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0. Introduction. The Bott Residue Formula gained renewed attention recently due to its use in enumerative algebraic geometry (cf. [ES], [Ko]). If $X$ is a smooth projective variety over a field $k$ of characteristic 0 , then Bott's formula makes sense purely algebraically, with the Chern classes taken in the algebraic De Rham cohomology $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}(X / k)$. In this paper we survey an algebraic proof of the formula using Beilinson adeles, which was discovered by R. Hübl and the author (see [HY]).

Suppose $v \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{X}\right)$ is a global vector field with isolated, simple, $k$-rational zeroes (see Remark 3.2 for generalizations). Let $\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{m}$ be locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. Suppose $\Lambda_{i}$ is an action of $v$ on $\mathcal{E}_{i}$, i.e. a differential operator $\Lambda_{i}: \mathcal{E}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i}$ satisfying $\Lambda_{i}(a e)=$ $v(a) e+a \Lambda_{i}(e)$ for local sections $a \in \mathcal{O}_{X}, e \in \mathcal{E}_{i}$. Suppose $Q\left(t_{i, j}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n=\operatorname{dim} X$ in the variables $t_{i, j}\left(i=1, \ldots, m ; j=1, \ldots, r_{i} ; r_{i}:=\right.$ $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ ) which have degrees $\operatorname{deg} t_{i, j}=j$. For a zero $z$ of $v$ let us denote by $\left.\Lambda_{i}\right|_{z}$ the restriction of $\Lambda_{i}$ to $\left.\mathcal{E}_{i}\right|_{z}:=\mathcal{E}_{i} \otimes k(z)$, which is a $k$-linear endomorphism. Also let us denote by ad $\left.v\right|_{z}$ the restriction of ad $v$ to $\mathcal{T}_{X} \otimes k(z)$; this is invertible. We let $P_{i}$ denote the $i$ th conjugation-invariant polynomial on matrices (of unspecified size). Finally let $\int_{X}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{k}$ be a canonical map (cap product with the fundamental class).

Theorem 0.1. (Bott Residue Formula).

$$
\int_{X} Q\left(c_{j}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{v(z)=0} Q\left(P_{j}\left(\left.\Lambda_{i}\right|_{z}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left.\operatorname{ad} v\right|_{z}\right)^{-1}
$$

In Section 1 we discuss Beilinson's adeles and the sheaves $\mathcal{A}_{X}^{p, q}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q}$. These are analogues of the sheaves of smooth $(p, q)$-forms on a complex manifold. In Section 2 we define connections on the adelic sections $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}(\mathcal{E})$ of a vector bundle. Finally in Section 3 we prove

[^0]Theorem 0.1. The proof is almost identical to Bott's proof in [Bo2]. In particular we use a projector $\omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{1,0}$ to localize the integral to the zero locus of $v$.

I should mention other proofs of Bott's formula. Atiyah-Bott [AB] use a mix of analysis and topology. Carrel-Lieberman [CL] state their proof for complex manifolds, but it applies also to the purely algebraic setup.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks R. Hübl (the coauthor of [HY]), S. Kleiman who suggested the problem, and V. Hinich for explaining the Thom-Sullivan construction.

1. Adeles. Let $k$ be field of characteristic 0 , and let $X$ be a smooth $n$-dimensional projective variety over $k$. According to Beilinson, to each quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ there is associated a cosimplicial sheaf $\mathbb{A}^{\cdot}(\mathcal{M})$ on $X$, the sheaf of adeles (see $[\mathrm{Be}]$ and $[\mathrm{Hr}])$. The definition of $\mathbb{A}^{q}(U, \mathcal{M})=\Gamma\left(U, \mathbb{A}^{q}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is by a zig-zag process of direct and inverse limits, generalizing the classical adeles. (If $X$ is a smooth curve then the classical ring of adeles $\mathbb{A}(X)$ is just $\mathbb{A}_{\text {red }}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$.) One has a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{A}^{q}(\mathcal{M}) \cong$ $\mathbb{A}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \mathcal{M}$. Denote by $\mathbb{A}_{\text {red }}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ the standard normalization of $\mathbb{A}^{\circ}(\mathcal{M})$ (namely the common kernel of the codegeneracy maps), which is a complex of sheaves with coboundary operator $\partial$. Then each $\mathbb{A}_{\text {red }}^{q}(\mathcal{M})$ is a flasque sheaf, and the natural map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text {red }}^{\cdot}(\mathcal{M})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

The adeles $\mathbb{A}_{\text {red }}^{q}(\mathcal{M})$ are a subsheaf of the product of the local factors $\prod_{\xi} \mathcal{M}_{\xi}$, where $\xi=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{q}\right)$ runs over the set of reduced chains of length $q$ in $X$. For $\mathcal{M}$ coherent and $q=0$ we simply have $\mathcal{M}_{(x)}=\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x}$, the $\mathfrak{m}_{x}$-adic completion.

Now if $D: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a differential operator between $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules, there is an induced operator $D: \underline{\mathbb{A}}^{\cdot}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{A}}^{( }(\mathcal{N})$, compatible with the cosimplicial structure. Applying this to the De Rham complex $\Omega_{X / k}$ we get a cosimplicial differential graded algebra (DGA)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}^{\cdot}\left(\Omega_{X / k}\right)=\bigcup_{q \geq 0} \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathbb{A}^{q}\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{p}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.1. For $p, q \geq 0$ let $\mathcal{A}_{X}^{p, q}:=\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{red}}^{q}\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{p}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{X} \ddot{\text { is }}$ a double complex, with commuting operators $\mathrm{d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{p}+1, \mathrm{q}}$ and $\partial: \mathcal{A}_{X}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X}^{p, q+1}$, called the De Rhamadele double complex. Set $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}:=(-1)^{\mathrm{p}} \partial, \mathrm{D}:=\mathrm{D}^{\prime}+\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{X}^{i}:=\bigoplus_{p+q=i} \mathcal{A}_{X}^{p, q}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{X}$, with Alexander-Whitney product and the operator D , is a sheaf of DGAs on $X$.

Proposition 1.2. The natural DGA map $\Omega_{X / k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
The proposition implies that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{k})$ with its cup product can be calculated as $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$. However, the DGA $\mathcal{A}_{X}$ is not (graded) commutative.

According to [Ye], for every maximal chain $\xi=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in $X$ there is a residue map $\operatorname{Res}_{\xi}: \Omega_{X / k, \xi}^{n} \rightarrow k$. This induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}=\sum_{\xi} \operatorname{Res}_{\xi}: \mathrm{H}^{2 \mathrm{n}} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\int_{X}$ coincides with cap product with the fundamental class of $X$. Thus for $k=\mathbb{C}$ we get the usual integral (up to a factor of $2 \pi \sqrt{-1}$ ).

For $\ell \geq 0$ let

$$
\Delta^{\ell}:=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{0}, \ldots, t_{\ell}\right] /\left(t_{0}+\cdots+t_{\ell}-1\right)
$$

be the standard rational $\ell$-simplex, and let $\Omega^{\cdot}\left(\Delta^{\ell}\right)$ be the De Rham complex on it, which is a DGA over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $t_{0}, \ldots, t_{\ell}$. Then $\Omega^{\cdot}\left(\Delta^{\cdot}\right)=\bigcup_{\ell \geq 0} \Omega^{\cdot}\left(\Delta^{\ell}\right)$ is a simplicial DGA. The definition below is extracted from [HS].

Definition 1.3. Let

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q} \subset \prod_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\underline{\mathbb{A}}^{\ell}\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{p}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \Omega^{q}\left(\Delta^{\ell}\right)\right)
$$

be the subsheaf consisting of all sections $u=\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{\ell}, \ldots\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial^{i} \otimes 1\right) u_{\ell} & =\left(1 \otimes \partial_{i}\right) u_{\ell+1} \\
\left(1 \otimes s_{i}\right) u_{\ell} & =\left(s^{i} \otimes 1\right) u_{\ell+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq \ell, 0 \leq i \leq \ell+1$. Here $\partial_{i}, s_{i}, \partial^{i}, s^{i}$ are the (co)simplicial operators. Set $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{d} \otimes 1$, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}:=(-1)^{\mathrm{p}} \otimes \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{D}:=\mathrm{D}^{\prime}+\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{i}:=\bigoplus_{p+q=i} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q}$. The sheaf of Thom-Sullivan adeles is the commutative $\operatorname{DGA}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}, \mathrm{D}\right)$.

Observe that for $p, q \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 0} \prod_{\xi=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right)}\left(\Omega_{X / k, \xi}^{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \Omega^{q}\left(\Delta^{\ell}\right)\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Usual integration on the real $\ell$ simplex $\Delta^{\ell}(\mathbb{R})$ yields a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\int_{\Delta^{\ell}}: \Omega^{\cdot}\left(\Delta^{\ell}\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, such that $\int_{\Delta^{\ell}}\left(\mathrm{dt}_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{dt}_{\ell}\right)=\frac{1}{\ell!}$. By linearity this extends to a map of sheaves $\int_{\Delta}: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\cdot}\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{\cdot}\right)$.

THEOREM 1.4. ([HS]). $\int_{\Delta}$ sends $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q}$ into $\mathcal{A}_{X}^{p, q}$, and commutes with the operators $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore $\int_{\Delta}: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X}$ is a homomorphism of $D G \Omega_{X / k}$-modules. For every open set $U \subset X$ the resulting map in cohomology $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{U}, \int_{\Delta}\right): \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{U}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ is an isomorphism of graded $k$-algebras.
2. Connections over Adeles. Our construction is a fusion of ideas of Bott (in [Bo1]) and Parshin (in [Pa]). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on $X$, and set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q}(\mathcal{E}):=\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{E}$. Suppose we are given a family $\left\{\nabla_{(x)}\right\}_{x \in X}$, where

$$
\nabla_{(x)}: \mathcal{E}_{(x)} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / k,(x)}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,(x)}} \mathcal{E}_{(x)}
$$

is a connection over the $k$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X,(x)}$. Let $\xi=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right)$ be a chain in $X$. For $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ consider the $i$-th covertex map $\partial_{(i)}^{(0, \ldots, \ell)}: \Omega_{X / k,\left(x_{i}\right)}^{\cdot} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / k, \xi}$. By extension of scalars, $\nabla_{\left(x_{i}\right)}$ induces a connection

$$
\nabla_{\xi, i}: \mathcal{E}_{\xi} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / k, \xi}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}} \mathcal{E}_{\xi}
$$

over the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}$. Set

$$
\nabla_{\xi}:=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} t_{i} \nabla_{\xi, i}: \mathcal{E}_{\xi} \rightarrow \Omega_{X / k, \xi}^{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta^{\ell}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \xi}} \mathcal{E}_{\xi}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Given a family of connections $\left\{\nabla_{(x)}\right\}_{x \in X}$, there is a unique connection

$$
\nabla: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{1}(\mathcal{E})
$$

over the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}$, such that under the embedding $(1.3),(\nabla u)_{\xi}=\nabla_{\xi} u$ for every local (algebraic) section $u \in \mathcal{E}$.

Definition 2.2. The curvature form associated to $\left\{\nabla_{(x)}\right\}_{x \in X}$ is

$$
R=\nabla^{2} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{2}\left(\mathcal{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})\right) .
$$

Given an invariant polynomial $P$, one has $\mathrm{DP}(\mathrm{R})=0$. The resulting Chern-Weil homomorphism

$$
\{\text { invariant polynomials }\} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{X}}\right),
$$

$P \mapsto[P(R)]$, is a homomorphism of $k$-algebras, independent of the connection $\nabla$.
Definition 2.3. The $i$-th Chern form of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the connection $\nabla$ is $\tilde{c}_{i}(\mathcal{E}, \nabla):=P_{i}(R) \in \Gamma\left(X, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{2 i}\right)$.

Theorem 2.4. The Chern classes $c_{i}(\mathcal{E})=\left[\int_{\Delta} \tilde{c}_{i}(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)\right] \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2 \mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{X})$ satisfy the Whitney Sum Formula and commute with pullback. The map $\operatorname{dlog}: \operatorname{Pic} X=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{*}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2}(\mathrm{X})$ sends the class of an invertible sheaf $[\mathcal{L}]$ to $c_{1}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus for $k=\mathbb{C}$ we get the usual Chern classes (up to a factor of $2 \pi \sqrt{-1}$ ).
3. Proof of the Formula. Denote by $Z$ the zero scheme of $v$, which is a finite reduced scheme. Choose an open subset $U \subset X$ containing $Z$, and sections $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in$ $\Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, such that the corresponding morphism $U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{k}^{n}$ is unramified, and the fibre over the origin is the scheme $Z$. This is possible since $X$ is projective. Thus $\left.\mathcal{T}_{X}\right|_{U}$ is trivial, with a frame $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial f_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{n}}\right)$. Moreover, we can choose $U$ such that $\left.\mathcal{E}_{i}\right|_{U}$ are trivial, with frames $\underline{e}_{i}:\left.\mathcal{O}_{U}^{r_{i}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{E}_{i}\right|_{U}$.

From here we continue along the lines of [Bo2], but of course we use adeles instead of smooth functions. The sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q}$ plays the role of the sheaf of smooth $(p, q)$ forms on a complex manifold. The operator $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$ behaves like the anti-holomorphic derivative $\bar{\partial}$; specifically $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \alpha=0$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_{X / k}$.

Set $\mathcal{E}:=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}, r:=\sum r_{i}, \Lambda:=\sum \Lambda_{i}$. Then $\underline{e}=\left(\underline{e}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{e}_{m}\right)$ is a frame for $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$. For each point $x \in U$ the isomorphism $\underline{e}: \mathcal{O}_{X,(x)}^{r} \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \mathcal{E}_{(x)}$ induces a Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_{(x)}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{(x)}$. For $x \notin U$ choose an arbitrary connection $\nabla_{(x)}$. Let $R=\nabla^{2} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{2}\left(\mathcal{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ be the resulting curvature form. Note that $R=\sum R_{i}$, and we can define

$$
P(R):=Q\left(P_{j}\left(R_{i}\right)\right) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{2 n} .
$$

$R$ decomposes into bi-homogeneous parts $R=R^{2,0}+R^{1,1}$. We will work with $R^{1,1}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{p, q}=0$ for $p>n$, we get $P(R)=P\left(R^{1,1}\right)$.

One shows, like in [Bo2], that

$$
L:=\Lambda-\iota(v) \circ \nabla \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}\left(\mathcal{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\iota(v) R^{1,1} & =\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{L}  \tag{3.1}\\
\left.L\right|_{z} & =\left.\Lambda\right|_{z} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\nabla$ is algebraic on $U$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.L\right|_{U} \in \mathcal{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})\right|_{U} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every point $z \in Z$ let

$$
\Xi_{z}:=\left\{\xi=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{n}=z\right\}
$$

This set of chains is the analogue of a small ball around $z$. Let $\Xi:=\bigcup_{z \in Z} \Xi_{z}$.
Given $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{\xi}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{X}^{n, n}$, we say $\alpha$ is holomorphic (resp. has a simple pole) along a maximal chain $\xi=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ if for every $a \in \mathcal{O}_{X, x_{n}}$ (resp. $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_{n}}$ ) one has $\operatorname{Res}_{\xi} a \alpha_{\xi}=0(\mathrm{cf} .[\mathrm{Ye}] \S 4.2)$.

Denote the canonical pairing $\mathcal{T}_{X} \otimes \Omega_{X / k}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}$ by $\langle-,-\rangle$. It extends to a pairing $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}\left(\mathcal{T}_{X}\right) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}$.

Lemma 3.1. There is a global section $\omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{1,0} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{0}\left(\Omega_{X / k}^{1}\right)$ such that:
(1) $\langle v, \omega\rangle=1$ on $X-Z$.
(2) $\int_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ is holomorphic along any maximal chain $\xi \notin \Xi$.
(3) $\int_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ has at most a simple pole along any $\xi \in \Xi$. Moreover, for any $z \in Z$

$$
\sum_{\xi \in \Xi_{z}} \operatorname{Res}_{\xi} \int_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\operatorname{adv}\right|_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{-1}
$$

The proof of the lemma is not difficult, but it is technical and we prefer to skip it. Let us just say that writing $v=\sum a_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{i}}, a_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, one can express $\omega$ in terms of the $a_{i}$.

Let $t$ be an indeterminate, and define

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta:= & P\left(L+t R^{1,1}\right) \cdot \omega \cdot\left(1-t \mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{-1} \\
& =P\left(L+t R^{1,1}\right) \cdot \omega \cdot\left(1+t \mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega+\left(\mathrm{tD}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{2}+\cdots\right) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{x}}[\mathrm{t}] \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(note that $\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}+1}=0$, so this makes sense). Writing $\eta=\sum_{i} \eta_{i} t^{i}$ we see that $\eta_{i} \in$ $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{i+1, i}$. Just like in [Bo2], using formula (3.1) and Lemma 3.1, one shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1,1}\right)=0 \text { on } \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 0.1. By definition $c_{j}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}\right)=\left[\int_{\Delta} P_{j}\left(R_{i}\right)\right] \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2 \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{X})$. From Theorem 1.4 we see that

$$
Q\left(c_{j}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}\right)\right)=\left[\int_{\Delta} Q\left(P_{j}\left(R_{i}\right)\right)\right]=\left[\int_{\Delta} P(R)\right]
$$

As observed before $P(R)=P\left(R^{1,1}\right) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X}^{2 n}$. In view of formula (3.2) we must verify that

$$
\int_{X} \int_{\Delta} P\left(R^{1,1}\right)=\sum_{z \in Z} P\left(\left.L\right|_{z}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left.\operatorname{ad} v\right|_{z}\right)^{-1}
$$

Now

$$
\int_{X} \int_{\Delta} \mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\int_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \int_{\Delta} \eta_{\mathrm{n}-1}=0
$$

since $X$ is proper. Every maximal chain is either in $X-Z$ or in $\Xi$. Therefore, by (3.5)

$$
\int_{X} \int_{\Delta} P\left(R^{1,1}\right)=\int_{X} \int_{\Delta}\left(P\left(R^{1,1}\right)+\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)=\sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \operatorname{Res}_{\xi} \int_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1,1}\right)+\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)
$$

By construction the connection $\nabla$ is integrable on $U$ (it is a Levi-Civita connection there with respect to the algebraic frame $\underline{e}$ ), therefore on $U$ one has: $R=0, P\left(R^{1,1}\right)=0$ and
$\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$. The map $\int_{\Delta}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear, and by $(3.3),\left.P(L)\right|_{U} \in \mathcal{O}_{U}$. Hence

$$
\int_{\Delta} P(L)\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~L}) \int_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \omega\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \text { on } \mathrm{U}
$$

In view of Lemma 3.1 this concludes the proof.
Remark 3.2. There are two easy extensions of Theorem 0.1.
(a) Dropping the assumption that the zeroes of $v$ are simple (cf. [HY]).
(b) Suppose $L \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})$ is a semi-simple endomorphism. Then there are well defined classes $P_{j}(L) \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2 \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{k})$, given by $\left[\int_{\Delta} P_{j}(L+R)\right]$ for an appropriate connection $\nabla$. For example $c_{j}(\mathcal{E})=P_{j}\left(0_{\mathcal{E}}\right)$ (cf. [Bo2]). If $L$ and $\Lambda$ commute the residue formula is:

$$
\int_{X} P(L)=\sum_{v(z)=0} P\left(\left.(L+\Lambda)\right|_{z}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left.\operatorname{ad} v\right|_{z}\right)^{-1}
$$
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