COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. LXVIII

A NOTE ON THE INTEGER SOLUTIONS OF HYPERELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

BY MAOHUA LE (ZHANJIANG)

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Q} denote the sets of integers, positive integers and rational numbers respectively. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$ and $mn \geq 6$. Let $f(x) = a_0 x^m + \ldots + a_{m-1} x + a_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $a_0 \neq 0$, and let $H = \max(|a_0|, \ldots, |a_m|)$. There are many papers concerning the solutions (x, y) of the hyperelliptic equation

(1)
$$f(x) = y^n, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let e_1, \ldots, e_s be the multiplicities of distinct zeros of f(x) with $e_1 \ge \ldots$ $\ldots \ge e_s$. In [5], LeVeque proved that if (1) has infinitely many solutions (x, y), then either $\{n/\gcd(e_1, n), \ldots, n/\gcd(e_s, n)\} = \{2, 2, 1, \ldots, 1\}$ or

 $\{t, 1, \ldots, 1\}$ with $t \in \mathbb{N}$. In [1], Baker proved that if n = 2 and f(x) has at least three simple zeros, then all solutions (x, y) of (1) satisfy

(2)
$$\max(|x|, |y|) < \exp \exp(m^{10m^3} H^{m^2});$$

if n > 2 and f(x) has at least two simple zeros, then

$$\max(|x|, |y|) < \exp\exp((5n)^{10}m^{10m^3}H^{m^2})$$

Afterwards, Sprindžuk [10] improved Baker's bound (2) showing that if n = 2, $a_0 = 1$ and f(x) has at least three simple zeros, then

$$\max(|x|, |y|) \ll \exp(|D|^{(8+\varepsilon)(6m^3+12m^2)}(\log H)^{1+\varepsilon}), \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

where D is the discriminant of f(x) and the positive constant implied by \ll only depends on ε and m and is effectively computable.

In this note, using some elementary methods, we prove the following result, related to the main theorem of [11].

THEOREM. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, $a_0 = 1, a_1, \ldots, a_m$ are not all zeros and the first nonzero coefficient is coprime with n, then (1) has only finitely many

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.



¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D41, 05A19.

M.-H. LE

solutions (x, y). Moreover, all solutions of (1) satisfy $|x| < (4mH)^{2m/n+1}$ and $|y| < (4mH)^{2m^2/n^2+m/n+1}$.

Now we give two applications of the above theorem. Let $m_1, \ldots, m_s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq m_1 < \ldots < m_s$. In [9], Rotkiewicz and Złotkowski proved that the equation

 $x^{m_s} + x^{m_{s-1}} + \ldots + x^{m_1} + 1 = y^z, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{N},$

under some conditions has only finitely many solutions (x, y, z). By the Theorem, we have:

COROLLARY 1. If $n \ge 2$ and $m_s \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, then all solutions (x, y) of the equation

(3)
$$x^{m_s} \pm x^{m_{s-1}} \pm \ldots \pm x^{m_1} \pm 1 = y^n, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{N},$$

satisfy $x < (4m_s)^{2m_s/n+1}$ and $y < (4m_s)^{2m_s^2/n^2 + m_s/n+1}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with k > 2, and let $\zeta_k = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/k}$. Then

(4)
$$\Phi_k(x) = x^{\varphi(k)} + a_1 x^{\varphi(k)-1} + \ldots + a_{\varphi(k)} = \prod_{\substack{1 \le l \le k \\ \gcd(l,k)=1}} (x - \zeta_k^l) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

is called the *k*th *cyclotomic polynomial*, where $\varphi(k)$ is Euler's function of *k*. In [6], Ljunggren proved that if *k* is an odd prime, then

(5)
$$\Phi_k(x) = y^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{N}, \ x > 1, \ y > 1,$$

has only one solution (k, x, y) = (5, 3, 11). For a general k, we have:

COROLLARY 2. Let d be the greatest square-free factor of k, and let $m = \varphi(d)$. Then all solutions (x, y) of (5) satisfy

$$x < \exp\left(\frac{d}{k}(m+1)(m^{1/2} + \log 4m)\right),$$

$$y < \exp\left(\frac{d}{k}(m^2 + m + 1)(m^{1/2} + \log 4m)\right).$$

Moreover, if $k/d \ge (m+1)(m^{1/2} + \log 4m)/\log 2$, then (5) has no solution (x, y).

2. Lemmas

LEMMA 1. Let $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$ be a power series with real coefficients and $\alpha_0 > 0$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with n > 1 and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \ge 0$, let

(6)
$$\beta_0 = 1, \quad \beta_k = \sum \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r_1 + \dots + r_k - 1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - i\right)\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(\alpha_j / \alpha_0)^{r_j}}{r_j!}\right), \quad k > 0,$$

where the summation is over all solutions (r_1, \ldots, r_k) of the equation

(7)
$$r_1 + 2r_2 + \ldots + kr_k = k, \quad r_1, \ldots, r_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ r_1, \ldots, r_k \ge 0.$$

If there exists a positive number M such that $\max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k / \alpha_0| \leq M$, then

(8)
$$(F(z))^{1/n} = \alpha_0^{1/n} G(z) = \alpha_0^{1/n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k, \quad |z| < \frac{1}{2M}$$

Proof. By [8], we have

(9)
$$\sum \frac{(r_1 + \ldots + r_k)!}{r_1! \ldots r_k!} = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{\substack{\Omega: r_1 + \ldots + r_k = l}} \frac{(r_1 + \ldots + r_k)!}{r_1! \ldots r_k!}$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k-1}{l-1} = 2^{k-1},$$

where the summation \sum_{Ω} is over all solutions (r_1, \ldots, r_k) of (7) which satisfy the condition Ω . Hence, by (6), if $\max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k / \alpha_0| \leq M$, then the convergence radius R of $G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k$ satisfies

$$R = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\beta_k|^{1/k}} \ge \frac{1}{2M}$$

This implies that G(z) is convergent for |z| < 1/(2M).

Let u, v be variables with v = F(u), and let G(u) = H(v) = H(F(u)). Let $D_u = d/du$, $D_v = d/dv$, and let $D_u^k F(u) = f_k$, $D_u^k G(u) = g_k$ and $D_v^k H(v) = h_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By di Bruno's formula (cf. [8]), we have

(10)
$$g_k = \sum k! h_{r_1+\ldots+r_k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{r_j!} \left(\frac{f_j}{j!} \right)^{r_j} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Put u = z, $v = F(z)/\alpha_0$ and $G(z) = H(v) = v^{1/n}$. Since

$$f_k|_{z=0} = k! \alpha_k, \quad g_k|_{z=0} = k! \beta_k, \quad h_k|_{z=0} = h_k|_{v=1} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - i\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

we get (6) by (10). Since G(z) is convergent for |z| < 1/(2M), we obtain (7) immediately. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. If n > 1, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, $a_0 = 1$, $a_i = 0 \ (1 \le i \le s - 1)$, $a_s \ne 0$ and $gcd(a_s, n) = 1$, then

(11)
$$(f(x))^{1/n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k x^{m/n-k}, \quad |x| > 2H,$$

where the coefficients β_k (k = 0, 1, ...) satisfy

(i)

(12)
$$\beta_0 = 1, \quad \beta_k = \sum' \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r_s + \dots + r_m - 1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - i \right) \right) \left(\prod_{j=s}^m \frac{a_j^{r_j}}{r_j!} \right), \quad k > 0,$$

where the summation \sum' is over all solutions (r_s, \ldots, r_m) of the equation

- (13) $sr_s + \ldots + mr_m = k, \quad r_s, \ldots, r_m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ r_s, \ldots, r_m \ge 0.$
 - (ii) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\beta_k| < 2^{k-1}H^k$.
 - (iii) If $\beta_k \neq 0$, then $|\beta_k| \geq 1/(k!n^k)$.
 - (iv) For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_{qs} \neq 0$.

Proof. Put $\alpha_i = a_i$ (i = 0, 1, ..., m) and $\alpha_j = 0$ (j > m). Since $a_l = 0$ $(1 \le l \le s - 1)$, by Lemma 1, we get

(14)
$$(F(z))^{1/n} = G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k, \quad |z| < 1/(2H).$$

where β_k (k = 0, 1, ...) satisfy (12). Put z = 1/x. Since $m \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, (14) yields (11) and (i). From (9) and (12), (ii) is clear. Since $(r_s + ... + r_m)! \equiv 0 \pmod{r_s! \dots r_m!}$, we get (iii) by (12).

For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, from (12) we get

(15)
$$\beta_{qs} = \frac{a_s^q}{q! n^q} \prod_{i=0}^{q-1} (1 - ni) + I,$$

where

(16)
$$I = \sum_{\Omega: (r_s, r_{s+1}, \dots, r_m) \neq (q, 0, \dots, 0)} {\binom{r_s + \dots + r_m - 1}{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - i\right)} \left(\prod_{j=s}^m \frac{a_j^{r_j}}{r_j!}\right)},$$

where the summation \sum_{Ω}' is over all solutions (r_s, \ldots, r_m) of (13) which satisfy the condition Ω . Let p be a prime factor of n, $\lambda = \operatorname{ord}_p n$, and let $\delta_k = \operatorname{ord}_p k!$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(a_s, n) = 1$, we have

$$a_s^q(1-n)\dots\frac{1-n(q-1)}{q!n^q} = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $a \neq 0, b > 0$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lambda q + \delta_q}}$. On the other hand, since every solution (r_s, \ldots, r_m) of (13) with $(r_s, r_{s+1}, \ldots, r_m) \neq (q, 0, \ldots, 0)$ satisfies $0 < r_s + \ldots + r_m < q$, we see from (16) that $I = a'/b' \in \mathbb{Q}$, where $a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $\gcd(a', b') = 1, b' > 0$ and $b' \neq 0 \pmod{p^{\lambda q + \delta_q}}$. Therefore, by (15), we get $\beta_{qs} \neq 0$. The lemma is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem. Let (x, y) be a solution of (1) with $|x| \ge (4mH)^{2m/n+1}$. Since $a_i = 0$ $(1 \le i \le s - 1)$ and $a_s \ne 0$, we have

174

(17)
$$0 < \left| |x|^{m-s} - H \frac{|x|^{m-s} - 1}{|x| - 1} \right| \le |y^n - x^m| = \left| \sum_{k=s}^m a_k x^{m-k} \right|$$
$$\le H \frac{|x|^{m-s+1} - 1}{|x| - 1} < 2H|x|^{m-s}.$$

Notice that $m \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. We see from (17) that $y \neq x^{m/n}$. Then $|y^n - x^m| > |x|^{(n-1)m/n}$

and (18)

$$1 \le s \le m/n$$

by (17).

By Lemma 2, we see from (11) that

$$y = S_1 + S_2,$$

where

(19)

(20)
$$S_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{m/n} \beta_k x^{m/n-k},$$

(21)
$$S_2 = \sum_{k=m/n+1}^{\infty} \beta_k / x^{k-m/n}.$$

From (12) and (20), $S_1 = a''/b'' \in \mathbb{Q}$, where $a'', b'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy gcd(a'', b'') = 1, b'' > 0 and $n^{m/n}(m/n)! \equiv 0 \pmod{b''}$. Hence, by (19), we have either

(22)
$$|y - S_1| = |S_2| \ge \frac{1}{n^{m/n}(m/n)!}$$

or

(23)
$$|y - S_1| = |S_2| = 0.$$

By Stirling's theorem,

(24)
$$t! < \sqrt{2\pi t} (t/e)^t e^{1/(12t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}$$

By (21), (24) and Lemma 2(ii), if $|x| \ge (4mH)^{2m/n+1}$, then

(25)
$$|S_2| \leq \sum_{k=m/n+1}^{\infty} |\beta_k/x^{k-m/n}| < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^{m/n} H^{m/n+1}/|x|)^k$$
$$= \frac{2^{m/n} H^{m/n+1}}{|x| - 2^{m/n} H^{m/n+1}} < \frac{1}{n^{m/n} (m/n)!}.$$

This implies that (22) is impossible.

On the other hand, there exists a multiple of s among the integers $m/n+1, \ldots, m/n+s$. Hence, by Lemma 2(iv), there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

M.-H. LE

 $m/n + 1 \le t \le m/n + s$, $\beta_t \ne 0$ and $\beta_i = 0$ $(m/n + 1 \le i \le t - 1)$. Then, by (18) and Lemma 2(iii), we have

(26)
$$\left| \frac{\beta_t}{x^{t-m/n}} \right| \ge \frac{1}{(2m/n)! n^{2m/n} |x|^{t-m/n}},$$

and by (21) and Lemma 2(ii),

(27)
$$\left|\sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k}{x^{k-m/n}}\right| < \frac{1}{|x|^{t-m/n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{2m/n} H^{2m/n+1}}{|x|}\right)^k = \frac{2^{2m/n} H^{2m/n+1}}{|x|^{t-m/n} (|x| - 2^{2m/n} H^{2m/n+1})}.$$

The combination of (26) and (27) yields $|S_2| \neq 0$ for $|x| \geq (4mH)^{2m/n+1}$, which contradicts (23). Thus, $|x| < (4mH)^{2m/n+1}$, and by (19), (20) and (25), $|y| < (4mH)^{2m^2/n^2 + m/n+1}$. This completes the proof.

4. Proof of Corollaries 1 and 2. Since H = 1 for (3), Corollary 1 follows immediately from the Theorem.

Now we deal with the equation (5). It is a well known fact that if d is the greatest square-free factor of k, then $\Phi_k(x) = \Phi_d(x^{k/d})$. Let $\Phi_d(X) = X^m + b_1 X^{m-1} + \ldots + b_m \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, where $m = \varphi(d)$. Then (5) can be written as

(28)
$$\Phi_d(x^{k/d}) = y^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{N}, \ x > 1, \ y > 1.$$

When d = 1 or 2, since k/d > 1, from (28) we get

(29)
$$x^{k/d} \pm 1 = y^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{N}, \ x > 1, \ y > 1.$$

By [3] and [4], the equation (29) has only one solution (x, y, k/d) = (2, 3, 3) with k/d > 1.

When d > 2, we have 2 | m. Notice that $b_1 = -\mu(d) = \pm 1$ by Theorem 7.4.4 of [2] and $\max(|b_1|, \ldots, |b_m|) < e^{m^{1/2}}$ by [7]. We see from the Theorem that all solutions of (28) satisfy

(30)
$$x^{k/d} < \exp((m+1)(m^{1/2} + \log 4m)), y < \exp((m^2 + m + 1)(m^{1/2} + \log 4m)).$$

On the other hand, since $x \ge 2$, (30) is impossible for $k/d \ge (m+1)(m^{1/2} + \log 4m)/\log 2$. Corollary 2 is proved.

REFERENCES

 A. Baker, Bounds for the solutions of the hyperelliptic equation, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 65 (1969), 439–444.

- [2] L.-K. Hua, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [3] C. Ko, On the diophantine equation $x^2 = y^n + 1$, $xy \neq 0$, Sci. Sinica 14 (1964), 457–460.
- [4] V. A. Lebesgue, Sur l'impossibilité, en nombres entiers, de l'équation $x^m = y^2 + 1$, Nouv. Ann. Math. (1) 9 (1850), 178–181.
- [5] W. J. LeVeque, On the equation $y^m = f(x)$, Acta Arith. 9 (1964), 209–219.
- [6] W. Ljunggren, Noen setninger om ubestemte likninger av formen $(x^n 1)/(x 1) = y^q$, Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr. 25 (1943), 17–20.
- [7] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, The order of magnitude of mth coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials, Glasgow Math. J. 27 (1985), 143–159.
- [8] J. Riordan, Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, Wiley, 1958.
- [9] A. Rotkiewicz and W. Złotkowski, On the diophantine equation $1 + p^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + p^{\alpha_k} = y^2$, in: Number Theory, Vol. II (Budapest 1987), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, 917–937.
- [10] V. G. Sprindžuk, Hyperelliptic diophantine equation and class numbers of ideals, Acta Arith. 30 (1976), 95–108 (in Russian).
- P. G. Walsh, A quantitative version of Runge's theorem on diophantine equations, Acta Arith. 62 (1992), 157–172.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ZHANJIANG TEACHER'S COLLEGE P.O. BOX 524048 ZHANJIANG, GUANGDONG P.R. CHINA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26.4.1993