

*CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF LOCALLY CONFORMAL
KAEHLER MANIFOLDS AND RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS*

BY

FUMIO NARITA (AKITA)

We consider a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow N$, where M is a CR-submanifold of a locally conformal Kaehler manifold L with the Lee form ω which is strongly non-Kaehler and N is an almost Hermitian manifold. First, we study some geometric structures of N and the relation between the holomorphic sectional curvatures of L and N . Next, we consider the leaves M of the foliation given by $\omega = 0$ and give a necessary and sufficient condition for M to be a Sasakian manifold.

1. Introduction. Let L be an almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure J . Let M be a real submanifold of L and TM its tangent bundle. We set $T^hM = TM \cap J(TM)$. Then we have

(a) $JT_p^hM = T_p^hM$ for each $p \in M$.

Let M be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold L such that the differentiable distribution $T^hM : p \rightarrow T_p^hM \subset T_pM$ on M satisfies the following conditions:

(b) $JT_p^vM \subset T_pM^\perp$ for each $p \in M$, where T^vM is the complementary orthogonal distribution of T^hM in TM ;

(c) J interchanges T^vM and TM^\perp ;

(d) there is a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ of M onto an almost Hermitian manifold N such that (i) T^vM is the kernel of π_* and (ii) $\pi_* : T_p^hM \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)}N$ is a complex isometry for every $p \in M$.

This set up is similar to the set up of symplectic geometry. Indeed, one has the following analogue (due to S. Kobayashi) of the symplectic reduction theorem of Marsden–Weinstein.

THEOREM 1 ([7]). *Let L be a Kaehler manifold. Under the assumptions stated above, N is a Kaehler manifold. If H^L and H^N denote the holomorphic sectional curvatures of L and N , then, for any horizontal unit vector*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C55, 53C40.

$X \in T^hM$, we have

$$H^L(X) = H^N(\pi_*X) - 4|\sigma(X, X)|^2,$$

where σ denotes the second fundamental form of M in L .

In the above theorem, L is a Kaehler manifold. In this paper, we consider the case where L is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold which is strongly non-Kaehler. Then T^vM is integrable [3]. Let B^h , B^v and B^\perp be the horizontal part, the vertical part and the normal part of the Lee vector field B respectively. First, we show the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Under the assumptions (a)–(d), assume further that L is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold. Then the Lee vector field $B \in T^hM \oplus TM^\perp$ and for any horizontal unit vector $X \in T^hM$, we have*

$$H^L(X) = H^N(\pi_*X) - 3|A_X JX|^2 - |\sigma(X, X)|^2,$$

where σ is the second fundamental form of M in L and A is the integrability tensor with respect to π . Moreover, if we assume in addition that the horizontal component B^h of the Lee vector field B is basic and $\dim N \geq 4$ then N is also a locally conformal Kaehler manifold. In particular, if L is a generalized Hopf manifold and if the Lee vector field B is basic and horizontal then N is also a generalized Hopf manifold.

Next, we consider the case where the Lee vector field $B \in TM^\perp$.

THEOREM 3. *Under the assumptions (a)–(d), if L is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold and $B \in TM^\perp$, then N is a Kaehler manifold.*

THEOREM 4. *Under the assumptions (a)–(d), if L is a P_0K -manifold and M is a totally umbilical submanifold whose mean curvature vector is parallel and $B \in TM^\perp$, then N is a locally symmetric Kaehler manifold and the holomorphic sectional curvature H^N of N is $H^N(\tilde{X}) > 0$, where \tilde{X} is any unit tangent vector.*

Next, let L be a locally conformal Kaehler manifold which is strongly non-Kaehler, ω the Lee form and \mathcal{M} the distribution defined by $\omega = 0$. Since $d\omega = 0$, \mathcal{M} is integrable. Let M be a maximal connected integral submanifold of \mathcal{M} , that is, M is an orientable hypersurface of L . Then M is a CR-submanifold satisfying (a)–(c) such that $TM^\perp = \{B\}$ and $T^vM = \{JB\}$. In the case where L is P_0K -manifold, we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. *Let L be a complete P_0K -manifold and M a maximal connected integral submanifold of \mathcal{M} . Let N be an almost Hermitian manifold and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion satisfying the condition (d). Then N is isometric to the complex projective space $P_m(\mathbb{C})$.*

It is known that every orientable hypersurface of an almost Hermitian manifold has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, V, η, g) (see [2], [17]). We show the following theorem:

THEOREM 6. *Let L be a locally conformal Kaehler manifold and M a maximal connected integral submanifold of \mathcal{M} . Then (M, ϕ, V, η, g) is a Sasakian manifold if and only if*

$$k = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)} - 1\right)g + \alpha\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where k is the second fundamental form of M and α is a function.

Remark 1. (I) In [17], I. Vaisman proved that if L is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold with parallel Lee form, then a maximal connected integral submanifold M of \mathcal{M} is a totally geodesic submanifold of L and M is a Sasakian manifold. In Theorem 6, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for M to be a Sasakian manifold without the assumption that the Lee form is parallel.

(II) It is known that if M is an orientable hypersurface of a Kaehler manifold L , then the induced almost contact metric structure (ϕ, V, η, g) is Sasakian if and only if $k = -g + \alpha\eta \otimes \eta$, where k is the second fundamental form of M and α is a function [14]. When L is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold, from Theorem 6 we obtain a similar result.

2. Preliminaries. Let L be an almost Hermitian manifold with metric g , complex structure J and fundamental 2-form Ω . The manifold L will be called a *locally conformal Kaehler manifold* if every $x \in L$ has an open neighborhood U with a differentiable function $\gamma : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g'_U = e^{-\gamma}g|_U$ is a Kaehler metric on U . The locally conformal Kaehler manifold L is characterized by

$$(1) \quad d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega, \quad d\omega = 0,$$

where ω is a globally defined 1-form on L . We call ω the *Lee form*. Since for $\dim L = 2$ we have $d\Omega = 0$, we may suppose $\dim L \geq 4$. Next we define the *Lee vector field* B by

$$(2) \quad g(X, B) = \omega(X).$$

The *Weyl connection* ${}^W\nabla$ is the linear connection defined by

$$(3) \quad {}^W\nabla_X Y := \nabla_X Y - \frac{1}{2}\omega(X)Y - \frac{1}{2}\omega(Y)X + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)B,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g . It is shown in [15] that an almost Hermitian manifold L is a locally conformal Kaehler if and only if there is a closed 1-form ω on L such that

$$(4) \quad {}^W\nabla_X J = 0.$$

The equation (4) is equivalent to

$$(5) \quad \nabla_X JY - \frac{1}{2}\omega(JY)X + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B \\ = J\nabla_X Y - \frac{1}{2}\omega(Y)JX + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB,$$

where X and Y are vector fields on L .

The Riemannian curvature tensor field R^L of L is given by

$$(6) \quad R^L(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}.$$

We set

$$(7) \quad R^L(W, Z, X, Y) = g(R^L(X, Y)Z, W).$$

Let ${}^W R$ be the curvature tensor field of the Weyl connection ${}^W \nabla$. Then

$$(8) \quad {}^W R(X, Y)Z \\ = R^L(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2}\{[(\nabla_X \omega)Z + \frac{1}{2}\omega(X)\omega(Z)]Y \\ - [(\nabla_Y \omega)Z + \frac{1}{2}\omega(Y)\omega(Z)]X - g(Y, Z)(\nabla_X B + \frac{1}{2}\omega(X)B) \\ + g(X, Z)(\nabla_Y B + \frac{1}{2}\omega(Y)B)\} - \frac{1}{4}|\omega|^2(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y),$$

where X, Y and Z are any vector fields on L [18].

A locally conformal Kaehler manifold (L, J, g) is said to be a *generalized Hopf manifold* if the Lee form is *parallel*, that is, $\nabla\omega = 0$ ($\omega \neq 0$). A generalized Hopf manifold is called a *P_0K -manifold* if the Weyl curvature tensor is zero, that is, ${}^W R(X, Y) = 0$. In this paper, we consider the case where L is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold which is strongly non-Kaehler in the sense that $d\Omega \neq 0$ (and so $\omega \neq 0$) at every point of L .

The *Hopf manifolds* are defined as $H_\lambda^n = (\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\})/\Delta_\lambda$, $n > 1$, where \mathbb{C} is the complex plane, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$ and Δ_λ is the group generated by the transformation $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ (see [15]). On the manifold H_λ^n , we consider the Hermitian metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n z^k \bar{z}^k} \sum_{j=1}^n dz^j \otimes d\bar{z}^j,$$

where z^j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are complex Cartesian coordinates on \mathbb{C}^n . The Hopf manifold H_λ^n is an example of a *P_0K -manifold* which is strongly non-Kaehler.

Let M be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold L . We denote by the same g the Riemannian metric tensor field induced on M from that of L . Let ∇^M denote covariant differentiation of M . Then the Gauss formula for M is written as

$$(9) \quad \nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^M Y + \sigma(X, Y)$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , where σ denotes the second fundamental form of M in L . Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of L .

The *mean curvature vector* ρ of M is defined by $\rho = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace}(\sigma)$. A submanifold M is called *totally umbilical* if the second fundamental form σ satisfies $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\rho$. A submanifold M is called *totally geodesic* if the second fundamental form vanishes identically, that is, $\sigma = 0$.

Let R^M be the Riemannian curvature tensor field of M . Then we have the equation of Gauss

$$(10) \quad R^L(W, Z, X, Y) = R^M(W, Z, X, Y) + g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)) - g(\sigma(Y, Z), \sigma(X, W)).$$

Let N be an almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure J' and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ a Riemannian submersion such that $TM \cap J(TM)$ is the horizontal part of TM and, at each point $p \in M$, π_* is a complex isometry of $T_p^h M = T_p M \cap J(T_p M)$ onto $T_{\pi(p)} N$. Let X denote a tangent vector at $p \in M$. Then X decomposes as $\mathcal{V}X + \mathcal{H}X$, where $\mathcal{V}X$ is tangent to the fiber through p and $\mathcal{H}X$ is perpendicular to it. We define tensors T and A associated with the submersion by

$$(11) \quad T_X Y := \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y,$$

$$(12) \quad A_X Y := \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M . Then T and A have the following properties [11].

(i) T_X and A_X are skew symmetric linear operators on the tangent space of M , and interchange the horizontal and vertical parts.

(ii) $T_X = T_{\mathcal{V}X}$ while $A_X = A_{\mathcal{H}X}$.

(iii) For V, W vertical, $T_V W$ is symmetric, that is, $T_V W = T_W V$. For X, Y horizontal, $A_X Y$ is skew symmetric, that is, $A_X Y = -A_Y X$.

A vector field X on M is said to be *basic* if X is horizontal and π -related to a vector field \tilde{X} on N . Every vector field \tilde{X} on N has a unique horizontal lift X to M , and X is basic. We denote it by $X = \text{h.l.}(\tilde{X})$.

LEMMA 1 ([11]). *Let X and Y be any basic vector fields on M . Then*

(i) $g(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \circ \pi$;

(ii) $\mathcal{H}[X, Y]$ is the basic vector field corresponding to $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$;

(iii) $\mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M Y$ is the basic vector field corresponding to $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^N \tilde{Y}$, where \bar{g} is the metric of N and ∇^N is the covariant differentiation on N .

Let R^N denote the curvature tensor field of N . The horizontal lift of the curvature tensor R^N of N will also be denoted by R^N . We recall the following curvature identity which will be needed in the sequel:

$$(13) \quad R^M(W, Z, X, Y) = R^N(\tilde{W}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - g(A_Y Z, A_X W) + g(A_X Z, A_Y W) + 2g(A_X Y, A_Z W),$$

where X, Y, Z, W are any basic vector fields on M . As before, this result is proven in [11].

Let X and Y be any basic vector fields on M . We define the operator $\bar{\nabla}^N$ by

$$(14) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X^N Y := \mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M Y.$$

Then, by Lemma 1(iii), $\bar{\nabla}_X^N Y$ is a basic vector field and

$$(15) \quad \pi_*(\bar{\nabla}_X^N Y) = \nabla_X^N \tilde{Y}.$$

Next, we give the definition of a Sasakian manifold. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a *Sasakian manifold* if there exist a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$, a unit vector field V and a 1-form η such that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi V &= 0, & \eta(\phi X) &= 0, & \phi^2 X &= -X + \eta(X)V, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ (\nabla_X^M \phi)Y &= g(X, Y)V - \eta(Y)X, \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M [2].

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We put $B = B^h + B^v + B^\perp$, where B^h, B^v and B^\perp are the horizontal part, the vertical part and the normal part of the Lee vector field B respectively.

From (9) and (12), for any horizontal vector fields X and Y , we have

$$(17) \quad \nabla_X Y = \mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M Y + A_X Y + \sigma(X, Y).$$

Since M is a CR-submanifold of L , using (5) and (17), we obtain

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M JY - \frac{1}{2}\omega(JY)X + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B^h = J\mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M Y - \frac{1}{2}\omega(Y)JX \\ + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB^h \in T^h M,$$

$$(19) \quad A_X JY + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B^v = J\sigma(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB^\perp \in T^v M,$$

$$(20) \quad \sigma(X, JY) + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B^\perp = JA_X Y + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB^v \in TM^\perp,$$

where X and Y are any horizontal vector fields on M .

From (19) and (20), for any horizontal vector fields X and Y , we obtain

$$\sigma(JX, JY) = \sigma(X, Y) + g(JX, Y)JB^v, \quad A_{JX} JY = A_X Y - g(X, Y)B^v,$$

because $A_X Y$ is skew symmetric. In the last equation, we set $X = Y$; then we have $A_{JX} JX = A_X X - g(X, X)B^v$. Since $A_X X = 0$, we obtain $B^v = 0$.

Since $B^v = 0$, for any horizontal vector fields X and Y , we obtain

$$(21) \quad \sigma(JX, JY) = \sigma(X, Y), \quad A_{JX} JY = A_X Y.$$

Next, we compare the holomorphic sectional curvatures of L and N . We set $Z = JW$ and $Y = JX$ in (10) and (13) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad R^L(W, JW, X, JX) &= R^N(\widetilde{W}, J'\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{X}, J'\widetilde{X}) \\
 &\quad - g(A_{JX}JW, A_XW) - g(A_XJW, A_WJX) \\
 &\quad - 2g(A_XJX, A_WJW) + g(\sigma(X, JW), \sigma(JX, W)) \\
 &\quad - g(\sigma(JX, JW), \sigma(X, W)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where X and W are any basic vector fields on M .

Setting $X = W$ in the above equation, using (21), by $\sigma(X, JX) = 0$, we obtain

$$(23) \quad R^L(X, JX, X, JX) = R^N(\widetilde{X}, J'\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{X}, J'\widetilde{X}) - 3|A_XJX|^2 - |\sigma(X, X)|^2.$$

Thus, for any horizontal unit vector X on M , we obtain

$$(24) \quad H^L(X) = H^N(\pi_*X) - 3|A_XJX|^2 - |\sigma(X, X)|^2.$$

Now, we assume that the horizontal component B^h of the Lee vector field B is basic and $\dim N \geq 4$. We put $\widetilde{B} := \pi_*(B^h)$. Let ω' be the 1-form on M induced by the Lee form ω on L . For any vector field \widetilde{X} on N , we set $\widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{X}) := \bar{g}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{B})$. Then $(\pi^*\widetilde{\omega})(X) = \omega'(X)$, where X is any basic vector field. Since π^* commutes with d and π is a Riemannian submersion, $\widetilde{\omega}$ is closed.

From the definition of $\widetilde{\omega}$, we obtain

$$(25) \quad \bar{g}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{B}) \circ \pi = \widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{X}) \circ \pi = \omega'(X) = \omega(X) = g(X, B),$$

where \widetilde{X} is any vector field on N and $X = \text{h.l.}(\widetilde{X})$. We define the Weyl connection ${}^W\nabla^N$ of N by

$$(26) \quad {}^W\nabla^N_X \widetilde{Y} = \nabla^N_X \widetilde{Y} - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{X})\widetilde{Y} - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{Y})\widetilde{X} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})\widetilde{B}.$$

From Lemma 1, (18), (25) and (26), for any vector fields \widetilde{X} , \widetilde{Y} and \widetilde{Z} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (27) \quad \bar{g}(({}^W\nabla^N_X J')\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}) \circ \pi &= \bar{g}({}^W\nabla^N_X J'\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}) \circ \pi - \bar{g}(J'({}^W\nabla^N_X \widetilde{Y}), \widetilde{Z}) \circ \pi \\
 &= g(\mathcal{H}\nabla^M_X JY - \frac{1}{2}\omega(JY)X + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B \\
 &\quad - J\mathcal{H}\nabla^M_X Y + \frac{1}{2}\omega(Y)JX - \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB, Z) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where X , Y and Z are the horizontal lifts of \widetilde{X} , \widetilde{Y} and \widetilde{Z} respectively. Therefore ${}^W\nabla^N_X J' = 0$, that is, N is a locally conformal Kaehler manifold.

Let L be a generalized Hopf manifold and let the Lee vector field B be basic and horizontal. Since the Lee form ω of L is parallel, for any vector field X tangent to M , we have $\nabla_X B = 0$. Hence, by $\nabla_X B = \nabla^M_X B + \sigma(X, B)$,

we have $\nabla_X^M B = 0$. From Lemma 1 and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^N \tilde{B}, \tilde{Y}) \circ \pi &= (\tilde{X}\bar{g}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{Y}) - \bar{g}(\tilde{B}, \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^N \tilde{Y})) \circ \pi \\ &= Xg(B, Y) - g(B, \nabla_X^M Y) = g(\nabla_X^M B, Y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} are any vector fields tangent to N , and X, Y are their horizontal lifts. Hence we obtain $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^N \tilde{B} = 0$, that is, N is a generalized Hopf manifold.

Remark 2. In this theorem, let L be a locally conformal Kaehler manifold and M a totally umbilical CR-submanifold of L and the Lee vector field $B \in T^h M$. It is known that if B is tangent to M , then a totally umbilical proper CR-submanifold M of L is totally geodesic [6]. For $X, Y \in T^h M$, we have $A_X Y = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}[X, Y]$ (see [11]). Therefore, using (19), we see that the horizontal distribution $T^h M$ is integrable and the integral submanifolds are totally geodesic.

4. Proof of Theorem 3. Since $B \in TM^\perp$, for any vector field X tangent to M , we have $\omega(X) = 0$. Since M is a CR-submanifold of L , (5) implies

$$(28) \quad \nabla_X JY + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B = J\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB,$$

where X and Y are horizontal vector fields. Using (17) and (28), we obtain

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M JY = J\mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M Y \in T^h M,$$

$$(30) \quad A_X JY = J\sigma(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB \in T^v M,$$

$$(31) \quad \sigma(X, JY) + \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B = JA_X Y \in TM^\perp,$$

where X and Y are any horizontal vector fields on M .

Since π_* is a complex isometry, we have $\pi_* \circ J = J' \circ \pi_*$. Therefore, if X is a basic vector field, JX is also a basic vector field. Using Lemma 1, (15) and (29), we have

$$\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^N J'\tilde{Y} = J'\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^N \tilde{Y}.$$

Hence N is a Kaehler manifold.

5. Proof of Theorem 4. Since L is a P_0K -manifold, we have ${}^W R = 0$ and $\nabla\omega = 0$. We set $c := |\omega|/2$. Since $\nabla\omega = 0$, we have $\nabla B = 0$ and $c = \text{constant}$ (see [17]). From (8), we have

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} R^L(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{4}\{[\omega(X)Y - \omega(Y)X]\omega(Z) \\ &\quad + [g(X, Z)\omega(Y) - g(Y, Z)\omega(X)]B\} \\ &\quad + c^2(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y). \end{aligned}$$

Using $\nabla\omega = 0$ and $\nabla B = 0$, we obtain $\nabla R^L = 0$ (see [6]). Since $B \in TM^\perp$, using (10) and (32), for any vector fields X, Y, Z and W tangent to M , we

have

$$(33) \quad R^M(W, Z, X, Y) = c^2(g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)) \\ + g(\sigma(Y, Z), \sigma(X, W)) - g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)).$$

Since M is a totally umbilical submanifold of L and the mean curvature vector is parallel, the second fundamental form is parallel. Thus M is a locally symmetric space. Using (33) and $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\varrho$, for $X, Y, Z \in T^hM$ and $V \in T^vM$, we obtain $R^M(X, Y, Z, V) = 0$. Moreover, since $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\varrho$ and $B \in TM^\perp$, the fibers of π are totally geodesic [6]. Hence the reflections $\varphi_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$ with respect to the fibers are isometries [4]. Therefore N is a locally symmetric space [4], [9]. From Theorem 3, N is a Kaehler manifold. Using (32), for any horizontal unit vector X , we get $H^L(X) = c^2$. Thus, from (24), we have $H^N(\tilde{X}) > 0$, where \tilde{X} is any unit tangent vector.

6. Proof of Theorem 5. Since L is a P_0K -manifold, the maximal integral submanifold M of \mathcal{M} is a totally geodesic submanifold of L (see [17]). From (33), we have

$$(34) \quad R^M(W, Z, X, Y) = c^2(g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)),$$

where X, Y, Z and W are any vector fields tangent to M and $c (= |\omega|/2)$ is constant. Using (13) and (34), we obtain

$$(35) \quad R^N(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = c^2(g(Y, Y)g(X, X) \\ - g(X, Y)g(X, Y)) + 3g(A_X Y, A_X Y),$$

where \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} are vector fields on N and X, Y are their respective horizontal lifts. For each plane p in the tangent space T_xN , the sectional curvature $K^N(p)$ of N is

$$(36) \quad K^N(p) = c^2 + 3|A_X Y|^2,$$

where \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} is an orthonormal basis for p . Let $\{\tilde{X}_i, J'\tilde{X}_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) be an orthonormal basis for T_xN , $\dim(N) = 2m$. We denote the Ricci tensor of N by Ric^N . Then

$$\text{Ric}^N(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^m R^N(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}, \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}) + \sum_{i=1}^m R^N(J'\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}, J'\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}).$$

From (30) and (31), we get $A_{X_i}X_j = 0$, $A_{JX_i}X_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$), $A_{JX_i}X_i = -\frac{1}{2}JB$ and $A_{JX_i}JX_j = 0$, ($i, j = 1, \dots, m$). Now, we compute the scalar curvature $s^N(x)$ of N :

$$s^N(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Ric}^N(\tilde{X}_j, \tilde{X}_j) + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Ric}^N(J'\tilde{X}_j, J'\tilde{X}_j) = c^2(4m^2 + 6m).$$

Since L is complete and M is a totally geodesic submanifold of L , M is complete. Since M is complete and $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is a Riemannian submersion, N is complete [11]. From Theorem 3, N is a Kaehler manifold.

It is known that a complete Kaehler manifold with constant scalar curvature and with positive sectional curvature is isometric to the complex projective space $P_m(\mathbb{C})$ (see [1]). Therefore N is isometric to $P_m(\mathbb{C})$.

7. Proof of Theorem 6. For the Lee vector field B , we set

$$(37) \quad C := B/\sqrt{g(B, B)}.$$

We define a vector field V , a 1-form η and a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$ on M by

$$(38) \quad V = JC, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, V), \quad JX = \phi X - \eta(X)C.$$

Since L is a Hermitian manifold, (M, ϕ, V, η, g) admits an almost contact metric structure [2], [17].

Let $\mathcal{H}X$ and $\mathcal{V}X$ be the T^hM part and T^vM part of $X \in TM$ respectively. We set $\sigma(X, Y) = -k(X, Y)C$. From (5), for any vector field X in T^hM , we obtain

$$(39) \quad \nabla_V JX = J\nabla_V X.$$

Using $\nabla_V X = \nabla_V^M X - k(V, X)C$, by (39), we have the following equations:

$$(40) \quad \mathcal{H}\nabla_V^M JX = J\mathcal{H}\nabla_V^M X \in T^hM,$$

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{V}\nabla_V^M JX = -k(V, X)V \in T^vM,$$

$$(42) \quad -k(V, JX)C = J\mathcal{V}\nabla_V^M X \in TM^\perp,$$

where X is any vector field in T^hM . From (38) and (40), for any vector fields X and Y in T^hM , we obtain

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} g((\nabla_V^M \phi)X, Y) &= g(\nabla_V^M \phi X - \phi \nabla_V^M X, Y) \\ &= g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_V^M JX - J\mathcal{H}\nabla_V^M X, Y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the T^hM part of (5) and (38), for any vector fields X and Y in T^hM , we obtain

$$(44) \quad \mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M \phi Y = \phi \mathcal{H}\nabla_X^M Y.$$

Now, for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M , we assume $k(X, Y) = (\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)} - 1)g(X, Y) + \alpha\eta(X)\eta(Y)$. Let V and W be any vector fields in T^vM and X be any vector field in T^hM . From (42), we obtain $\mathcal{V}\nabla_V^M X = 0$, because $k(X, V) = 0$. Using (5), we obtain $g(J\mathcal{H}\nabla_V^M W, X) = g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_V JW, X) = -g(\sigma(V, X), JW) = 0$. Hence, we get $\mathcal{H}\nabla_V^M W = 0$.

We shall prove that (M, ϕ, V, η, g) admits a Sasakian structure. Let X , Y and Z be any vector fields tangent to M . Using (44) and the above result,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g((\nabla_X^M \phi)Y, Z) \\
 &= g((\nabla_X^M \phi)Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g((\nabla_X^M \phi)Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &= g(\nabla_X^M \phi Y, \mathcal{H}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_X^M Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g(\nabla_X^M \phi Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\phi \nabla_X^M Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &= g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) \\
 &\quad + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \phi \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &\quad + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \phi \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &= g(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\phi \nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \mathcal{V}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) \\
 &= g((\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}^M \phi) \mathcal{H}Y, \mathcal{H}Z) + g(V, \mathcal{V}Z)g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, V) \\
 &\quad - g(V, \mathcal{V}Y)g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Z, V).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the T^vM part of (5) and the assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (45) \quad &g(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M \phi \mathcal{H}Y, V) = g(\mathcal{V} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}^M J \mathcal{H}Y, V) \\
 &= -k(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Y)\sqrt{\omega(B)} \\
 &= g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (43) and (45),

$$(46) \quad g((\nabla_X^M \phi)Y, Z) = g(V, \mathcal{V}Z)g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Y) - g(V, \mathcal{V}Y)g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Z).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(g(X, Y)V - \eta(Y)X, Z) &= g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Y)g(V, \mathcal{V}Z) + g(\mathcal{V}X, \mathcal{V}Y)g(V, \mathcal{V}Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Z)g(V, \mathcal{V}Y) - g(\mathcal{V}X, \mathcal{V}Z)g(V, \mathcal{V}Y) \\
 &= g(V, \mathcal{V}Z)g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Y) - g(V, \mathcal{V}Y)g(\mathcal{H}X, \mathcal{H}Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(47) \quad (\nabla_X^M \phi)Y = g(X, Y)V - \eta(Y)X.$$

Hence (M, ϕ, V, η, g) is a Sasakian manifold.

Conversely, assume that (M, ϕ, V, η, g) is a Sasakian manifold. Let X and Y be any vector fields tangent to M . From (9) and (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X JY - J\nabla_X Y &= \nabla_X(\phi Y - \eta(Y)C) - J(\nabla_X^M Y + \sigma(X, Y)) \\ &= \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_X(\eta(Y)C) - \phi \nabla_X^M Y + \eta(\nabla_X^M Y)C - J\sigma(X, Y) \\ &= (\nabla_X^M \phi)Y - k(X, \phi Y)C - X\eta(Y)C \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\nabla_X C + \eta(\nabla_X^M Y)C + k(X, Y)V. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X JY - J\nabla_X Y &= \frac{1}{2}\omega(JY)X - \frac{1}{2}g(X, JY)B + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)JB \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)}\eta(Y)X - \frac{1}{2}g(X, \phi Y)B + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)}g(X, Y)V. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations and (47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g(X, Y)V - \eta(Y)X - k(X, \phi Y)C - X\eta(Y)C \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\nabla_X C + \eta(\nabla_X^M Y)C + k(X, Y)V \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)}\eta(Y)X - \frac{1}{2}g(X, \phi Y)B + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)}g(X, Y)V. \end{aligned}$$

The V component of this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} &g(X, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(X) - \eta(Y)g(\nabla_X C, V) + k(X, Y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)}\eta(Y)\eta(X) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)}g(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k(X, Y) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)} - 1\right)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)} - 1\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \eta(Y)g(\nabla_X C, V). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k(X, Y)$ is symmetric, we have $\eta(Y)g(\nabla_X C, V) = \eta(X)g(\nabla_Y C, V)$. This equation shows that $g(\nabla_X C, V) = \beta\eta(X)$, where β is a function. We set $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)} + 1 + \beta$; then we have

$$k(X, Y) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\omega(B)} - 1\right)g(X, Y) + \alpha\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

8. Examples. (I) Let (M, ϕ, V, η, g) be a Sasakian manifold and S^1 the circle with length element $\omega = dt$. Then $S^1 \times M$ is a generalized Hopf manifold with metric $\omega^2 + g$ and Lee form ω (see [17]).

Let \mathbb{C}^{n+m} be the complex vector space of all $(n+m)$ -tuples of complex numbers $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+m})$ and a_{kj} be positive integers and α_{kj} be real numbers, $k = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n+m$. Let

$$f_k(z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \alpha_{kj} z_j^{a_{kj}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

be a collection of complex polynomials. Let $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^m f_k^{-1}(0)$. Let $d_k = \text{LCM}(a_{k1}, a_{k2}, \dots, a_{k, n+m})$, $q_{kj} = d_k/a_{kj}$. Suppose that

- (i) F is a complete intersection of the $f_k^{-1}(0)$.
- (ii) F has an isolated singularity at the origin.
- (iii) q_{kj} is independent of k (let $q_j = q_{kj}$).

Let $B^{2n-1} = F \cap S^{2(n+m)-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m}$. Then B^{2n-1} is called a *generalized Brieskorn manifold* [12]. It is a $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional submanifold in $S^{2(n+m)-1}$. Let $(S^{2(n+m)-1}, \phi, V, \eta, g)$ be the unit sphere with the standard Sasakian structure and imbedded in \mathbb{C}^{n+m} . Denoting by $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, y_{n+m}$ the real coordinates of \mathbb{C}^{n+m} such that $z_j = x_j + \sqrt{-1}y_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n + m$), we define a real vector field \tilde{V} on \mathbb{C}^{n+m} by

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} A_j(x_j \partial/\partial y_j - y_j \partial/\partial x_j),$$

where $A_j = \gamma q_j$ for a positive constant γ ($j = 1, \dots, n + m$). We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \tilde{V} - V, \quad \tilde{\eta} = (1 + \eta(\mu))^{-1} \eta, \quad \tilde{\phi}(X) = \phi(X - \tilde{\eta}(X)\tilde{V}), \\ \tilde{g}(X, Y) &= (1 + \eta(\mu))^{-1} g(X - \tilde{\eta}(X)\tilde{V}, Y - \tilde{\eta}(Y)\tilde{V}) + \tilde{\eta}(X)\tilde{\eta}(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where X and Y are vector fields on $S^{2(n+m)-1}$. Then, by the theorem of Takahashi [13], $(S^{2(n+m)-1}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is also a Sasakian manifold. Let $\iota : B^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2(n+m)-1}$ be the inclusion mapping. We define four tensor fields $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{V}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{g})$ on B^{2n-1} by

$$\hat{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}|_{B^{2n-1}}, \quad \hat{V} = \tilde{V}|_{B^{2n-1}}, \quad \hat{\eta} = \iota^* \tilde{\eta}, \quad \hat{g} = \iota^* \tilde{g}.$$

Using calculations similar to those of [13], we can prove that every generalized Brieskorn manifold $(B^{2n-1}, \hat{\phi}, \hat{V}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{g})$ admits many Sasakian structures. Therefore, $S^1 \times B^{2n-1}$ is a generalized Hopf submanifold of the generalized Hopf manifold $S^1 \times S^{2(n+m)-1}$.

(II) Let $E^{2n-1}(-3)$ be the Sasakian space form with constant ϕ -sectional curvature -3 with standard Sasakian structure in a Euclidean space. Let $S^1(r_i)$ be a circle of radius r_i , $i = 1, \dots, p$. A pythagorean product $E^{2(n-p)-1}(-3) \times S^1(r_1) \times \dots \times S^1(r_p)$ is a pseudo-umbilical generic submanifold of $E^{2n-1}(-3)$ ($p \geq 2$) (see [20]). Let S^1 be the circle with length element ω . Then ω is the Lee form of the generalized Hopf manifold $S^1 \times E^{2n-1}(-3)$. Hence $S^1 \times E^{2(n-p)-1}(-3) \times S^1(r_1) \times \dots \times S^1(r_p)$ is a CR-submanifold of $S^1 \times E^{2n-1}(-3)$ satisfying the conditions (a)–(c) and $S^1 \times E^{2(n-p)-1}(-3)$ is tangent to the Lee vector field of $S^1 \times E^{2n-1}(-3)$. The projection

$$\pi : S^1 \times E^{2(n-p)-1}(-3) \times S^1(r_1) \times \dots \times S^1(r_p) \rightarrow S^1 \times E^{2(n-p)-1}(-3)$$

is a Riemannian submersion satisfying (d). $S^1 \times E^{2(n-p)-1}(-3)$ is also a generalized Hopf manifold.

(III) The Hopf manifold $H_{e_2}^n$ is isometric to $S^1(1/\pi) \times S^{2n-1}$ (see [17]). S^{2n-1} is a real hypersurface of $H_{e_2}^n$ and the Lee vector field of $H_{e_2}^n$ is

normal to S^{2n-1} . S^{2n-1} is a CR-submanifold of $H_{e_2}^n$ satisfying the conditions (a)–(c). $\pi : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is a Riemannian submersion satisfying (d). From O’Neill [11], for orthonormal horizontal vectors X, Y , $A_X Y = -g(X, JY)JC$, where J is an almost complex structure on $H_{e_2}^n$ and C is the unit normal vector to S^{2n-1} . The holomorphic sectional curvature H of $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is $H(\tilde{X}) = 1 + 3|A_{\tilde{X}} JX|^2 = 4$, where \tilde{X} is any unit vector tangent to $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $X = \text{h.l.}(\tilde{X})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. Bishop and S. I. Goldberg, *On the topology of positively curved Kaehler manifolds II*, Tôhoku Math. J. 17 (1965), 310–318.
- [2] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [3] D. E. Blair and B. Y. Chen, *On CR-submanifolds of Hermitian manifolds*, Israel J. Math. 34 (1979), 353–363.
- [4] B. Y. Chen and L. Vanhecke, *Isometric, holomorphic and symplectic reflections*, Geom. Dedicata 29 (1989), 259–277.
- [5] S. Dragomir, *On submanifolds of Hopf manifolds*, Israel J. Math. (2) 61 (1988), 98–110.
- [6] —, *Cauchy–Riemann submanifolds of locally conformal Kaehler manifolds, I–II*, Geom. Dedicata 28 (1988), 181–197, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 37 (1989), 1–11.
- [7] S. Kobayashi, *Submersions of CR submanifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. 89 (1987), 95–100.
- [8] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vols. 1, 2, Interscience Publishers, 1963, 1969.
- [9] F. Narita, *Riemannian submersions and isometric reflections with respect to submanifolds*, Math. J. Toyama Univ. 15 (1992), 83–94.
- [10] —, *Riemannian submersion with isometric reflections with respect to the fibers*, Kodai Math. J. 16 (1993), 416–427.
- [11] B. O’Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 1–20.
- [12] R. C. Randell, *Generalized Brieskorn manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 111–115.
- [13] T. Takahashi, *Deformations of Sasakian structures and its application to the Brieskorn manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), 37–43.
- [14] Y. Tashiro, *On contact structure of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, I*, *ibid.* 15 (1963), 62–78.
- [15] I. Vaisman, *On locally conformal almost Kähler manifolds*, Israel J. Math. 24 (1976), 338–351.
- [16] —, *A theorem on compact locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1979), 279–283.
- [17] —, *Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form*, Rend. Mat. 12 (1979), 263–284.
- [18] —, *Some curvature properties of locally conformal Kaehler manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) 259 (1980), 439–447.

- [19] I. Vaisman, *Generalized Hopf manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata 13 (1982), 231–255.
- [20] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Generic submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds*, Kodai Math. J. 3 (1980), 163–196.
- [21] —, —, *Structures on Manifolds*, World Sci., Singapore, 1984.

Department of Mathematics
Akita National College of Technology
1-1, Bunkyo-cho Iijima
Akita 011, Japan
E-mail: narifumi@air.akita-u.ac.jp

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3.3.1995