TOPICS IN COMPLEX ANALYSIS BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS, VOLUME 31 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSZAWA 1995

## A NOTE ON COEFFICIENT MULTIPLIERS $(H^p, \mathcal{B})$ AND $(H^p, BMOA)$

## MARIA NOWAK

Institute of Mathematics, M. Curie-Skłodowska University Pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1, 20-031 Lublin, Poland

1. Introduction and statement of results. For a function f analytic in  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  let

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 
$$M_\infty(r, f) = \max_{0 \le \theta \le 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|,$$$$

where  $0 \leq r < 1$ .

The Hardy class  $H^p$ , 0 , is the space of those f for which

$$||f||_p = \sup_{0 \le r \le 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty.$$

A function  $f \in H^1$  is said to be in the space BMOA iff its boundary function  $f(e^{i\theta})$  is of bounded mean oscillation.

The Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of all analytic function in U for which

$$f||_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{U}} (1 - |z|)|f'(z)| < \infty$$

The proper inclusions:

$$H^{\infty} \subset BMOA \subset \bigcap_{0$$

are well-known (e.g. [3]).

A complex sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is called a multiplier of a sequence space A into a sequence space B if  $\{\lambda_n a_n\} \in B$  whenever  $\{a_n\} \in A$ . A space of analytic functions in **U** can be regarded as a sequence space by identifying each function with its

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30D55.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

sequence of Taylor coefficients. The set of all multipliers from A to B will be denoted by (A, B).

Recently Mateljevic and Pavlovic ([4], see also [5]) have characterized the multiplier spaces  $(H^1, \mathcal{B})$  and  $(H^p, BMOA)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . They have proved the following theorems:

THEOREM A. Let  $1 \le p \le 2$  and 1/p + 1/q = 1. Then  $g \in (H^p, BMOA)$  if and only if

$$M_q(r, g') \le \frac{c}{1-r}, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where c denotes a constant.

THEOREM B.  $(H^1, \mathcal{B}) = (H^1, BMOA) = \mathcal{B}$ 

Here we extend the above theorems by describing the spaces  $(H^p, \mathcal{B}), 0 and <math>(H^p, BMOA), 0 .$ 

Let c denote a general constant not necessarily the same in each case. We have

Theorem 1. If  $1 \leq p < \infty ~and ~1/p + 1/q = 1~then$ 

$$g \in (H^p, \mathcal{B})$$

if and only if there is a constant c such that

(1) 
$$M_q(r,g') \le \frac{c}{1-r}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

THEOREM 2. If 0 , n is an integer such that <math>1/p < n + 1 then

(2) 
$$(H^{p}, H^{\infty}) = (H^{p}, BMOA) = (H^{p}, \mathcal{B})$$
$$= \left\{ g : M_{\infty}(r, g^{(n)}) < \frac{c}{(1-r)^{n+1-1/p}} \right\} = A_{n}$$

Note that for  $0 , <math>(H^p, \mathcal{B}) = (H^p, BMOA)$ .

2. Proof of Theorem 1. For  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^n$ ,  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(n) z^n$  analytic in U define

(3) 
$$h(z) = f \star g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\widehat{g}(n)z^n.$$

Then

(4) 
$$h(r^2 e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r e^{i\theta}) g(r e^{i(\varphi - \theta)}) d\theta, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Assume that g satisfies (1) and  $f \in H^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ . Differentiating (4) with respect to  $\varphi$  we obtain

$$rh'(r^2e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta})g'(re^{i(\varphi-\theta)})e^{-i\theta}d\theta.$$

Hence by Hölder's inequality

(5) 
$$M_{\infty}(r^2, h') \le CM_p(r, f)M_q(r, g'),$$

which implies  $h \in \mathcal{B}$ .

To prove the converse suppose that g is an analytic function such that  $f \star g \in \mathcal{B}$ whenever  $f \in H^p$ , 1 . Without loss of generality we may assume that<math>f(0) = 0. Then  $f_1(z) = f(z)/z$  also belongs to  $H^p$  and  $||f_1||_p = ||f||_p$ . It follows from the closed graph theorem that  $T_g(f) = f \star g$  is a bounded linear operator from  $H^p$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ . So there is a constant c such that for any  $f \in H^p$ 

(6) 
$$||T_g(f)||_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{\substack{0 \le r < 1\\ 0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi}} (1 - r^2) \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{r} f(re^{i\theta}) g'(re^{i(\varphi - \theta)}) d\theta \right| \le c ||f||_p.$$

This implies

(7) 
$$\left|\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{re^{i\theta}} g'(re^{-i\theta}) d\theta\right| \leq \frac{c||f||_p}{1-r^2}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Let  $W(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k e^{ik\theta}$  be a trigonometric polynomial with  $||W||_{L^p[0,2\pi]} \leq 1$ . It follows from the M. Riesz theorem that its analytic projection  $w(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k e^{ik\theta}$  satisfies

$$||w||_{L^p[0,2\pi]} \le A_p ||W||_{L^p[0,2\pi]} \le A_p$$

Also note that

(8) 
$$\left|\int_{0}^{2\pi} W(e^{i\theta})g'(r^2e^{-i\theta})d\theta\right| = \left|\int_{0}^{2\pi} w(re^{i\theta})g'(re^{-i\theta})d\theta\right| \le \frac{cA_p}{1-r^2}.$$

If we denote  $g'_{r^2}(z) = g'(r^2 z), \ 0 < r < 1$ , then taking the supremum over all W with  $||W||_{L^p[0,2\pi]} \le 1$  we get

$$||g'_{r^2}||_q = M_q(r^2, g') \le \frac{c}{1 - r^2},$$

and this proves Theorem 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. The following property of integral means is well known (cf. [1], p. 80): if  $0 , <math>\beta > 0$  and f is analytic in U then

$$M_p(r,f) = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{\beta}}\right) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad M_p(r,f') = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{\beta+1}}\right)$$

Hence the set  $A_n$  in formula (2) does not depend on n if only n + 1 > 1/p.

Now assume that  $0 . It was proved by Duren and Shields [2] that <math>(H^p, H^\infty) = A_n$ . So to prove our theorem it is enough to show that  $(H^p, \mathcal{B}) \subset A_n$ . Suppose that g is an analytic function such that  $f \star g \in \mathcal{B}$  whenever  $f \in H^p$ . Then the closed graph theorem implies

$$\|f \star g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le c \|f\|_p.$$

For  $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}(k) z^k$  we define

$$D^n g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^n \widehat{g}(k) z^k.$$

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^n z^k$ . Then we have

$$||D^n g_r||_{\mathcal{B}} = ||g \star f_r||_{\mathcal{B}} \le c||f_r||_p,$$

where  $f_r(z) = f(rz), \ 0 < r < 1$ . Because  $f(z) = P_n(z)/(1-z)^{n+1}$ , where  $P_n$  is a polynomial of degree n,

(9) 
$$||D^n g_r||_{\mathcal{B}} \le c \left\| \frac{1}{(1-rz)^{n+1}} \right\|_p = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{n+1-1/p}}\right)$$

Hence

(10) 
$$\sup_{0 < \rho < 1} (1 - \rho) M_{\infty}(\rho, (D^n g_r)') \le \frac{c}{(1 - r)^{n + 1 - 1/p}}$$

It was shown in [4] that the integral means of  $D^n g$  and  $g^{(n)}$  have "the same behaviour". So by Lemma 1 of [4] (10) implies

(11) 
$$M_{\infty}(\rho, D^{n+1}g_r) \le \frac{c}{(1-r)^{n+1-1/p}(1-\rho)}, \quad 0 < r, \rho < 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$M_{\infty}(\rho r, g^{(n+1)}) \le \frac{c}{(1-r)^{n+1-1/p}(1-\rho)}, \quad 0 < r, \rho < 1.$$

Hence

$$M_{\infty}(r, g^{(n+1)}) \le \frac{c}{(1-r)^{n+2-1/p}}$$

and this means that  $g \in A_n$ .

## References

- [1] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H<sup>p</sup> Spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.
- P. L. Duren and A. L. Shields, Coefficient multipliers of H<sup>p</sup> and B<sup>p</sup> spaces, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 69-78.
- [3] J. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, 1981.
- M. Mateljevic and M. Pavlovic, Multipliers of H<sup>p</sup> and BMOA, Pacific J. Math. 146 (1990), 71–84.
- [5] L. Zengjian, Multipliers of H<sup>p</sup>, G<sup>p</sup> and Bloch spaces, Math. Japon. 1 (1991), 21-26.

302