$$\overline{\{y_n\}}^{\tau} \subset \overline{\{y_n\}}^{\text{weak}} \subset Y.$$

Thus we close with the following.

4.6. OPEN PROBLEM. Let X be a nonreflexive space that contains a τ -LUR body for a linear topology τ finer than the weak topology. Does then X contain two τ -LUR bodies C, D such that C + D is not rotund?

References

- [Da] M. M. Day, Strict convexity and smoothness, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1955), 516-528.
- [Di] J. Diestel, Geometry of Banach Spaces—Selected Topics, Lecture Notes in Math. 485, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [DS] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, Linear Operators I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [GKM] P. Georgiev, D. Kutzarova and A. Maaden, On the smooth drop property, Nonlinear Anal. 26 (1996), 595-602.
- [Gr] B. Grünbaum, Convex Polytopes, Wiley-Interscience, London, 1967.
- [Ja] R. C. James, Reflexivity and the supremum of linear functionals, Ann. of Math. 66 (1957), 159-169.
- [Kl1] V. Klee, Some new results on smoothness and rotundity in normed linear spaces, Math. Ann. 139 (1959), 51-63.
- [Kl2] —, Adjoints of projective transformations and face-figures of convex polytopes, in: Math. Programming Stud. 8, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978, 208-216.
- [Lo] A. R. Lovaglia, Locally uniformly convex Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1955), 225-238.
- [MS] P. McMullen and G. C. Shephard, Convex Polytopes and the Upper Bound Conjecture, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 3, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1971.
- [Ph] R. R. Phelps, Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability, Lecture Notes in Math. 1364, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [Ta] M. Talagrand, Renormages de quelques C(K), Israel J. Math. 54 (1986), 327-334.
- [Tr] S. L. Troyanski, Example of a smooth space whose dual is not strictly convex, Studia Math. 35 (1970), 305-309.

Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Box 354350
Seattle, Washington 98195-4350
U.S.A.
E-mail: klee@math.washington.edu

Dipartimento di Matematica
. Università degli Studi
via C. Saldini 50
20133 Milano MI
Italy
E-mail: libor@vmimat.mat.unimi.it

zanco@vmimat.mat.unimi.it

Received October 6, 1994
Revised version May 6, 1996

(3347)

Operators preserving orthogonality of polynomials

by

FRANCISCO MARCELLÁN (Leganés) and FRANCISZEK HUGON SZAFRANIEC (Kraków)

Abstract. Let S be a degree preserving linear operator of $\mathbb{R}[X]$ into itself. The question is if, preserving orthogonality of some orthogonal polynomial sequences, S must necessarily be an operator of composition with some affine function of \mathbb{R} . In [2] this problem was considered for S mapping sequences of Laguerre polynomials onto sequences of orthogonal polynomials. Here we improve substantially the theorems of [2] as well as disprove the conjecture proposed there. We also consider the same questions for polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle.

Introduction. Call $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}$ where \mathcal{P} is either $\mathbb{R}[X]$ or $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ a polynomial system (for short: PS) if $\deg p_n = n, n = 0, 1, \ldots$ A PS which is orthogonal with respect to a positive measure is here referred to as OGPS; if it is orthonormal the abbrevation is ONPS.

1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, setting

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!},$$

the (generalized) Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\alpha)}$, $n=0,1,\ldots$, are defined as usual by

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \frac{x^k}{k!}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

They satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$XL_n^{(\alpha)} = -(n+1)L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)} + (2n+1+\alpha)L_n^{(\alpha)} - (n+\alpha)L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)},$$

$$L_{-1}^{(\alpha)} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B38, 33C45, 42C05.

Key words and phrases: Laguerre polynomials, polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle, linear operators preserving orthogonality.

Much of the work was done during the second author's stay at Universidad Carlos III de Madrid under the DGICYT program of *situación de Sabático* in the Spring semester of 1993.

For $\alpha > -1$ the PS $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is orthogonal with respect to a positive measure and its orthonormalization is given by

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (L_n^{(\alpha)}(x))^2 e^{-x} x^{\alpha} dx = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!}.$$

For $\alpha \leq -1$, $\alpha \notin \{\ldots, -2, -1\}$, they are orthogonal with respect to a quasi-definite inner product while for $\alpha \in \{\ldots, -2, -1\}$ they are orthogonal with respect to a Sobolev type inner product (cf. [5], Proposition 3.3, and also [6]).

2. For $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$\tau_{c,d}(x) = cx + d, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$L_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = L_n^{(\alpha)} \circ \tau_{c,d}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Thus $L_n^{(\alpha)} = L_n^{(\alpha,1,0)}$

Now let S be a linear operator of $\mathbb{R}[X]$ into itself preserving the degree of polynomials. The question is if preserving orthogonality of polynomials forces S to be of the form

(1)
$$Sp = sp \circ \tau_{a,b}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}[X],$$

with some $s, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. The results of [2] can be stated as follows:

THEOREM I (the orthonormal case). If there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ not a negative integer (1) such that

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+i+1)} \right)^{1/2} SL_n^{(\alpha+i)} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

is an ONPS for any i = 0, 1, ..., then S is of the form (1).

THEOREM II (the orthogonal case). If there are $\alpha_1 < -1$ not a negative integer (2) and $\alpha_2 > -1$ such that $\{SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal PS for $\alpha = \alpha_j + i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, j = 1, 2,$ and for any $c, d \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0$, then S is of the form (1).

Conjecture. If there is α not a negative integer such that $\{SL_n^{(\alpha+i)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal PS for any $i=0,1,\ldots$, then S is of the form (1).

Our aim is to improve substantially Theorems I and II of Allaway (by the way, providing alternative proofs of those theorems as well as clarifying their circumstances) and to disprove the Conjecture. More precisely, both Theorems I and II require S to preserve orthonormality or orthogonality of an infinite number of ONPS's or, respectively, OGPS's. We are able to show that preserving orthonormality of 4 ONPS's is enough while in the the orthogonal case 16 of them do the job. In addition, we also bring the question over to the *unit circle* case (this question was raised in [1]) where the situation appears to be slightly different.

The real line case

3. In this section, as in the whole paper, S is a degree preserving linear operator of $\mathbb{R}[X]$ into itself. The observation which follows is the key to solving the problem.

PROPOSITION. Let s, a, b be real numbers and $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a PS. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)
$$sS(Xp_n) = S(X)S(p_n), n \geq 0$$
,

(ii)
$$sS(p_m p_n) = S(p_m)S(p_n), m, n \ge 0$$
,

(iii)
$$sS(pq) = S(p)S(q), p, q \in \mathbb{R}[X],$$

(iv)
$$sS(Xp) = S(X)S(p), p \in \mathbb{R}[X],$$

(v)
$$SX^n = s(aX + b)^n$$
, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$

(vi) if $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$Xp_n = \alpha_n p_{n+1} + \beta_n p_n + \gamma_n p_{n-1},$$

then $\{Sp_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation with coefficients $a_n = a^{-1}\alpha_n$, $b_n = a^{-1}(\beta_n - b)$ and $c_n = a^{-1}\gamma_n$ respectively.

Under the above circumstances s = S1 and $Sp = sp \circ \tau_{a,b}, p \in \mathbb{R}[X]$.

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v) follow straightforwardly. To prove the implication (v) \Rightarrow (vi) one has to notice that (v) implies $Sp = sp \circ \tau_{a,b}$. The proof of (vi) \Rightarrow (i) goes as follows: first we get

$$S(Xp_n) = (aX + b)Sp_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

from this we get SX = s(aX + b) where s = S1 and finally (i).

The Proposition shows us that

 1° each operator S defined by

$$Sp = p \circ au_{a,b}, \quad s, a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

preserves orthogonality of all orthogonal PS's,

2° instead of thinking of preserving orthogonality of PS's we can consider preserving (in the sense of (vi)) the three-term recurrence relation.

4. According to what the Proposition suggests we are going to find, for at least one α , the coefficients $a_n^{(\alpha)}$, $b_n^{(\alpha)}$ and $c_n^{(\alpha)}$ in the three-term recurrence

⁽¹⁾ It should have been $\alpha > -1$ so as to speak of orthonormality of the Laguerre polynomials in the commonly acceptable sense.

⁽²⁾ Though the way in which orthogonality was defined in [2] might suggest that α would rather be greater than -1, cf. the previous footnote as well.

Operators preserving orthogonality of polynomials

relation of $\{SL_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and compare them with those of $L_n^{(\alpha)}$. First we do this for the coefficients $a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$, $b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$ and $c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$ in

(2)
$$XSL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n+1}^{(\alpha,c,d)} + b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} + c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha,c,d)}$$

which is the three-term recurrence relation of $\{SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. The simple formula (cf. [7, p. 102])

$$L_n^{(\alpha)} = L_n^{(\alpha+1)} - L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}$$

implies immediately

(3)
$$SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = SL_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)}$$

and this turns out to be essential in what follows.

We will also need the explicit forms of the first four Laguerre polynomials:

$$\begin{split} L_0^{(\alpha)} &= 1, \quad L_1^{(\alpha)} = -X + \alpha + 1, \\ L_2^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{1}{2}X^2 - (\alpha + 2)X + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 1), \\ L_3^{(\alpha)} &= -\frac{1}{6}X^3 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 3)X^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 2)X + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 1). \end{split}$$

LEMMA. Let

$$SX = aX + b$$
 and $SX^2 = s_{22}X^2 + s_{12}X + s_{02}$.

Fix c and d and suppose $\{SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation with the coefficients $a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$, $b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$ and $c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$ for $\alpha=\alpha_0-1,\alpha_0,$ α_0+1,α_0+2 with some $\alpha_0\in\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(4) s_{22} = a^2$$

and, for $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1, \alpha_0 + 2$ and $n \geq 0$,

(5)
$$a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = -(ac)^{-1}(n+1),$$

(6)
$$b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = (ac)^{-1}(-bc - d + 1 + (1+\gamma)n + \alpha),$$

(7)
$$c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = -(ac)^{-1}(\beta + (n+\alpha)\gamma),$$

where β and γ are real parameters such that

$$cs_{12} = 2abc + a - a\gamma,$$

(9)
$$c^{2}s_{02} = b^{2}c^{2} + bc + d - bc\gamma - d\gamma - \beta.$$

Proof. First notice that, because of the convention $L_{-1}^{(\alpha)} = 0$, $c_0^{(\alpha,c,d)}$ is unimportant. Suppose S1 = 1. Put (3) in (2) to get

$$\begin{split} XSL_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} &= a_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n+1}^{(\alpha,c,d)} + b_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} + c_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha,c,d)} \\ &= a_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n+1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} + b_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha,c,d)} + b_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} \\ &= a_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n+1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - a_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} + b_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} \\ &- b_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} + c_{n}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - c_{n}^{(\alpha,c,d)} SL_{n-2}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)}. \end{split}$$

Doing the same in reverse order we get

 $XSL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$

$$= XSL_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - XSL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)}$$

$$= a_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_{n+1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} + b_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} + c_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)}$$

$$- a_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - b_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} + c_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} SL_{n-2}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} .$$

Comparing the coefficients of $SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$'s (preserving degree!) we come to the following relations:

(10)
$$a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = a_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)}, \qquad n > 0,$$

(11)
$$b_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = a_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}, \quad n > 0,$$

(12)
$$c_n^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = b_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}, \quad n > 0,$$

(13)
$$c_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1,c,d)} = c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}, \qquad n > 1.$$

Set

$$A_n = a_{n-1}^{(\alpha,c,d)} - a_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}$$
 and $C = b_0^{(\alpha,c,d)} - b_1^{(\alpha,c,d)} + A_1$.

Then (11) and (12) imply

(14)
$$b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + (\alpha - \alpha_0) A_n,$$

(15)
$$c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = c_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + (\alpha - \alpha_0)(b_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + A_n).$$

Putting (15) into (13) and using the fact that the resulting equality is satisfied for (at least) two different α 's (namely $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1$) we infer that, for n > 1,

(16)
$$b_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + A_n = b_{n-2}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} - b_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + A_{n-1},$$

(17)
$$c_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} = c_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + b_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + A_n.$$

Now (16) implies immediately

(18)
$$b_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + A_n = b_0^{(\alpha,c,d)} - b_1^{(\alpha,c,d)} + A_1 = C.$$

Consequently, (17) implies $c_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = c_1^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + (n-1)C$ and then (15) takes the form

(19)
$$c_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} = c_1^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + (n-1)C + (\alpha - \alpha_0)C.$$

Inserting (14) into (12), using (18) and then (19), and comparing the coefficients of α we get

$$(20) A_{n-1} = A_n, n > 1.$$

Thus, from (18), we have

$$b_{n-1}^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} - b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} = C - A_1, \quad b_n^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} = b_0^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + n(A_1 - C)$$

and, finally, (14) can be written as

(21)
$$b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = b_0^{(\alpha_0,c,d)} + n(A_1 - C) + (\alpha - \alpha_0)A_1, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Now writing the three-term recurrence relation for $\{SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in the case n=0 we get

$$X = a_0^{(\alpha,c,d)}(-acX - bc - d + \alpha + 1) + b_0^{(\alpha,c,d)}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of X we get

$$a_0^{(\alpha,c,d)} = (ac)^{-1}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of X^0 and then those of α^0 we get

$$b_0^{(\alpha,c,d)} = (ac)^{-1}(-cb - d + 1 + \alpha_0).$$

Thus, after setting

$$\beta = ac(-c_1^{(\alpha,c,d)} + (1+\alpha_0)C), \quad \gamma = -acC,$$

the formula (21) takes the form

(22)
$$b_n^{(\alpha,c,d)} = (ac)^{-1}(-cb-d+1+\alpha_0+n(acA_1+\gamma)+acA_1(\alpha-\alpha_0)),$$

while (19) becomes precisely (7). The only thing we have to do is to show (4)–(6), (8) and (9).

Now write the three-term recurrence relation for $\{SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in the case n=1. It leads to

$$\begin{split} X[-acX - bc - d + \alpha + 1] \\ &= a_1^{(\alpha,c,d)} \left[\frac{1}{2} c^2 (s_{22} X^2 + s_{12} X + s_{02}) + cd(aX + b) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} d^2 - (\alpha + 2) (acX + bc + d) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha + 2) (\alpha + 1) \right] \\ &+ (ac)^{-1} (-bc - d + 1 + \alpha_0 + acA_1 + \gamma + acA_1 (\alpha - \alpha_0)) \\ &\times \left[-acX - bc - d + \alpha + 1 \right] - (ac)^{-1} [\beta + (1 + \alpha)\gamma]. \end{split}$$

Comparing the coefficients of X^0 and then of α^2 we get $0 = \frac{1}{2}a_1 + A_1$ and, invoking the definition of A_1 , we get immediately $a_1 = 2a_0 = -2(ac)^{-1}$ and $A_1 = (ac)^{-1}$. Consequently, by (20), we get (5) and (4). Then also (22) becomes (6). Now comparing the coefficients of X^0 and then of α^0 we get (9) while comparing the coefficients of X and then of α^0 we get (8).

5. Now we are ready to prove our "orthonormal" result:

THEOREM 1. Let $S: \mathbb{R}[X] \to \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a degree preserving linear operator SX = aX + b. Suppose $\{SL_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation for $\alpha = \alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1, \alpha_0 + 2$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and, moreover, for (at least) two of $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1, \alpha_0 + 2\}$

$$c_1^{(\alpha)} = 1 + \alpha.$$

Then S is of the form (1).

Proof. By (7) of the Lemma, $\beta+(1+\alpha)\gamma=1+\alpha$. Allowing two different α 's we infer that $\beta=0$ and $\gamma=1$. Consequently,

(23)
$$a_n^{(\alpha)} = -a^{-1}(n+1),$$

(24)
$$b_n^{(\alpha)} = a^{-1}(-b+1+2n+\alpha),$$

(25)
$$c_n^{(\alpha)} = -a^{-1}(n+\alpha).$$

According to the Proposition, (vi) implies (iv), and this completes the proof. \blacksquare

The following is immediate:

COROLLARY 1. Let $S: \mathbb{R}[X] \to \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a degree preserving linear operator with SX = aX + b. Suppose $\{SL_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an OGPS for $\alpha = \alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1, \alpha_0 + 2$ with some $\alpha_0 > 0$ and, moreover,

$$||SL_1^{(\alpha)}||^2/||SL_0^{(\alpha)}||^2 = 1 + \alpha$$

for (at least) two of $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1, \alpha_0 + 2\}$. Then S is of the form (1).

The norm in the above is the image norm of $L_n^{(\alpha)}$'s under S.

Proof. Since, what is easy to verify, $||SL_1^{(\alpha)}||^2/||SL_0^{(\alpha)}||^2=c_1^{(\alpha)}/a_0^{(\alpha)}$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.

Our Corollary 1 improves substantially Theorem I which is, due to normalization of $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, precisely Theorem (3.1) of [2]. Now we pass to extending Theorem II.

THEOREM 2. Let $S: \mathbb{R}[X] \to \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a degree preserving linear operator with SX = aX + b. Suppose $\{SL_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation for $\alpha = \alpha_0 - 1$, α_0 , $\alpha_0 + 1$, $\alpha_0 + 2$ with some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, two different nonzero c's and two different d's. Then S is of the form (1).

Proof. Set $SX^3=s_{33}X^3+s_{23}X^2+s_{13}X+s_{03}$ and write the three-term recurrence relation for $\{L_n^{(\alpha,c,d)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in the case n=2:



$$X\left[\frac{1}{2}c^{2}(a^{2}X^{2} + s_{12}X + s_{02}) + cd(aX + b) + \frac{1}{2}d^{2} - (\alpha + 2)(acX + bc + d) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 1)\right]$$

$$= -3(ac)^{-1}\left[-\frac{1}{6}(c^{3}(s_{33}X^{3} + s_{23}X^{2} + s_{13}X + s_{03}) + 3c^{2}d(a^{2}X^{2} + s_{12}X + s_{02}) + 3cd^{2}(aX + b) + d^{2}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 3)(c^{2}(a^{2}X^{2} + s_{12}X + s_{02}) + 2cd(aX + b) + d^{2})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 2)(acX + bc + d) + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 1)\right]$$

$$+ (ac)^{-1}(-bc - d + 3 + 2\gamma + \alpha)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{1}{2}c^{2}(a^{2}X^{2} + s_{12}X + s_{02}) + cd(aX + b) + \frac{1}{2}d^{2} - (\alpha + 2)(acX + bc + d) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 1)\right]$$

$$- (ac)^{-1}(\beta + 2\gamma + \gamma\alpha)[-ac - bc - d + \alpha + 1].$$

Comparing the coefficients of X^0 and then of α^0 we get

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}c^{3}s_{03} + c^{2}ds_{02} - 3c^{2}s_{02} - \frac{1}{2}bc^{3}s_{02} - 2bcd - d^{2}$$
$$+ 2bc + 2d - b^{2}c^{2}d^{2} + 2b^{2}c^{2} + c^{2}s_{02}\gamma + 2bcd\gamma$$
$$+ d^{2}\gamma - 2bc\gamma - 2d\gamma + bc\beta + d\beta - \beta.$$

Inserting β from (9) into the above we simplify it as

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}c^3s_{03} - \frac{3}{2}bc^3s_{02} - 2c^2s_{02} + b^3c^3 + 2b^2c^2 + bc + d + c^2s_{02}\gamma - b^2c^2\gamma - bc\gamma - d\gamma.$$

Comparing the coefficients of d we get $\gamma=1$, and from those of d^0 , after dividing by c^2 , we get $s_{02}=b^2$ and, consequently, $\beta=0$. Thus $\{b_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{c_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy (24) and (25). Finally, due to the Lemma again, we come to the conclusion.

Again our result betters significantly Theorem (4.1) of [2] (here reported as Theorem II).

6. The result which follows is related to Allaway's Conjecture.

Theorem 3. For any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator S_{β} defined as

$$S_{\beta}L_n^{(\alpha)}=L_n^{(\alpha+\beta)}\circ\tau_{1,\beta}, \quad n=0,1,\ldots,$$

is independent of α .

Proof. Fixing β we define a linear operator S_{β}^{α} as

$$S^{\alpha}_{\beta}L^{(\alpha)}_n = L^{(\alpha+\beta)}_n \circ \tau_{1,\beta}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We show that for $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$,

(26)
$$S_{\beta}^{\alpha'}L_n^{(\alpha)} = S_{\beta}^{\alpha}L_n^{(\alpha)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

This will follow from the formula (cf. [3, p. 57])

$$L_n^{(\gamma+\delta+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{(\gamma)} L_j^{(\delta)}$$
 where $A_k^{(\gamma)} = {\gamma+k \choose k}$.

Indeed, using this formula, we have

$$S_{\beta}^{\alpha'} L_{n}^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{n-j}^{(\alpha-\alpha'-1)} S_{\beta}^{\alpha'} L_{j}^{(\alpha')} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{n-j}^{(\alpha-\alpha'-1)} L_{j}^{(\alpha'+\beta)} \circ \tau_{1,\beta}$$
$$= L_{n}^{(\alpha-\alpha'-1+\alpha'+\beta+1)} \circ \tau_{1,\beta} = S_{\beta}^{\alpha} L_{n}^{(\alpha)}.$$

This is (26) and, because $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}[X]$, we infer that

$$S^{lpha'}_{eta}p=S^{lpha}_{eta}p, \quad p\in\mathbb{R}[X],$$

which gives us the conclusion.

It is a matter of direct verification to check that $S_{\beta}L_n^{(\alpha)}$'s satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$XS_{\beta}L_{n}^{(\alpha)} = -(n+1)S_{\beta}L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)} + (2n+1+\alpha)S_{\beta}L_{n}^{(\alpha)} - (n+\alpha+\beta)S_{\beta}L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}$$

$$S_{\beta}L_{-1}^{(\alpha)} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

So for any α , $\{S_{\beta}L_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal PS (cf. Introduction, Sec. 2). On the other hand,

$$S_{\beta}L_0^{(\alpha)} = L_0^{(\alpha)}, \quad S_{\beta}L_1^{(\alpha)} = L_1^{(\alpha)} \quad \text{while} \quad S_{\beta}L_2^{(\alpha)} = L_2^{(\alpha)} - \beta/2.$$

This gives us immediately

COROLLARY 2. The operator S_{β} of Theorem 3 preserves orthogonality of all PS's $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and it is not of the form (1) if $\beta \neq 0$.

This disproves Allaway's Conjecture.

The unit circle case

7. For $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[Z]$ of the form $\varphi = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i Z^i$, $a_n \neq 0$, define φ^* as

$$\varphi^* = \sum_{i=0}^n \overline{a}_i Z^{n-i} = \sum_{i=0}^n \overline{a}_{n-i} Z^i.$$

The following classical characterization (which is implicit in [4, pp. 3–5]) of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle will be used:

Operators preserving orthogonality of polynomials

215

(*) The sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}[Z]$ of monic polynomials is orthogonal with respect to a real (positive resp.) measure μ supported on the unit circle, that is,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi_{m}(e^{it}) \overline{\varphi_{n}(e^{it})} \, \mu(dt) = c_{m} \delta_{mn},$$

$$c_m \neq 0 \quad (c_m > 0 \text{ resp.}), \quad m, n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

if and only if, for n = 0, 1, ..., it satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\varphi_{n+1} = Z\varphi_n + \varphi_{n+1}(0)\varphi_n^*$$

and
$$|\varphi_{n+1}(0)| \neq 1$$
 $(|\varphi_{n+1}(0)| < 1 \text{ resp.})$.

Notice that the above recurrence relation for not necessarily monic polynomials reads as

(27)
$$\alpha_n \varphi_{n+1} = \alpha_{n+1} Z \varphi_n + \varphi_{n+1}(0) \frac{\alpha_n}{\overline{\alpha}_n} \varphi_n^*$$

where α_n is the leading coefficient of φ_n allowed to be complex. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ set

$$\tau_{\alpha} = \alpha Z$$
 and $S_{\alpha} \varphi = \varphi \circ \tau_{\alpha}, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{C}[Z].$

Let, in what follows, |w| < 1. Define

$$\varphi_0^{(w,1)} = (1 - |w^2|)^{1/2}, \quad \varphi_n^{(w,1)} = Z^{n-1}(Z - w), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\varphi_0^{(w,2)} = 1, \quad \varphi_1^{(w,2)} = Z - \frac{2\overline{w}}{1 + |w|^2},
\varphi_n^{(w,2)} = Z^{n-2}(Z - w)^2, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then the sequence $\{\varphi_n^{(w,1)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an ONPS on the unit circle with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} |e^{it} - w|^{-2} dt$$

while $\{\varphi_n^{(w,2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an OGPS on the unit circle with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}|e^{it}-w|^{-4}dt.$$

8. Let S be a degree preserving linear operator of $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ into itself. Then we have the following

LEMMA 2. Suppose we are given three different real numbers w_1, w_2, w_3 , with $|w_k| < 1$, k = 1, 2, 3. If, for any k = 1, 2, 3, $\{S\varphi_n^{(w_k)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the recurrence relation (27), then $S = sS_{\alpha}$, for some $s, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. There is no loss of generality if we assume S(1) = 1. Set

$$SZ^n = \sum_{i=0}^n s_{i,n} Z^i.$$

Then, with the notation $s_{n,n-1} = 0$, we have

$$S\varphi_n^{(w,1)} = \sum_{i=0}^n (s_{i,n} - ws_{i,n-1})Z^i, \quad n \ge 1,$$

$$(S\varphi_n^{(w,1)})(0) = s_{0,n} - ws_{0,n-1}$$
 and

$$(S\varphi_n^{(w,1)})^* = \sum_{i=0}^n (\overline{s}_{n-i,n} - w\overline{s}_{n-i,n-1})Z^i.$$

If w is any of w_1, w_2, w_3 , the recurrence relation (27) for $\{S\varphi_n^{(w,1)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ leads to

$$\begin{split} s_{n,n} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (s_{i,n+1} - w s_{i,n}) Z^i \\ &= s_{n+1,n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (s_{i,n} - w s_{i,n-1}) Z^{i+1} \\ &+ (s_{0,n+1} - w s_{0,n}) \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\overline{s}_{n-i,n} - w \overline{s}_{n-i,n-1}) Z^i \frac{s_{n,n}}{\overline{s}_{n+1,n+1}}. \end{split}$$

Comparing the coefficients of Z^i , i = 1, ..., n, we get

$$s_{n,n}(s_{i,n+1} - ws_{i,n}) = s_{n+1,n+1}(s_{i-1,n} - ws_{i-1,n-1}) + (s_{0,n+1} - ws_{0,n})(\bar{s}_{n-i,n} - w\bar{s}_{n-i,n-1}) \frac{s_{n,n}}{\bar{s}_{n+1,n+1}}$$

Now comparing the coefficients of w^2 , because always $s_{n,n} \neq 0$, we get

$$s_{0,n}\bar{s}_{n-i,n-1}=0, \quad 1\leq i\leq n,$$

and putting i = 1 implies

$$s_{0,n}=0, \quad n\geq 1.$$

After comparing the coefficients of w, we have

(28)
$$s_{n,n}s_{i,n} = s_{n+1,n+1}s_{i-1,n-1} + s_{0,n}\bar{s}_{n-i,n} + s_{0,n+1}\bar{s}_{n-i,n-1}, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Putting i = n and using $s_{0,n} = 0$ this gives us

$$(s_{n,n})^2 = s_{n+1,n+1}s_{n-1,n-1}$$

and, consequently, since $s_{0,0}=1$, we get $s_{n,n}=\alpha^n$ where $\alpha=s_{1,1}$. Now (28) simplifies to

$$s_{i,n} = \alpha s_{i-1,n-1}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

and, since $s_{0,n}=0$, this leads to $s_{k,n+k}=0$, $k=0,1,\ldots$ Thus we finally obtain $S=S_{\alpha}$. Removing the assumption S1=1 we get s=S1.

Because the operator S_{α} does not affect the numbers $\varphi_{n+1}^{(w,i)}(0)$, $n \geq 0$, it preserves the kind of orthogonality of $\{\varphi_n^{(w,i)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (cf. (*)). In particular, invoking the characterization (*), we get immediately

THEOREM 4. Suppose we are given three different real numbers w_1, w_2, w_3 , with $|w_k| < 1$, k = 1, 2, 3. If, for any k = 1, 2, 3, $\{S\varphi_n^{(w_k)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an OGPS, then $S = sS_{\alpha}$ with $|\alpha| > \max\{|w_1|, |w_2|, |w_3|\}$.

In order to get more information about α we have to allow S to preserve orthogonality of more ONPS's. For instance we have

COROLLARY 3. Suppose $W=\{w_k\}$ is a sequence such that $|w_k|<1$, $k=1,2,\ldots$, and $\sup_k |w_k|=1$. Suppose that among w_k 's there are at least three different real numbers. If for any $w\in W$, $\{S\varphi_n^w\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an OGPS, then $|\alpha|\geq 1$.

On the other hand, for any w with |w| < 1 and α with $|\alpha| > 1$ we have

$$S_{\alpha}\varphi_n^{(w,1)} = \alpha^n \varphi_n^{(\alpha^{-1}w,1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad S_{\alpha}\varphi_0^{w,1} = \varphi_0^{(w,1)},$$

which means that, while $\{\varphi_n^{(w,1)}\}$ is an ONPS, $\{S_\alpha \varphi_n^{(w,1)}\}_n$ is an OGPS. However, it is not an ONPS unless $|\alpha| = 1$. This is reflected in the following

THEOREM 5. Suppose we are given three different real numbers w_1, w_2, w_3 , with $|w_k| < 1$, k = 1, 2, 3. If for any k = 1, 2, 3, $\{S\varphi_n^{(w_k)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an OGPS and if for at least one $w = w_k$,

$$||S\varphi_0^{(w,1)}|| = ||S\varphi_1^{(w,1)}||$$

then $S = sS_{\alpha}$ for some $s, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$.

In the above the norm is the image norm of $\{\varphi_n^{(w,1)}\}\$ under S.

Proof. Again S1=1. Since $0=\langle S\varphi_1^{(w,1)},1\rangle=\langle \alpha Z-w,1\rangle=\alpha \langle Z,1\rangle-w\|1\|^2$, we get

$$\begin{split} \|S\varphi_1^{(w,1)}\|^2 &= \|\alpha Z - w\|^2 = |\alpha|^2 \|1\|^2 - 2\Re(\alpha \overline{w}\langle Z, 1\rangle) + |w|^2 \|1\|^2 \\ &= (|\alpha|^2 - |w|^2) \|1\|^2. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we have $||S\varphi_0^{(w,1)}||^2 = (1-|w|^2)||1||^2$. This provides the argument in the proof of $|\alpha|=1$.

9. Consider now the sequence $\{\varphi_n^{(w,2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We get the following

LEMMA 3. Suppose we are given five different real numbers w_1, \ldots, w_5 , with $|w_k| < 1$, $k = 1, \ldots, 5$. If, for any $k = 1, \ldots, 5$, $\{S\varphi_n^{(w_k)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the recurrence relation (27), then $S = sS_{\alpha}$ for some $s, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The proof is much the same as that of Lemma 2. Here we point out the major steps. Assume again S(1) = 1 and set

$$SZ^n = \sum_{i=0}^n s_{i,n} Z^i.$$

Then, the recurrence relation (27) for $\{S\varphi_n^{(w,2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ leads to $(n \geq 2)$

$$\begin{split} s_{n,n} & \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (s_{i,n+1} - 2ws_{i,n} + w^2s_{i,n-1})Z^i \\ & = s_{n+1,n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (s_{i,n} - 2ws_{i,n-1} + w^2s_{i,n-2})Z^{i+1} \\ & + (s_{0,n+1} - 2ws_{0,n} + w^2s_{0,n-1}) \\ & \times \sum_{i=0}^n (\overline{s}_{n-i,n} - 2w\overline{s}_{n-i,n-1} + w^2\overline{s}_{n-i,n-2})Z^i \frac{s_{n,n}}{\overline{s}_{n+1,n+1}}. \end{split}$$

Comparing the coefficients of Z^i , $i=1,\ldots,n$, and then of w^4 we get $s_{0,n}=0, n\geq 1$. Then comparing the coefficients of w we come to

$$s_{k,n+k} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $(s_{n,n})^2 = s_{n+1,n+1}s_{n-1,n-1}$. Consequently, we get the final conclusion.

Once we know that $S = S_{\alpha}$ we can apply S to (27) and compare this with the recurrence relation for $\{S\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to get

$$\varphi_{n+1}(0)(\varphi_n(\overline{\alpha}^{-1}z)-\varphi(\alpha z))=0, \quad n=0,1,\ldots, \quad z\in\mathbb{C}.$$

While for $\{\varphi_n^{(w,1)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ this condition provides no additional information, for the sequence $\{\varphi_n^{(w,2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ it implies immediately (putting n=1) that $|\alpha|=1$. Thus we arrive at the following

THEOREM 6. Suppose we are given five different real numbers w_1, \ldots, w_5 , with $|w_k| < 1$, $k = 1, \ldots, 5$. If, for any $k = 1, \ldots, 5$, the PS $\{S\varphi_n^{(w_k, 2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the recurrence relation (27), then $S = sS_{\alpha}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Remark. Assuming in all the above that w_k 's are real is a matter of convenience, not of necessity.

STUDIA MATHEMATICA 120 (3) (1996)

References

- M. Alfaro and F. Marcellán, Recent trends in orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, in: Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications, C. Brezinski, L. Gori and A. Ronveaux, (eds.), IMACS Ann. Comp. Appl. Math. 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991, 3-14.
- [2] W. R. Allaway, Orthogonality preserving maps and the Laguerre functional, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 82-86.
- [3] R. Askey, Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, CBMS-NSF Regional Conf. Ser. in Appl. Math. 21, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1975.
- [4] Ya. L. Geronimus, Polynomials orthogonal on a circle and their applications, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 3 (1962), 1-78.
- [5] K. H. Kwon and L. L. Littlejohn, Classification of classical orthogonal polynomials, Utah State University, Research Report 10/93/70.
- [6] —, —, The orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials $\{L_n^{-k}(x)\}$ for positive integer k, Ann. Numer. Math. 2 (1995), 289-303.
- [7] G. Szegő, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 1975.

Escuela Politécnica Superior Universidad Carlos III de Madrid E-28911 Leganés c/Butarque, 15 Spain E-mail: pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytet Jagielloński ul. Reymonta 4 PL-30059 Kraków, Poland E-mail: fhszafra@im.uj.edu.pl

Received January 20, 1995 Revised version April 17, 1996 (3406)

On Dragilev type power Köthe spaces

bу

P. B. DJAKOV (Sofia) and V. P. ZAHARIUTA (Rostov-na-Donu and Gebze)

Abstract. A complete isomorphic classification is obtained for Köthe spaces $X = K(\exp[\chi(p-\kappa(i))-1/p]a_i)$ such that $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\simeq} X^2$; here χ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0,\infty)$, the function $\kappa: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ repeats its values infinitely many times, and $a_i \to \infty$. Any of these spaces has the quasi-equivalence property.

- 1. Introduction. For any matrix $(a_{ip})_{i\in I, p\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers (with countable index set I) we denote by $K(a_{ip})$ (or $K(a_{ip}, i\in I)$) the Köthe space generated by the matrix (a_{ip}) .
- M. M. Dragilev [1] proved that there exist Köthe spaces with regular bases which are not distinguished by the diametral dimension

$$\Gamma(X) = \{ \gamma = (\gamma_n) : \forall p \exists q \ \gamma_n d_n(U_p, U_q) \to 0 \},$$

considering the power Köthe spaces

(1)
$$D(\kappa, a) = K(\exp[\chi(p - \kappa(i)) - 1/p]a_i),$$

where $(\kappa(i)) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ...)$, $a = (a_i)$, $a_i \nearrow \infty$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for t < 0, $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \ge 0$. We investigate here an analogous class of power Köthe spaces given by (1) for an arbitrary function $\kappa : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that repeats its values infinitely many times and an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers $a_i \to \infty$ (not necessarily increasing).

Our aim is to study the structure and isomorphic classification of $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces for different κ and a. In order to distinguish non-isomorphic spaces of this class we first construct appropriate invariant characteristics (generalized linear topological invariants). The method of generalized linear topological invariants was developed in [6], [7], [9]–[11] (see the survey [12] for more details).

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46A45.

Key words and phrases: isomorphic classification, Köthe spaces.

Research of the first author supported by SRF of the Bulgarian Ministry of Science and Education, contract MM-409.