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1. Introduction. Many diophantine problems can be reduced to (ordinary) unit equations and $S$-unit equations in two unknowns (for references, see e.g. [15], [24], [11], [16], [25]). Several effective bounds have been established for the heights of the solutions of such equations (see e.g. [24], [11], [25], [3] and the references given there). Except in [3], their proofs involved Baker's method and its $p$-adic analogue as well as certain quantitative results concerning independent units. The best known estimates for $S$-unit equations are due to Győry [13] and, for (ordinary) unit equations, to Schmidt [23], Sprindžuk [25] (with not completely explicit constants) and Győry [14] (with explicit constants). These led to a lot of applications.

The purpose of the present paper is to considerably improve (in completely explicit form) the above-mentioned estimates in terms of the cardinality of $S$ and of the parameters involved (degree, unit rank, regulator, class number) of the ground field. To obtain these improvements we use, among other things, some recent improvements of Waldschmidt [26] and Kunrui $\mathrm{Yu}[27]$ concerning linear forms in logarithms, some recent estimates of Brindza [5] and Hajdu [18] for fundamental systems of $S$-units, some upper and lower bounds for $S$-regulators (cf. Lemma 3 of this paper) and an idea of Schmidt [23]. Further, in our arguments we pay a particular attention to the dependence on the parameters in question. As a consequence of our result, we derive explicit bounds for the solutions of homogeneous linear equations of three terms in $S$-integers of bounded $S$-norm. These improve some earlier estimates of Győry [13], [14].

An application of our improvements is given in [17] to decomposable form equations (including Thue equations, norm form equations and discriminant form equations) in $S$-integers of a number field. Some other applications will be published in two further works.

[^0]2. Bounds for the solutions of $S$-unit equations. We shall use throughout this paper the following standard notation. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraic number field of degree $d$ with regulator $R_{\mathbb{K}}$, class number $h_{\mathbb{K}}$ and unit rank $r$. Denote by $O_{\mathbb{K}}$ the ring of integers of $\mathbb{K}$, and by $O_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}$ the unit group of $O_{\mathbb{K}}$. Let $S$ be a finite set of places on $\mathbb{K}$ containing the set of infinite places $S_{\infty}$. Denote by $s$ the cardinality of $S$, by $t$ the number of finite places in $S$, and by $P$ the largest of the rational primes lying below the finite places of $S$, with the convention that $P=1$ if $S=S_{\infty}$, i.e. if $t=0$. Further, denote by $O_{S}$ the ring of $S$-integers, and by $O_{S}^{*}$ the group of $S$-units in $\mathbb{K}$. Then $s-1=r+t$ is the rank of $O_{S}^{*}$. The case $s=1$ being trivial, we assume throughout the paper that $s \geq 2$. We denote by $R_{S}$ the $S$-regulator of $\mathbb{K}$ (for its definition, see Section 3). We note that for $S=S_{\infty}$ (i.e. $t=0$ ), we have $O_{S}=O_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $R_{S}=R_{\mathbb{K}}$.

For any algebraic number $\alpha$, we denote by $h(\alpha)$ the (absolute) height of $\alpha$ (cf. Section 3). There exists a $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}>0$, depending only on $\mathbb{K}$, such that $d \log h(\alpha) \geq \delta_{\mathbb{K}}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$ which is not a root of unity (cf. Section 3).

Throughout this paper, we use the notation $\log ^{*} a$ for $\max \{\log a, 1\}$.
Let $\alpha, \beta$ be non-zero elements of $\mathbb{K}$ with

$$
\max \{h(\alpha), h(\beta)\} \leq H \quad(H \geq e)
$$

Consider the $S$-unit equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha x+\beta y=1 \quad \text { in } x, y \in O_{S}^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $S=S_{\infty}$ (i.e. $t=0$ ) then (1) is an (ordinary) unit equation.
Theorem. All solutions $x, y$ of (1) satisfy
(2) $\max \{h(x), h(y)\}<\exp \left\{c_{1} P^{d} R_{S}\left(\log ^{*} R_{S}\right)\left(\log ^{*}\left(P R_{S}\right) / \log ^{*} P\right) \log H\right\}$,
where

$$
c_{1}=c_{1}(d, s, \mathbb{K})=3^{25}\left(9 d^{2} / \delta_{\mathbb{K}}\right)^{s+1} s^{5 s+10}
$$

Further, if in particular $S=S_{\infty}$ (i.e. $t=0$ ), then the bound in (2) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{c_{2} R_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\log ^{*} R_{\mathbb{K}}\right) \log H\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{2}=c_{2}(d, r, \mathbb{K})=3^{r+27}(r+1)^{5 r+17} d^{3} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-(r+1)}
$$

Remark 1. It is clear that the factor $\left(\log ^{*}\left(P R_{S}\right) / \log ^{*} P\right)$ in (2) does not exceed $2 \log ^{*} R_{S}$, and if $\log ^{*} R_{S} \leq \log ^{*} P$, then it is at most 2 . Further, by Lemma 3 (cf. Section 3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S} \leq R_{\mathbb{K}} h_{\mathbb{K}}\left(d \log ^{*} P\right)^{t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2 . As is known, $R_{\mathbb{K}} h_{\mathbb{K}}$ can be estimated from above in terms of $d$ and $D_{\mathbb{K}}$, the discriminant of $\mathbb{K}$. Denote by $q$ the number of complex places of $\mathbb{K}$, and put $\Delta=(2 / \pi)^{q}\left|D_{\mathbb{K}}\right|^{1 / 2}$. If $d \geq 2$, then we have e.g. (cf. [21])

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathbb{K}} h_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \Delta(\log \Delta)^{d-1-q}(d-1+\log \Delta)^{q} /(d-1)!. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our theorem provides a considerable improvement of earlier estimates of Kotov and Trelina [19], Győry [13], [14], Schmidt [23] and Sprindžuk [25] for $S$-unit equations.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$, the ideal generated by $\alpha$ can be uniquely written in the form $\mathfrak{a}_{1} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{2}$ where the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}_{2}$ ) is composed of prime ideals outside (resp. inside) $S$. Then the $S$-norm of $\alpha$, denoted by $N_{S}(\alpha)$, is defined as $N\left(\mathfrak{a}_{1}\right)$. In the particular case $S=S_{\infty}$, we have $N_{S_{\infty}}(\alpha)=\left|N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)\right|$. Further, $N_{S}(\alpha)$ is a positive integer for every $\alpha \in O_{S} \backslash\{0\}$.

In some applications, it is more convenient to consider the following equation instead of (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}+\alpha_{3} x_{3}=0  \tag{6}\\
& \quad \text { in } x_{i} \in O_{S} \backslash\{0\} \text { with } N_{S}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq N \text { for } i=1,2,3,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$ with $\max _{1 \leq i \leq 3} h\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq H(H \geq e)$.
Let $c_{3}=c_{3}(d, r, \mathbb{K})=r^{r+1} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-(r-1)} / 2$ and let $c_{1}=c_{1}(d, s, \mathbb{K}), c_{2}=$ $c_{2}(d, r, \mathbb{K})$ denote the numbers specified in the Theorem. Then we have

Corollary. For every solution $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of (6) there is an $\varepsilon \in O_{S}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{1 \leq i \leq 3} h\left(\varepsilon x_{i}\right)<\exp & \left\{3 c_{1} c_{3} P^{d} R_{S}\left(\log ^{*} R_{S}\right)\left(\log ^{*}\left(P R_{S}\right) / \log ^{*} P\right)\right.  \tag{7}\\
\times & \left.\left(R_{\mathbb{K}}+t h_{\mathbb{K}} \log ^{*} P+\log (H N)\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, if $S=S_{\infty}$, then the bound in (7) can be replaced by

$$
\exp \left\{3 c_{2} c_{3} R_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\log ^{*} R_{\mathbb{K}}\right)\left(R_{\mathbb{K}}+\log (H N)\right)\right\} .
$$

Our Corollary considerably improves the earlier bounds of Győry [13], [14] concerning equation (6).
3. Bounds for $S$-units and $S$-regulators. Keeping the notations of Section 2 , denote by $M_{\mathbb{K}}$ the set of places on $\mathbb{K}$. In every place $v$ we choose a valuation $|\cdot|_{v}$ in the following way: if $v$ is infinite and corresponds to an embedding $\sigma: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ then we put, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$
|\alpha|_{v}=|\sigma(\alpha)|^{d_{v}},
$$

where $d_{v}=1$ or 2 according as $\sigma(\mathbb{K})$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}$ or not; if $v$ is a finite place corresponding to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathbb{K}$ then we put $|0|_{v}=0$ and, for
$\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
|\alpha|_{v}=N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)} .
$$

The (absolute) height of an algebraic number $\alpha$ contained in $\mathbb{K}$ is defined by

$$
h(\alpha)=\left(\prod_{v \in M_{\mathrm{K}}} \max \left(1,|\alpha|_{v}\right)\right)^{1 / d} .
$$

This height is independent of the choice of $\mathbb{K}$. If the algebraic number $\alpha$ is of degree $n$ with minimal polynomial $a_{0}\left(X-\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X-\alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, then, by ([20], p. 54), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\alpha)=\left(\left|a_{0}\right| \prod_{i=1}^{n} \max \left(1,\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right)\right)^{1 / n} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a positive constant $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}$, depending only on $\mathbb{K}$, such that for every non-zero algebraic number $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ which is not a root of unity we have $\log h(\alpha) \geq \delta_{\mathbb{K}} / d$ (we recall that $d$ denotes the degree of $\mathbb{K}$ ). Further, if $\alpha$ is not an algebraic integer then (8) implies that $\log h(\alpha) \geq \log 2 / d$. Hence we have $\delta_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \log 2$.

It is easy to see that we can take

$$
\delta_{\mathbb{K}}=\frac{\log 2}{r+1} \quad \text { for } d=1,2,
$$

where $r$ denotes the unit rank of $\mathbb{K}$. Further, it follows from results of Blanksby and Montgomery [2] and of Dobrowolski [7], [8] that both

$$
\delta_{\mathbb{K}}=\frac{1}{53 d \log 6 d} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{\mathbb{K}}=\frac{1}{1201}\left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)^{3} \quad\left({ }^{1}\right)
$$

are appropriate choices for $d \geq 3$. For large $d$, the factor $1 / 1201$ can be replaced by a larger one (see e.g. [9]).

We recall that $s$ denotes the cardinality of $S$. For $v \in S$, denote by $|\cdot|_{v}$ the corresponding valuation normalized as above. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s-1}$ be a subset of $S$, and let $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{s-1}\right\}$ be a fundamental system of $S$-units in $\mathbb{K}$. Denote by $R_{S}$ the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix $\left(\log \left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|_{v_{j}}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, s-1}$. It is easy to verify that $R_{S}$ is a positive number which is independent of the choice of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s-1}$ and of the fundamental system of $S$-units $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{s-1}\right\}$. $R_{S}$ is called the $S$-regulator of $\mathbb{K}$. If in particular $S=S_{\infty}$, then we have $R_{S}=R_{\mathbb{K}}$.

There are several quantitative results in the literature for units and $S$ units of small height; for references, see e.g. [24], [5] and [18]. The following lemma is in fact due to Hajdu [18]. It is an extended version of an earlier

[^1]theorem of Brindza [5]. For convenience of the reader, we give here a proof for Lemma 1 with a slightly better value for $c_{4}$ than in [18].

Put

$$
c_{4}=c_{4}(d, s)=((s-1)!)^{2} /\left(2^{s-2} d^{s-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
c_{5}=c_{5}(d, s, \mathbb{K})=c_{4}\left(\frac{\delta_{\mathbb{K}}}{d}\right)^{2-s}, \quad c_{6}=c_{6}(d, s, \mathbb{K})=c_{4} d^{s-1} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}
$$

Lemma 1. There exists in $\mathbb{K}$ a fundamental system $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{s-1}\right\}$ of $S$-units with the following properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \leq c_{4} R_{S}  \tag{i}\\
\log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \leq c_{5} R_{S}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s-1 \tag{ii}
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) the absolute values of the entries of the inverse matrix of $\left(\log \left|\varepsilon_{i}\right| v_{j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, s-1}$ do not exceed $c_{6}$.
Proof. We shall combine some arguments from the proofs of [5] and [18]. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$ put

$$
\mathbf{v}(\alpha)=\left(\log |\alpha|_{v_{1}}, \ldots, \log |\alpha|_{v_{s-1}}\right) .
$$

The lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{s-1}$ spanned by the vectors $\mathbf{v}(\eta)$ with $\eta \in O_{S}^{*}$ has determinant $R_{S}$.

The function $F: \mathbb{R}^{s-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F(\mathbf{x})=\left|x_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|x_{s-1}\right|
$$

for $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{s-1}$ is a symmetric convex distance function (cf. [6], Ch. IV), i.e. it is non-negative, continuous, $F(\alpha \mathbf{x})=\alpha F(\mathbf{x})(\alpha \geq 0$ real) and $F(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}) \leq F(\mathbf{x})+F(\mathbf{y})$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{s-1}$. Denote by $V_{F}$ the volume of the bounded set $\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{s-1} \mid F(\mathrm{x})<1\right\}$. It is easy to check that $V_{F}=$ $2^{s-1} /(s-1)!$. By a theorem of Minkowski (cf. [6], Ch. VIII) the successive minima $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}$ of $\Lambda$ with respect to $F$ have the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{s-1} \leq 2^{s-1} R_{S} / V_{F}=(s-1)!R_{S} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, there are multiplicatively independent $S$-units $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{s-1}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)=\lambda_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s-1 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows (cf. [6], p. 135, Lemma 8) that there exists a fundamental system $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{s-1}\right\}$ of $S$-units such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)\right) \leq \max \{1, i / 2\} F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, s-1 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for every $\eta \in O_{S}^{*}$, we have $\prod_{v \in S}|\eta|_{v}=1$, hence

$$
\left.\log h(\eta)=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{v \in S} \max \left\{0, \log |\eta|_{v}\right\}=\left.\frac{1}{2 d} \sum_{v \in S}|\log | \eta\right|_{v} \right\rvert\,,
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 d} F(\mathbf{v}(\eta)) \leq \log h(\eta) \leq \frac{1}{d} F(\mathbf{v}(\eta)) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (12), (11), (10) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{d^{s-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)\right) \leq \frac{(s-1)!}{2^{s-2} d^{s-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \leq((s-1)!)^{2} R_{S} /\left(2^{s-2} d^{s-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which proves (i).
(ii) follows immediately from (i) and $\log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \geq \delta_{\mathbb{K}} / d$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$.

To prove (iii), let $E=\left(\left.\log \left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|\right|_{v_{j}}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, s-1}$ and $e_{i j}=\operatorname{det}\left(E_{i j}\right) / \operatorname{det}(E)$, where $E_{i j}$ denotes the matrix obtained from $E$ by omitting the $i$ th row and $j$ th column. It follows from (13) and Hadamard's inequality that

$$
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(E_{i j}\right)\right| \leq \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^{s-1} \sqrt{\sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^{s-1}\left(\log \left|\varepsilon_{p}\right| v_{q}\right)^{2}} \leq \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^{s-1} F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\varepsilon_{p}\right)\right) \leq c_{4} R_{S} / F\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Together with (12), $|\operatorname{det}(E)|=R_{S}$ and $\log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \geq \delta_{\mathbb{K}} / d$ this implies $\left|e_{i j}\right| \leq$ $c_{4} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} d^{s-1}$, which completes the proof.

The next lemma has various versions in the literature (for references, see e.g. [15], [24], [10], [18]). Our lemma is an explicit version of Lemma 10 of [10].

Let $c_{3}=c_{3}(d, r, \mathbb{K})$ denote the constant specified in the Corollary.
Lemma 2. For every $\alpha \in O_{S} \backslash\{0\}$ and every integer $n \geq 1$ there exists an $S$-unit $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\varepsilon^{n} \alpha\right) \leq N_{S}(\alpha)^{1 / d} \exp \left\{n\left(c_{3} R_{\mathbb{K}}+t h_{\mathbb{K}} \log ^{*} P\right)\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First consider the case when $S=S_{\infty}$. So let $\alpha \in O_{\mathbb{K}} \backslash\{0\}$ and put $M=\left|N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)\right|$. Let $S_{\infty}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r+1}\right\}$ and $L(\alpha)=\left.\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}|\log | \alpha\right|_{v_{i}} \mid$. Then there are multiplicatively independent units $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{r}$ in $O_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $L\left(\eta_{1}\right) \ldots L\left(\eta_{r}\right) \leq R_{\mathbb{K}}$ (cf. [14]). On the other hand, we have $L\left(\eta_{j}\right) \geq$ $(d / r) \log h\left(\eta_{j}\right) \geq \delta_{\mathbb{K}} / r$, whence $L\left(\eta_{j}\right) \leq r^{r-1} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-(r-1)} R_{\mathbb{K}}$ for each $j$.

Consider the system of linear equations

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{r} X_{j} \log \left|\eta_{j}\right|_{v_{i}}=-\log \left(M^{-d_{v_{i}} / d}|\alpha|_{v_{i}}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, r+1,
$$

in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$. It has a unique solution $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ in $\mathbb{R}$. For $1 \leq j \leq r$, there exist $b_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varrho_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\left|\varrho_{j}\right| \leq n / 2$ such that $x_{j}=n b_{j}+\varrho_{j}$. Putting $\eta_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots \eta_{r}^{b_{r}}=\varepsilon$, we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\log \left(M^{-d_{v_{i}} / d}\left|\alpha \varepsilon^{n}\right| v_{i}\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{r} \varrho_{j} \log \right| \eta_{j}\left|v_{v_{i}}\right|  \tag{15}\\
& \leq \frac{n r}{2} \max _{1 \leq j \leq r}|\log | \eta_{j}\left|v_{i}\right| \leq \frac{n r}{2} \cdot r \max _{1 \leq j \leq r} L\left(\eta_{j}\right) \\
& \leq n c_{3} R_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r+1,
\end{align*}
$$

which implies (14).
The general case of our lemma follows from the case $S=S_{\infty}$ as in the proof of Lemma 10 of [10].

Denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{t}$ the prime ideals in $\mathbb{K}$ corresponding to the finite places in $S$. We recall that $P$ denotes the largest of the rational primes lying below of these prime ideals.

The following lemma is an improvement of some estimates of Pethő [22] and Hajdu $[18]$ for $R_{S}$. It should, however, be remarked that Pethő's estimate was established in a more general situation, for some $S$-orders instead of $O_{S}$.

Lemma 3. If $t>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S} \leq R_{\mathbb{K}} h_{\mathbb{K}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \log N\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right) \leq R_{\mathbb{K}} h_{\mathbb{K}}\left(d \log ^{*} P\right)^{t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S} \geq R_{\mathbb{K}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \log N\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right) \geq c_{7}(\log 2)\left(\log ^{*} P\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{7}=0.2052$.
Proof. $O_{S}^{*} / O_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}$ is a free abelian group of rank $t$ which is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of principal ideals in $\mathbb{K}$ generated by the elements of $O_{S}^{*}$. This latter group is a subgroup of finite index, say $i_{S}$, of the multiplicative group generated by $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{t}$ and we have $i_{S} \leq h_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence, as is known (see e.g. [4], pp. 85 and 125), this subgroup has a basis of the form

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{a_{i i}} \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}^{a_{i, i+1}} \ldots \mathfrak{p}_{t}^{a_{i t}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, t
$$

with rational integers $a_{i j}$ such that $a_{i i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ and that $a_{11} \ldots a_{t t}=i_{S}$. It now follows that if $\left\{\varepsilon_{t+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{t+r}\right\}$ is a fundamental system of units in $O_{\mathbb{K}}$ then $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{t}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{t+r}\right\}$ is a fundamental system of $S$-units in $\mathbb{K}$. Consequently, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\log \left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|_{v_{j}}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, r+t}\right|=R_{\mathbb{K}} i_{S} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \log N\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives (16). Inequalities (17) follow from (18) and the estimate $R_{\mathbb{K}} \geq c_{7}$ of Friedman [12].

We remark that, in our Theorem and its Corollary, the improvements of the previous bounds in terms of $R_{\mathbb{K}}, h_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $P$ are mainly due to the use of fundamental systems of $S$-units, $S$-regulators as well as Lemmas 1 to 3 .
4. Estimates for linear forms in logarithms. In our proofs, we shall use the best known estimates, due to Waldschmidt [26] and Kunrui Yu [27] respectively, for linear forms in logarithms in the complex and in the $p$-adic case. We shall formulate them in a more convenient form for our purpose. These estimates enable us to considerably improve the previous bounds for the solutions of equation (1) in terms of $d, r$ and $s$.

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}(n \geq 2)$ be non-zero algebraic numbers and let $\mathbb{K}=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$. Put $[\mathbb{K}: \mathbb{Q}]=d$. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A_{i} \geq \max \left\{\log h\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \frac{\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right|}{3.3 d}, \frac{1}{d}\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\log$ denotes the principal value of the logarithm. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be rational integers and put $B=\max \left\{\left|b_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|b_{n}\right|, 3\right\}$. Further, set

$$
\Lambda=\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}-1
$$

In Proposition 1, it will be convenient to make the following technical assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \geq \log A_{n} \exp \{4(n+1)(7+3 \log (n+1))\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
7+3 \log (n+1) \geq \log d \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1 is a consequence of Corollary 10.1 of Waldschmidt [26].
Proposition 1 (M. Waldschmidt [26]). If $\Lambda \neq 0, b_{n}=1$ and (20), (21) hold, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Lambda| \geq \exp \left\{-c_{8}(n) d^{n+2} \log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{n} \log \left(\frac{2 n B}{\log A_{n}}\right)\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{8}(n)=1500 \cdot 38^{n+1}(n+1)^{3 n+9}$.
We remark that a recent explicit estimate of Baker and Wüstholz [1] for linear forms in logarithms would give here a smaller value for $c_{8}(n)$ in terms of $n$. However, the lower bound in (22) is better in terms of $A_{n}$, which is essential for our present applications.

Proof of Proposition 1. We denote by log the principal value of the logarithm. Setting $\alpha_{0}=-1$, there is a $b_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left|b_{0}\right| \leq$
$\left|b_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|b_{n-1}\right|+2 \leq n B$ and that

$$
\log \left(\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} \log \alpha_{j}+b_{0} \log \alpha_{0}:=\Omega
$$

where $b_{n}=1$. It suffices to deal with the case when $|\Lambda| \leq 1 / 3$. Since $|\log z| \leq$ $2|z-1|$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z-1| \leq 1 / 3$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Lambda| \geq|\Omega| / 2 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some calculations and under the conditions (20), (21), Corollary 10.1 of [26] implies the following inequality with the choice $E=e, f=1 /(3.3 d)$ and $g=2$ :

$$
|\Omega| \geq 2 \exp \left\{-c_{8}(n) d^{n+2} \log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{n} \log \left(\frac{2 n B}{\log A_{n}}\right)\right\}
$$

Together with (23) this implies (22).
In Proposition 2, let $v=v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a finite place on $\mathbb{K}$, corresponding to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbb{K}$. Let $p$ denote the rational prime lying below $\mathfrak{p}$, and denote by $|\cdot|_{v}$ the non-archimedean valuation normalized as in Section 3. Instead of (19), assume now that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A_{i} \geq \max \left\{\log h\left(\alpha_{i}\right),\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right| /(10 d), \log p\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition is a simple consequence of the main result of Kunrui Yu [27].

Proposition 2 (Kunrui Yu [27]). Let

$$
\Phi=c_{9}(n)(d / \sqrt{\log p})^{2(n+1)} p^{d} \log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{n} \log (10 n d \log A)
$$

where $c_{9}(n)=22000(9.5(n+1))^{2(n+1)}$ and $A=\max \left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}, e\right\}$. If $\Lambda \neq 0$ then

$$
|\Lambda|_{v} \geq \exp \{-d(\log p) \Phi \log (d B)\} .
$$

Further, if $b_{n}=1$ and $A_{n} \geq A_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, then $A$ can be replaced by $\max \left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}, e\right\}$ and for any $\delta$ with $0<\delta \leq 1$, we have

$$
|\Lambda|_{v} \geq \exp \left\{-d(\log p) \max \left\{\Phi \log \left(\delta^{-1} \Phi / \log A_{n}\right), \delta B\right\}\right\} .
$$

Proof. This is a reformulation of the result presented in the introduction of Kunrui Yu [27].

Remark 6. We remark that, in Propositions 1 and 2 , the condition $\mathbb{K}=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ can be removed. It is enough to assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraic number field of degree $d$ which contains $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$. This observation will be needed in Section 5.

## 5. Proofs of the Theorem and the Corollary

Proof of the Theorem. Let $x, y$ be an arbitrary but fixed solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha x+\beta y=1 \quad \text { in } x, y \in O_{S}^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $h(x) \geq h(y)$. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{s-1}\right\}$ be a fundamental system of $S$-units in $\mathbb{K}$ with the properties specified in Lemma 1 . Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\zeta \varepsilon_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots \varepsilon_{s-1}^{b_{s-1}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a root of unity $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{K}$ and with rational integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}$. Put $B=\max \left\{\left|b_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|b_{s-1}\right|, 3\right\}$ and $S=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\}$. Then (25) implies

$$
\log |y|_{v_{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} b_{i} \log \left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|_{v_{j}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, s-1
$$

whence, by (iii) of Lemma 1 and (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \leq\left. c_{6} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1}|\log | y\right|_{v_{j}} \mid \leq 2 d c_{6} \log h(y) \leq 2 d c_{6} \log h(x) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $c_{6}=c_{6}(d, s, \mathbb{K})$ specified in Lemma 1.
Let $v \in S$ for which $|x|_{v}$ is minimal. Setting $\alpha_{s}=\zeta \beta$ and $b_{s}=1$, we deduce from (1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha x|_{v}=\left|\varepsilon_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots \varepsilon_{s-1}^{b_{s-1}} \alpha_{s}^{b_{s}}-1\right|_{v} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall derive a lower bound for $|\alpha x|_{v}$.
First assume that $v$ is infinite. In order to apply Proposition 1, put

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A_{i} & =\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} \log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, s-1 \\
\log A_{s} & =\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} \log H \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that $7+3 \log (s+1) \geq \log d$. Further, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \geq \log A_{s} \exp \{4(s+1)(7+3 \log (s+1))\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, (1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \leq 2 H^{2} h(y) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, it follows from (25) and (ii) of Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(y) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)^{\left|b_{i}\right|} \leq \exp \left\{(s-1) c_{5} R_{S} B\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if (29) does not hold, we get at once a bound for $h(x)$ which is better than that in the Theorem.

We have $|\cdot|_{v}=|\sigma(\cdot)|^{d_{v}}$ for some $\sigma: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Applying $\sigma$ to equation (1) and then omitting $\sigma$ everywhere, we may assume that $|\cdot|_{v}=|\cdot|^{d_{v}}$. On applying now Proposition 1 to (27) and using (i) of Lemma 1, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha x|_{v} \geq \exp \left\{-c_{10} R_{S} \log H \log \left(\frac{c_{11} B}{\log H}\right)\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{10}=d_{v} c_{8}(s) c_{4} d^{s+2} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-s}$ and $c_{11}=2 s \delta_{\mathbb{K}}$.
Since $|x|_{v}$ is minimal, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=h(1 / x) \leq|x|_{v}^{-(s-1) / d} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows from (32), (26) and $|\alpha|_{v} \leq H^{d}$ that

$$
\frac{\log h(x)}{\log H} \leq \frac{2(s-1)}{d} c_{10} R_{S} \log \left(\frac{c_{12} \log h(x)}{\log H}\right),
$$

where $c_{12}=2 d c_{6} c_{11}$. This gives $\left({ }^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \leq \exp \left\{c_{13} R_{S}\left(\log ^{*} R_{S}\right) \log H\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
c_{13}=3^{s+26} d^{3} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-s} s^{5 s+12}
$$

We remark that in the particular case $S=S_{\infty}$, i.e. when $t=0$, (34) implies the second part of the Theorem.

Next assume that $v$ is finite. To apply Proposition 2, we put now

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log A_{i}=\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} \log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)+\log ^{*} P, \quad i=1, \ldots, s-1, \\
& \log A_{s}=\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} \log H+\log ^{*} P . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (i) of Lemma 1, we get
$\log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{s-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} \log h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\binom{s-1}{j}\left(d \log ^{*} P\right)^{j}-\left(d \log ^{*} P\right)^{s-1}\right) \\
& +\left(\log ^{*} P\right)^{s-1} \\
\leq & \left(\log ^{*} P\right)^{s-2}\left(c_{14} R_{S}+\log ^{*} P\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c_{14}=(s / d)((s-1)!)^{2} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-(s-1)}$. Together with the second inequality of Lemma 3 this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{s-1} \leq 2 c_{14} R_{S}\left(\log ^{*} P\right)^{s-2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]We distinguish two cases. First assume that $\log H<c_{5} R_{S}$. Then, by Lemmas 1 and 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq s} \log A_{i} \leq c_{15} R_{S} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{15}=c_{5} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}+\left(c_{7} \log 2\right)^{-1}$. We now apply to (27) the first part of Proposition 2. Putting

$$
\Phi=c_{16} \frac{P^{d}}{\left(\log ^{*} P\right)^{s+1}} \log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{s} \log (10 s d \log A)
$$

with $c_{16}=c_{9}(s)\left(d^{2} / \log 2\right)^{s+1}$, we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha x|_{v} \geq \exp \left\{-d\left(\log ^{*} P\right) \Phi \log (d B)\right\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence, by (33), (26) and $|\alpha|_{v} \leq H^{d}$,

$$
\log h(x) \leq 2(s-1)\left(\log ^{*} P\right) \Phi \log \left(c_{17} \log h(x)\right)
$$

follows with $c_{17}=2 d^{2} c_{6}$. Together with (36), (37) and $\log H<c_{5} R_{S}$ this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \leq \exp \left\{c_{18} P^{d} R_{S}\left(\log ^{*} R_{S}\right)\left(\log ^{*}\left(P R_{S}\right) / \log ^{*} P\right) \log H\right\} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{18}=3^{26}\left(18 d^{2} / \delta_{\mathbb{K}}\right)^{s+1} s^{4 s+7}
$$

Next assume that $\log H \geq c_{5} R_{S}$. Then, by Lemmas 1 and 3, we have $A_{s} \geq A_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq s-1} \log A_{i} \leq c_{15} R_{S} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the above defined $\Phi$ with this value of $\log A$. First we give an upper bound for $h(x)$ in terms of $\Phi$.

If $B<\Phi\left(\log ^{*} P\right) /\left(c_{5} R_{S}\right)$ then (30), (31) and (35) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \leq 2 H^{2} \exp \left\{(s-1) \Phi \log ^{*} P\right\}<\exp \left\{s \Phi \log ^{*} P\right\} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $B \geq \Phi\left(\log ^{*} P\right) /\left(c_{5} R_{S}\right)$. We apply the second part of Proposition 2 to (27). Putting $\delta=\Phi\left(\log ^{*} P\right) /\left(B c_{5} R_{S}\right)$ we obtain

$$
|\alpha x|_{v} \geq \exp \left\{-d\left(\log ^{*} P\right) \Phi \log \left(\frac{B c_{5} R_{S}}{\log ^{*} P \log A_{s}}\right)\right\}
$$

Hence, proceeding again as above, we deduce that

$$
\frac{\log h(x)}{\log ^{*} P \log A_{s}} \leq 2(s-1)\left(\Phi / \log A_{s}\right) \log \left(\frac{c_{19} R_{S} \log h(x)}{\log ^{*} P \log A_{s}}\right)
$$

with $c_{19}=2 d c_{6} c_{5}$. From this we infer as above that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \leq \exp \left\{c_{20} \Phi\left(\log ^{*} P\right) \log ^{*}\left(P R_{S}\right)\right\} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{20}=19(s-1) \log \left(c_{16}\right)$.

The right hand side of (42) is greater than that of (41). Lemma 3, (35) and $\log H \geq c_{5} R_{S}$ imply that $\log A_{s}<c_{21} \log H$ with $c_{21}=\left(c_{5} c_{7} \log 2\right)^{-1}+$ $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}$. Hence, estimating from above $\Phi$, we obtain in both cases that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) \leq \exp \left\{c_{18} P^{d} R_{S}\left(\log ^{*} R_{S}\right)\left(\log ^{*}\left(P R_{S}\right) / \log ^{*} P\right) \log H\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the constant $c_{18}$ defined above. However, it is easy to verify that both $c_{13}$ in (34) and $c_{18}$ in (39) and (43) are less than $c_{1}=c_{1}(d, s, \mathbb{K})$ specified in the Theorem. This completes the proof of our assertion.

Proof of the Corollary. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be a solution of (6). Then, by Lemma 2 , there are $\varepsilon_{i} \in O_{S}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\varepsilon_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq N^{1 / d} \exp \left\{c_{3} R_{\mathbb{K}}+t h_{\mathbb{K}} \log ^{*} P\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the constant $c_{3}$ specified in Lemma 2. Put

$$
\alpha=\frac{\alpha_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1} x_{1}\right)}{\alpha_{3}\left(\varepsilon_{3} x_{3}\right)}, \quad \beta=\frac{\alpha_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{2} x_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{3}\left(\varepsilon_{3} x_{3}\right)} .
$$

Then $x=-\varepsilon_{3} / \varepsilon_{1}, y=-\varepsilon_{3} / \varepsilon_{2}$ is a solution of equation (1).
We have

$$
\max \{h(\alpha), h(\beta)\} \leq \exp \left\{2 c_{3}\left(R_{\mathbb{K}}+t h_{\mathbb{K}} \log ^{*} P+\log (H N)\right)\right\} .
$$

Now our Theorem provides an explicit upper bound for $\max \{h(x), h(y)\}$. Together with (44), this implies (7) with the choice $\varepsilon=-\varepsilon_{3}$.
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[^1]:    $\left(^{1}\right)$ Added in proof. By a recent result of P. M. Voutier (see this issue), one can take here $1 / 4$ instead of $1 / 1201$.

[^2]:    $\left.{ }^{(2}\right)$ In certain applications (e.g. in case of practical solutions of $S$-unit equations), it can be more useful to work with our upper bounds of $B$, provided by (26), (34) and (43).

