M. KAŁUSZKA (Łódź)

ESTIMATES OF SOME PROBABILITIES IN MULTIDIMENSIONAL CONVEX RECORDS

Abstract. Convex records in Euclidean space are considered. We provide both lower and upper bounds on the probability $p_n(k)$ that in a sequence of random vectors X_1, \ldots, X_n there are exactly k records.

1. Introduction. Records on a line have received a good deal of attention in the last thirty years. The reader may be referred to Nevzorov [8] and Resnick [9] for some recent studies on this model. There has been a trend in the past few years to move away from the standard model and to consider either records for random elements in a partially ordered space or convex records for random vectors in *d*-dimensional Euclidean space (see [3, 9]).

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the case of convex records defined as follows. Suppose independent and identically distributed random vectors $X_i = (X_{i1}, \ldots, X_{id})$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, are observed. Define random variables L(n) as follows: L(0) = 0, L(1) = 1, and $L(n + 1) = \min\{i : X_i \notin \operatorname{conv}\{X_1, \ldots, X_{L(n)}\}\}$, n > 1, where $\operatorname{conv}\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is the convex hull of X_1, \ldots, X_n . In addition, define $N(n) = \max\{k : L(k) \leq n\}$. Throughout the paper L(k) is called the time of the kth convex record. Then N(n) is the cardinality of the set of convex records which occur up to time n. Further, for $k = d + 1, \ldots, n$, we set

(1)
$$p_n(k) = \Pr\{N(n) = k\}$$
 and $q_n(k) = \Pr\{L(k) = n\}.$

The problem of convex records dates back to the nineteenth century. The case of randomly chosen points within the *d*-dimensional unit ball was considered for the first time by Sylvester (see [6]). He posed the problem of calculation of $p_{d+2}(d+1)$. An important contribution to solving Sylvester's problem was made by Blaschke and Hostinsky but it was Kingman [7] who

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60D05; Secondary 60E05, 60G70. Key words and phrases: geometric probability, convex records in Euclidean space, estimates of probabilities.



obtained the exact formula for $p_{d+2}(d+1)$. The first result on the asymptotic distribution of the number of vertices of the convex hull of n randomly chosen points, say N_n , was given by Rényi and Sulanke (cf. [6]). Groeneboom [5] continued the work on this problem and obtained the asymptotic distribution of N_n as n tends to infinity. Bárany and Füredi [1] also examined the limiting behaviour of $\Pr\{N_n = k\}$ as either k or d goes to infinity.

In this article we are concerned with the distribution of the random variables L(n) and N(n) for n = d+1, d+2, ... In Section 2 we present the exact formula for $p_n(d+1)$ and provide both lower and upper bounds for $p_n(k)$ and $q_n(k)$ for $k \ge d+2$ in the case of convex records within a unit ball. Section 3 concerns some numerical study.

2. The main results. We first set up the basic notation and assumptions. Suppose that $X_1, X_2...$ are modeled as independent observations in \mathbb{R}^d with a common density f and that $K, K = \{x : f(x) > 0\}$, is a bounded convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Let $C(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ denote the k-neighbourly polytope whose vertices are x_1, \ldots, x_k , and let F be the distribution of X_1 . Define

$$M_{k} = \sup \left\{ \int_{C(x_{1},...,x_{k})} dF(x) : C(x_{1},...,x_{k}) \subset K \right\}, \quad k = d + 2, d + 3,...$$

The following proposition gives both upper and lower bounds on the probability $p_n(k)$ that in the sequence X_1, \ldots, X_n there are exactly k records.

PROPOSITION 1. With the notation given above, define

$$\alpha_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(d+1)}} \left(\int_{C(x_1,\dots,x_{d+1})} dF(x) \right)^k dF(x_1)\dots dF(x_{d+1}).$$

Then:

(i)
$$p_n(d+1) = \alpha_{n-d-1}$$
.
(ii) For $d+2 \le k \le n$,
 $\binom{n-d-1}{k-d-1} \prod_{i=d+2}^{k-1} (1-M_i)(\alpha_{n-k} - \alpha_{n-k+1}) \le p_n(k)$
 $\le \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-d-1} \binom{n-d-i-2}{k-d-2} \binom{k-d-1}{j} (-1)^j M_k^{n-k-i} \alpha_{i+j}$,

where $\prod_{i=d+2}^{d+1}(1-M_i)$ is 1 by convention.

Proof. Using standard arguments it is possible to show that for $k = d + 1, \ldots, n$,

 $Multidimensional\ convex\ records$

(2)
$$p_n(k) = \sum_{(n_i)\in\mathcal{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(d+1)}} \left(\int_{C_{d+1}} dF(x) \right)^{n_1} dF(x_1) \dots dF(x_{d+1})$$
$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_{d+1}} \left(\int_{C_{d+2}} dF(x) \right)^{n_2} dF(x_{d+2}) \times \dots$$
$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_{k-1}} \left(\int_{C_k} dF(x) \right)^{n_{k-d}} dF(x_k)$$

with

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ (n_1, \dots, n_{k-d}) : k + n_i = n \text{ and } n_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i \}.$$

Here $C_n = \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Hence the result for k = d+1 follows directly. To prove (ii) we use the following estimates:

(3)
$$\int_{C_i} dF(x) \le \int_{C_k} dF(x) \quad \text{for } i \le k,$$

(4)
$$1 - M_k \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_k} dF(x),$$

(5)
$$\int_{C_k} dF(x) \le M_k,$$

(6)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_k} dF(x) \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_{d+1}} dF(x) \quad \text{for } k \ge d+1.$$

Combining (3) and (4) yields

(7)
$$p_n(k) \ge \# \mathcal{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(d+1)}} dF(x) \Big(\int_{C_{d+1}} dF(x) \Big)^{n-k} \\ \times \Big(1 - \int_{C_{d+1}} dF(x) \Big) dF(x_1) \dots dF(x_{d+1}),$$

where $\#\mathcal{N}$ denotes the cardinality of \mathcal{N} . Next use (5) and (6) to get

(8)
$$p_{n}(k) \leq \sum_{(n_{i})\in\mathcal{N}} \prod_{i=d+2}^{k} M_{i}^{i-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(d+1)}} \left[\int_{C_{d+1}} dF(x) \right]^{n_{1}} \\ \times \left[1 - \int_{C_{d+1}} dF(x) \right]^{k-d-1} dF(x_{1}) \dots dF(x_{d+1}) \\ \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \# \mathcal{N}_{i} \cdot M_{k}^{n-k-i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-d-1} \binom{n-d-1}{k-d-1} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{i+j},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_i = \{(n_2, \dots, n_{k-d}) : k+i+n_s = n \text{ and } n_s \ge 0 \text{ for all } s\}$. Now,

application of a combinatorial lemma (see [2], Chapter II, 5) to both (7) and (8) yields the desired result. \blacksquare

The next proposition gives worse estimates than those of Proposition 1 but which are more useful to derive asymptotic results.

PROPOSITION 2. Under the assumptions of Proposition 1,

(9)
$$\binom{n-d-1}{k-d-1} \prod_{i=d+1}^{k-1} (1-M_i) \alpha_{n-k} \le p_n(k) \le \binom{n-d-1}{k-d-1} M_k^{n-k},$$

for k = d + 2, ..., n.

Proof. The proof follows along the same lines as in Proposition 1 and is left to the reader. \blacksquare

The above propositions allow us to estimate some probabilities in the multidimensional model of convex records if we are able to obtain the exact form of α_k and M_k for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Consider the case of independent observations from the unit ball on the plane; that is, X_1 has a uniform distribution over K, where $K = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$. Henceforth, we write $n!! = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot n$ for n odd and $2 \cdot 4 \cdot \ldots \cdot n$ for n even. We also put 0!! = 1 and (-1)!! = 1.

THEOREM 3. Let $p_n(k)$ be the probability that in a sequence of n randomly chosen points from the unit ball on the plane there are exactly k convex records. Suppose that d = 2. Then

$$= \frac{3 \cdot 2^{-2k+1}}{(k+2)^2(k+3)\pi^k} \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} \binom{k}{2i} \frac{(k+2i+1)!!(k-2i)!!4^{-i}}{(k+1)k\dots(k/2-i+1)(k/2-i)!}$$
$$\times \sum_{s=0}^i \binom{i}{s} \binom{2(k+s-i+1)}{k+s-i+1} (-1)^s 4^{-s} \quad for \ k=0,2,4,\dots$$

and conclusions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 1 hold.

Proof. By Proposition 1, it is enough to derive the exact form of α_k and M_k . Since $M_k = (2\pi/k) \sin(k/2\pi)$ (cf. [10], Problem 57), we only have to evaluate the integral

$$\alpha_k = (\pi r^2)^{-(k+3)} \int_{K(r)^2} |C(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^k \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3,$$

where $K(r) = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \le r^2\}$, $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2})$ for i = 1, 2, 3, $C(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \text{conv}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $|\cdot|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure. Direct calculations show that

(10)
$$\alpha_k = (\pi r^2)^{-(k+3)} \int_{[0,2\pi]^3} \int_{[0,r]^3} (0.5|uw \cdot \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_3) + wz \cdot \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + uz \cdot \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_1)|)^k uwz \, du \, dw \, dz \, d\theta_1 \, d\theta_2 \, d\theta_3.$$

Unfortunately, for general k, it seems difficult to obtain α_k explicitly from (10) so the technique similar to that of Crafton (see [7], Chapter 2) is proposed.

Letting $a_k(r)$ denote $(\pi r^2)^{k+3}\alpha_k(r)$, and $K(r, r+\delta)$ denote $K(r+\delta) \setminus K(r)$, we calculate the derivative $a'_k(r)$. First note that

$$a_k(r+\delta) - a_k(r) = 3 \int_{K(r,r+\delta)} dx_1 \int_{K(r)^2} |C(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^k dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 + o(\delta).$$

This follows from the definition of $a_k(r)$ and the estimates

$$\int_{K(r,r+\delta)^2} dx_1 dx_2 \int_{K(r)} |\mathcal{C}(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^k dx_3 \le (\pi r^2)^k [\pi (r+\delta)^2 - \pi r^2]^2 = o(\delta)$$

and

$$\int_{K(r,r+\delta)^3} |C(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^k \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3 \le o(\delta).$$

Now, after the transformation $x_{11} = u \cos \phi$ and $x_{12} = u \sin \phi$, we can obtain

$$a_k(r+\delta) - a_k(r) = 3 \int_{r}^{r+\delta} u \, du \int_{0}^{\pi} d\phi \int_{K(r)^2} |C((u\cos\phi, u\sin\phi), x_2, x_3)|^k \, dx_2 \, dx_3 + o(\delta).$$

Thus, by dominated convergence,

(11)
$$a'_k(r) = 6\pi r \int_{K(r)^2} |C(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^k \, dx_2 \, dx_3,$$

 x_1 being any point of the boundary of K(r). Further, applying the transformation $x_{21} = a \cos \theta$, $x_{22} = a \sin \theta$, $x_{31} = b \cos \phi$ and $x_{32} = b \sin \phi$, we

 get

(12)
$$\int_{K(r)^{2}} |C(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})|^{k} dx_{2} dx_{3}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2r \sin \theta} \int_{0}^{2r \cos \phi} (0.5 \cdot ab \sin |\theta - \phi|)^{k} ab da db d\theta d\phi = \frac{2^{k+4}}{(k+2)^{2}} r^{2k+4} \gamma_{k},$$

where

$$\gamma_k = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sin^{k+2}\theta \sin^{k+2}\phi \sin^k |\theta - \phi| \, d\theta \, d\phi.$$

Combining (11) and (12), we have

$$\alpha_k = \frac{3 \cdot 2^{k+4}}{(k+2)^2 (k+3) \pi^{k+2}} \gamma_k.$$

By Appendix 1, this yields the desired result. \blacksquare

Now we formulate some asymptotic results dealing with $p_n(k)$ as n tends to infinity.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then for $k = 4, 5, \ldots,$

(i)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_n(k) [M_k^{n-k}]^{-1} \le [-\ln M_k]^{3-k},$$

(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_n(k) \left[\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{n-k} n^4 \right]^{-1} \ge \frac{12}{\pi} \prod_{i=3}^{k-1} (1-M_i) (\ln \pi)^{3-k}.$

Proof. We apply Proposition 2. In what follows we write $f(x) \sim g(x)$ as $x \to \alpha$ iff $\lim_{x\to\alpha} (f(x)/g(x)) = 1$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$. Using the well-known asymptotic formula $\Gamma(x+1) \sim x^x 2\pi x e^{-x}$ as $x \to \infty$, we have

$$\binom{n-3}{k-3} \sim (n-3)^{k-3} [(k-3)!]^{-1}$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Hence

(13)
$$p_n^*(k) \le p_n(k) \le p_n^{**}(k)$$

where

$$p_n^*(k) \sim (n-3)^{k-3} \prod_{i=3}^{k-1} (1-M_i) \alpha_{n-k} [(k-3)!]^{-1}$$

and

$$p_n^{**}(k) \sim (n-3)^{k-3} M_k^{n-k} [(k-3)!]^{-1} M_k^{n-k} [-\ln M_k]^{3-k}$$

which completes the proof of (i). Here we use the fact that $x^n \exp(-bx) \sim n! b^{-n} \exp(-bx)$ as $x \to \infty$ provided b > 0 and n = 0, 1, 2, ...

To prove (ii), we note that

$$\alpha_k \ge \frac{3 \cdot 2^{k+4}}{(k+2)^2 (k+3) \pi^{k+3}} 2^{-k-3} B((k+3)/2, 0.5)$$

where $B(x,y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y)$ (for the proof see Appendix 2). Consequently,

$$p_n^*(k) \sim \frac{12}{\pi(\ln \pi)^{k-3}} \prod_{i=3}^{k-1} (1-M_i) \pi^{-(n-k)} n^{-4}$$

This establishes Theorem 4. \blacksquare

It is of interest how to improve the results of Theorems 3 and 4. This might be done by evaluating mixed moments of the random variables $|C(x_1, x_2, x_3)|$ and $|C(x_1, \ldots, x_4)|$, namely,

$$\int_{K^4} |C(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{n_1} |C(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)|^{n_2} dx_1 \dots dx_4,$$

where $n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, ...$ How to do this remains an open question.

Several corollaries are readily available from Theorem 3 or 4. One of them gives an estimate for the rate of vanishing of the probability that in a sequence of randomly chosen points in the unit ball on the plane the kth record occurs on the nth position.

COROLLARY 1. If X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent uniformly distributed vectors over the 2-dimensional unit ball, then $q_n(k)$, defined by (1), satisfies for $k = 4, 5, \ldots,$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(k) [M_{k-1}^n]^{-1} \le M_{k-1}^{1-k} [-\ln M_{k-1}]^{k-4},$$

where $M_k = (k/2\pi) \sin(2\pi/k)$.

 $\operatorname{Proof.}$ The proof is straightforward. It follows from Theorem 4 and the fact that

$$q_n(k) = \Pr(L(k) = n) = \Pr(N(n-1) < k) - \Pr(N(n) < k)$$
$$= \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} (p_{n-1}(i) - p_n(i)). \bullet$$

The cases of other convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^2 can be analyzed in a similar fashion but this is beyond the scope of the present work. Some extensions to higher dimensions are also possible. The main difficulty is to obtain the explicit form of M_k . Below we present some results for points randomly chosen in the *d*-dimensional unit ball. Even in this case the exact formula for M_k is still unknown in the literature. However, there are a few estimates available. The simplest one is given by Ekeles' inequality,

(14)
$$M_k \le k2^{-d},$$

where $k \ge 1$ and $d \ge 2$ (see [1]). Hence, (14) and Proposition 2 yield

COROLLARY 2. Let $p_n(k,d)$ be the probability that among n randomly chosen points from the d-dimensional unit ball there are exactly k records. Then $(l_1, l_2) = (l_1, l_2) = (l_2, l_3) = (l_3, l_3$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_n(k,d) \{ (k2^{-d})^{n-k} \}^{-1} \le [d \ln 2 - \ln k]^{1-d-k}$$

provided $k < 2^d$.

3. Numerical study. Herein the upper and lower estimates of $p_n(k)$ derived in Theorem 1 will be referred to as $p_n^*(k)$ and $p_n^{**}(k)$, respectively. In order to check how precise the estimates are, we performed some numerical computations. Table 1 presents the results. For fixed k > 3, the lower estimate is $p_n^{**}(k)$ while the upper one is

$$\min(p_n^{**}(k), 1 - p_n(3) - p_n^*(i)).$$

For instance, $p_5(3) = 0.9499$ and $0.1288 \le p_5(4) \le 0.654$.

TABLE 1

Exact values of $p_n(3)$, $n \ge 4$, and lower/upper estimates of $p_n(k)$, for k = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, in the case of convex records within the unit ball on the plane

$\begin{bmatrix} k \\ n \end{bmatrix}$	3	4	5	6	7
4	9.388E - 02	9.261E - 01			
5	$9.499 \mathrm{E}{-03}$	$6.540 \mathrm{E}{-01}$	8.617E - 01		
		1.288E - 01	3.365E - 01		
6	1.606E - 03	4.242E - 01	9.747E - 01	8.053E - 01	
		2.368E - 02	7.018E - 02	8.184E - 02	
7	$3.208 \text{E}{-04}$	$2.714E{-}01$	9.945E - 01	9.973E - 01	7.554E - 01
		5.139E - 03	1.721E - 01	2.276E - 02	1.416E - 02
8	7.181E - 05	1.730E - 01	9.987 E - 01	9.940E - 01	9.870 E - 01
		1.245E - 03	4.669E - 03	6.975 E - 03	4.921E - 03
9	1.746E - 05	1.102E - 01	9.997E - 01	9.983E - 01	$9.960 \mathrm{E}{-01}$
		3.261E - 04	1.357E - 03	2.271E - 03	1.810E - 03
10	4.520 E - 06	7.016E - 02	9.999E - 01	9.995 E - 01	9.987 E - 01
		9.057 E - 05	4.148E - 04	$7.701 \mathrm{E}{-04}$	$6.875 \text{E}{-04}$
20	$3.379E{-}11$	7.673 E - 04	2.313E - 01	1	1
		1.149E - 09	1.020E - 08	$3.884 \mathrm{E}{-08}$	7.331E - 08
30	9.158E - 16	8.390 E - 06	2.324E - 02	1	1
		$4.405 \mathrm{E}{-14}$	5.834E - 13	3.333E - 12	9.816E - 12
40	$4.105 \text{E}{-20}$	9.174 E - 08	1.986E - 03	8.599E - 01	1
		2.555E - 18	4.503E - 17	3.453E - 16	1.376E - 15
50	$2.412E{-}24$	1.003 E - 09	1.566E - 04	2.116E - 01	1
		1.842E - 22	4.058E - 21	3.904E - 20	1.964E - 19
100	7.023E - 30	1.568E - 19	$2.915 \text{E}{-10}$	7.008E - 05	$3.071 \mathrm{E}{-01}$
		$1.497 E{-}25$	2.622E-24	2.930E - 23	$1.200E{-}22$

Appendix 1. In what follows we calculate

(A1)
$$\gamma_k = \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{k+2} \theta \sin^{k+2} \phi \sin^k |\theta - \phi| \, d\phi \, d\theta = 2 \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^i a_{ik},$$

with

$$a_{ik} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{k+i+2} \theta \cos^{k-i} \theta \int_{\theta}^{\pi} \sin^{2k+2-i} \phi \cos^{i} \phi \, d\phi \, d\theta.$$

First suppose that k - i is odd. Applying the formula

$$\int_{0}^{\theta} \sin^{a} u \cos^{2b+1} u \, du = \sin^{a+1} \theta \sum_{j=0}^{b} {b \choose j} (-1)^{j} \frac{\sin^{2j} \theta}{a+2j+1},$$

for $a \ge 0$ and $b = 1, 2, \ldots$, we have

(A2)
$$a_{ik} = \sum_{j=0}^{(k-i-1)/2} {\binom{(k-i-1)/2}{j}} \frac{(-1)^j}{k+i+2j+3} \\ \times \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{3k+2j+5} \theta \cos^i \theta \, d\theta \\ = \frac{1+(-1)^i}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{(k-i-1)/2} {\binom{(k-i-1)/2}{j}} \frac{(-1)^j}{k+i+2j+3} \\ \times B\left(\frac{3k+2j+6}{2}, \frac{i+1}{2}\right).$$

Here we use the formula

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{p} x \cos^{q} x \, dx = \frac{1 + (-1)^{q}}{2} B\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\right), \quad p, q > 0$$

(see [4], 3.427.1).

Now consider the case of k - i even and k odd. Then

(A3)
$$a_{ik} = -\sum_{j=0}^{(i-1)/2} {\binom{(i-1)/2}{j}} \frac{(-1)^j}{2k+3+2j-i} \\ \times \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{3k+2j+5} \theta \cos^{k-i} \theta \, d\theta \\ = -\sum_{j=0}^{(i-1)/2} {\binom{(i-1)/2}{j}} \frac{(-1)^j}{2k+3+2j-i}$$

$$\times B\left(\frac{3k+2j+6}{2}, \frac{k-i+1}{2}\right).$$

To complete the proof we suppose both k and i are even. Since we have

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos^{m} x \sin^{2n} x \, dx$$

$$= -\frac{\cos^{m+1} \theta}{2n+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2n+1)(2n-1)\dots(2n-2k+1)}{(2n+m)(2n+m-2)\dots(2n+m-2)} \sin^{2n-2k-1} \theta \right)$$

$$+\frac{(2n-1)!}{(2n+m)(2n+m-2)\dots(m+2)} \int_{0}^{\theta} \cos^{m} x \, dx$$

(see [4], 2.414), integration by parts gives

(A4)
$$a_{ik} = \frac{(k+i+1)!!}{(2k+2)2k\dots(k-i+2)} \\ \times \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{2k+2-i}\theta\cos^{i}\theta \int_{0}^{\theta} \cos^{k-i}x \, dx \, d\theta \\ = \frac{(k+i+1)!!}{(2k+2)2k\dots(k-i+2)} \\ \times \sum_{s=0}^{i/2} {i/2 \choose s} (-1)^{s} \frac{(k-i-1)!!\pi^{2}}{[(k-i)/2]! \cdot (k+1+s-i/2)!^{2}} \\ \times (2k-i+2s+2)!!2^{2k+3-i+2s+(k-i)/2}.$$

Here we use (2.415.1), (3.518.1), and (6.339.2) of [4]. Now from (A1)–(A4) and a little algebra one can obtain

$$\gamma_{k} = 4\pi \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)/2} \binom{k}{2i} \sum_{s=0}^{(k-1)/2-i} \binom{(k-1)/2-i}{s} (-1)^{s} \frac{(3k-1+2s+5)!!}{(k+2s+2i+3)}$$

$$\times \frac{(2i-1)!!}{[(3k-1)/2+s+i+3]!} \binom{1}{2}^{(3k-1)/2+s+i+3} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 3, 5, \dots,$$

$$= 2^{-3(k+1)} \pi^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} \binom{k}{2i} \frac{(k+2i+1)!!(k-2i-1)!!}{(k+1)k\dots(k/2-i+1)(k/2-i)!} \cdot 4^{i}$$

$$\times \sum_{s=0}^{i} \binom{i}{s} \binom{2(k+s-i+1)}{k+s-i+1} (-1)^{s} 4^{-s} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 2, 4, \dots$$

This completes the proof.

10

Appendix 2. Observe that

$$\gamma_k \ge 2\int_0^{\pi} \sin^{k+2}\theta \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \sin^{k+2}\phi \sin^k(\phi-\theta) \, d\phi \, d\theta$$
$$\ge \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \sin^{k+2}\phi \, d\phi \int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} \sin^{k+2}\phi \cos^k(\theta) \, d\theta$$
$$\ge 2\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{k+2}\phi \, d\phi 2^{-k-2} \int_0^{\pi/4} \sin^{k+1} 2\theta \, d\theta = 2^{-k-3}B[(k+3)/2, 1/2]^2.$$

Hence, by the asymptotic formula for $\Gamma(x)$, we have $\alpha_{n-k} \ge \alpha_{n-k}^*$, where

 $\alpha_{n-k}^* \sim 12\pi n^{-4} \pi^{k-n-2} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$

as desired.

References

- I. Bárany and Z. Füredi, On the shape of the convex hull of random points, Probab. Theory Related Fields 80 (1988), 72-87.
- [2] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. I, Wiley, New York, 1961.
- [3] C. M. Goldie and S. Resnick, Records in a partially ordered set, Ann. Probab. 17 (1989), 678–699.
- [4] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- P. Groeneboom, *Limit theorems for convex hulls*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 79 (1988), 327–368.
- [6] M. G. Kendall, and P. A. P. Moran, *Geometrical Probability*, Griffin's Statist. Monographs Courses 5, Griffin, London, 1963.
- [7] J. F. C. Kingman, Random secants of a convex body, J. Appl. Probab. 6 (1969), 660-672.
- [8] V. B. Nevzorov, *Records*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 32 (2) (1987), 219–252 (in Russian).
- [9] S. Resnick, Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [10] I. M. Yaglom and V. G. Boltyanskii, Convex Bodies, Nauka, Moscow, 1951 (in Russian).

MAREK KAłUSZKA INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ AL. POLITECHNIKI 11 90-924 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

> Received on 25.1.1993; revised version on 20.4.1994