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1. Introduction. In 1920's, Hardy and Littlewood introduced an analytic method for solving Waring's problem: That is, they showed that every sufficiently large natural number can be expressed as a sum of at most $s$ $k$ th powers, where $s$ depends only on $k$. Let $R_{s}(n)$ denote the number of representations of $n$ as the sum of $s k$ th powers. The idea of the HardyLittlewood method is to show that there is an asympotic formula for $R_{s}(n)$ when $n$ is sufficiently large, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{s}(n)=\left(\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)+o(1)\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} n^{s / k-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)$ is called the singular series and defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)=\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\(a, q)=1}}^{q}(S(q, a) / q)^{s} e(-a n / q), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
S(q, a)=\sum_{m=1}^{q} e\left(a m^{k} / q\right)
$$

Let $\widetilde{G}(k)$ denote the least integer $t$ such that (1) holds for all $s \geq t$. Hardy and Littlewood [3] also obtained $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq(k-2) 2^{k-1}+5$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hua [5] obtained $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq 2^{k}+1$ for small $k$, and Vaughan [10, 11] improved this to $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq 2^{k}$ for $k \geq 3$. In 1988, Heath-Brown [4] showed that $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq$ $7 \cdot 2^{k-3}+1$ for $k \geq 6$ and Boklan [1] recently obtained $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq 7 \cdot 2^{k-3}$. For large $k$ Vinogradov [12] proved that $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq 183 k^{9}(\log k+1)^{2}$ and then Hua [6] showed that $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq(4+o(1)) k^{2} \log k$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Recently, Wooley [13] obtained $\widetilde{G}(k) \leq(2+o(1)) k^{2} \log k$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by using an improved form of

[^0]Vinogradov's Mean Value Theorem. It seems likely that $\widetilde{G}(k)=O(k)$, and Vaughan has conjectured that (1) holds whenever $s \geq \max \left(k+1, \Gamma_{0}(k)\right)$ where $\Gamma_{0}(k)$ is the least $s$ such that for every $n$ and $q$ the congruence $x_{1}^{k}+$ $\ldots+x_{s}^{k} \equiv n(\bmod q)$ has a solution with $\left(x_{1}, q\right)=1$.

In this paper, we wish to show that the usual approximation to $R_{s}(n)$ cannot always be very precise. We will obtain some analogues of the theorems in [7].

First of all, we restrict ourselves to $k>2$.
Theorem 1. Suppose that $1 / 2 \leq r<1$ and $k+1 \leq s<2 k$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n} \gg R^{s / k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=(1-r)^{-1}$.
Corollary 1. Suppose that $k+1 \leq s<2 k$. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2}=\Omega\left(x^{s / k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that $s \geq k+2$ is fixed and $1 / 2 \leq r<1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right) r^{n}  \tag{5}\\
&=-\frac{s}{2} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s-1} R^{(s-1) / k}+O\left(R^{(s-2) / k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $R=(1-r)^{-1}$.
Corollary 2. Suppose that $s \geq k+2$ is fixed and $1 / 2 \leq r<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n} \\
& \geq \frac{s^{2}}{4} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2 s-2} R^{(2 s-2) / k-1}+O\left(R^{(2 s-3) / k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3. Suppose that $s$ is fixed and $s \geq k+2$. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \leq x}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2}=\Omega\left(x^{(2 s-2) / k-1}\right)
$$

Remark. Note that when $k=2$, Theorem 2 and Corollaries 2 and 3 hold for $s \geq 5$. The proofs of these results are exactly the same as in the case $k>2$, except that the condition $s \geq k+2$ is replaced by $s \geq 5$.

The following corollary shows that the approximation of $R_{s}(n)$ by the asymptotic formula cannot be very precise.

Corollary 4. For $k \geq 3$,

$$
R_{k+1}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k} \mathfrak{S}_{k+1}(n) n^{1 / k}=\Omega\left(n^{1 /(2 k)}\right),
$$

and for $s \geq k+2$ and $k \geq 3$,

$$
R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}=\Omega_{-}\left(n^{(s-1) / k-1}\right)
$$

When $k=2$, the analogue of Theorem 2 cannot apply for $s=4$. However, we can use some elementary arguments to obtain a similar result.

Theorem 3. For $k=2$,

$$
R_{4}(n)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{16} \mathfrak{S}_{4}(n) n=\Omega_{-}\left(n^{1 / 2}\right),
$$

and for $k=2$ and $s \geq 5$,

$$
R_{s}(n)-\frac{\pi^{s / 2}}{2^{s}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / 2-1}=\Omega_{-}\left(n^{s / 2-3 / 2}\right)
$$

Note that $r_{4}(n)=\operatorname{card}\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}: x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{4}^{2}=n\right\}$ satisfies

$$
r_{4}(n)=\pi^{2} \mathfrak{S}_{4}(n) n .
$$

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 1. Suppose that $1 / 2 \leq r<1$ and $R=(1-r)^{-1}$. Then, as $r \rightarrow 1-$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r) \sim L(r) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(r)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(r)=\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)(1-r)^{-1 / k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)-L(r)=-1 / 2+O\left((1-r)^{1 / k}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 2$.
Proof. Suppose that $\Phi$ has a continuous second derivative on $[0, \infty)$. Then, by the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \Phi(n)= & \int_{1}^{x} \Phi(y) d y+\frac{1}{2} \Phi(1)-B_{1}(x) \Phi(x)  \tag{9}\\
& +\int_{1}^{x} B_{1}(y) \Phi^{\prime}(y) d y
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{1}^{x} \Phi(y) d y+\frac{1}{2} \Phi(1)-B_{1}(x) \Phi(x)+\left[B_{2}(y) \Phi^{\prime}(y)\right]_{1}^{x} \\
& -\int_{1}^{x} B_{2}(y) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{j}(x)=b_{j}(\{x\}), b_{1}(y)=y-\frac{1}{2}, b_{2}(y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{12}$. Put $\Phi(y)=r^{y^{k}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\prime}(y)=-k y^{k-1} r^{y^{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \Phi^{\prime \prime}(y)=-k(k-1) y^{k-2} r^{y^{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)+\left(k y^{k-1}\right)^{2} r^{y^{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\Phi(1)=r$.
Let $y_{0}=\left(\frac{k-1}{k \log (1 / r)}\right)^{1 / k}$. Then, by (11), $\Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) \leq 0$ for $y \leq y_{0}$, and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) \geq 0$ for $y \geq y_{0}$. Hence, assuming $r \geq 1 / \sqrt{e}$,
(12) $\left|\int_{1}^{\infty} B_{2}(y) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) d y\right| \leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{1}^{y_{0}}-\Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) d y+\frac{1}{12} \int_{y_{0}}^{\infty} \Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) d y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{12} \Phi^{\prime}(1)-\frac{1}{6} \Phi^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{-k r}{12} \log \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{6} k y_{0}^{k-1} r^{y_{0}^{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right) \quad(\text { by }(10)) \\
& =\frac{-k r}{12} \log \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{6} y_{0}^{-1} \frac{k-1}{\log (1 / r)} r^{y_{0}^{k}}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right) \\
& =\frac{-k r}{12} \log \frac{1}{r}+\frac{k-1}{6} r^{y_{0}^{k}}\left(\frac{k \log (1 / r)}{k-1}\right)^{1 / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\Phi(y)=r^{y^{k}}$ in (9). By (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}}=\int_{1}^{\infty} r^{y^{k}} d y+\frac{r}{2}+O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{1 / k}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing variable $u=y^{k} \log (1 / r)$, this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\log (1 / r)}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k} \frac{1}{k} u^{1 / k-1} e^{-u} d u+\frac{r}{2}+O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{1 / k}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will extend the range of the integral, so we need to estimate the value of the integral from 0 to $\log (1 / r)$, and note that then $e^{-y}=1+O(y)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\log (1 / r)}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k} & \frac{1}{k} y^{1 / k-1} e^{-y} d y \\
& =\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k} \int_{0}^{\log (1 / r)} \frac{1}{k} y^{1 / k-1} e^{-y} d y \\
& =\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k} \int_{0}^{\log (1 / r)} \frac{1}{k} y^{1 / k-1}(1+O(y)) d y \\
& =\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{1 / k}+O\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right) \\
& =1+O\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combine this with (14). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}}  \tag{15}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k} \frac{1}{k} y^{1 / k-1} e^{-y} d y-1+r / 2+O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{1 / k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\log \frac{1}{r}=\log \frac{1}{1-(1-r)}
$$

By Taylor's expansion, this is $(1-r)+O\left((1-r)^{2}\right)$. Hence

$$
\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1 / k}=(1-r)^{-1 / k}(1+O(1-r))=(1-r)^{-1 / k}+O\left((1-r)^{1 / k}\right)
$$

provided that $k \geq 2$. Combine this with (15) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}}=(1-r)^{-1 / k} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}+O\left((1-r)^{1 / k}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1-$.
Lemma 2. Suppose that $s \geq k+1$. Then

$$
\sum_{q \leq Q} q^{1 / k}\left|S_{n}(q)\right| \ll(n Q)^{\varepsilon},
$$

where

$$
S_{n}(q)=\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\(a, q)=1}}^{q}(S(q, a) / q)^{s} e(-a n / q)
$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.8 of [9].
Lemma 3. Suppose $y \geq 1, \varepsilon>0$ and $s \geq k+1$. Let

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y)=\sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\(a, q)=1}}^{q}(S(q, a) / q)^{s} e(-a n / q)
$$

and

$$
E_{s}(n, y)=\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)-\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y)
$$

Then $E_{s}(n, y) \ll n^{\varepsilon} y^{\varepsilon-1 / k}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\sum_{R<q \leq 2 R} q^{1 / k}\left|S_{n}(q)\right| \ll n^{\varepsilon} R^{\varepsilon}
$$

Also

$$
\sum_{R<q \leq 2 R}\left|S_{n}(q)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)^{1 / k} \sum_{R<q \leq 2 R} q^{1 / k}\left|S_{n}(q)\right| \ll n^{\varepsilon} R^{\varepsilon-1 / k}
$$

Sum over $R=y, 2 y, 4 y, 8 y, \ldots$ to get

$$
\sum_{q>y}\left|S_{n}(q)\right| \ll n^{\varepsilon} y^{\varepsilon-1 / k}
$$

Lemma 4. Suppose that $1 / 2 \leq r<1, R=(1-r)^{-1}$ and $\alpha>-1$. Then

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\alpha}(\log n)^{\beta} r^{n} \ll R^{\alpha+1}(\log R)^{\beta}
$$

The implicit constant may depend on $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
Proof. See Lemma 2 of [7].
Lemma 5. Let $\alpha>0$. Then for every $t$, we have

$$
(-1)^{n}\binom{-\alpha}{n}=\frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\{1+\sum_{j=1}^{t} b_{j}(\alpha) n^{-j}\right\}+O\left(n^{\alpha-t-2}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the coefficients $b_{k}(\alpha)$ are real numbers which depend at most on $k$ and $\alpha$.

Proof. See Lemma 4.1 of [8].
Lemma 6. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)$ be given by (2) and $s \geq k+2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)=x+O(1) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The term with $q=1$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)$ contributes $[x]$ when summed. Thus, we need to show that the terms with $q \geq 2$ contribute $O(1)$ when summed. By Lemma 4.4 of [9], if $p \nmid a$ and $l>\gamma$, then

$$
S\left(p^{l}, a\right)= \begin{cases}p^{k-1} S\left(p^{l-k}, a\right) & \text { when } l>k  \tag{18}\\ p^{l-1} & \text { when } l \leq k\end{cases}
$$

where $\gamma$ is defined by

$$
\gamma= \begin{cases}\tau+2 & \text { when } p=2 \text { and } \tau=0 \\ \tau+1 & \text { when } p>2 \text { or } p=2 \text { and } \tau>0\end{cases}
$$

and $\tau$ is the largest $t$ such that $p^{t}$ divides $k$. Note that $\gamma \leq k$ unless $k=p=2$ in which case $\gamma=3$. Suppose that $2 \leq l \leq \gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S\left(p^{l}, a\right)\right| \leq p^{l} \leq k p^{l-1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $l \leq k$ and $p \mid k$. For $l=1$, by (3.54) of Hardy and Littlewood [3], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(p, a)| \leq(k-1) p^{1 / 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q=\prod_{p} p^{\alpha_{p}}$. Rewrite $q$ as $q_{1} q_{2}^{2} q_{3}^{3} \ldots q_{k}^{k}$, where $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k-1}$ are squarefree and pairwise coprime. By Lemma 2.10 of [9],

$$
S(q, a)=\prod_{p^{\alpha_{p}} \|_{q}} S\left(p^{\alpha_{p}}, a_{p^{\alpha_{p}}}\right)
$$

where $a_{p^{\alpha_{p}}} \equiv a(\bmod p)$. By (18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q, a)=\prod_{u=1}^{k-1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_{u} \\ p>2}} S\left(p^{u}, a_{p^{\alpha_{p}}}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_{k} \\ p>2}} p^{v_{p}(k-1)} S\left(2^{\alpha_{2}}, a_{2^{\alpha_{2}}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
&|S(q, a)| \leq \prod_{u=2}^{k-1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_{u}, p>2 \\
(p, k)=1}} p^{u-1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_{u}, p>2 \\
(p, k)>1}} k p^{u-1}  \tag{22}\\
& \times \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_{1} \\
p>2}} k p^{1 / 2} \prod_{\substack{p \mid q_{k} \\
p>2}} p^{v_{p}(k-1)}\left(4 \cdot 2^{\alpha_{2} / 2}\right) \\
& \ll\left(\prod_{u=2}^{k-1} q_{u}^{u-1}\right)\left(\prod_{p \leq k} k\right) q_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\prod_{p \mid q} k\right)\left(q_{k}^{k-1}\right) \\
& \ll q^{\varepsilon} q_{1}^{1 / 2} q_{2}^{1} q_{3}^{2} \ldots q_{k}^{k-1}
\end{align*}
$$

If $q>1$ and $(a, q)=1$, then

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} e(-a n / q) \ll|\sin (\pi a / q)|^{-1} \ll\|a / q\|,
$$

where $\|y\|$ is the distance of $y$ from the nearest integer. So the terms with $q \geq 2$ in (17) contribute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{q-1}\left(q^{\varepsilon} q_{1}^{1 / 2} q_{2}^{1} q_{3}^{2} \ldots q_{k}^{k-1}\right)^{s} q^{-s}\|a / q\|^{-1} \\
& \ll \sum_{q=2}^{\infty}\left(q_{1}^{1 / 2} q_{2}^{1} q_{3}^{2} \ldots q_{k}^{k-1}\right)^{s} q^{1-s} q^{\eta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta=\varepsilon(s+1)$. The last sum is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{q_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q_{2}=1}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{q_{k}=1}^{\infty} q_{1}^{1+\eta-s / 2} q_{2}^{2+2 \eta+s-2 s} q_{3}^{3+3 \eta+2 s-3 s} \ldots q_{k}^{k+k \eta+(k-1) s-k s} \\
& =\sum_{q_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q_{2}=1}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{q_{k}=1}^{\infty} q_{1}^{1+\eta-s / 2} q_{2}^{2+2 \eta-s} q_{3}^{3+3 \eta-s} \ldots q_{k}^{k+k \eta-s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $s \geq k+2$, it is convergent. Hence, the lemma follows.
Lemma 7. Let $1 / 2 \leq r<1$ and $L(r)$ be as in Lemma 1 and suppose that $s \geq \max (5, k+2)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} n^{s / k-1} r^{n}=L^{s}(r)+O\left(R^{s / k-1}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$
L^{s}(r)=\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s}(1-r)^{-s / k}
$$

By the binomial expansion, we have

$$
L^{s}(r)=\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}\binom{-s / k}{n} r^{n}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 5, we have

$$
L^{s}(r)=\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1}\left(n^{s / k-1}\right) r^{n}+O\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s / k-2} r^{n}\right)
$$

By Lemma 4, this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s / k-1} r^{n}+O\left(R^{s / k-1}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference between the main terms in (23) is

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n)-1\right) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} n^{s / k-1} r^{n}
$$

which by partial summation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m \leq n} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(m)-n\right) \frac{\Gamma(1+1 / k)^{s}}{\Gamma(s / k)}\left(n^{s / k-1} r^{n}-(n+1)^{s / k-1} r^{n+1}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 6, we see that the first factor $\ll 1$. By the binomial expansion, the last factor is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n^{s / k-1}-(n+1)^{s / k-1}\right) r^{n}+(1-r)(n+1)^{s / k-1} r^{n} \\
& \quad=-\left(\frac{s}{k}-1\right) n^{s / k-2} r^{n}+(1-r)(n+1)^{s / k-1} r^{n}+O\left(n^{s / k-3} r^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 4 , (25) becomes $\ll R^{s / k-1}$. Combining this with (24) gives the lemma.

## 3. Proof of theorems

Proof of Theorem 2. We have to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)\right. & \left.-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right) r^{n} \\
& =-\frac{s}{2} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s-1}(1-r)^{-(s-1) / k}+O\left((1-r)^{-(s-2) / k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 7 we see that this is simply a matter of establishing that

$$
f^{s}(r)-L^{s}(r)=-\frac{s}{2} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s-1} R^{(s-1) / k}+O\left(R^{(s-2) / k}\right)
$$

where $R=(1-r)^{-1}$. By Lemma 1 , it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{s}(r)-L^{s}(r) & =\left(s+O\left(r^{-1 / k}\right)\right)(f(r)-L(r)) L^{s-1}(r) \\
& =-\frac{s}{2} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s-1} R^{(s-1) / k}+O\left(R^{(s-2) / k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Proof of Theorem 1. Choose $y=R^{k}$. First of all, we show that it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{2 n} \gg R^{s / k} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y)$ is as in Lemma 3.

By definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y)$, the left hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ll & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{2 n} \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(E_{s}(n, y)\right)^{2} n^{2(s / k-1)} r^{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3, the second sum is

$$
\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2 \varepsilon} y^{2 \varepsilon-2 / k} n^{2(s / k-1)} r^{2 n} .
$$

By Lemma 4, this is $\ll y^{2 \varepsilon-2 / k} R^{2 s / k-1+2 \varepsilon}$. Since $y=R^{k}$, this is $\ll R^{2 s / k-3+\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. For $k+1 \leq s<2 k$, this is $o\left(R^{s / k}\right)$.

Now, we prove (26). By Parseval's identity, we may write the left hand side of (26) as

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y) n^{s / k-1}\right) r^{n} e(n \alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha .
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this is at least $T^{2}$, where

$$
T=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{s}(n) r^{n} e(n \alpha)-\frac{\Gamma(1+1 / k)^{s}}{\Gamma(s / k)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y) n^{s / k-1} r^{n} e(n \alpha)\right| d \alpha .
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \geq \int_{1}-\int_{2}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(28) $\quad \int_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{s}(n) r^{n} e(n \alpha)\right| d \alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y) n^{s / k-1} r^{n} e(n \alpha)\right| d \alpha \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Parseval's identity, we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{2 n^{k}}=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}} e\left(n^{k} \alpha\right)\right|^{2} d \alpha
$$

By Hölder's inequality, this is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}} e\left(n^{k} \alpha\right)\right|^{s} d \alpha\right)^{2 / s}\left(\int_{0}^{1} 1 d \alpha\right)^{1-2 / s} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}} e\left(n^{k} \alpha\right)\right|^{s} d \alpha\right)^{2 / s}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1 with $r$ replaced by $r^{2}$, we have

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n^{k}} e\left(n^{k} \alpha\right)\right|^{s} d \alpha\right)^{2 / s} \gg \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1 / k}}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1-$. Since $R=(1-r)^{-1}$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1} \gg R^{s /(2 k)} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we estimate the integral $\int_{2}$. By definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{s}(n, y)$ and (29), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{2}= & \int_{0}^{1} \left\lvert\, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1+1 / k)^{s}}{\Gamma(s / k)} \sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{(a, q)=1, a=1}^{q}\left(\frac{S(q, a)}{q}\right)^{s}\right.  \tag{31}\\
& \left.\times n^{s / k-1} r^{n} e\left(n\left(\alpha-\frac{a}{q}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, d \alpha \\
\leq & \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \sum_{q \leq y(a, q)=1, a=1}^{q}\left|\frac{S(q, a)}{q}\right|^{s} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{1}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{s / k-1}}{\Gamma(s / k)} r^{n} e\left(n\left(\alpha-\frac{a}{q}\right)\right)\right| d \alpha .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, our task is to estimate the integral in (31). Suppose that $|\beta| \leq 1 / 2$ and $|\beta|>1-r$. By Lemma 5 , we may write

$$
\frac{N^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} f_{j}(-1)^{N}\binom{-\gamma-2+j}{N}+O\left(N^{\gamma-t}\right)
$$

where the $f_{i}$ depend at most on $\gamma$ and $t$. This enables us to write
(32) $\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{s / k-1}}{\Gamma(s / k)} r^{n} e(n \beta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{t} f_{j}(-1)^{n}\binom{-s / k-1+j}{n} r^{n} e(n \beta)$

$$
+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(O\left(n^{s / k-1-t}\right)\right) r^{n} e(n \beta) .
$$

Put $t=2$. Since $s<2 k$, the last sum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s / k-3} \ll 1 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{s / k-1}}{\Gamma(s / k)} r^{n} e(n \beta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2} f_{j}(-1)^{n}\binom{-s / k-1-j}{n} r^{n} e(n \beta)+O(1) .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{s / k-1}}{\Gamma(s / k)} r^{n} e(n \beta)  \tag{34}\\
& \quad=f_{1}(1-r e(n \beta))^{-s / k}+f_{2}(1-r e(n \beta))^{-s / k+1}+O(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|1-r e(\beta)|^{2}=(1-r)^{2}+4 r(\sin \pi \beta)^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{1}{1-r e(\beta)}\right|^{s / k} & =\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-r)^{2}+4 r(\sin \pi \beta)^{2}}}\right)^{s / k}  \tag{35}\\
& \ll \min \left((1-r)^{-s / k},|\beta|^{-s / k}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Replace $\alpha-a / q$ by $\beta$ in the integral of right hand side of (31) and by periodicity replace the interval $[-a / q, 1-a / q]$ by $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. Then the integral becomes

$$
\int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s / k-1} r^{n} e(n \beta) d \beta .
$$

Hence, by (34) and (35), this is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \min \left((1-r)^{-s / k},|\beta|^{-s / k}\right) d \beta \\
& =\int_{|\beta| \leq 1-r}(1-r)^{-s / k} d \beta+\int_{1-r}^{1 / 2} \beta^{-s / k} d \beta+\int_{-1 / 2}^{-(1-r)}(-\beta)^{-s / k} d \beta \\
& \ll(1-r)^{1-s / k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (31), we have

$$
\int_{2} \ll \sum_{\substack{q \leq y}} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\(a, q)=1}}^{q}\left|\frac{S(a, q)}{q}\right|^{s}(1-r)^{1-s / k} .
$$

By Lemma 4.9 of [9] with $s \geq k+1$ and since $R=(1-r)^{-1}$, we have
$\int_{2} \ll y^{\varepsilon} R^{s / k-1}$. Since $y=R^{k}$ and $s<2 k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{2}=o\left(R^{s /(2 k)}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (27)-(29) and noting that $s<2 k$, we obtain $T \gg R^{s /(2 k)}$. Hence, the theorem follows.

Proof of Theorem 3. We divide the solutions counted by $r_{4}(n)$ according to how many of the $x_{i}$ are non-zero. Let

$$
\varrho_{j}(n)=\operatorname{card}\left\{x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} /\{0\}: x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{j}^{2}=n\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
r_{4}(n)=\varrho_{4}(n)+4 \varrho_{3}(n)+6 \varrho_{2}(n)+4 \varrho_{1}(n)+\varrho_{0}(n) .
$$

Now we have

$$
\varrho_{4}(n)=2^{-4} R_{4}(n) \quad \text { and } \quad r_{4}(n)=\pi^{2} \mathfrak{S}_{4}(n) n
$$

(see Hardy [2], Section 3.11) and $4 \varrho_{3}(n)+6 \varrho_{2}(n)+4 \varrho_{1}(n)+\varrho_{0}(n)$ is readily seen to be $\Omega_{+}\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$, which gives the first part of the theorem. The second part of the theorem follows at once from Theorem 2.

## 4. Proof of corollaries

Proof of Corollary 1. Multiply both sides of (3) by

$$
R=(1-r)^{-1}=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l}
$$

Then the left hand side of (3) becomes

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n+l}
$$

Obviously, this is

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \leq n}\left(R_{s}(m)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(m) m^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n}
$$

The right hand side of (3) becomes $R^{s / k+1}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \leq n}\left(R_{s}(m)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(m) m^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\gg R^{s / k+1} .
$$

If (4) were false, then we would have

$$
\sum_{m \leq n}\left(R_{s}(m)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(m) m^{s / k-1}\right)^{2}=o\left(n^{s / k}\right)
$$

Multiply both sides by $r^{n}$ and sum over $n$. Then

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \leq n}\left(R_{s}(m)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(m) m^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n}=o\left(R^{s / k+1}\right)
$$

This contradicts (37), and hence (4) is true.
Proof of Corollary 2. By Cauchy's inequality,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right)^{2} r^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n}\right) \\
\geq\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(R_{s}(n)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{s}(n) n^{s / k-1}\right) r^{n}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorem 2, the right hand side is

$$
\frac{s^{2}}{4} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2 s-2} R^{(2 s-2) / k}+O\left(R^{(2 s-3) / k}\right)
$$

and the second sum on the left hand side is $r R=R+O(1)$. Hence, the result follows.

Proof of Corollary 3. This is similar to the proof of Corollary 1.
Proof of Corollary 4. The first part of the corollary is immediate from Corollary 1 and the second part from Theorem 2.
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