# COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

1996

VOL. LXX

FASC. 1

# COMPARISONS OF SIDON AND I<sub>0</sub> SETS

#### BҮ

### L. THOMAS $\operatorname{RAMSEY}$ (HONOLULU, HAWAII)

**Introduction.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an arbitrary discrete abelian group. Sidon and  $I_0$  subsets of  $\Gamma$  are interpolation sets in different but quite similar senses. In this paper we establish several similarities and one deeper connection:

(1)  $B_d(E)$  and B(E) are isometrically isomorphic for finite  $E \subset \Gamma$ .  $B_d(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$  characterizes  $I_0$  sets E, and  $B(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$  characterizes Sidon sets E. [In general, Sidon sets are distinct from  $I_0$  sets. Within the group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the set  $\{2^n\}_n \cup \{2^n + n\}_n$  is helsonian (hence Sidon) but not  $I_0$ .]

(2) Both are  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$  (as is also the class of finite unions of  $I_0$  sets).

(3) There is an analog for  $I_0$  sets of the sup-norm partition construction used with Sidon sets.

(4) A set E is Sidon if and only if there is some  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and positive integer N such that, for all finite  $F \subset E$ , there is some  $H \subset F$  with  $|H| \ge r|F|$  and H is an  $I_0$  set of degree at most N. [Here |S| denotes the cardinality of S; two different but comparable definitions of degree for  $I_0$  sets are given below.]

(5) If all Sidon subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are finite unions of  $I_0$  sets, the number of  $I_0$  sets required is bounded by some function of the Sidon constant. This is also true in the category of all discrete abelian groups.

This paper leaves open this question: must Sidon sets be finite unions of  $I_0$  sets?

Let G denote the (compact) dual group of  $\Gamma$ . In general, unspecified variables such as j and N denote positive integers. M(G) denotes the Banach algebra under convolution of bounded Borel measures on G; the norm in M(G) is the total mass norm.  $M_d(G)$  denotes the Banach subalgebra of M(G) consisting of discrete measures.  $b\Gamma$  denotes the Bohr compactification of  $\Gamma$ :  $b\Gamma = \widehat{G}_d$ , the dual of discretized G. Naturally,  $\Gamma$  is dense in  $b\Gamma$ . The almost periodic functions on  $\Gamma$  are exactly the functions which extend

[103]

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 43A56.

Key words and phrases: Sidon,  $I_0$  set, almost periodic function.

continuously to  $b\Gamma$ ; they are also the uniform limits of the Fourier transforms of  $\mu \in M_d(G)$  [18, p. 32]. For subsets  $E \subset \Gamma$ , this paper focuses on the relations among several function algebras on E:  $B_d(E)$ , B(E), AP(E), and  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ .  $B_d(E)$  is the space of restrictions to E of Fourier transforms  $\hat{\mu}$ of  $\mu \in M_d(G)$ , with the following quotient norm:

$$||f||_{B_d(E)} = \inf\{||\mu|| \mid \mu \in M_d(G) \& \widehat{\mu}|_E = f\}.$$

B(E) is the space of restrictions to E of Fourier transforms  $\hat{\mu}$  of  $\mu \in M(G)$ , with this quotient norm:

$$||f||_{B(E)} = \inf\{||\mu|| \mid \mu \in M(G) \& \widehat{\mu}|_E = f\}.$$

 $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  is the space of all bounded functions on E with the supremum norm; AP(E) is the closure in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  of  $B_d(E)$ , and retains the supremum norm (cf. Lemma 1 of the Appendix). The following inclusions hold and are norm-decreasing:

(1) 
$$B_d(E) \subset AP(E) \subset \ell_{\infty}(E)$$
 and  $B_d(E) \subset B(E) \subset \ell_{\infty}(E)$ .

In general, these inclusions are all strict. When  $\Gamma$  is infinite, equality is rare among all the subsets of  $\Gamma$  (measure zero in  $2^{\Gamma}$ ) but has been extensively studied. Condition (1) allows six possible equalities among the algebras  $B_d(E)$ , AP(E),  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ , and B(E). Three of these equalities characterize special sets: Sidon ( $B(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$ ; see [11]),  $I_0$  sets ( $AP(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$ ; see [6]), and helsonian ( $B_d(E) = AP(E)$  by Proposition 2 of the Appendix). Kahane resolved one of the remaining possible equalities by proving that  $I_0$  is equivalent to the formally stricter condition  $B_d(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$  (see [7]); Kalton's proof of this is in the Appendix. It follows from Kahane's theorem that

$$I_0 \Rightarrow$$
 helsonian and  $I_0 \Rightarrow$  Sidon.

By Proposition 3 of the Appendix, helsonian implies Sidon; thus

(2) 
$$I_0 \Rightarrow \text{helsonian} \Rightarrow \text{Sidon}.$$

Bourgain resolved another possible equality by showing that  $B_d(E) = B(E)$ implies that E is  $I_0$  (see [1]). By Proposition 4 of the Appendix, B(E) = AP(E) implies that E is  $I_0$ , thus disposing of the last possible equality. Example 5 of the Appendix proves that helsonian (Sidon) does not imply  $I_0$ . It is unknown whether helsonian (Sidon) sets must be a finite union of  $I_0$ sets [5]. Also unknown is whether Sidon sets must be helsonian. Concerning this last question, there is this theorem by Ramsey: if a Sidon subset of the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  clusters at any member of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $b\mathbb{Z}$ , then there is a Sidon set which is dense in  $b\mathbb{Z}$  and hence clearly not helsonian [15].

Among the four algebras  $B_d(E)$ , B(E), AP(E) and  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ , two inclusion relations remain to be explored:  $B(E) \subset AP(E)$  and  $AP(E) \subset B(E)$ . If  $\Gamma$  is an abelian group of bounded order,  $B(E) \subset AP(E)$  implies that E is  $I_0$  (see [17]). (In [17], a hypothesis which is formally weaker than  $B(E) \subset AP(E)$  is shown to be sufficient to make E be  $I_0$ .) No work has been reported on  $AP(E) \subset B(E)$ .

Sidon and  $I_0$  sets are  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$ . David Grow proved that, for finite subsets E of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $B(E) = B_d(E)$  isometrically [5]. As he rightly concludes, "one cannot determine whether a Sidon set E is a finite union of  $I_0$  sets merely by examining the norms of interpolating discrete measures". This theorem generalizes to  $\Gamma$  (indeed to the dual object of any compact topological group).

THEOREM 1. The algebras  $B_d(E)$  and B(E) are isometric for finite subsets E of a discrete abelian group  $\Gamma$ .

Proof. Let E be given and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $f \in B(E)$  and  $\mu \in M(G)$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}|_E = f$  and  $\|\mu\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_{B(E)}$ . There exists a neighborhood U of  $0 \in G$  such that

$$g \in U$$
 implies  $(\forall x \in E) \left( |x(g) - 1| < \varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\mu\| + 1} \right).$ 

Since G is compact and  $\{g + U \mid g \in G\}$  is an open covering of G, there is a finite set  $G' = \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$  such that  $\{g + U \mid g \in G'\}$  covers G. Let  $E_1 = g_1 + U$ ; for j > 1 set  $E_j = (g_j + U) \setminus (\bigcup_{i < j} E_i)$ . Then G is the disjoint union of the  $E_i$ 's. Let  $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j) \delta_{g_j}$ . Then

$$\|\nu\| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mu(E_j)| \le \|\mu\| \le (1+\varepsilon) \|f\|_{B(E)}.$$

Also, for  $x \in E$ , with  $|\mu|$  denoting the total variation measure for  $\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\nu}(x) - f(x)| &= |\widehat{\nu}(x) - \widehat{\mu}(x)| = \Big| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Big[ \mu(E_j)x(-g_j) - \int_{E_j} x(-g) \, d\mu(g) \Big] \\ &= \Big| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{E_j} \left[ x(-g_j) - x(-g) \right] d\mu(g) \Big| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{E_j} \left| x(-g_j) - x(-g) \right| d|\mu|(g) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{E_j} \left| x(g - g_j) - 1 \right| d|\mu|(g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon' |\mu|(E_j) = \varepsilon' ||\mu|| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

By the previous paragraph, there is a sequence of discrete measures  $\nu_j$ such that  $\|\nu_j\| \leq (1+1/j) \|f\|_{B(E)}$  and  $\|\hat{\nu}_j|_E - f\|_{\infty} \leq (1/j)$ . Thus  $\hat{\nu}_j|_E$ converges to f in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ . By [16, p. 222] any finite subset of  $\Gamma$  is an  $I_0$  set. By Theorem 7 of the Appendix, the  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  and  $B_d(E)$  norms are equivalent: there is a constant K such that, for all  $g \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$ ,

$$\|g\|_{B_d(E)} \le K \|g\|_{\infty}.$$

Thus  $\hat{\nu}_j|_E$  converges to f in  $B_d(E)$ , and hence

 $||f||_{B_d(E)} = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||\widehat{\nu}_j|_E ||_{B_d(E)} \le \limsup_{j \to \infty} ||\nu_j|| \le ||f||_{B(E)}.$ 

That proves isometry, since  $||f||_{B_d(E)} \leq ||f||_{B(E)}$  always holds.

There is a more elementary way to see this, without using [16]. Since E is finite,  $B_d(E)$  is a finite-dimensional vector subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ . Due to the finite-dimensionality of  $B_d(E)$ ,  $B_d(E)$  is a closed subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  and norm equivalence holds for  $g \in B_d(E)$ . Since  $\hat{\nu}_j$  is from  $B_d(E)$  and converges to  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$ , the closedness of  $B_d(E)$  puts f in  $B_d(E)$ . By the norm equivalence,  $\hat{\nu}_j$  converges to f in  $B_d(E)$ , and the rest of the proof is valid.

Sidon sets are "finitely describable" by norm comparisons. Following [11], the Sidon constant of a set  $E \subset \Gamma$  is the minimum constant  $\alpha(E) \geq 0$  such that, for all  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$ ,  $\|f\|_{B(E)} \leq \alpha(E)\|f\|_{\infty}$ . As in [11], this is the same minimum constant such that  $\|\tau\|_{A(G)} \leq \alpha(E)\|\tau\|_{C(G)}$  for all  $\tau \in \operatorname{Trig}_{E}(G)$ , the trigonometric polynomials on G with spectrum in E. This is true because, viewing  $\operatorname{Trig}_{E}(G)$  as a closed subspace of C(G), one has  $\operatorname{Trig}_{E}(G)^{*} = B(E)$  (isometrically) while A(G) is isometric to  $\ell_{1}(\Gamma)$  and hence  $A(G)^{*}$  is isometric to  $\ell_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ .

It follows that

(3) 
$$E_1 \subset E_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad \alpha(E_1) \le \alpha(E_2)$$

and that

(4) 
$$\alpha(E) = \sup\{\alpha(F) \mid F \subset E \& F \text{ is finite}\}.$$

These observations lead to the next lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let  $S_r = \{E \subset \Gamma \mid \alpha(E) \leq r\}$ . Then  $S_r$  is closed in  $2^{\Gamma}$ .

Proof. In this proof, we identify  $A \subset \Gamma$  with  $\chi_A \in 2^{\Gamma}$ . Let  $E_{\beta}$  be a net in  $S_r$  which converges to  $E \subset \Gamma$ . Let F be any finite subset of E. Because the convergence in  $2^{\Gamma}$  is pointwise, there is some  $\beta_0$  for which  $\beta \geq \beta_0$  implies  $F \subset F_{\beta}$ . By (3) above,  $\alpha(F) \leq \alpha(F_{\beta}) \leq r$ . Since this holds for all finite  $F \subset E$ ,  $\alpha(E) \leq r$  by (4) above.

PROPOSITION 3. For discrete abelian groups  $\Gamma$ , the class of Sidon sets is an  $F_{\sigma}$  subset of  $2^{\Gamma}$ : it is  $\bigcup_{n} S_{n}$  with  $S_{n}$  as in Lemma 2.

David Grow's theorem makes clear that only making norm comparisons will not extend Proposition 3 to  $I_0$  sets. The following definition provides appropriate tools.

DEFINITION. Let D(N) denote the set of discrete measures  $\mu$  on G for which

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j \delta_{t_j},$$

where  $|c_j| \leq 1$  and  $t_j \in G$  for each j. For  $E \subset \Gamma$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , let  $AP(E, N, \delta)$  be the set of  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$  for which there exists  $\mu \in D(N)$  such that

$$\|f - \widehat{\mu}|_E\|_{\infty} \le \delta.$$

*E* is said to be  $I(N, \delta)$  if the unit ball in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  is a subset of  $AP(E, N, \delta)$ . Further, N(E), the  $I_0$  degree of a set *E*, is the minimum *m* for which *E* is I(m, 1/2) if such an *m* exists, and  $\infty$  otherwise. [By Theorem 7 of the Appendix, *E* is  $I_0$  if and only  $N(E) < \infty$ .]

The analog of condition (3) is immediate from the preceding definitions:

(3I) 
$$E_1 \subset E_2 \text{ implies } N(E_1) \le N(E_2).$$

The next lemma is the analog of condition (4).

LEMMA 4. For  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

(4I) 
$$N(E) = \sup\{N(F) \mid F \text{ is a finite subset of } E\}.$$

Proof. Set J equal to the right-hand side of (4I). By condition (3I),  $J \leq N(E)$ . If  $J = \infty$ , then  $N(E) = \infty$  and hence J = N(E). So suppose that J is finite. Let  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$  such that  $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$ . For each finite  $F \subset E$ , interpolate  $f|_F$  within 1/2 by a discrete measure  $\mu^F \in D(J)$ ; write  $\mu^F$  as

$$\mu^F = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j^F \delta_{g_j^F}$$

with  $|c_j^F| \leq 1$ . The finite subsets of E form a net, ordered by increasing inclusion. By the compactness of G (from which  $g_j^F$  comes), and the compactness of the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ , one may choose 2J subnets successively so that, for the final net  $\{F_\alpha\}_\alpha$ , one has

$$\lim_{\alpha} g_j^{F_{\alpha}} = g_j \& \lim_{\alpha} c_j^{F_{\alpha}} = c_j \quad \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le J.$$

Necessarily,  $|c_j| \leq 1$ . Set  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j \delta_{g_j}$ . Let  $\gamma \in E$ . There is some  $\alpha_0$  in the subnet such that  $\gamma \in F_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Also for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,

$$|f(\gamma) - \widehat{\mu^{F_{\alpha}}}(\gamma)| \le 1/2.$$

However,  $\lim_{\alpha} \gamma(g_j^{F_{\alpha}}) = \gamma(g_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$  because  $\gamma$  is a continuous character on G. It follows that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \widehat{\mu^{F_{\alpha}}}(\gamma) = \lim_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j^{F_{\alpha}} \gamma(-g_j^{F_{\alpha}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j \gamma(-g_j) = \widehat{\mu}(\gamma).$$

Thus  $|f(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \le 1/2$ . That establishes  $f \in AP(E, J, 1/2)$ . So  $N(E) \le J$ .

The proof of the next proposition is the same as that of Lemma 2 and Proposition 3.

PROPOSITION 5. The  $I_0$  sets are an  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$ : they are  $\bigcup_n \{E \subset \Gamma \mid N(E) \leq n\}$  where  $\{E \subset \Gamma \mid N(E) \leq n\}$  is closed in  $2^{\Gamma}$ .

The author first realized that  $I_0$  sets and Sidon sets are  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$ , when studying  $A = \widetilde{A}$  sets: those sets for which  $A(E) = B(E) \cap c_0(E)$  [4, p. 364]. Whether  $A = \widetilde{A}$  sets are  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$  is not known. Equally unknown is the status of sets E such that  $A(E) = B_0(E)$ , where

$$B_0(E) = \{ f|_E \mid f \in B(\Gamma) \cap c_0(\Gamma) \}.$$

Both of these properties, to a naive view, seem to "live at infinity" and thus fail to be "finitely describable". If it could be proved that they are *not*  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$ , then questions (1) and (1') of [4, p. 369] would have negative answers. An open question which is closer to the focus of this paper is this: do helsonian sets constitute an  $F_{\sigma}$  class?

"Finitely described", again. In [6], two other equivalent formulations of being  $I_0$  are established. First, a set E is  $I_0$  if and only if every function on E taking values 0 and 1 can be extended to a continuous almost periodic function over  $\Gamma$  [6, p. 25]. Second, a set E is an  $I_0$  set if and only if, for every subset  $F \subset E$ , the sets F and  $E \setminus F$  have disjoint closures in  $b\Gamma$ . These formulations permit a weakening of the sufficient conditions listed in Theorem 7 of the Appendix (a very similar and yet weaker condition is in [12]).

DEFINITION. Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be closed subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $E \subset \Gamma$ , E is said to be  $J(N, C_1, C_2)$  if and only if, for all  $F \subset E$ , there is some  $\mu \in D(N)$ such that  $\hat{\mu}(F) \subset C_1$  and  $\hat{\mu}(E \setminus F) \subset C_2$ . When  $C_1 = \{z \mid \Im(z) \geq \delta\}$ , and  $C_2 = \{z \mid \Im(z) \leq -\delta\}, J(N, C_1, C_2)$  is abbreviated as  $J(N, \delta)$ . S(E) is the minimum m such that E is J(m, 1/2) if such an m exists, and  $\infty$  otherwise. [By Proposition 6 below, E is  $I_0$  if and only if  $S(E) < \infty$ .]

**PROPOSITION 6.** The following are equivalent:

(1) E is an  $I_0$  set.

(2) E is  $J(N, C_1, C_2)$  for some N and some disjoint subsets  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

(3) For all  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there is some N such that E is  $J(N, \delta)$ .

Proof. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is immediate.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume that E is  $J(N, C_1, C_2)$  for some disjoint  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  and some N. For  $F \subset E$ , let  $\mu_F \in D(N)$  satisfy condition (2) for F. By [18, p. 32], the group  $b\Gamma$  is the maximal ideal space of  $M_d(G)$  and the Gelfand

transform is just the Fourier–Stieltjes transform. Because  $D(N) \subset M_d(G)$ ,  $\widehat{\mu_F}$  is a continuous function on  $b\Gamma$ . Because  $C_1$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $H_1 = \widehat{\mu_F}^{-1}(C_1)$  is a closed subset of  $b\Gamma$  with  $F \subset H_1$ . Likewise,  $H_2 = \widehat{\mu_F}^{-1}(C_2)$  is a closed subset of  $b\Gamma$  with  $(E \setminus F) \subset H_2$ . Because  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ are disjoint,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are disjoint; thus F and  $E \setminus F$  have disjoint closures in  $b\Gamma$ . Because this holds for all  $F \subset E$ , E is an  $I_0$  set by [6].

 $(1)\Rightarrow(3)$ . Now suppose that E is an  $I_0$  set and consider any  $\delta$  such that  $0 < \delta < 1$ . By Theorem 7 of the Appendix, there is some N such that E is  $I(N, 1 - \delta)$ . Let  $F \subset E$ ; the function h which is i on F and -i on  $E \setminus F$  is in the unit ball of  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ . By the definition of  $I(N, 1 - \delta)$ , there is some  $\mu \in D(N)$  such that

$$\|\widehat{\mu}\|_E - h\|_{\infty} \le 1 - \delta$$

For  $\gamma \in F$ ,  $h(\gamma) = i$  and hence  $\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \ge 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta$ . For  $\gamma \in (E \setminus F)$ ,  $h(\gamma) = -i$  and hence  $\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \le -1 + (1 - \delta) \le -\delta$ .

The proof of Proposition 6 provides the following corollary.

COROLLARY 7. For  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $S(E) \leq N(E)$ .

Bounding N(E) by some function of S(E) is the purpose of the next theorem.

THEOREM 8. There is a non-decreasing function  $\phi$  with  $\phi(\mathbb{Z}^+) \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that, for all discrete abelian groups  $\Gamma$  and all  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $N(E) \leq \phi(S(E))$ .

Some lemmas will help in proving Theorem 8. Lemma 9 follows immediately from the definitions of N(E) and S(E).

LEMMA 9. For  $E \subset \Gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $N(E) = N(E + \gamma)$  and  $S(E) = S(E + \gamma)$ .

LEMMA 10. For any N, let S be a finite set which is 1/(8N) dense in  $\mathbb{T}$  and let  $E \subset \Gamma$  with  $S(E) \leq N$ . Then, for all subsets  $F \subset E$ , there are N points  $t_j \in G$ , integers  $r_j \in [0, 8N]$ , and  $s_j \in S$  such that

 $(\forall \gamma \in F)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \geq 1/4] \quad and \quad (\forall \gamma \in E \backslash F)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \leq -1/4],$ 

where

$$\mu = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_j r_j \delta_{t_j}.$$

Proof. By the definition of S(E), E is J(S(E), 1/2) and hence J(N, 1/2). Thus, for any  $F \subset E$ , there is a discrete measure  $\nu \in D(N)$  such that

 $(\forall \gamma \in F)[\Im(\widehat{\nu}(\gamma)) \ge 1/2]$  and  $(\forall \gamma \in E \setminus F)[\Im(\widehat{\nu}(\gamma)) \le -1/2],$ 

where  $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j \delta_{t_j}$  for some  $t_j$ 's in G and  $c_j$ 's in the unit disc of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Write  $c_j$  as  $d_j |c_j|$  with  $|d_j| = 1$ . Since S is 1/(8N) dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ , one may choose

$$s_j \in S$$
 such that  $|d_j - s_j| < 1/(8N)$ . Let  $r_j = \lfloor 8N |c_j| \rfloor$ . Then, if  

$$\mu = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N s_j r_j \delta_{t_j},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{split} \|\nu - \mu\|_{M(G)} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} |c_j - s_j r_j / (8N)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} |c_j - |c_j| s_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{N} |s_j| c_j| - s_j r_j / (8N)| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} |c_j| |d_j - s_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{N} |s_j| \cdot ||c_j| - r_j / (8N)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} |d_j - s_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||c_j| - r_j / (8N)| \\ &\leq N / (8N) + N / (8N) = 1/4. \end{split}$$

It next follows that, for  $\gamma \in F$ ,

 $\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) = \Im[(\widehat{\nu}(\gamma)) - \{\widehat{\nu}(\gamma) - \widehat{\mu}(\gamma)\}] \ge \Im[\widehat{\nu}(\gamma)] - \|\nu - \mu\|_{M(G)} \ge 1/4.$ Likewise, for  $\gamma \in (E \setminus F)$ ,  $\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \le -1/4$ .

LEMMA 11. For any N, let S be a finite set which is 1/(8N) dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Assume that  $S(E) \leq N$  and  $E \subset \{1\} \times \Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \Gamma$ . For  $F \subset E$  and  $s \in S$  there are  $8N^2$  points of G, here labeled as  $t_{s,j}$ , such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in F)[\Im(\widehat{\tau}(\gamma)) \ge 1/8]$$
 and  $(\forall \gamma \in (E \setminus F))[\Im(\widehat{\tau}(\gamma)) \le -1/8]$ ,  
ere

where

$$\tau = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{j=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,j}}$$

Proof. Let  $p = (1,0) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times G$ . Then, for all  $\gamma \in E$ ,  $\widehat{\delta_0}(\gamma) = 1$  while  $\widehat{\delta_p}(\gamma) = -1$ . Thus for  $\gamma \in E$ ,  $\widehat{\delta_0}(\gamma) + \widehat{\delta_p}(\gamma) = 0$ .

Let  $F \subset E$  and  $\mu$  be a measure provided for F by Lemma 10. Rearrange  $\mu$  as follows:

$$\mu = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_j \sum_{q=1}^{r_j} \delta_{t_{j,q}},$$

where  $t_{j,q} = t_j$  for all  $q \in [1, r_j]$ . Set

$$W_j = \begin{cases} 2^{-1}(8N - r_j)(\delta_0 + \delta_p) & \text{for } r_j \text{ even,} \\ \delta_0 + 2^{-1}(8N - r_j - 1)(\delta_0 + \delta_p) & \text{for } r_j \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\phi = \mu + (8N)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_j W_j$ . Then one may write  $\phi$  as

$$\phi = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_j \sum_{q=1}^{8N} \delta_{t_{j,q}}.$$

Note that  $\widehat{W}_j(\gamma) \in \{0,1\}$  for  $\gamma \in E$  and therefore

$$|\widehat{\phi}(\gamma) - \widehat{\mu}(\gamma)| \le (8N)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |\widehat{W}_j(x)| \le 1/8$$

Thus, for  $\gamma \in F$ ,

$$\Im(\widehat{\phi}(\gamma)) = \Im\{\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) - (\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) - \widehat{\phi}(\gamma))\} \ge 1/4 - |\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) - \widehat{\phi}(\gamma)| \ge 1/8.$$

Likewise, for  $\gamma \in (E \setminus F)$ ,

$$\Im(\widehat{\phi}(\gamma)) = \Im\{\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) - (\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) - \widehat{\phi}(\gamma))\} \le -1/4 + |\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) - \widehat{\phi}(\gamma)| \le -1/8.$$

Next, rewrite  $\phi$  as follows:

$$\phi = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{\substack{j \in [1,N] \\ \& s_j = s}} \sum_{q=1}^{8N} \delta_{t_{j,q}} = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} sV_s.$$

The number of point masses in  $V_s$  is  $8Nf_s$  for some integer  $f_s \in [0, N]$   $(f_s$  is the number of j's such that  $s_j = s$ ). Let

$$Z_s = (N - f_s)(4N)(\delta_0 + \delta_p)$$

and set

$$\tau = \phi + (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} sZ_s.$$

Note that  $\widehat{Z}_s(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ,  $\widehat{\tau}|_E = \widehat{\phi}|_E$ , and  $\tau$  may be written as

$$(8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{q=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,q}}. \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 8. Set  $\phi(\infty) = \infty$  and let  $\phi(N) = \sup\{N(E) \mid S(E) \leq N\}$ . If  $\phi(N) < \infty$  for all N, the theorem is proved. Suppose that  $\phi(N) = \infty$  for a particular N. That is, there is a sequence of discrete abelian groups  $\Omega_i$  (with dual group  $H_i$ ) and subsets  $W_i \subset \Omega_i$  such that  $S(W_i) \leq N$  and  $N(W_i) > i$ . Let  $E_i = \{1\} \times W_i \subset \Gamma_i$ , where  $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \Omega_i$  and  $G_i = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times H_i$  is the group dual to  $\Gamma_i$ . By Lemma 9,  $S(E_i) = S(W_i) \leq N$  and  $N(E_i) = N(W_i)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the direct sum of the  $\Gamma_i$ , which is the set of all sequences  $\{\gamma_i\}_i$  with  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i$  and at most finitely many  $\gamma_i \neq 0$  [assume that the  $\Gamma_i$ 's are presented additively]. The dual group of  $\Gamma$  is the following

direct product:

$$G = \prod_{i} G_i.$$

If  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_i \in \Gamma$  and  $g = \{g_i\}_i \in G$ , then  $\langle \gamma, g \rangle = \prod_i \langle \gamma_i, g_i \rangle$ , where the latter infinite product has at most finitely many factors that differ from 1.  $\Gamma_i$  may be viewed as a subset of  $\Gamma$  in the natural way, as the set of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Denote this canonical copy of  $\Gamma_i$  by  $\Gamma_i^*$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma_i^* \subset \Gamma$  and  $g \in G$ ,

$$\widehat{\delta_g}(\gamma) = \langle \gamma_i, -g_i \rangle = \widehat{\delta_{g_i}}(\gamma_i)$$

where  $g_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  are the respective *i*th components of g and  $\gamma$ . Thus,  $N(E_i) = N(E_i^*)$  and  $S(E_i) = S(E_i^*)$  for each  $E_i \subset \Gamma_i$  and its canonical image  $E_i^*$  in  $\Gamma_i^*$ .

It will be proved that  $E^* = \bigcup_i E_i^*$  is an  $I_0$  set and thus  $N(E^*) < \infty$  by Theorem 7 of the Appendix. That will contradict equation (3I), which says that  $N(E^*) \ge N(E_i^*)$ , and thus

$$N(E^*) \ge N(E_i^*) = N(E_i) = N(W_i) > i \quad \text{for all } i.$$

This contradiction will prove that  $\phi(N) < \infty$  for all N.

To see that  $E^*$  is  $I_0$ , let S be a finite set which is 1/(8N) dense in T of cardinality M. It will be shown that  $E^*$  is  $J(8MN^2, 1/8)$  and hence an  $I_0$  set by Proposition 6.

Let  $F^* \subset E^*$ , and set  $F_i^* = F^* \cap E_i^*$ . Let  $F_i$  be the pre-image of  $F_i^*$  under the canonical embedding of  $\Gamma_i$  into  $\Gamma$ . Because  $S(E_i) \leq N$  and  $F_i \subset E_i$ , Lemma 11 provides a discrete measure  $\mu_i$  on  $G_i$  of the form

$$\mu_i = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{j=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,j}^i}$$

such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in F_i)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}_i(\gamma)) \ge 1/8]$$
 and  $(\forall \gamma \in E_i \setminus F_i)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}_i(\gamma)) \le -1/8].$ 

Let  $t_{s,j} \in G$  be defined to be  $t_{s,j}^i$  in the *i*th coordinate, and set

$$\mu = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{j=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,j}}.$$

Because any  $\gamma \in E_i^*$  has coordinates equal to 0 apart from the *i*th coordinate, and  $\gamma_i \in E_i$ , one has

$$\widehat{\delta_{t_{s,j}}}(\gamma) = \langle -t_{s,j}, \gamma \rangle = \langle -t_{s,j}^i, \gamma_i \rangle = \widehat{\delta_{t_{s,j}^i}}(\gamma_i).$$

For  $\gamma \in E_i^*$ , it follows that  $\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) = \widehat{\mu}_i(\gamma_i)$  with  $\gamma_i \in E_i$ . Note that  $\gamma_i \in F_i$  if

and only if  $\gamma \in F_i^*$ . Thus, for all i,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\forall \gamma \in F_i^*)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \geq 1/8] \quad \text{while} \quad (\forall \gamma \in (E_i^* \backslash F_i^*))[\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \leq -1/8].\\ \text{Since } F^* = \bigcup_i F_i^*, \text{ the imaginary part of } \widehat{\mu} \text{ is at least } 1/8 \text{ on } F^* \text{ and at most } -1/8 \text{ on } E^* \backslash F^*. \text{ This holds for an arbitrary } F^* \subset E^*, \text{ with a measure in } D(8MN^2). \text{ Thus } E^* \text{ is } J(8MN^2, 1/8). \blacksquare \end{array}$ 

A more direct proof of Theorem 8 can be adapted from [9], in which the following theorem is proved. Consider a Banach algebra B of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Assume that for every closed subset F of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(F)$  such that whenever N is both open and closed in F, B contains an element h of norm one satisfying  $\Re(h(M)) < 0$  for  $M \in N$ ,  $\Re(h(M)) > \varepsilon$  for  $M \in F \setminus N$ . Then  $B = C(\mathfrak{M})$ . In [9] a polynomial P is fixed, depending only on  $\varepsilon$  and some  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , such that for F, N and the corresponding h of the hypotheses, P(h)satisfies  $|P(h)(M)| < \varepsilon'$  for  $M \in F \setminus N$  while  $|P(h)(M) - 1| < \varepsilon'$  for  $M \in N$ . Thus  $\chi_N$  is approximated by P(h) within  $\varepsilon'$  in  $\ell^{\infty}(F)$ . With appropriate scalings ( $\varepsilon = 1/(2S(E))$ ), this could be applied to  $h = \hat{\nu}$  where  $\nu = -i\mu$ ,  $\mu \in D(S(E))$  with  $\Im(\widehat{\mu}) \ge 1/2$  on some  $F \subset E$  while  $\Im(\widehat{\mu}) \le -1/2$  on  $E \setminus F$ . It is clear that  $P(\nu)$  is in D(n) for some n which is determined by S(E) and  $\varepsilon'$  (and P, which is in turn specified to depend only on  $\varepsilon = 1/(2S(E))$  and  $\varepsilon'$ ). If  $\varepsilon'$  is set equal to 1/144, one can proceed as in the next paragraphs to get  $N(E) \leq 36n$ .

Following [12], one could define another degree for  $I_0$  sets. For  $\xi = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $\xi(\gamma) = (\gamma(g_1), \ldots, \gamma(g_n))$ . For  $\xi \in G^n$  and real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $U(\xi, \varepsilon) = \{\lambda \in \Gamma \mid \sup_i |\lambda(g_i) - 1| < \varepsilon\}$ . A basis for the topology of  $b\Gamma$  consists of  $\gamma + U(\xi, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\gamma$  ranges over  $\Gamma$ ,  $\xi$  ranges over  $\bigcup_n G^n$  and  $\varepsilon$  ranges over  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . By [6] and [12, Theorem 1, p. 172],  $E \subset \Gamma$  is  $I_0$  if and only if there are some k and real  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for all  $F \subset E$ , there is some  $\xi \in G^k$  for which  $F + U(\xi, \varepsilon)$  and  $(E \setminus F) + U(\xi, \varepsilon)$  are disjoint. Such sets are said to have order k (regardless of  $\varepsilon$ ) [12]. Define M(E) as the least k for which this result holds for k and  $\varepsilon = 1/k$ . By following the proof in [12, pp. 175–176], one can prove that  $N(E) \leq \psi(M(E))$  for some non-decreasing function  $\psi$  such that  $\psi(\mathbb{Z}^+) \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Also,  $M(E) \leq 4N(E)$ .

Here's how one could specify  $\psi$ . Given f in the unit ball of  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  and  $M(E) \leq k$ , one can approximate f within 1/4 with a linear sum of characteristic functions:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{36} c_j \chi_{F_j} \quad \text{with } |c_j| \le 1.$$

Each  $\chi_{F_j}$  can be approximated within 1/144 by the transform of a measure in D(n) where n is chosen as follows. In [12, p. 175] there is a function  $\chi \in A(T^k)$  chosen in a manner which depends only on k. Based upon it, choose N so that

(1

$$\sum_{\substack{(n_1,\ldots,n_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k\\\&|n_1|+\ldots+|n_k|>N}} |\widehat{\chi}(n_1,\ldots,n_k)| \le 1/144$$

Set

$$n = \sum_{\substack{(n_1,\dots,n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \\ \& |n_1|+\dots+|n_k| \le N}} \lceil |\widehat{\chi}(n_1,\dots,n_k)| \rceil.$$

In [12, p. 175], given an idempotent  $e \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$  and a particular  $\xi =$  $(g_1,\ldots,g_k)$  which separates the support of e from its complement with  $U(\xi, 1/k)$ , there is some  $\Phi_e$  such that  $e = \Phi_e \circ \xi|_E$  and  $|\Phi_e(n_1, \ldots, n_k)| \leq 1$  $|\widehat{\chi}(n_1,\ldots,n_k)|$ . Then, if

$$\mu = \sum_{\substack{(n_1,\dots,n_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k\\\&|n_1|+\dots+|n_k|\leq N}}\widehat{\Phi_e}(n_1,\dots,n_k)\delta_{-n_1g_1-\dots-n_kg_k},$$

 $\mu \in D(n)$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  interpolates e within 1/144. By doing this to each  $F_j$  for f, one interpolates f within 1/2 by the transform of a measure in D(36n) and hence  $N(E) \leq 36n$ . If  $\psi(k) = \sup\{N(E) \mid M(E) \leq k\}$ , then  $\psi(k) < \infty$ ,  $\psi$ is non-decreasing and  $N(E) \leq \psi(M(E))$ .

To see that  $M(E) \leq 4N(E)$ , let  $n = N(E) < \infty$  and  $F \subset E$ . Let f = 1 on F and -1 on  $E \setminus F$ . Let  $\mu \in D(n)$  interpolate f within 1/2. If  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \delta_{g_j}$ , let  $\xi = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ . If  $\lambda \in U(\xi, 1/(4n))$ , then for all  $\gamma$ ,

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\gamma + \lambda) - \widehat{\mu}(\gamma)| \le 1/4$$

Thus for  $\gamma \in F$ ,

$$\Re(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma + \lambda)) \ge 1/2 - 1/4 = 1/4,$$

while for  $\gamma \in E \setminus F$ ,

$$\Re(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma + \lambda)) \le -1/2 + 1/4 = -1/4$$

It is evident that  $F + U(\xi, 1/(4n))$  and  $(E \setminus F) + U(\xi, 1/(4n))$  are disjoint. Thus  $M(E) \leq 4n$ .

The proof of Theorem 8 provides an analog for  $I_0$  sets of "sup-norm partitions" used among Sidon sets [4, p. 370]. What is different about this construction is the "DC-offset" (an electrical engineering term): shifting the  $W_i$ 's into "odd" cosets before unioning them. This is not required in the usual sup-norm partition constructions.

**PROPOSITION 12.** Let  $W_i$  be a sequence of  $I_0$  sets, with  $W_i$  a subset of an abelian group  $\Omega_i$  and  $S(W_i) \leq N$  for some N. If  $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \Omega_i$  and  $E_i = \{1\} \times W_i$ , then  $E = \bigcup_i E_i$  is an  $I_0$  set in the direct sum of the  $\Gamma_i$ 's

with  $S(E) \leq 32MN^2$  (where M is the cardinality of a finite set which is 1/(8N) dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ ).

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 8, E is  $J(8MN^2, 1/8)$ . By repeating the interpolating measures 4 times, one sees that E is  $J(32MN^2, 1/2)$  and hence  $S(E) \leq 32MN^2$ .

Proposition 12 is proved in the category of discrete abelian groups, where there is plenty of room to fit diverse groups together. The analog of Proposition 12 is proved within  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the next proposition. Some care must be taken with this new construction of  $I_0$  sets, but its basic ideas are simple: rapidly dilate successive sets of the given sequence of  $I_0$  sets and provide a "DC-offset".

PROPOSITION 13. Let  $\{W_n\}_n$  be a sequence of finite  $I_0$  subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $S(W_n) \leq N$  for all n. There is a sequence of integers  $\{k_n\}$  with  $k_n \neq 0$  for all n such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n} (2k_n W_n + k_n)$$

is an  $I_0$  set with  $(2k_nW_n + k_n) \cap (2k_jW_j + k_j) = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq j$ .

LEMMA 14. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . For any N, let S be a finite set which is 1/(8N) dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Assume that  $S(E) \leq N$  and that  $E \subset k + 2k\mathbb{Z}$  for some non-zero integer k. Let  $F \subset E$ . Then for each  $s \in S$  there are  $8N^2$  points of  $\mathbb{T}$ , here labeled as  $t_{s,j}$ , such that

 $(\forall \gamma \in F)[\Im(\widehat{\tau}(\gamma)) \ge 1/8] \quad and \quad (\forall \gamma \in (E' \setminus F))[\Im(\widehat{\tau}(\gamma)) \le -1/8],$ 

where

$$\tau = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{j=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,j}}.$$

Proof. Let  $\mathbb{T}$ , the dual group of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , be presented as the interval  $(-\pi, \pi]$  with operations modulo  $2\pi$ . An integer n acts on  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  as follows:

$$n(t) = \langle n, t \rangle = e^{int}.$$

For all  $x \in E$ ,  $\widehat{\delta_0}(x) = 1$  while

$$\widehat{\delta_{\pi/k}}(x) = e^{ix\pi/k} = e^{i(k+2kj)\pi/k} = e^{i\pi} = -1.$$

Thus, for  $x \in E$ ,  $\widehat{\delta_0}(x) + \widehat{\delta_{\pi/k}}(x) = 0$ . From this point, the proof is identical to that of Lemma 11, with  $\delta_{\pi/k}$  replacing  $\delta_p$  in that proof.

Proof of Proposition 13. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $W_n \neq \emptyset$  for all n. The integers  $k_n$  shall be chosen inductively. Let  $k_1 = 1$ ; given  $k_j$  for  $j \leq n$ , let  $D_n$  be the maximum absolute value of any element of  $\bigcup_{j\leq n}(2k_jW_j+k_j)$ . Fix some finite subset S which is 1/(8N)dense in  $\mathbb{T}$  and of cardinality Q. For n > 1 choose  $k_n \geq 32NQD_{n-1}$  and L. T. RAMSEY

let  $E_n = k_n + 2k_n W_n$ . Since every element of  $E_n$  is an odd multiple of  $k_n$ ,  $|x| \ge k_n$  for all  $x \in E_n$ ; since  $E_n \ne \emptyset$ ,  $D_n \ge k_n$ . Since  $F_1 \ne \emptyset$ ,  $D_n \ge k_1 > 0$ . Thus, for n > 1,  $k_n \ge 32NQD_{n-1} > D_{n-1}$ , which guarantees that  $E_n$  is disjoint from  $E_j$  for j < n. Finally, for j < n and  $x \in E_j$ ,

$$k_n \ge (32NQ)^{n-j} D_j \ge (32NQ)^{n-j} |x|$$

In particular,  $k_n \ge (32NQ)^{n-1}D_1 \ge (32NQ)^{n-1}$  for n > 1. [Of course,  $k_1 = 1 \ge (32NQ)^0$  as well.]

Let  $F \subset E$  and  $F_i = F \cap E_i$ . Lemma 14 provides a discrete measure  $\mu_1$ on  $\mathbb{T}$  of the form

$$u_1 = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{j=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,j}^1}$$

such that

 $(\forall \gamma \in F_1)[\Im(\widehat{\mu_1}(\gamma)) \ge 1/8]$  and  $(\forall \gamma \in E_1 \setminus F_1)[\Im(\widehat{\mu_1}(\gamma)) \le -1/8].$ Proceed inductively. Suppose that for j < n one has  $\mu_j$  such that

 $(\forall \gamma \in F_j)[\Im(\widehat{\mu_j}(\gamma)) \ge 1/8]$  and  $(\forall \gamma \in E_j \setminus F_j)[\Im(\widehat{\mu_j}(\gamma)) \le -1/8]$ , where

$$\mu_j = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{q=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t^j_{s,q}}$$

and  $|t_{s,q}^j - t_{s,q}^{j-1}| \leq \pi/k_j$  for  $j \in (1, n)$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $q \in [1, 8N^2]$ . Because  $E_n = k_n + 2k_nW_n$  with  $k_n \neq 0$ , one has  $S(E_n) = S(W_n) \leq N$ . By Lemma 14, there is some  $\mu$  such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in F_n)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \ge 1/8]$$
 and  $(\forall \gamma \in E_n \setminus F_n)[\Im(\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)) \le -1/8],$ 

where

$$\mu = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{q=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{z_{s,q}^n}.$$

However, since every  $x \in E_n$  is a multiple of  $k_n$ , for any integers  $p_{q,s}$ ,

$$\widetilde{\delta_{w+z_{s,q}^n}}(x) = \widetilde{\delta_{z_{s,q}^n}}(x) \quad \text{for } w = 2\pi p_{q,s}/k_n$$

Thus  $\widehat{\mu}|_{E_n} = \widehat{\lambda}|_{E_n}$  when

$$\lambda = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{q=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{z_{s,q}^n + p_{q,s} 2\pi/k_n}.$$

Choose  $p_{q,s}$  so that

$$|z_{s,q}^n + p_{q,s}2\pi/k_n - t_{s,q}^{n-1}| \le \pi/k_n$$

Let  $\mu_n = \lambda$  with this choice of the  $p_{q,s}$ . That is,  $t_{s,q}^n = z_{s,q}^n + p_{q,s} 2\pi/k_n$ .

It follows that, for each  $s\in S$  and  $1\leq q\leq 8N^2,$   $t_{s,q}=\lim_{j\to\infty}t_{s,q}^j$  exists because

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |t_{s,q}^j - t_{s,q}^{j-1}| \le \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \pi/k_j \le \pi \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (32NQ)^{-j+1} < \infty.$$

Moreover, for  $x \in E_j$  and n > j,

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{\delta_{t_{s,q}^{n}}}(x) - \widehat{\delta_{t_{s,q}^{j}}}(x)| &= |e^{-ixt_{s,q}^{n}} - e^{-ixt_{s,q}^{j}}| \\ &= \Big| \sum_{w=j+1}^{n} e^{-ixt_{s,q}^{w}} - e^{-ixt_{s,q}^{w-1}} \Big| \\ &\leq \sum_{w=j+1}^{n} |e^{-ixt_{s,q}^{w}} - e^{-ixt_{s,q}^{w-1}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{w=j+1}^{n} |x(t_{s,q}^{w} - t_{s,q}^{w-1})| \leq |x| \sum_{w=j+1}^{n} (\pi/k_{w}) \\ &\leq \pi |x| \sum_{w=j+1}^{n} |x|^{-1} (32NQ)^{-(w-j)} \\ &< (\pi/(32NQ))(1 - 1/(32NQ))^{-1} \\ &= \pi/(32NQ - 1) < \pi/(31NQ). \end{split}$$

If one fixes j and lets  $n \to \infty$ , then for  $x \in E_j$ ,

$$|\widehat{\delta_{t_{s,q}}}(x) - \widehat{\delta_{t_{s,q}^j}}(x)| \le \pi/(31NQ).$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$\varrho = (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{q=1}^{8N^2} \delta_{t_{s,q}}.$$

Then, for all  $x \in E_j$ ,

$$|\widehat{\mu_{j}}(x) - \widehat{\varrho}(x)| = \left| (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s \sum_{q=1}^{8N^{2}} (\widehat{\delta_{t_{s,q}^{j}}}(x) - \widehat{\delta_{t_{s,q}}}(x)) \right|$$
$$\leq (8N)^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} |s| \sum_{q=1}^{8N^{2}} (\pi/(31NQ)) = \pi/31$$

Thus for all i,

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall \gamma \in F_i)[\Im(\widehat{\varrho}(\gamma)) \geq 1/8 - \pi/31] \quad \text{and} \\ (\forall \gamma \in (E_i \setminus F_i))[\Im(\widehat{\varrho}(\gamma)) \leq -1/8 + \pi/31]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F = \bigcup_i F_i$ , the imaginary part of  $\hat{\varrho}$  is at least .02 on F and at most -.02 on  $E \setminus F$ . Because this holds for any  $F \subset E$  with a measure in  $D(8QN^2)$ , E is  $J(8QN^2, .02)$  and hence  $I_0$ .

**Proportions of Sidon sets are**  $I_0$  sets. The following theorem originated in conversations with Gilles Pisier.

THEOREM 15. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete abelian group. Then  $E \subset \Gamma$  is Sidon if and only if there are N and some real r > 0 such that, for all finite  $F \subset E$ , there is some  $H \subset F$  for which  $|H| \ge r|F|$  and  $S(E) \le N$ .

A key ingredient of the proof of Theorem 15 is a theorem of Pisier's [14, p. 941]. Other critical ingredients are recycled from [3, 13].

Proof of Theorem 15. To prove sufficiency, suppose that  $E \subset \Gamma$  has some N and real r > 0 such that, for every finite subset  $F \subset E$ ,

$$(\exists H \subset F)(|H| \ge r|F| \text{ and } S(H) \le N).$$

Then H is  $I(\phi(N), 1/2)$  by Theorem 8. By the proof of Theorem 7 of the Appendix, condition (3) of that theorem holds with M = 2 and  $\delta = (1/2)^{1/\phi(N)}$ . It follows that, for every f in the unit ball of  $\ell_{\infty}(H)$ , there is some  $\mu \in M_d(G)$  such that  $\hat{\mu}|_H = f$  and  $\|\mu\|_{M_d(G)} \leq L = 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j/\phi(N)} < \infty$ . Thus, there is a constant L which depends only on N and satisfies  $\|f\|_{B_d(H)} \leq L \|f\|_{\ell_{\infty}(H)}$  for all  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(H)$ . Since  $\|f\|_{B(H)} \leq \|f\|_{B_d(H)}$ , one has  $\|f\|_{B(H)} \leq L \|f\|_{\ell_{\infty}(H)}$ . Thus H is a Sidon set with Sidon constant at most L. That suffices to make E be Sidon by Corollary 2.3 of [14, p. 924].

Now suppose that E is Sidon. By [14, p. 941] there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all finite  $F \subset E$ , there are at least  $2^{\delta|F|}$  points  $g_j$  of G such that, for  $i \neq j$ ,

(5) 
$$\sup_{\gamma \in F} |\gamma(g_j) - \gamma(g_i)| \ge \delta_i$$

Necessarily,  $\delta \leq 2$ .

Let  $F \subset E$  with |F| = n. Enumerate F as  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ . Choose p so that  $\tau = 2\pi/p < \delta/2$  (e.g., let  $p = 1 + \lceil 4\pi/\delta \rceil$ ). Partition  $\mathbb{T}$  into disjoint arcs,  $T_k$ ,  $0 \leq k < p$ , of the form

$$T_k = \{ e^{i\theta} \mid k\tau \le \theta < (k+1)\tau \}.$$

Let  $Q = \lceil (1 - 2^{-\delta/2})^{-1} \rceil$  and set  $\tau' = \tau/Q$ . Partition each  $T_k$  into Q arcs  $U_{k,m}$  of the form

$$U_{k,m} = \{ e^{i\theta} \mid k\tau + m\tau' \le \theta < k\tau + (m+1)\tau' \}$$

for  $0 \leq m < Q$ . Finally, let  $S_0$  denote a set of at least  $2^{\delta|F|}$  points of G which satisfy inequality (5).

Define  $S_i$  inductively. Let

 $\mathcal{S}_{k}^{i} = \{g \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \mid \gamma_{i}(g) \in T_{k}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_{k,m}^{i} = \{g \in \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \mid \gamma_{i}(g) \in U_{k,m}\}.$ Then  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{S}_{k}^{i}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{k}^{i} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{Q-1} \mathcal{S}_{k,m}^{i}.$  There is some m(i,k) such that  $|\mathcal{S}_{k,m(i,k)}^{i}| \leq Q^{-1} |\mathcal{S}_{k}^{i}|.$ 

So,

$$\left|\bigcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{S}_{k,m(i,k)}^{i}\right| \le Q^{-1} |\mathcal{S}_{i-1}|.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{S}_{k,m(i,k)}^i.$$

Then  $|\mathcal{S}_i| \ge (1-Q^{-1})|\mathcal{S}_{i-1}|$ . By induction one has  $|\mathcal{S}_n| \ge (1-Q^{-1})^n|\mathcal{S}_0|$ . Note that  $Q \ge (1-2^{-\delta/2})^{-1}$ ; consequently,  $(1-Q^{-1}) \ge 2^{-\delta/2}$ . Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n| \ge (1 - Q^{-1})^n |\mathcal{S}_0| \ge (2^{-\delta/2})^n 2^{\delta n} = 2^{n\delta/2}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq k < p$ , let  $I_{i,k}$  be the arc between  $U_{k-1,m(i,k-1)}$ and  $U_{k,m(i,k)}$ . For k = 0, let  $I_{i,0}$  be the arc between  $U_{p-1,m(i,p-1)}$  and  $U_{0,m(i,0)}$ . Necessarily,

(6) 
$$I_{i,k} \subset \{ e^{i\theta} \mid (k-1)\tau + \tau' \le \theta < (k+1)\tau - \tau' \}.$$

The length (and hence the diameter) of each of these arcs is at most  $(2 - 2/Q)\tau < 2 \cdot (\delta/2) = \delta$ . For  $j \neq k$  there are arcs of length  $\tau'$  separating  $I_{i,k}$  from  $e^{ij\tau}$  within  $\mathbb{T}$ , namely  $U_{k-1,m(i,k-1)}$  and  $U_{k,m(i,k)}$  when  $1 \leq k < p$ , and  $U_{p-1,m(i,p-1)}$  and  $U_{0,m(i,0)}$  for k = 0.

Each sequence  $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , with  $0 \le k_i < p$ , defines a cylinder in  $\ell_{\infty}(F)$  of the following form:

$$W[\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n] = \{f \in \ell_{\infty}(F) \mid f(\gamma_i) \in I_{i,k_i}\}.$$

For  $g \in G$ , let  $f_g(\gamma) = \gamma(g)$  for  $\gamma \in F$ . Because these cylinders are disjoint, each  $f_g$  is in at most one of them.  $g \in S_n$  was specified to guarantee that  $f_g$ would be in at least one of these cylinders. For  $g \in S_n$ , define  $h(g) \in \ell_{\infty}(F)$ by  $h(g)(\gamma_i) = k_i$  where  $f_g(\gamma_i) \in I_{i,k_i}$  and thus  $f_g \in W[\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n]$ . Because each cylinder has diameter less than  $\delta$ , each cylinder contains at most one  $f_g$  for  $g \in S_n$ . Hence  $|h(S_n)| = |S_n| \ge 2^{n\delta/2}$ . For any subset  $H \subset F$ , let  $\Pi^H$ be this projection: for  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(F)$ ,  $\Pi^H(f) = f|_H$ . By Corollary 2 of [13, p. 742], there is a constant c'' > 0 which depends only on  $\delta/2$  and p (which themselves depend only on  $\delta$ ) such that there are some  $H \subset F$  and integers a < b from [1, p] such that

$$|H| \ge c''|F|$$
 and  $\{a, b\}^H \subset \Pi^H(h(\mathcal{S}_n)).$ 

L. T. RAMSEY

If  $b-a \leq p/2$ , let a' = a and b' = b. If b-a > p/2, let a' = b and b' = a + p. In either case, let  $a'' = a' \mod p$  and  $b'' = b' \mod p$ . Then  $\{a'', b''\} = \{a, b\}$  with a' < b' and  $b' - a' \leq p/2$ .

Case 1:  $b'-a' \ge 2$ . Let c = (a'+b')/2. Then  $b'-c \ge 1$ ,  $c-a' \ge 1$ ,  $b'-c \le p/4$  and  $c-a' \le p/4$ . If  $z_2 \in I_{i,b''}$ , then  $z_2 = e^{i\theta}$  with

$$c\tau + \tau' \le (b'-1)\tau + \tau' \le \theta < (b'+1)\tau - \tau' < c\tau + p\tau/4 + 1,$$

because  $\tau = 2\pi/p < \delta/2$  and  $\delta \leq 2$  (see condition (6)). Hence

$$e^{-ic\tau}z_2 = e^{i(\theta - c\tau)}$$
 with  $\tau' \le \theta - c\tau < \pi/2 + 1$ .

Thus  $e^{-ic\tau}z_2$  is in the upper half-plane, with

$$\Im(e^{-ic\tau}z_2) \ge \tau'' = \min\{\sin(\tau'), \sin(\pi/2+1)\} > 0.$$

Likewise, if  $z_1 \in I_{i,a''}$ , then then  $z_1 = e^{i\theta}$  with

$$c\tau - p\tau/4 - 1 < (a'-1)\tau + \tau' \le \theta < (a'+1)\tau - \tau' < c\tau - \tau'.$$

Hence

$$e^{-ic\tau}z_1 = e^{i(\theta - c\tau)}$$
 with  $-\pi/2 - 1 < \theta - c\tau < -\tau'$ .

Thus  $e^{-ic\tau}z_1$  is in the lower half-plane, with

$$\Im(e^{-ic\tau}z_1) < -\tau'' < 0.$$

Because  $\{a, b\}^H \subset \Pi^H(h(\mathcal{S}_n))$  and  $\{a, b\} = \{a'', b''\}$ , for any  $A \subset H$ there is some  $g \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $h(g)(\gamma) = b''$  for  $\gamma \in A$  and  $h(g)(\gamma) = a''$  for  $\gamma \in H \setminus A$ . Let  $\mu = e^{-ic\tau} \delta_{-q}$ ;  $\mu \in D(1)$ . For  $\gamma \in A$  we have

$$\Im(e^{-ic\tau}\delta_{-g}(\gamma)) = \Im(e^{-ic\tau}\gamma(g)) \ge \tau''.$$

Likewise, for  $\gamma_i \in H \backslash A$ ,

$$\Im(e^{-ic\tau}\delta_{-g}(\gamma)) = \Im(e^{-ic\tau}\gamma(g)) < -\tau''.$$

This proves that H is  $J(1, \tau'')$ .

Case 2: b' = a' + 1. Because  $\{a, b\}^H \subset \Pi^H(h(\mathcal{S}_n))$  and  $\{a, b\} = \{a'', b''\}$ , for every  $A \subset H$  there are  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in A)(h(g_1)(\gamma) = b'' \text{ and } h(g_2)(\gamma) = a''),$$

while

$$(\forall \gamma \in H \setminus A)(h(g_2)(\gamma) = a'' \text{ and } h(g_2)(\gamma) = b'').$$

The arc  $U_{i,m(i,a'')}$  equals  $\{e^{i\theta} \mid x \leq \theta < x + \tau'\}$  with  $a'\tau \leq x < x + \tau' \leq b'\tau$ . If  $z_2 \in I_{i,b''}$ , then  $z_2 = e^{i\theta}$  with  $x + \tau' \leq \theta < (b'+1)\tau - \tau'$ . If  $z_1 \in I_{i,a''}$ , then  $z_1 = e^{i\theta}$  with  $(a'-1)\tau + \tau' \leq \theta < x$ . Thus, for  $\gamma_i \in A$ ,  $\gamma_i(g_1 - g_2) = \gamma_i(g_1)/\gamma_i(g_2) = e^{i\theta}$  with

$$\tau' < \theta < (b-a)\tau + 2\tau - 2\tau' = (3 - 2/Q)\tau < 3.$$

Thus, when  $\gamma \in A$ ,  $\gamma(g_1 - g_2)$  is in the upper half-plane and

 $\Im(\gamma(g_1 - g_2)) \ge \tau''' = \min\{\sin(\tau'), \sin(3)\}.$ 

For  $\gamma_i \in H \setminus A$ ,

$$\gamma_i(g_1 - g_2) = \gamma_i(g_1) / \gamma_i(g_2) = e^{i\theta}$$

with  $-3 < (-3 + 2/Q)\tau < \theta < a' - b' = -\tau'$ .

Thus, when  $\gamma \in H \setminus A$ ,  $\gamma_i(g_1 - g_2)$  in the lower half-plane with

 $\Im(\gamma(g_1 - g_2)) \le -\tau'''.$ 

This makes H a  $J(1,\tau^{\prime\prime\prime})$  set.  $\blacksquare$ 

The proof of Theorem 15 produces "proportional" subsets of Sidon sets (and therefore  $I_0$  sets) which are of order 1 according to [12, pp. 182–186]. In [12] this unresolved question was posed: must  $I_0$  sets be finite unions of order 1 sets?

Are Sidon sets finite unions of  $I_0$  sets? David Grow asked in [5] whether Sidon sets had to be finite unions of  $I_0$  sets. Theorem 15 provides some evidence that they could be, but that question is not resolved here. The next two theorems provide a necessary condition: one for  $\mathbb{Z}$  and one for the category of abelian groups.

DEFINITION. For discrete abelian groups  $\Gamma$  and  $E \subset \Gamma$ , let  $\nu(E, m)$  be the minimum number of  $I_0$  sets of degree at most m of which E is the union and let  $\nu(E, m) = \infty$  when no such finite union exists.

THEOREM 16. If every Sidon subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a finite union of  $I_0$  sets, then there is some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and a non-decreasing function  $\phi : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$\nu(E, \phi(r)) \le \phi(r) \quad \text{if } \alpha(E) \le r.$$

THEOREM 17. Suppose that, for all abelian groups  $\Gamma$  and Sidon subsets E of  $\Gamma$ , E is the finite union of  $I_0$  sets. Then there is a non-decreasing function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$\alpha(E) \leq r \quad implies \quad \nu(E, \phi(r)) \leq \phi(r)$$

These lemmas will be helpful. Their proofs are close to the definitions.

LEMMA 18. For discrete abelian groups  $\Gamma$  and subsets E and F of  $\Gamma$ , if  $E \subset F$  then  $\nu(E,m) \leq \nu(F,m)$ . If  $m \leq n$ , then  $\nu(E,m) \geq \nu(E,n)$ .

LEMMA 19. For  $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and integers  $k \neq 0$  and q,  $\alpha(kE+q) = \alpha(E)$ , N(kE+q) = N(E), and  $\nu(kE+q,m) = \nu(E,m)$ .

LEMMA 20. For discrete abelian groups  $\Gamma$  and  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

(4F)  $\nu(E,m) = \sup\{\nu(F,m) \mid F \subset E \& F \text{ is finite}\}.$ 

The proof of Lemma 20 is postponed until after the proof of Theorem 16.

Proof of Theorem 16. Suppose that, for all real  $r \ge 1$ , there is some m such that

(7) 
$$\alpha(E) \le r \text{ implies } \nu(E,m) \le m.$$

If  $\phi(r)$  is defined to be the minimum *m* such that condition (7) holds, then  $\phi$  is non-decreasing with *r* and meets the requirements of the theorem.

So, for some real  $r \geq 1$ , suppose that for all m there is some  $E_m \subset \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $\alpha(E_m) \leq r$  and  $\nu(E_m, m) > m$ . By Lemma 20, there is a finite subset  $F_m$  of  $E_m$  with  $\alpha(F_m) \leq r$  and  $\nu(F_m, m) > m$ . Let

$$F = \bigcup_m k_m F_m.$$

By Lemmas 18 and 19,  $\nu(F,m) \geq \nu(k_m F_m,m) = \nu(F_m,m) > m$  for all m. Thus F is not a finite union of  $I_0$  sets. If we choose  $k_m$  to increase rapidly, F will be a Sidon set; this will contradict the hypotheses.

To make F be Sidon let  $k_1 = 1$  and, for m > 1, let  $k_m > \pi^2 2^m M_{m-1}$ , where  $M_t$  is the maximum absolute value of an element of  $\bigcup_{s < t} k_s F_s$ . Then, just as in the proof of Proposition 12.2.4, pages 371–372 of [4],  $\{k_m F_m\}_m$ is a sup-norm partition for F: if  $p_m$  is a  $k_m F_m$ -polynomial (on  $\mathbb{T}$ ) and is non-zero for at most finitely many m, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|p_m\|_{\infty} \le 2\pi \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_m \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Recall that B(F) (the restrictions to F of Fourier transforms of bounded Borel measures on  $\mathbb{T}$ ) is the Banach space dual of  $\operatorname{Trig}_F(\mathbb{T})$  (the trigonometric polynomials with spectrum in F). For  $p \in \operatorname{Trig}_F(\mathbb{T})$ , let  $p_m$  denote its summand in  $\operatorname{Trig}_{k_m F_m}(\mathbb{T})$  under the natural decomposition. Then  $f \in B(F)$ , and

$$\begin{split} |\langle f, p \rangle| &= \Big| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle f, p_m \rangle \Big| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, p_m \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|f|_{k_m F_m} \|_{B(k_m F_m)} \|p_m\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq (\sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \|f|_{k_m F_m} \|_{B(k_m F_m)}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|p_m\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq (r \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \|f|_{k_m F_m} \|_{\infty}) (2\pi \|p\|_{\infty}) \leq (2\pi r \|f\|_{\infty}) \|p\|_{\infty}. \end{split}$$

Thus,  $||f||_{B(F)} \leq 2\pi r ||f||_{\infty}$ . By the definition of Sidon constant,  $\alpha(F) \leq 2\pi r$  and thus F is Sidon.

Proof of Theorem 17. As in the proof of Theorem 8, suppose that there is some  $r \in [1, \infty)$  such that, for all m, there is an abelian group  $\Gamma_m$ and  $F_m \subset \Gamma_m$  for which  $\alpha(F_m) \leq r$  and  $\mu(F_m, m) > m$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the direct sum of the  $\Gamma_m$ 's. Embed  $\Gamma_m$  into  $\Gamma$  canonically:  $x \mapsto \gamma_x$ , where  $\gamma_x(m) = x$  and  $\gamma_x(j) = 0$  for  $j \neq m$ . Under this embedding, neither  $\alpha(F_m)$ nor  $\nu(F_m, m)$  changes. Let

$$F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m$$

Then for all  $m, \nu(F,m) \geq \nu(F_m,m) > m$ . Evidently, F is not the finite union of  $I_0$  sets.

To see that F is a Sidon set, set  $E = F \setminus \{0\}$  and  $E_m = F_m \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\{E_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a sup-norm partition of E. Specifically, let G be the compact group dual to  $\Gamma$  ( $\Gamma$  is given the discrete topology). For  $p \in \operatorname{Trig}_E(G)$ , if  $p_j$  denotes its natural summand in  $\operatorname{Trig}_{E_j}(\Gamma)$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|p_j\|_{\infty} \le \pi \|p\|_{\infty}$$

by Lemma 12.2.2 of page 370 of [4]. To apply that lemma two things are required. First, no  $E_j$  may contain 0, which is true here. Second, in the language of [4], the ranges of  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are 0-additive: given  $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  from G, there is some  $g \in G$  for which

(8) 
$$\left| p(g) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(g_j) \right| = 0.$$

Here's a proof of equation (8). G is the infinite direct product of  $G_m = \widehat{\Gamma_m}$ . That is,  $g \in G$  if and only if

$$g: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \bigcup_m G_m, \quad \text{with } g(m) \in G_m$$

Let  $g \in G$  satisfy  $g(j) = g_j(j)$ . Note that for any character  $\gamma$  used in  $p_j$ ,  $\langle \gamma, g \rangle$  is determined by g(j) (because  $\gamma$  is 0 in every other coordinate):

$$\langle \gamma, g \rangle = \prod_{s} \langle \gamma(s), g(s) \rangle = \langle \gamma(j), g(j) \rangle = \langle \gamma(j), g_j(j) \rangle = \langle \gamma, g_j \rangle.$$

Thus  $p(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(g_j)$ . Once it is known that E is supnorm partitioned by the  $E_t$ 's, then just as in the proof of Theorem 16 one has

$$\alpha(E) \le \pi \sup \alpha(E_t) \le \pi r.$$

That proves that E is Sidon. Since  $\{0\}$  is a Sidon set, and the union of two Sidon sets is Sidon [11],  $E \cup \{0\}$  is Sidon. Because  $F \subset E \cup \{0\}$ , that makes F be Sidon as well.

L. T. RAMSEY

Proof of Lemma 20. Let t equal the right-hand side of (4F). By Lemma 18,  $t \leq \nu(E, m)$ . Consider next the reversed inequality. For finite  $F \subset E$  there are  $I_0$  sets  $I_{q,F}$  (possibly equal to  $\emptyset$ ) with  $I_0$ -degree no more than m such that

$$F = \bigcup_{q=1}^{l} I_{q,F}.$$

Without loss of generality, it may be assumed that the  $I_{q,F}$ 's are disjoint for distinct q's. Hence

(9) 
$$\chi_F = \sum_{q=1}^t \chi_{I_{q,F}}.$$

By using Alaoglu's theorem in  $\ell_{\infty}(\Gamma) = \ell_1(\Gamma)^*$  with successive subnets t times, there is a subnet  $F_{\beta}$  of the net of all finite subsets of E (ordered by increasing inclusion) such that

$$\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \chi_{I_{q,F_{\beta}}} = f_q \quad \text{weak-* in } \ell_{\infty}(\Gamma), \quad \text{ for } 1 \le q \le t.$$

This convergence implies pointwise convergence on  $\Gamma$ .

Necessarily,  $f_q = \chi_{I_q}$  for some set  $I_q \subset \Gamma$ . By equation (9),

$$\sum_{q=1}^t \chi_{I_q} = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \sum_{q=1}^t \chi_{I_{q,F_\beta}} = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \chi_{F_\beta} = \chi_E.$$

Thus, E is the disjoint union of the  $I_q$ 's. Because each  $I_q$  is the limit of  $I_{q,F_\beta}$  with  $N(I_{q,F_\beta}) \leq m$ , we have  $N(I_q) \leq m$  by Proposition 5.

We conclude this section by observing that the class of finite unions of  $I_0$  sets is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$ .

PROPOSITION 21. The class of subsets of  $\Gamma$  which are finite unions of  $I_0$  sets is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $2^{\Gamma}$ : they are  $\bigcup_i \{E \subset \Gamma \mid \nu(E,i) \leq i\}$ , where  $\{E \subset \Gamma \mid \nu(E,i) \leq i\}$  is closed in  $2^{\Gamma}$ .

Proof. *E* is in the class if and only if there are *m* and *n* such that  $\nu(E,m) \leq n$ . Since  $\nu(E,m) \leq n$  implies  $\nu(E,i) \leq i$  for  $i = \max\{m,n\}$ , this class is equal to  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{U}_i$ , where

$$\mathcal{U}_i = \{ E \subset \Gamma \mid \nu(E, i) \le i \}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2, equation (4F) and Lemma 18 imply that  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is closed in  $2^{\Gamma}$ .

### Appendix

LEMMA 1. For  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$AP(E) = C(b\Gamma)|_E = C(\overline{E})|_E = AP(\Gamma)|_E$$

Proof. Let us adopt as the definition of AP(E) that it is the closure in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  of  $B_d(E)$ . First consider  $AP(E) = C(b\Gamma)|_E$ . Let  $g \in C(b\Gamma)$ . By [18, p. 32], there is a sequence  $\mu_j \in M_d(G)$  such that  $\widehat{\mu_j}$  converges uniformly on  $\Gamma$  to g. Necessarily, since  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$\widehat{\mu_j}|_E \in B_d(E)$$
 and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} \widehat{\mu_j}|_E = g|_E$  in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ .

That puts  $g|_E$  in AP(E). Conversely, suppose that  $w \in AP(E)$ . There is a sequence of  $\mu_j \in M_d(G)$  such that  $\widehat{\mu_j}|_E$  converges uniformly on E to w. Because E is dense in  $\overline{E}$  and this convergence is uniform on E, it follows that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \widehat{\mu_j}|_{\bar{E}} = f$$

for some f which is a continuous function on  $\overline{E}$  and  $f|_E = w$ . Because  $b\Gamma$  is compact and Hausdorff, it is normal; thus Tietze's extension theorem applies to f and there is some  $g \in C(b\Gamma)$  such that  $g|_{\overline{E}} = f$  (see [2]). Since  $E \subset \overline{E}$ ,

$$w = f|_E = g|_E.$$

Thus,  $w \in C(b\Gamma)|_E$ .

Next, consider  $C(b\Gamma)|_E = C(\overline{E})|_E$ . Let  $f \in C(\overline{E})$ . As happened in the previous paragraph, Tietze's extension theorem provides some  $g \in C(b\Gamma)$  such that  $g|_{\overline{E}} = f$ . Since  $E \subset \overline{E}$ , one has  $f|_E = g|_E$ . Conversely, suppose that  $g \in C(b\Gamma)$ . Then  $g|_{\overline{E}} \in C(\overline{E})$ . Necessarily, since  $E \subset \overline{E}$ ,

$$g|_E = (g|_{\bar{E}})|_E.$$

Finally, consider  $C(b\Gamma)|_E = AP(\Gamma)|_E$ . Let  $f \in AP(\Gamma)$ . By [18, p. 32], f extends to a continuous function  $g \in C(b\Gamma)$ . Since  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $f|_E = g|_E$ . Conversely, let  $g \in C(b\Gamma)$ ; by [18, p. 32],  $g|_{\Gamma} \in AP(\Gamma)$ . Since  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$g|_E = (g|_{\varGamma})|_E.$$

DEFINITION.  $E \subset \Gamma$  is called *helsonian* if and only if  $\overline{E} \subset b\Gamma$  is a Helson set in  $b\Gamma$ .

PROPOSITION 2.  $E \subset \Gamma$  is helsonian if and only if  $B_d(E) = AP(E)$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $E \subset \Gamma$  is helsonian. Let  $f \in AP(E)$ . By Lemma 1, there is some  $g \in C(\overline{E})$  such that  $g|_E = f$ . By hypothesis,  $\overline{E} \subset b\Gamma$  is Helson; the definition of Helson is that, for every continuous function g on  $\overline{E}$ , there is some  $\mu \in L_1(G_d) = M_d(G)$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}|_{\overline{E}} = g$ . Because  $E \subset \overline{E}$ ,

$$\widehat{\mu}|_E = g|_E = f.$$

Thus,  $AP(E) \subset B_d(E)$ ; by condition (1) of the first section,  $AP(E) = B_d(E)$ .

Next, suppose that  $AP(E) = B_d(E)$  and let  $f \in C(\overline{E})$ . By Lemma 1,  $f|_E \in AP(E)$ ; since  $AP(E) = B_d(E)$ ,

$$f|_E = \widehat{\mu}|_E$$
 for some  $\mu \in M_d(G)$ .

Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is continuous on  $b\Gamma$  and  $\overline{E} \subset b\Gamma$ ,  $\hat{\mu}|_{\overline{E}}$  is continuous on  $\overline{E}$ . Because both  $\widehat{\mu}|_{\overline{E}}$  and f are continuous on  $\overline{E}$ , E is dense in  $\overline{E}$ , and  $f|_E = \widehat{\mu}|_E$ , one has

$$f = \widehat{\mu}|_{\bar{E}}$$

This makes  $\overline{E}$  be a Helson subset of  $b\Gamma$  and hence E helsonian.

**PROPOSITION 3.** Helsonian implies Sidon.

Proof. By [18, p. 115, Thm. 5.6.3],  $\overline{E} \subset b\Gamma$  is Helson if and only if there is some  $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that, for all bounded Borel measures  $\mu$  supported on  $\overline{E}$ ,

$$\|\mu\| \le K \|\widehat{\mu}\|_{\ell_{\infty}(G_d)}.$$

This applies to the discrete measures supported on  $E, \mu \in M_d(E)$ . Because  $E \subset \Gamma$ , for  $\mu \in M_d(E)$  one has  $\hat{\mu}$  continuous on G with respect to the original compact topology on G. Thus, for  $\mu \in \ell_1(E) = M_d(E)$ ,

(A-1) 
$$\|\mu\| \le K \|\widehat{\mu}\|_{C(G)}.$$

Let W(G) be the space  $\ell_1(E)$ , with the supremum norm. By (A-1) it is a closed subspace of C(G) and equivalent under  $\phi = \hat{t}$  to  $\ell_1(E)$ . Therefore, using Banach space dualities,  $\phi^*$  is an equivalence between  $W(G)^*$  and  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ . Since W(G) is a closed subspace of C(G),  $W(G)^*$  is a quotient Banach space of  $C(G)^* = M(G)$ :  $w \in W(G)^*$  if and only if there is some  $\nu \in M(G)$  such that  $w = \nu + W(G)^{\perp}$ , where

$$W(G)^{\perp} = \{ \mu \in M(G) \mid \mu(W(G)) = \{0\} \}.$$

Thus, for  $w \in W(G)^*$  and  $f \in \ell_1(E)$ , if  $w = \nu + W(G)^{\perp}$ , then  $\langle \phi^*(w), f \rangle = \langle w, \phi(f) \rangle = \langle u, \widehat{f} \rangle$ 

$$\langle \phi^*(w), f \rangle = \langle w, \phi(f) \rangle = \langle \nu, \widehat{f} \rangle$$

However, because  $f = \sum_{y \in E} c_y \delta_y$  with  $\sum_{y \in E} |c_y| < \infty$ , we may use Fubini's theorem in the following calculation:

$$\begin{split} \langle \nu, \widehat{f} \rangle &= \int_{G} \widehat{f}(x) \, d\nu(x) = \int_{G} \Big( \sum_{y \in E} \langle -x, y \rangle c_{y} \Big) d\nu(x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in E} c_{y} \int_{G} \langle -x, y \rangle \, d\nu(x) = \sum_{y \in E} c_{y} \widehat{\nu}(y) = \langle \widehat{\nu}, f \rangle \end{split}$$

Since this holds for all  $f \in \ell_1(E)$ ,  $\phi^*(w) = \hat{\nu}|_E$  in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ . Thus, since  $\phi^*$  is onto  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ ,  $B(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$  and hence E is Sidon.

PROPOSITION 4. B(E) = AP(E) implies that E is  $I_0$ .

Proof. Since

$$\|f\|_{B(E)} \ge \|f\|_{\infty},$$

the two Banach spaces have equivalent norms: there is some  $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

 $\|f\|_{B(E)} \le K \|f\|_{\infty}.$ 

As in [11], this is equivalent to the Sidonicity of  $E: \ell_{\infty}(E) = B(E)$ . Since AP(E) = B(E), one therefore has  $AP(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$  and thus E is an  $I_0$  set.  $\blacksquare$ 

EXAMPLE 5. Helsonian does not imply  $I_0$ .

Proof. In general, the union of two helsonian sets E and F is helsonian, because the union of two Helson sets is Helson [4, pp. 48–67] and

$$\overline{E \cup F} = \overline{E} \cup \overline{F}.$$

Apply this to the sets  $\{2^n\}_n$  and  $\{2^n + n\}_n$ , which are sufficiently lacunary to be  $I_0$  sets and hence helsonian [19]. However, the two sets have some cluster points in common in  $b\mathbb{Z}$  and hence the function which is 1 on one of them and 0 on the other cannot be extended almost periodically to all of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . To see that they have a cluster point in common, note that there is a net  $\{n_\beta\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $n_\beta \to 0$  in  $b\mathbb{Z}$ . By the compactness of  $b\mathbb{Z}$ , there is a subnet  $\beta_t$  for which  $2^{n_{\beta_t}}$  is convergent in  $b\mathbb{Z}$ . By the continuity of the group operations in  $b\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\lim_{t} 2^{n_{\beta_t}} = \lim_{t} (2^{n_{\beta_t}} + n_{\beta_t}).$$

Kalton's Theorem revisited. This result of Kalton's is close to previous work by Kahane, J.-F. Méla, Ramsey and Wells [7, 12, 17].

DEFINITION. Let D(N) denote the set of discrete measures  $\mu$  on G for which

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j \delta_{t_j},$$

where  $|c_j| \leq 1$  and  $t_j \in G$  for each j. For  $E \subset \Gamma$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , let  $AP(E, N, \delta)$  be the set of  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$  for which there exists  $\mu \in D(N)$  such that

$$\|f - \widehat{\mu}\|_E \|_{\infty} \le \delta.$$

E is said to be  $I(N, \delta)$  if the unit ball in  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$  is a subset of  $AP(E, N, \delta)$ .

LEMMA 6. For  $E \subset \Gamma$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , the set  $AP(E, N, \delta)$  is closed in  $\mathbb{C}^E$  (the space of all complex functions on E with the topology of pointwise convergence).

Proof. Let  $f_{\alpha}$  be a net of functions from  $AP(E, N, \delta)$  which converge to some  $f \in \mathbb{C}^{E}$ . Let  $\mu_{\alpha} \in D(N)$  satisfy

$$\|f_{\alpha} - \widehat{\mu_{\alpha}}|_E\|_{\infty} \le \delta.$$

Write  $\mu_{\alpha}$  as

$$\mu_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i,\alpha} \delta_{t_{i,\alpha}},$$

with  $|c_{i,\alpha}| \leq 1$  and  $t_i \in G$  for all *i*. Because *G* and the unit disc of  $\mathbb{C}$  are compact, one may choose successive subnets of the  $\alpha$ 's so that, if one labels the final net with  $\beta$ , then

$$\lim_{\beta} c_{i,\beta} = c_i \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\beta} t_{i,\beta} = t_i \in G, \quad \text{ for all } i.$$

Of course,  $|c_i| \leq 1$ . Let  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \delta_{t_i}$ . Since the topology on G is that given by uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\Gamma$ , we have, for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and each i,

$$\lim_{\beta} \widehat{\delta_{t_{i,\beta}}}(x) = \lim_{\beta} \langle -x, t_{i,\beta} \rangle = \langle -x, t_i \rangle = \widehat{\delta_{t_i}}(x).$$

It follows that, for all  $x \in E \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$\lim_{\beta} \widehat{\mu_{\beta}}(x) = \lim_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i,\beta} \widehat{\delta_{t_{i,\beta}}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \widehat{\delta_{t_i}}(x) = \widehat{\mu}(x).$$

Therefore, for all  $x \in E$ ,

$$|f(x) - \widehat{\mu}(x)| = \lim_{\beta} |f_{\beta}(x) - \widehat{\mu_{\beta}}(x)| \le \delta.$$

Thus  $f \in AP(E, N, \delta)$ .

THEOREM 7. For any discrete abelian group  $\Gamma$  and  $E \subset \Gamma$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) E is an  $I_0$  set.
- (2) There is some  $\delta \in (0,1)$  and some N for which E is  $I(N,\delta)$ .

(3) There is some  $\delta \in (0,1)$  and some  $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that, for all f in the unit ball of  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ , there are points  $g_j \in G$  and complex numbers  $c_j$  with  $|c_j| \leq M\delta^j$  for which

$$f = \widehat{\mu}|_E, \quad where \ \mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \delta_{g_j}$$

- (4) For all  $\delta \in (0,1)$  there is some N for which E is  $I(N,\delta)$ .
- (5)  $B_d(E) = \ell_\infty(E)$ .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume (1) above, and consider (2) with  $\delta = 1/2$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the complex numbers of modulus 1 and  $\mathbb{T}^E$  the set of all functions

on E with values in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Condition (1) implies that

(A-2) 
$$\mathbb{T}^E \subset \bigcup_n AP(E, n, 1/5).$$

Since AP(E, n, 1/5) is closed in  $\mathbb{C}^E$  as is  $\mathbb{T}^E$  (under the topology of pointwise convergence),  $AP(E, n, 1/5) \cap \mathbb{T}^E$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{T}^E$  and hence measurable. Because condition (A-2) involves the union of sets which increase with n, there is some N for which the measure of  $AP(E, N, 1/5) \cap \mathbb{T}^E$  is at least 1/2 for the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}^E$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}^E$  is a connected topological group, a theorem of Kemperman's implies that  $AP(E, N, 1/5) \cdot AP(E, N, 1/5) = \mathbb{T}^E$  (see [10]). So, for any  $f \in \mathbb{T}^E$ , there are functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in  $AP(E, N, 1/5) \cap \mathbb{T}^E$  such that  $f = f_1 f_2$ . There are discrete measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  in D(N) such that  $\widehat{\mu_1}$  approximates  $f_1$  within 1/5 on E and  $\widehat{\mu_2}$  approximates  $f_2$  within 1/5 on E. It follows that, for  $x \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - \mu_1 \ast \mu_2(x)| &= |(f_1 \cdot f_2)(x) - \widehat{\mu_1}(x)\widehat{\mu_2}(x)| \\ &\leq |f_1(x)[f_2(x) - \widehat{\mu_2}(x)]| + |\widehat{\mu_2}(x)[f_1(x) - \widehat{\mu_1}(x)]| \\ &\leq 1/5 + (1/5) \cdot (|f_2(x)| + 1/5) = (1/5) \cdot (11/5) < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  can be represented as a sum of  $N^2$  point masses with complex coefficients bounded by 1 in absolute value:

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N c_i \delta_{x_i}\right) * \left(\sum_{j=1}^N d_j \delta_{y_j}\right) = \sum_{i,j} (c_i d_j) \delta_{x_i + y_j}.$$

Finally, note that g on E with  $||g||_{\infty} \leq 1$  is an average of two functions in  $\mathbb{T}^E$ : there exist  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  in  $\mathbb{T}^E$  such that  $g = (g_1 + g_2)/2$ . [In  $\mathbb{C}$ , project g(x) to two points of modulus one for which the line segment joining them is perpendicular to the radial segment from 0 to g(x). If g(x) = 0, let  $g_1(x) = 1$  while  $g_2(x) = -1$ .] If  $\mu_i \in D(N^2)$  approximates  $g_i$  within 1/2, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - (1/2)(\mu_1 + \mu_2|_E)\|_{\infty} &\leq (1/2)(\|g_1 - \widehat{\mu_1}|_E\|_{\infty} + \|g_2 - \widehat{\mu_2}|_E\|_{\infty}) \\ &\leq (1/2)(1/2 + 1/2) = 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

This puts g in  $AP(E, 2N^2, 1/2)$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Condition (2) will be applied inductively. Let  $f \in \ell_{\infty}(E)$  with  $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$ . There is some  $\mu_1 \in D(N)$  such that

$$\|f - \widehat{\mu_1}\|_E \|_\infty \le \delta.$$

Next, suppose  $\mu_i \in D(N)$  have been selected for  $i \leq J$ , such that

$$\left\|f - \sum_{i=1}^{J} \delta^{i-1} \widehat{\mu}_i|_E\right\| \le \delta^J.$$

Apply condition (2) to

$$g = \delta^{-J} \left( f - \sum_{i=1}^{J} \delta^{i-1} \widehat{\mu}_i |_E \right)$$

to obtain  $\mu_{J+1} \in D(N)$  such that

$$\|g - \widehat{\mu_{J+1}}|_E\|_{\infty} \le \delta.$$

Then

$$\left\|f - \sum_{i=1}^{J+1} \delta^{i-1} \widehat{\mu}_i\right\|_{\infty} = \delta^J \|g - \widehat{\mu}_{J+1}\|_E \|_{\infty} \le \delta^{J+1}.$$

By the induction principle, there is a sequence  $\mu_i \in D(N)$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta^{i-1} \widehat{\mu}_i|_E$$

One may enumerate the point masses used in  $\mu_i$  consecutively for each *i*, say as  $\delta_{x_j}$ , so that the coefficient of  $\delta_{x_j}$  is bounded by  $\delta^{i-1}$  for  $(i-1)N < j \leq iN$ . Let  $c_j$  be this coefficient. Then, since  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$|c_j| \le \delta^{i-1} = \delta^{\lceil j/N \rceil - 1} \le \delta^{(j/N) - 1} = (1/\delta)(\delta^{1/N})^j.$$

This proves condition (3) with  $M = 1/\delta$  and  $\delta^{1/N}$  in the role of  $\delta$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ . Let condition (3) hold with M and some  $\delta' \in (0, 1)$  and consider any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  for condition (4). Since  $\delta' \in (0, 1)$  there is some N' such that

$$M\sum_{j=N'+1}^{\infty} (\delta')^{j} = M(\delta')^{N'+1}/(1-\delta') \le \delta.$$

Specifically, one needs

$$(N'+1)\log(\delta') \le \log([\delta(1-\delta')/M])$$

and hence

$$N' \ge \{\log([\delta(1-\delta')/M])/\log(\delta')\} - 1.$$
  
$$m_{\lambda} = \lceil M(\delta')^j \rceil$$

For  $j \leq N'$ , set  $m_j = \lceil M(\delta')^j \rceil$ . Let f be in the unit ball of  $\ell_{\infty}(E)$ . By condition (3), there are coefficients  $c_j$  and elements  $t_j$  of G such that  $|c_j| \leq M(\delta')^j$  and

$$f = \widehat{\mu}|_E$$
, where  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \delta_{t_j}$ 

Let  $p_j = \lceil |c_j| \rceil$ ; necessarily,  $p_j \leq m_j$ . Set  $c_j = |c_j|e^{i\theta_j}$  for some real  $\theta_j$ . Then

$$c_j \delta_{t_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} c_{j,i} \delta_{t_{j,i}},$$

where  $t_{j,i} = t_j$  for all *i* and

$$c_{j,i} = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta_j} & \text{for } 1 \le i < p_j, \\ e^{i\theta_j}(|c_j| - p_j + 1) & \text{for } i = p_j, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > p_j. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$||f - \widehat{\nu}|_E||_{\ell_{\infty}(E)} \le \delta,$$

$$\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{N'} c_j \delta_{tj} = \sum_{j=1}^{N'} \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} c_{i,j} \delta_{t_{i,j}}$$

is a sum of  $N'' = \sum_{j=1}^{N'} m_j$  point masses with coefficients bounded by 1 in absolute value. Thus  $f \in AP(E, N'', \delta)$  and E is an  $I(N'', \delta)$  set.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5).$  (4) implies (2), which has been shown to imply (3). Let  $f \in \ell^{\infty}(E)$ . If  $f = 0, f \in B_d(E)$  trivially. If  $f \neq 0$ , apply (3) to  $g = f/||f||_{\infty}$  to obtain a discrete measure  $\mu$  such that  $\hat{\mu}|_E = g$ . Clearly,

$$\|f\|_{\infty}\mu|_E = f$$

(5)⇒(1). By equation (1) of the introduction,  $B_d(E) \subset AP(E) \subset \ell_{\infty}(E)$ . If  $B_d(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$ , then  $AP(E) = \ell_{\infty}(E)$  and hence E is an  $I_0$  set. ■

#### REFERENCES

- J. Bourgain, Sur les ensembles d'interpolation pour les mesures discrètes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 296 (1983), 149-151.
- [2] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1967, pp. 144–149.
- [3] J. Elton, Sign-embeddings of  $\ell_1^n$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 279 (1983), 113–124.
- C. C. Graham and O. C. McGehee, Essays in Commutative Harmonic Analysis, Springer, New York, 1979, pp. 48-67 and 364-370.
- [5] D. Grow, Sidon sets and I<sub>0</sub> sets, Colloq. Math. 53 (1987), 269–270.
- S. Hartman and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, Almost periodic extensions of functions, ibid. 12 (1964), 23-39.
- J.-P. Kahane, Ensembles de Ryll-Nardzewski et ensembles de Helson, ibid. 15 (1966), 87-92.
- [8] N. J. Kalton, On vector-valued inequalities for Sidon sets and sets of interpolation, ibid. 64 (1993), 233-244.
- [9] Y. Katznelson, Characterization of  $C(\mathfrak{M})$ , personal communication, 1960.
- [10] J. H. B. Kemperman, On products of sets in a locally compact group, Fund. Math. 56 (1964), 51–68.
- [11] J. M. López and K. A. Ross, Sidon Sets, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975, p. 4.
- [12] J.-F. Méla, Sur les ensembles d'interpolation de C. Ryll-Nardzewski et de S. Hartman, Studia Math. 29 (1967/1968), 167–193.
- [13] A. Pajor, Plongement de l<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup> dans les espaces de Banach complexes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 296 (1983), 741-743.

[14] G. Pisier, Conditions d'entropie et caractérisations arithmétiques des ensembles de Sidon, in: Topics in Modern Harmonic Analysis (Torino/Milano 1982), Istituto Nazionale de Alta Matematica, Roma, 1983, 911–944.

L. T. RAMSEY

- [15] L. T. Ramsey, Bohr cluster points of Sidon sets, Colloq. Math. 68 (1995), 285–290.
- [16] —, A theorem of C. Ryll-Nardzewski and metrizable L.C.A. groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 221–224.
- [17] L. T. Ramsey and B. B. Wells, Interpolation sets in bounded groups, Houston J. Math. 10 (1984), 117–125.
- [18] W. Rudin, Fourier Analysis on Groups, Wiley, New York, 1962, pp. 32-36.
- [19] E. Strzelecki, On a problem of interpolation by periodic and almost periodic functions, Colloq. Math. 11 (1963), 91–99.

MATHEMATICS, KELLER HALL 2565 THE MALL HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822 U.S.A. E-mail: RAMSEY@MATH.HAWAII.EDU

RAMSEY@UHUNIX.UHCC.HAWAII.EDU

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21.6.1995

# 132