Id}_E = wv|_E \quad \text{and} \quad l(w) = l^*(v) \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Combining this with (2) and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_n(Tu|_H) &= v_n(wvTu|_H) \leq v_n(w)v_n(vTu|_H) \\ &\leq 4e_n(w) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k(vTu|_H) \right)^{1/n} \\ &\leq 4c_0 n^{-1/2} l(w) e^{1/r+1/p'} n^{-(1/r+1/p')} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/r+1/p'} x_k((vT)^*(u|_H)^*) \\ &\leq 8c_0 e(2e)^{1/r} n^{-(1/r+1/p')} \ell_{p',\infty}^a(vT) \ell_{r,\infty}^x(u^*) \\ &\leq 8c_0 e(2e)^{1/r} n^{-(1/r+1/p')} \omega_{t_p}(T) l^*(v) \ell_{r,\infty}^x(u^*) \\ &\leq 8c_0 e(2e)^{1/r} n^{-(1/r+1/2-1/p)} \omega_{t_p}(T) \ell_{r,\infty}^x(u^*). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now we can prove the following composition formula:

2.2. PROPOSITION. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s \leq \infty$ with $1/s + 1/p = 1/r + 1/2$. For every K -convex Banach space Y and any operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of weak type p , and for all $u \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2, X)$ whose dual is in $\mathcal{L}_{r,\infty}^x(X^*, \ell_2)$,*

$$\ell_{s,\infty}^d(Tu) \leq cK(Y)^{2/s} \omega_{t_p}(T) \ell_{s,\infty}^x(u^*),$$

where $c \geq 0$ is an absolute constant depending only on p and r .

Proof. We will use a result of Pajor and Tomczak-Jaegermann [PTJ] which states that for all $0 < s < 2$ there is a constant $c_s \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*, \ell_2)$,

$$\ell_{s,\infty}^c(v) \leq c_s K(Y)^{2/s} \ell_{s,\infty}^{vr}(v).$$

Therefore the assertion follows by duality from Proposition 2.1 (see also [PI2]):

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{s,\infty}^d(Tu) &\leq \ell_{s,\infty}^c((Tu)^*) \leq c_s K(Y)^{2/s} \ell_{s,\infty}^{vr}((Tu)^*) \\ &\leq c_0 c_s K(Y)^{2/s} \ell_{s,\infty}^{vr}(Tu) \\ &\leq c_0^2 (2e)^{1/s} c_s K(Y)^{2/s} \omega_{t_p}(T) \ell_{r,\infty}^x(u^*). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark. (i) If T is the identity operator on some Banach space X we can formally drop the assumption of K -convexity.

(ii) Actually, we can in general prove the proposition without the assumption of K -convexity.

With the composition formula of Proposition 2.2 we can extend the results of the previous chapter:

2.3. COROLLARY. *Let Y be a K -convex Banach space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ an operator of weak type 2. Then for all $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X)$, $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$ and $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_q, \ell_1)$,*

$$TSD_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{s,t}^c(\ell_q, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/2 = 1/r + 1/q,$$

where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $0 < r < \min\{2, q'\}$ and $0 < s, t \leq \infty$.

Proof. By interpolation (compare the proof of Corollary 1.4), it is sufficient to prove that for $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X)$, $\sigma \in \ell_r$ and $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_q, \ell_1)$,

$$TSD_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^c(\ell_q, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/2 = 1/r + 1/q.$$

For $q = 2$ the assertion follows from Proposition 2.2 since by [CA1], [PI2],

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{s,\infty}^c(TSD_\sigma) &\leq d_s \ell_{s,\infty}^d(TSD_\sigma) \leq d_s c_s K(Y)^{2/s} \omega_{t_2}(T) \ell_{s,\infty}^x((SD_\sigma)^*) \\ &\leq d_s c_s K(Y)^{2/s} \omega_{t_2}(T) \|S\|_c \|\sigma\|_{s,\infty} \\ &\leq c_s^2 d_s K(Y)^{2/s} \omega_{t_2}(T) \|\sigma\|_r \|S\|. \end{aligned}$$

For $q \neq 2$ we decompose $\sigma \in \ell_r$ as $\sigma = \tau\mu$ with $\tau \in \ell_{r_1}$, $\mu \in \ell_{r_2}$ such that $r_1 < 2$, $1/r_2 > \max\{0, 1/2 - 1/q\}$ and $1/r = 1/r_1 + 1/r_2$. By [CA2], for $1/s_2 = 1/r_2 + 1/q - 1/2$ we have

$$D_\mu \in \mathcal{L}_{s_2,\infty}^c(\ell_q, \ell_2).$$

Hence by the first step and the multiplicativity of the entropy numbers,

$$TSD_\sigma = TSD_\tau D_\mu \in \mathcal{L}_{r_1,\infty}^c \circ \mathcal{L}_{s_2,\infty}^c \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^c \quad \text{with } 1/s = 1/r + 1/q - 1/2. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. It is clear that a corresponding dual formulation for operators whose dual is of weak type 2 follows in the same way from Corollary 2.3 as in the previous chapter Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2.

3. Characterization of weak type p . First we will show that the conditions of Theorem 1.2 and Corollaries 1.3 and 2.3 are even necessary:

3.1. PROPOSITION. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < s, t \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ with $1/s + 1/p = 1/r + 1/q$ and Y a K -convex Banach space. Assume that for an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and for all $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$, $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_q, \ell_1)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X)$,*

$$TSD_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^e(\ell_q, Y).$$

Then T is of weak type p .

Proof. We will prove the existence of a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1^n, X)$,

$$e_n(TS) \leq cn^{1/p-1} \|S\|.$$

Then the assertion follows from (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 1.1. By the closed graph theorem the assumption yields a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X)$, $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$ and $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_q, \ell_1)$,

$$\ell_{s,\infty}^e(TSD_\sigma) \leq c \|S\| \|\sigma\|_{r,t}.$$

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1^n, X)$ and define $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $\sigma_j := 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sigma_j := 0$ for $j > n$. Hence

$$\|\sigma\|_{r,t} \leq c_{r,t} n^{1/r}.$$

On the other hand, it is known (see [CA2]) that for the formal identity $\iota_n : \ell_1^n \rightarrow \ell_q^n$ and $m := [(n+1)/2]$ we have

$$e_m(\iota_n) \leq c_0 n^{1/q-1}.$$

Denoting by $P_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1^n$ the natural projection and $I_n : \ell_q^n \rightarrow \ell_q$ the natural injection, we can conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(TS) &= e_n(TSP_n D_\sigma I_n \iota_n) \leq e_m(TSP_n D_\sigma) e_m(I_n \iota_n) \\ &\leq m^{-1/s} \ell_{s,\infty}^e(TSP_n D_\sigma) c_0 n^{1/q-1} \\ &\leq 2^{1/s} c_0 n^{-1/s+1/q-1} c \|SP_n\| \|\sigma\|_{r,t} \leq 2^{1/s} c_0 c_{r,t} cn^{1/p-1} \|S\|. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If T is the identity of some Banach space X we can drop the assumption of K -convexity, since the condition

$$e_n(TS) \leq cn^{1/p-1} \|S\| \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1^n, X)$$

just characterizes weak type p spaces (see Theorem 1.1).

For the proof of our main theorem we will need the following

3.2. LEMMA. *Let H, K be Hilbert spaces and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(e_n(T))^2 \leq 144 e_{2n}(T^*T) \leq 144 (e_n(T))^2.$$

Proof. Note that $a_i(T^*T) = (a_i(T))^2$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence it follows from [GKS] with $\mu = 1$ or 2 according as $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} that

$$\begin{aligned} (e_n(T))^2 &\leq \left(12 \sup_{k=1,\dots,n} 2^{-n/(\mu k)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i(T) \right)^{1/k} \right)^2 \\ &= 144 \sup_{k=1,\dots,n} 2^{-2n/(\mu k)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i(T^*T) \right)^{1/k} \\ &\leq 144 e_{2n}(T^*T) \leq 144 e_n(T^*) e_n(T) \leq 144 (e_n(T))^2. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We finish this chapter with the proof of our main theorem.

Proof (main theorem).

1) \Rightarrow 2). If $1 \leq p, q < 2$ this implication is Corollary 1.4. If either $p = 2$ or $q = 2$ the proof of Corollary 1.4 still works, provided one uses Corollary 2.3 and its dual formulation instead of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

2) \Rightarrow 1). We will apply Proposition 3.1. Let $1 < q \leq 2$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, X^*)$ and $\sigma \in \ell_{r,t}$. Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} R &:= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S e_i \otimes e_i \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_\infty) \quad \text{and} \\ I &:= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_i \otimes e_i \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_q), \quad \text{the formal identity.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $T = ID_\sigma R \in \mathfrak{N}_{r,w}(X, \ell_q)$ and ℓ_q is of type q our assumption yields

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^e(X, \ell_q) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/p + 1/q = 1 + 1/r.$$

By the K -convexity of ℓ_q we deduce from (5) with $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ that

$$SD_\sigma = T^* \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\infty}^e(\ell_{q'}, Y) \quad \text{with } 1/s + 1/p = 1/q' + 1/r.$$

1) \Rightarrow 3). We apply 1) \Rightarrow 2) for $Y = X^*$ which is of weak type p .

3) \Rightarrow 1). The proof consists of two steps:

Step I: *There is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n, X)$,*

$$n^{1/p'} \Gamma_n(u) \leq c \min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(u^*) \quad \text{with } 1/p' + 1/p = 1.$$

Step II: *There is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \ell^*(X^*, \ell_2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$n^{1/p'} a_n(v) \leq c \min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(v) \quad \text{with } 1/p' + 1/p = 1.$$

Before proving Step I or II let us indicate how the assertion follows from Step II. If $p = 1$ there is nothing to show, since every Banach space is of type 1, hence of weak type 1. If $p > 1$ choose $1 < r < q < p$. By Step II

there is a constant $c_{p,q} \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \ell^*(X^*, \ell_2)$,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{1/q'} a_n(v) \leq c_{p,q} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{1/p'} (1 + \ln n)^{-1} a_n(v) \leq c_{p,q} c l^*(v).$$

Hence X^* is of weak type q and therefore of type $r > 1$. But this means that X and X^* are K -convex (see [PS]). Again by Step II it now follows that for all $v \in \ell^*(X^*, \ell_2)$,

$$\ell_{p',\infty}^a(v) \leq cK(X)l^*(v),$$

which proves that X^* is of weak type p .

We will use (1) in order to pass from Step I to Step II. By the definition of the Grothendieck numbers it is sufficient to prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F \subset X^*$ with $\dim F = n$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}(F, \ell_2^n)$,

$$n^{1/p'} \Gamma_n(v) \leq c \min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(v).$$

For this choose a finite family $(x_k)_{k=1}^m \subset B_X$ such that for all $f \in F$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|f\| \leq \sup_{k=1, \dots, m} |\langle x_k, f \rangle| \leq \|f\|.$$

This yields a canonical injection I from F into ℓ_∞^m :

$$I : F \rightarrow \ell_\infty^m, \quad f \mapsto (\langle x_k, f \rangle)_{k=1}^m.$$

By the extension property of ℓ^* we can find an operator $V \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^m, \ell_2^n)$ such that

$$VI = v \quad \text{and} \quad l^*(V) \leq 2l^*(v).$$

Defining

$$Q : \ell_1^m \rightarrow X, \quad (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^m \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k,$$

and

$$w := QV^* \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2^n, X),$$

one can easily check that $w^* \iota_F = v$. The symmetry of the Grothendieck numbers and Step I imply

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/p'} \Gamma_n(v) &= n^{1/p'} \Gamma_n(w^* \iota_F) \leq n^{1/p'} \Gamma_n(w) \leq c \min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(w^*) \\ &\leq c \min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(V^{**}) \leq 2c \min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(v). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us prove Step I. The closed graph theorem implies the existence of a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $T \in \mathfrak{N}_{r,w}(X, Y)$,

$$\ell_{s,\infty}^a(T) \leq cN_{r,w}(T).$$

For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\iota_{p,q}^n$ the formal identity from ℓ_p^n to ℓ_q^n . By the definition of the Grothendieck numbers we can find a subspace $F \subset X$ with $\text{codim } F \leq n$ and $R \in \mathcal{L}(X/F, \ell_\infty^n)$ with $\|R\| \leq 1$ such that

$$\Gamma_n(u) \leq 2\Gamma_n(\iota_{\infty,2}^n R Q_F u).$$

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} T &:= R^* \iota_{\infty,1}^n R \in \mathcal{L}(X/F, (X/F)^*), \\ v &:= \iota_{\infty,2}^n R Q_F \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_2^n). \end{aligned}$$

By (2), Lemma 3.2, (4) and (3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_n(u))^2 &\leq 4(\Gamma_n(vu))^2 = 4(v_n(vu))^2 \leq 256(e_{2n}(vu))^2 \\ &\leq 256 \cdot 144e_{4n}(u^* v^* v u) = 36864e_{4n}(u^* Q_F^* T Q_F u) \\ &\leq 36864e_n(u^* Q_F^*) e_{2n}(T) e_n(Q_F u) \\ &\leq 73728e_n((Q_F u)^*) e_{2n}(Q_F^* T Q_F) e_n(Q_F u) \\ &\leq c_0 n^{-1/2} l(Q_F u) c(2n)^{-1/s} N_{r,w}(Q_F^* T Q_F) n^{-1/2} l(Q_F u) \\ &\leq c_0 c n^{-1-1/s} [K(X/F) l^*(u^*)]^2 N_{r,w}(\iota_{\infty,1}^n) \\ &\leq c_0^2 c c_{r,w} n^{-1-1/s+1/r} [\min\{K(X), 1 + \ln n\} l^*(u^*)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves Step I. ■

References

- [BPST] J. Bourgain, A. Pajor, S. J. Szarek and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *On the duality problem for entropy numbers of operators*, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, Israel Seminar (GAFA) 1987–88, Lecture Notes in Math. 1376, Springer, 1989, 50–63.
- [CA1] B. Carl, *Entropy numbers, s-numbers, and eigenvalue problems*, J. Funct. Anal. 41 (1981), 290–306.
- [CA2] —, *Entropy numbers of diagonal operators with applications to eigenvalue problems*, J. Approx. Theory 32 (1981), 135–150.
- [CA3] —, *On a characterization of operators from l_q into a Banach space of type p with some applications to eigenvalue problems*, J. Funct. Anal. 48 (1982), 394–407.
- [CA4] —, *Inequalities of Bernstein–Jackson-type and the degree of compactness of operators in Banach spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 35 (3) (1985), 79–118.
- [DJ] M. Defant and M. Junge, *Some estimates on entropy numbers*, Israel J. Math., to appear.
- [GEI] S. Geiss, *Grothendieck numbers of linear and continuous operators on Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr. 110 (1990), 217–230.
- [GKS] Y. Gordon, H. König and C. Schütt, *Geometric and probabilistic estimates for entropy and approximation numbers*, J. Approx. Theory 49 (1987), 219–239.
- [KÖN] H. König, *Eigenvalues of p -nuclear operators*, in: Proc. Internat. Conf. Operator Algebras, Ideals, and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics, H. Baumgärtel et al. (eds.), Teubner, Leipzig, 1978, 106–113.
- [KÜH] T. Kühn, *Entropy numbers of r -nuclear operators in Banach spaces of type q* , Studia Math. 80 (1984), 53–61.
- [MA1] V. Mascioni, *Weak cotype and weak type in the local theory of Banach spaces*, Dissertation, Zürich, 1987.
- [MA2] —, *On generalized volume ratio numbers*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 115 (1991), 483–510.

- [PTJ] A. Pajor and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Volume ratio and other s -numbers of operators related to local properties of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 87 (1989), 273–279.
- [PI1] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1978, and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [PI2] —, *Eigenvalues and s -numbers*, Geest & Portig, Leipzig, 1987, and Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [PS] G. Pisier, *The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Spaces Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [TOJ] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Banach–Mazur Distances and Finite-Dimensional Operator Ideals*, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989.

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR
UNIVERSITÄT KIEL
LUDEWIG-MEYN-STR. 4
D-24098 KIEL, GERMANY
E-mail: NMS06@RZ.UNI-KIEL.D400.DE

Received January 7, 1992
Revised version March 16, 1993

(2884)

Factorization of Montel operators

by

S. DIEROLF (Trier) and P. DOMAŃSKI (Poznań)

Abstract. Consider the following conditions. (a) Every regular LB-space is complete; (b) if an operator T between complete LB-spaces maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets, then T factorizes through a Montel LB-space; (c) for every complete LB-space E the space $C(\beta\mathbb{N}, E)$ is bornological. We show that (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c). Moreover, we show that if E is Montel, then (c) holds. An example of an LB-space E with a strictly increasing transfinite sequence of its Mackey derivatives is given.

0. Introduction. In Banach space theory there is a famous result [11] (see also [13] and [15, Theorem 6.3.4]) that every weakly compact operator between Banach spaces factorizes through a reflexive Banach space. The ideal of operators mapping bounded sets into relatively (weakly) compact sets seems to be the proper analogue in the Fréchet setting of the ideal of (weakly) compact operators in the Banach case. This leads to the following factorization problem: Does every Montel operator (i.e., an operator mapping bounded sets into relatively compact sets) between Fréchet spaces factorize through a Fréchet–Montel space? Surprisingly enough, it seems that not much is known about it as well as about the dual problem concerning factorization of all Montel maps between (complete) LB-spaces through a Montel LB-space.

The best result which could be derived from the known facts (see Corollary 3.2 below) says that if E is a quasinormable Fréchet space, F is an arbitrary Fréchet space and $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a Montel map, then T factorizes through a Fréchet–Schwartz space.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46A04, 46A13, 46A50, 46E40; Secondary 46A11, 46A17, 46A45, 47B07.

Key words and phrases: Fréchet space, Fréchet–Montel space, complete LB-space, Montel LB-space, regular LB-space, Mackey completion of an LB-space, bornologicity of $C(K, E)$.

The paper was written while the second author held the A. von Humboldt Fellowship at Bergische Universität Wuppertal. He is especially grateful for the additional support given by the Foundation to that research.