On the average of character sums for a group of characters

by

D. A. BURGESS (Nottingham)

To J. W. S. Cassels

1. Introduction. Let r, k, h be positive integers. For any Dirichlet character χ modulo k write

$$W_r(\chi) = \sum_{x=1}^k \left| \sum_{m=1}^h \chi(x+m) \right|^{2r}.$$

In [2] it was shown that, if χ is a primitive character, then

(1)
$$W_2(\chi) \ll kh^2 + k^{1/2+\varepsilon}h^4,$$

which implies that

(2)
$$W_2(\chi) \ll k^{1+\varepsilon} h^2$$

for $h \leq k^{1/4}$. In [6] it was shown that

(3)
$$\sum_{\text{primitive }\chi \pmod{k}} W_2(\chi) \ll k^{2+\varepsilon} h^2,$$

so that (2) holds for all h, on average for all primitive characters modulo k. Thus it is reasonable to conjecture that (2) might hold for some $h > k^{1/4}$, on average for the primitive characters of a large subgroup of the characters modulo k. In [8] such a result was obtained, it being shown that, for any prime p,

(4)
$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0}} W_2(\chi) \ll p^5 h^2 + p^3 h^4,$$

where χ_0 is the principal character, and thus (2) holds for $h \leq k^{1/3}$, on average for the characters modulo $k = p^3$ in the group of order p^2 .

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11L40.

[313]

In this paper the argument is strengthened to show in the following theorem that, for the non-principal characters of this group, (2) remains true for $h \leq k^{1/2}$, on average.

THEOREM 1. Let p be an odd prime number. Let

$$S = \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \sum_{x=1}^{p^3} \left| \sum_{m=1}^h \chi(x+m) \right|^{2r}.$$

Then in the case r = 2 we have

$$S \ll p^2 h^4 + p^5 h^2.$$

From [1] it follows that if k is prime then

(5)
$$W_3(\chi) \ll kh^3 + k^{1/2}h^6$$

for all positive h. By the methods of this paper it is shown that (5) can be improved for $h > p^{3/4}$, on average for the non-principal characters modulo $k = p^3$ in the group of order p^2 .

THEOREM 2. Let p be an odd prime number. Let

$$S = \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \sum_{x=1}^{p^3} \left| \sum_{m=1}^h \chi(x+m) \right|^{2r}.$$

Then in the case r = 3 we have

$$S \ll p^2 h^6 + \min(h, p)^3 p^5 h + \min(h, p)^2 p^6$$

In Section 8 we shall describe some corollaries of these theorems.

2. Preliminary transformation of the problem. For S as in the statement of both theorems, we have

(6)
$$S = \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0}} \sum_{x=1}^{p^3} \left| \sum_{m=1}^h \chi(x+m) \right|^{2r} - \sum_{x=1}^{p^3} \left(\sum_{m=1}^h \chi_0(x+m) \right)^{2r} = S_1 - S_2,$$

say.

Now

$$S_2 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \sum_{x=1}^{p^3} \chi_0(f_1(x)) \chi_0(f_2(x)),$$

where $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2r}$ satisfies $0 < m_i \leq h$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2r$, and

$$f_1(x) = (x + m_1) \dots (x + m_r), \quad f_2(x) = (x + m_{r+1}) \dots (x + m_{2r}).$$

Thus

(7)
$$S_2 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \sum_{\substack{x=1\\x \not\equiv -m_i \pmod{p}}}^{p^3} 1 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} p^2 \# \{ x : 1 \le x \le p, \ p \nmid f_1(x) f_2(x) \}.$$

We also have

$$S_{1} = \sum_{m} \sum_{x=1}^{p^{3}} \sum_{\chi^{p^{2}} = \chi_{0}} \chi(f_{1}(x))\overline{\chi}(f_{2}(x)) = \sum_{m} \sum_{\substack{x=1 \ p \nmid f_{1}(x)f_{2}(x)}}^{p^{3}} \sum_{\chi^{p^{2}} = \chi_{0}} \chi\left(\frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{2}(x)}\right)$$
$$= p^{2} \sum_{m} \#\{1 \le x \le p^{3}, 1 \le z
$$f_{1}(x) - z^{p^{2}}f_{2}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{3}}\}.$$$$

Thus, writing

(8)
$$f_{(z)}(x) = f_1(x) - z^{p^2} f_2(x),$$

we have

$$S_1 = S_3 + S_4,$$

where

(9)

(10)
$$S_3 = p^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \# \{ 1 \le x \le p^3 : x \not\equiv -m_i \pmod{p}, \\ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \}$$

and

(11)
$$S_4 = p^2 \sum_{m} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \# \{ 1 \le x \le p^3 : x \not\equiv -m_i \pmod{p}, \\ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \}.$$

 $f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We consider the non-singular roots of $f_{(z)}$. Since the numbers of non-singular roots modulo p^3 and p are the same we have from (10) that

$$S_{3} \leq p^{2} \sum_{m} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \#\{1 \leq x \leq p : p \nmid f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x), \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$$

= $p^{2} \sum_{m} \sum_{\substack{x=1 \ p \nmid f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x)}}^{p} \#\{1 \leq z = $p^{2} \sum_{m} \#\{1 \leq x \leq p : p \nmid f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x)\} = S_{2}$$

from (7). Now from (6) and (9) we have

$$(12) S \le S_4$$

3. Estimates for solution sets of polynomials. In the proof of our theorems we shall require some lemmas concerning the number of solutions of congruences to a prime power modulus. We shall use the following generalisation of the well known estimate for the number of non-singular roots of a congruence.

LEMMA 1. Let F be a polynomial of degree n having integer coefficients. Let p be a prime, d a positive integer, and α , β and γ be non-negative integers satisfying $\gamma = \lceil \alpha/d \rceil$. Then

$$\#\{1 \le x \le p^{\gamma} : p^{\alpha+\beta} \,|\, F(x), \, p^{\beta} \,\|\, F^{(d)}(x)\} \le n$$

Proof. This is Proposition 1 of [7].

We shall require an estimate for the number of solutions of a congruence in many variables to a prime modulus. The following will suffice.

LEMMA 2. Let G be a polynomial in x_1, \ldots, x_t which is not identically zero modulo the prime p. Let $0 < h_i \leq p$ for all i. Then the number of \boldsymbol{x} , satisfying $0 < x_i \leq h_i$ for all i, for which $G(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is $O((\prod h_i)/\min h_i)$.

Proof. This is an easy modification of Lemma 5 of [4].

We shall also use the following estimate which, under favourable conditions, can provide an optimal estimate for the average number of singular roots of a set of polynomials.

LEMMA 3. Let $F_i(\boldsymbol{y})$ $(0 < i \leq n)$ be polynomials in ν variables y_k $(0 < k \leq \nu)$. Let p be a prime and $2\beta \geq \alpha_1 \geq \ldots \geq \alpha_m > \beta \geq \alpha_{m+1} \geq \ldots \geq \alpha_n$ be positive integers. Let $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{N}^{\nu}$. Write

 $N = \#\{\boldsymbol{y} : \forall k \leq \nu \ 0 < y_k \leq H_k, \ \forall i \ F_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p^{\alpha_i})\}.$

Put $\lambda_k = \lceil H_k/p^\beta \rceil$ for all $k \leq \nu$. Then

$$N \ll \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{B} \\ \forall k \leq \nu \mid B_k \mid < \lambda_k}} \# \left\{ \boldsymbol{y} : \forall k \leq \nu \ 0 < y_k \leq p^{\beta}, \ \forall i \leq m \ F_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p^{\beta}), \right.$$

$$\forall i > m \ F_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p^{\alpha_i}), \ \forall i \le m \ \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} B_k \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p^{\alpha_i - \beta}) \bigg\}.$$

Proof. Clearly

$$N \le \#\{\boldsymbol{y} : \forall k \le \nu \ 0 < y_k \le \lambda_k p^\beta, \ \forall i \ F_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p^{\alpha_i})\}$$

For $1 \leq k \leq \nu$ write $y_k = a_k + p^\beta b_k$, where $0 < a_k \leq p^\beta$, $0 \leq b_k < \lambda_k$. Then, for $m < i \leq n$, $F_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}$ becomes

$$F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}},$$

while for $i \leq m$, $F_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}$ becomes

$$F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\boldsymbol{a}) b_k p^{\beta} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}.$$

The latter congruences imply, for $i \leq m$,

$$F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta}$$

so that, say,

$$\forall i \leq m \quad F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) = c_i(\boldsymbol{a})p^{\beta}$$

Thus we have

$$N \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \\ \forall i \leq m \; F_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod} \; p^{\beta}) \\ \forall i > m \; F_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod} \; p^{\alpha_i})}} \# \left\{ \mathbf{b} : \forall k \leq \nu \; 0 \leq b_k < \lambda_k, \\ \forall i \leq m \; \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\mathbf{a}) b_k \equiv -c_k(\mathbf{a}) \; (\text{mod} \; p^{\alpha_i - \beta}) \right\}.$$

Now the number of solutions of the inhomogeneous congruences

$$\forall i \le m \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\boldsymbol{a}) b_k \equiv -c_k(\boldsymbol{a}) \; (\text{mod } p^{\alpha_i - \beta})$$

in the variables **b** satisfying $0 \le b_k < \lambda_k$ for all $k \le \nu$ is at most the number of solutions of the homogeneous congruences

$$\forall i \le m \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\boldsymbol{a}) B_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i - \beta}}$$

in the variables **B** satisfying $|B_k| < \lambda_k$ for all $k \leq \nu$. Thus we have

$$N \leq \sum_{\substack{\forall i \leq m \ F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\beta}} \\ \forall i > m \ F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}}} \# \left\{ \boldsymbol{B} : \forall k \leq \nu \ |B_k| < \lambda_k, \\ \forall i \leq m \ \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\boldsymbol{a}) B_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha_i - \beta}} \right\}$$
$$\leq \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{B} \\ \forall k \leq \nu \ |B_k| < \lambda_k}} \# \left\{ \boldsymbol{a} : \forall k \leq \nu \ 0 < a_k \leq p^{\beta}, \ \forall i \leq m \ F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\beta}}, \right\}$$

$$\forall i > m \ F_i(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p^{\alpha_i}), \ \forall i \le m \ \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} B_k \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k}(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p^{\alpha_i - \beta}) \bigg\}.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1. Clearly in proving the theorem we may suppose that p > 2. We consider here the case r = 2.

It remains to consider the singular roots. Noting (11) we write

(13)
$$S_4 = S_5 + S_6,$$

where

$$S_5 = p^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \#\{1 \le x \le p^3, \ 1 \le z$$

and

$$S_{6} = p^{2} \sum_{m} \sum_{z=2}^{p-1} \#\{1 \le x \le p^{3} : x \not\equiv -m_{i} \pmod{p}, \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{3}}, \\ f_{(z)}'(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ f_{(z)}''(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Clearly we have

$$S_5 \le p^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \#\{1 \le x \le p^3 : (m_1 + m_2 - m_3 - m_4)x + (m_1 m_2 - m_3 m_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, (m_1 + m_2 - m_3 - m_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}\}.$$

Write

(14) $p^{\delta} = \text{highest common factor}(p^3, m_1 + m_2 - m_3 - m_4),$ where $1 \le \delta \le 3$. For solubility of the congruence

$$(m_1 + m_2 - m_3 - m_4)x + (m_1m_2 - m_3m_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$$

we require also

(15)
$$p^{\delta} | (m_1 m_2 - m_3 m_4)$$

The congruence then has at most p^{δ} solutions satisfying $1 \leq x \leq p^3$. (14) and (15) imply

$$(m_1 - m_3)(m_2 - m_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\delta}}.$$

Suppose that $p^{\varepsilon} | (m_1 - m_3)$ and $p^{\delta - \varepsilon} | (m_2 - m_3)$. Then the number of such m is

$$O\left(h\left(1+\frac{h}{p^{\varepsilon}}\right)\left(1+\frac{h}{p^{\delta-\varepsilon}}\right)\left(1+\frac{h}{p^{\delta}}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{h^4}{p^{2\delta}}+h^2\right).$$

Thus

(16)
$$S_5 \ll p^2 \sum_{\delta,\varepsilon} p^{\delta} \left(\frac{h^4}{p^{2\delta}} + h^2 \right) \ll ph^4 + p^5 h^2.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$S_6 \ll p^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \sum_{z=2}^{p-1} \# \{ 1 \le x \le p^3 : f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \\ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ f''_{(z)}(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1,

$$S_6 \ll p^3 \#\{\boldsymbol{m}, 1 < z < p : \exists x \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p^2), \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p)\}.$$

Thus

(17)
$$S_6 \ll p^3 \#\{\boldsymbol{m}, z, x : \forall i \ 0 < m_i \le h, \ 0 < x \le p, \ 0 < z < p,$$

 $f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$

Put

(18)
$$\lambda = \left\lceil \frac{h}{p} \right\rceil, \quad \mu = \left\{ \begin{matrix} p & \text{if } \lambda > 1, \\ h & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{matrix} \right.$$

Now we apply Lemma 3, treating x, z as constants and the m_i as our variables, to obtain

(19)
$$S_{6} \ll p^{3} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{B} \\ \forall k \leq 4 \mid B_{k} \mid < \lambda}} \# \left\{ \boldsymbol{a}, x, z : \forall k \leq 4 \ 0 < a_{k} \leq \mu, \ 0 < x \leq p, \\ 0 < z < p, \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p), \\ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p), \ \sum_{k=1}^{4} B_{k} \frac{\partial f_{(z)}}{\partial m_{k}}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p) \right\}$$

if $\lambda > 1$, while if $\lambda = 1$ this follows immediately from (17).

Given $\boldsymbol{B}, x, z, a_3, a_4$ we have, from (19),

$$f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 2(1-z)x + (a_1 + a_2 - za_3 - za_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

from which $a_1 + a_2$ is determined modulo p. Then also from (19) we have

$$f_{(z)}(x) \equiv (1-z)x^2 + (a_1 + a_2 - za_3 - za_4)x + (a_1a_2 - za_3a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and so a_1a_2 is also determined modulo p. Thus there are at most two choices for a_1, a_2 . Use these congruences to eliminate a_1, a_2 . We have on writing

$$\pi_1 = a_1 + a_2, \quad \pi_2 = a_1 a_2, \quad \varrho_1 = a_3 + a_4, \quad \varrho_2 = a_3 a_4,$$

the identity

$$(\pi_1^2 - 4\pi_2)(B_1 - B_2)^2 - (2B_2a_1 + 2B_1a_2 - \pi_1(B_1 + B_2))^2 = 0$$

Now from (19) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} B_k \frac{\partial f_{(z)}(x)}{\partial m_k} \equiv (B_1 + B_2 - zB_3 - zB_4)x + (a_1B_2 + a_2B_1 - za_3B_4 - za_4B_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus eliminating a_1, a_2 we have

(20)
$$(z^2 \varrho_1^2 - 4z \varrho_1 (1-z)x - 4x^2 z(1-z) - 4z \varrho_2)(B_1 - B_2)^2 - z^2 (2(B_3 a_4 + B_4 a_3) + 2x(B_3 + B_4) - (\varrho_1 + 2x)(B_1 + B_2))^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus from (19),

(21)
$$S_{6} \ll p^{3} \sum_{B} \#\{x, z, a_{3}, a_{4}: \\ (z^{2} \varrho_{1}^{2} - 4z \varrho_{1}(1-z)x - 4x^{2}z(1-z) - 4z \varrho_{2})(B_{1} - B_{2})^{2} \\ -z^{2}(2(B_{3}a_{4} + B_{4}a_{3}) + 2x(B_{3} + B_{4}) - (\varrho_{1} + 2x)(B_{1} + B_{2}))^{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

By Lemma 2, for a given choice of \mathbf{B} , (20) has at most $O(p^2\mu)$ solutions in x, z, a_3, a_4 , unless this polynomial is identically zero modulo p. If the coefficient of za_3a_4 is zero we have

$$-4(B_1 - B_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and thus $B_1 \equiv B_2 \pmod{p}$. Under this condition if the coefficient of $z^2 a_3^2$ is zero we have

$$-(2B_4 - B_1 - B_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and if the coefficient of $z^2 a_4^2$ is zero we have

$$-(2B_3 - B_1 - B_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus if the polynomial is identically zero modulo p we have

$$B_1 \equiv B_2 \equiv B_3 \equiv B_4 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence the number of such cases is $O(\lambda(1 + \lambda/p)^3)$.

Consequently, from (21) we have

$$S_6 \ll p^3 \left(\lambda^4 p^2 \mu + \left(\lambda + \frac{\lambda^4}{p^3} \right) p^2 \mu^2 \right) \ll p^2 h^4 + p^5 h^2.$$

The theorem follows from (12), (13) and (16).

5. Introduction to proof of Theorem 2. We may suppose that p > 2. We consider here the case r = 3. Noting (11) we write

(22)
$$S_{7} = \sum_{m} \#\{x, z : 0 < x \le p^{3}, \ 0 < z < p, \ f_{1}(x)f_{2}(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{3}}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ \text{either } f'_{(z)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2}} \text{ or } f''_{(z)}(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$$

and

(23)
$$S_8 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \#\{x, z : 0 < x \le p^3, \ 0 < z < p, \ f_1(x)f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \ f''_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$$

so that

(24)
$$S_4 = p^2 S_7 + p^2 S_8.$$

We estimate S_7 and S_8 in Section 7. We shall use also the polynomials $g_i(x)$ given by

$$\forall i \leq 3 \quad g_i(x) = f_1(x)/(x+m_i), \quad \forall i \geq 4 \quad g_i(x) = f_2(x)/(x+m_i).$$

Thus we have, from (8),

$$f_{(z)}(x) = g_1(x)(x+m_1) - z^{p^2}g_4(x)(x+m_4)$$

and

$$f'_{(z)}(x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x) + g_3(x) - z^{p^2}g_4(x) - z^{p^2}g_5(x) - z^{p^2}g_6(x).$$

Write

$$C_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}) = g_{1}(x)(x + m_{1}) - zg_{4}(x)(x + m_{4}),$$

$$C_{2}(\boldsymbol{m}) = (2x + m_{2} + m_{3})(x + m_{1}) - z(2x + m_{5} + m_{6})(x + m_{4})$$

$$+ (g_{1}(x) - zg_{4}(x)),$$

$$C_{3}(\boldsymbol{m}) = 2(x + m_{1}) - 2z(x + m_{4})$$

$$+ ((4x + 2m_{2} + 2m_{3}) - z(4x + 2m_{5} + 2m_{6})),$$

$$C_{4}(\boldsymbol{m}) = b_{1}g_{1}(x) + b_{2}g_{2}(x) + b_{3}g_{3}(x) - b_{4}zg_{4}(x) - b_{5}zg_{5}(x) - b_{6}zg_{6}(x)$$

$$= (b_{2}(x + m_{3}) + b_{3}(x + m_{2}))(x + m_{1})$$

$$- z(b_{5}(x + m_{6}) + b_{6}(x + m_{5}))(x + m_{4}) + (b_{1}g_{1}(x) - b_{4}zg_{4}(x))$$
and
$$C_{4}(\boldsymbol{m}) = b_{1}(2x + m_{1}) + b_{2}(2x + m_{1}) + b_{2}(2x + m_{1}) + b_{3}(x + m_{2})(x + m_{1})$$

a

$$C_{5}(\boldsymbol{m}) = b_{1}(2x + m_{2} + m_{3}) + b_{2}(2x + m_{1} + m_{3}) + b_{3}(2x + m_{1} + m_{2})$$

$$- b_{4}z(2x + m_{5} + m_{6}) - b_{5}z(2x + m_{4} + m_{6})$$

$$- b_{6}z(2x + m_{4} + m_{5})$$

$$= (b_{2} + b_{3})(x + m_{1}) - z(b_{5} + b_{6})(x + m_{4})$$

$$+ (b_{1}(2x + m_{2} + m_{3}) + b_{2}(x + m_{3}) + b_{3}(x + m_{2})$$

$$- zb_{4}(2x + m_{5} + m_{6}) - zb_{5}(x + m_{6}) - zb_{6}(x + m_{5})).$$

We define λ and μ by (18).

6. Minor lemmas

Lemma 4. Write

(25)
$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & -zg_4(x) \\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & -z(2x + m_5 + m_6) \end{vmatrix}$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{\forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda \\ f_1(x)f_2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{p}, \ C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \ll p\mu^4 \lambda^6$$

Proof. From $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ it follows that $g_1(x) \equiv zg_4(x) \pmod{p},$

from which z is uniquely determined. Then from $C_1(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ it follows that $m_1 = m_4$. Finally,

$$D_1 = z((m_5 + m_6 - m_2 - m_3)x^2 + 2(m_5m_6 - m_2m_3)x + m_5m_6(m_2 + m_3) - m_2m_3(m_5 + m_6)),$$

so that, by Lemma 2, $D_1/z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has $O(p\mu^3)$ solutions as a function of m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x . Thus the required estimate follows trivially.

LEMMA 5. Write

$$D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 m_3 & -z m_5 m_6 & 0\\ m_2 + m_3 & -z (m_5 + m_6) & m_2 m_3 - z m_5 m_6\\ b_2 m_3 + b_3 m_2 & -z b_5 m_6 - z b_6 m_5 & b_1 m_2 m_3 - b_4 z m_5 m_6 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{\forall i \ |b_i| < \lambda \\ D_2 \ identically \ 0 \ (\text{mod } p)}} \#\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x, z : \\ 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \ 0 < z < p\} \\ \ll p^2 \mu^4 \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + 1\right)^5$$

Proof. We have

$$D_2 = (b_6 - b_1)zm_2^2m_3^2m_5 + (b_5 - b_1)zm_2^2m_3^2m_6 + (b_1 - b_3)zm_2^2m_3m_5m_6 + (b_1 - b_2)zm_2m_3^2m_5m_6 + (b_4 - b_6)z^2m_2m_3m_5^2m_6 + (b_4 - b_5)z^2m_2m_3m_5m_6^2 + (b_3 - b_4)z^2m_2m_5^2m_6^2 + (b_2 - b_4)z^2m_3m_5^2m_6^2.$$

This is identically $0 \pmod{p}$ only if

$$b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv b_3 \equiv b_4 \equiv b_5 \equiv b_6 \pmod{p}.$$

The required estimate follows trivially.

LEMMA 6. We have

$$\sum_{\substack{\forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{\boldsymbol{m}, x, z : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \\ 0 < z < p, \ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_3(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\} \\ \ll p \mu^3 \lambda^6,$$

where D_1 is defined by (25).

Proof. From $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ it follows that $g_1(x) \equiv zg_4(x) \pmod{p},$

from which z is uniquely determined. Then from $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ it follows that $m_1 = m_4$ and, since $f_1(x)f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, from $C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ that

$$(2x + m_2 + m_3) - z(2x + m_5 + m_6) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Now substituting into $C_3(\mathbf{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we obtain z = 1 and so also $m_2 + m_3 \equiv m_5 + m_6 \pmod{p}$. But also we have $g_1(x) \equiv g_4(x) \pmod{p}$ and so $m_2m_3 \equiv m_5m_6 \pmod{p}$. Thus m_2, m_3 is a permutation of m_5, m_6 . The required estimate follows trivially.

LEMMA 7. Write

$$D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & g_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & 2x + m_5 + m_6 & g_1(x)\\ 1 & 1 & 2x + m_2 + m_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$D_4 = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & g_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & 2x + m_5 + m_6 & g_4(x)\\ 1 & 1 & 2x + m_5 + m_6 \end{vmatrix}$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \\ \forall i \ |b_i| < \lambda}} \#\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x : \\ 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \ D_3 \equiv D_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\} \\ \ll p \mu^2 \lambda^6.$$

Proof. The conditions $D_3 \equiv D_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ expand to give

$$(g_1(x) - g_4(x))((2x + m_5 + m_6)(2x + m_2 + m_3) - g_1(x)) -g_4(x)(m_2 + m_3 - m_5 - m_6)(2x + m_2 + m_3) \equiv (g_1(x) - g_4(x))((2x + m_5 + m_6)^2 - g_4(x)) -g_4(x)(m_2 + m_3 - m_5 - m_6)(2x + m_5 + m_6) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

These will have only O(1) solutions x unless both polynomials are identically $0 \pmod{p}$. But in the first of these the coefficient of x^3 is $m_2 + m_3 - m_5 - m_6$, and if this is $0 \pmod{p}$ then the coefficient of x^2 is $3(m_2m_3 - m_5m_6)$. Thus if both polynomials in x are identically $0 \pmod{p}$ then the pair m_2, m_3 is a permutation of m_5, m_6 . This contributes

(26)
$$\ll \lambda^6 p \mu^2$$

to our estimate.

Now consider the other case in which at least one of D_3 and D_4 is not identically 0 (mod p). Then there are only O(1) values for x. The two polynomial congruences $D_3 \equiv D_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ are cubics. The difference between these polynomials is

$$\begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & g_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & 2x + m_5 + m_6 & g_1(x) - g_4(x)\\ 1 & 1 & m_2 + m_3 - m_5 - m_6 \end{vmatrix}$$

By row and column operations this simplifies to

$$\begin{vmatrix} m_2 m_3 & m_5 m_6 & 0 \\ m_2 + m_3 & m_5 + m_6 & m_2 m_3 - m_5 m_6 \\ 1 & 1 & m_2 + m_3 - m_5 - m_6 \end{vmatrix},$$

which is a polynomial in m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6 . This polynomial is -1 when $m_2 = m_3 = 1, m_5 = m_6 = 0$. Thus it is not identically $0 \pmod{p}$ and so has $O(\mu^3)$ solutions in m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6 . Thus this contributes

(27)
$$\ll \lambda^6 \mu^3$$

to our estimate. The lemma follows from (26) and (27).

LEMMA 8. Write

$$D_{5} = \begin{vmatrix} m_{2}m_{3} & -m_{5}m_{6} & 0 \\ m_{2} + m_{3} & -(m_{5} + m_{6}) & m_{2}m_{3} \\ b_{2}m_{3} + b_{3}m_{2} & -b_{5}m_{6} - b_{6}m_{5} & b_{1}m_{2}m_{3} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} m_{2}m_{3} - m_{5}m_{6} & m_{5}m_{6} & 0 \\ m_{2} + m_{3} - m_{5} - m_{6} & m_{5} + m_{6} & m_{5}m_{6} \\ 0 & 1 & m_{5} + m_{6} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{vmatrix} m_{2}m_{3} & -m_{5}m_{6} & 0 \\ m_{2} + m_{3} & -(m_{5} + m_{6}) & m_{5}m_{6} \\ b_{2}m_{3} + b_{3}m_{2} & -b_{5}m_{6} - b_{6}m_{5} & b_{4}m_{5}m_{6} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} m_{2}m_{3} - m_{5}m_{6} & m_{5}m_{6} & 0 \\ m_{2} + m_{3} - m_{5} - m_{6} & m_{5} + m_{6} & m_{2}m_{3} \\ 0 & 1 & m_{2} + m_{3} \end{vmatrix}$$

Then

$$\#\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6: 0 < m_i \le \mu\} \ll \mu^4 \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + 1\right)^5.$$

 $\forall i | b_i | < \lambda \\ D_5 \ identically \ 0 \ (\text{mod } p)$

Proof. Substitute $m_6 = 0$ in D_5 to obtain $(b_6 - b_1)m_2^3m_3^3m_5^3$. Thus if D_5 is identically 0 (mod p) then $b_6 \equiv b_1 \pmod{p}$. Similar arguments give

$$b_1 \equiv b_5 \equiv b_6, \quad b_2 \equiv b_3 \equiv b_4 \pmod{p}.$$

Substituting this in D_5 , and putting $m_2 = m_3 = m_5 = 1$ we obtain

$$-(b_4-b_1)(1-m_6)^2m_6$$

also. The required estimate follows trivially.

7. Proof of Theorem 2

LEMMA 9. We have

$$S_7 \ll h^6 + p^3 h \mu^3,$$

where μ is defined by (18).

Proof. From Lemma 1 applied to (22) it follows that

$$S_7 \ll p \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \# \{ z : 0 < z < p, \ \exists x \ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p), \\ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p^2), \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } p) \}.$$

We can rewrite this as

(28)
$$S_7 \ll p \sum_{x=1}^p \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \#\{\boldsymbol{m} : f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, f_{(z)}'(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\},$$

say. Write

(29)
$$N = \#\{\boldsymbol{m} : f_1(x)f_2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{p}, \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \\ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Thus by Lemma 3,

(30)
$$N \ll \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \\ \forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{\boldsymbol{m} : \forall i \ 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 \equiv C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_4(\boldsymbol{m}) \pmod{p}\}$$

if $\lambda > 1$, and follows immediately from (29) if $\lambda = 1$. Thus from (28) we have

$$S_7 \ll p \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \\ \forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{\mathbf{m}, x, z : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \ 0 < z < p, \\ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ 0 \equiv C_1(\mathbf{m}) \equiv C_2(\mathbf{m}) \equiv C_4(\mathbf{m}) \pmod{p}\}.$$

But by Lemma 4,

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \\ \forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{\boldsymbol{m}, x, z : 0 < m_i \leq \mu, \ 0 < x \leq p, \ 0 < z < p,$$
$$f_1(x) f_2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{p}, \ 0 \equiv C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv D_1 \pmod{p}\}$$
$$\ll p \mu^4 \lambda^6 \ll \frac{h^6}{p} + p h^4.$$
Thus

Thus

(31)
$$S_7 \ll \left(p \sum_{\substack{b \\ \forall i \, |b_i| < \lambda}} \# W_1\right) + h^6 + p^2 h^4,$$

where

 $W_1 = \{ \boldsymbol{m}, x, z : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \ 0 < z < p,$ $f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ 0 \equiv C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_4(\boldsymbol{m}) \not\equiv D_1 \pmod{p} \}.$

Consider $(\boldsymbol{m}, x, z) \in W_1$. Given m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, z, x , the values of m_1 , m_4 are uniquely determined by $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $D_1 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. Eliminating m_1, m_4 from $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_4(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we obtain

$$D(x) = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & -zg_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & -z(2x + m_5 + m_6) & g_1(x) - zg_4(x)\\ b_2(x + m_3) + b_3(x + m_2) & -zb_5(x + m_6) - zb_6(x + m_5) & b_1g_1(x) - b_4zg_4(x) \end{vmatrix}$$
$$\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By Lemma 2 this has $O(p^2\mu^3)$ solutions in m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, z, x unless it is identically 0 (mod p) as a polynomial in these variables. In the latter case D(0) will also be identically 0 (mod p). However, we have

$$D(0) = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 m_3 & -z m_5 m_6 & 0\\ m_2 + m_3 & -z (m_5 + m_6) & m_2 m_3 - z m_5 m_6\\ b_2 m_3 + b_3 m_2 & -z b_5 m_6 - z b_6 m_5 & b_1 m_2 m_3 - b_4 z m_5 m_6 \end{vmatrix},$$

and by Lemma 5,

$$\sum_{\substack{\forall i \ |b_i| < \lambda \\ D(0) \text{ identically } 0 \ (\text{mod } p)}} \#\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x, z : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \ 0 < z < p\} \\ \ll p^2 \mu^4 \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + 1\right)^5.$$

Thus, by (31),

$$S_7 \ll p \left(\lambda^6 p^2 \mu^3 + \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + 1\right)^5 p^2 \mu^4\right) + h^6 + p^2 h^4 \ll h^6 + p^3 h \mu^3,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

326

LEMMA 10. We have

$$S_8 \ll h^6 + p^3 h \mu^3 + p^4 \mu^2.$$

Proof. We have, from (23),

$$S_8 = p^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \#\{x, z : 0 < x \le p, \ 0 < z < p, \ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$
$$f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \ f''_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Rewrite this as

(32)
$$S_8 \ll p^2 \sum_{x=1}^p \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \#\{\boldsymbol{m} : f_1(x) f_2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{p}, \\ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \ f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \ f''_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Write

$$N = \#\{\boldsymbol{m} : f_1(x)f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ f_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, f'_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \ f''_{(z)}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Define λ and μ by (18). Thus by Lemma 3 we have

$$N \ll \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b} \\ \forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{\boldsymbol{m} : \forall i \ 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 \equiv C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_3(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_4(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_5(\boldsymbol{m}) \pmod{p}\}.$$

By Lemma 6,

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{b}\\\forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{\boldsymbol{m}, x, z : 0 < m_i \leq \mu, \ 0 < x \leq p, \ 0 < z < p, \\ f_1(x) f_2(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_3(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$f_1(x)f_2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{p}, \ C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_3(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
$$\ll p\mu^3 \lambda^6 \ll \frac{h^6}{p^2} + ph^3.$$

Thus by (32) we have

(33)
$$S_8 \ll \left(p^2 \sum_{\substack{b \\ \forall i \, |b_i| < \lambda}} \# W_2\right) + h^6 + p^3 \mu^3,$$

where

$$W_{2} = \{ \boldsymbol{m}, x, z : 0 < m_{i} \leq \mu, \ 0 < x \leq p, \ 0 < z < p, f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 \equiv C_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_{2}(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_{3}(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_{4}(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_{5}(\boldsymbol{m}) \not\equiv D_{1} \pmod{p} \}.$$

Consider $(\boldsymbol{m}, x, z) \in W_2$. Given m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, z, x , the values of m_1 , m_4 are uniquely determined by $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $D_1 \not\equiv 0$

(mod p). Eliminating m_1, m_4 from $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_4(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we obtain

(34)

$$E_1 = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & -g_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & -(2x + m_5 + m_6) & g_1(x) - zg_4(x)\\ b_2(x + m_3) + b_3(x + m_2) & -b_5(x + m_6) - b_6(x + m_5) & b_1g_1(x) - b_4zg_4(x)\\ \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Also eliminating m_1, m_4 from $C_1(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_2(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv C_3(\boldsymbol{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we obtain

(35)

$$E_{2} = \begin{vmatrix} g_{1}(x) & -g_{4}(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_{2} + m_{3} & -(2x + m_{5} + m_{6}) & g_{1}(x) - zg_{4}(x)\\ 2 & -2 & 2((2x + m_{2} + m_{3}) - z(2x + m_{5} + m_{6}))\\ \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{vmatrix}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(36) D_3 \equiv zD_4 \pmod{p}$$

But by Lemma 7,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \\ \forall i \ |b_i| < \lambda}} \#\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \\ D_3 \equiv D_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\} \\ \ll p \mu^2 \lambda^6 \ll \frac{h^6}{p^3} + p \mu^2.$$

Thus, by (33),

(37)
$$S_8 \ll p^2 \Big(\sum_{\substack{b \\ \forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \# W_3 \Big) + h^6 + p^4 \mu^2,$$

where

$$\begin{split} W_3 &= \{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x, z: 0 < m_i \leq \mu, \ 0 < x \leq p, \ 0 < z < p, \\ E_1 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \ D_3 \equiv z D_4 \pmod{p}, \ D_3, D_4 \text{ not both } 0 \pmod{p} \}. \end{split}$$

Now, for $(m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x, z) \in W_3$, z is uniquely determined by (36). Also (34) can be rewritten as

$$D_6 \equiv zD_7 \pmod{p}$$

where

$$D_6 = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & -g_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & -(2x + m_5 + m_6) & g_1(x)\\ b_2(x + m_3) + b_3(x + m_2) & -b_5(x + m_6) - b_6(x + m_5) & b_1g_1(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$D_7 = \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x) & -g_4(x) & 0\\ 2x + m_2 + m_3 & -(2x + m_5 + m_6) & g_4(x)\\ b_2(x + m_3) + b_3(x + m_2) & -b_5(x + m_6) - b_6(x + m_5) & b_4g_4(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus, by (37), we have

(38)
$$S_8 \ll p^2 \Big(\sum_{\substack{b \\ \forall i \, |b_i| < \lambda}} \# W_4 \Big) + h^6 + p^4 \mu^2,$$

where

$$W_4 = \{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ 0 < x \le p, \\ D_3 D_7 \equiv D_4 D_6 \ (\text{mod } p)\}$$

Write

$$H(x) = D_3 D_7 - D_4 D_6$$

Then $H(0) = D_5$ and so H(x) can be identically $0 \pmod{p}$ only if D_5 is. But by Lemma 8,

 $\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b}\\\forall i \mid b_i \mid < \lambda}} \#\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6 : 0 < m_i \le \mu, \ H(x) \text{ is identically } 0 \ (\text{mod } p)\}$

$$\ll \mu^4 \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{p}+1\right)^3 \ll \frac{h^6}{p^7}+h\mu^3.$$

Thus by (38)

(39)
$$S_8 \ll p^2 \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \\ \forall i \, |b_i| < \lambda \\ H(x) \text{ not identically } 0 \, (\text{mod } p)}} \# W_5 \right) + h^6 + p^3 h \mu^3 + p^4 \mu^2,$$

where

 $W_5 = \{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_6, x: 0 < m_i \leq \mu, \ 0 < x \leq p, \ H(x) \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod} \ p) \}.$ By Lemma 2,

$$\#W_5 \ll p\mu^3,$$

and thus by (39),

$$S_8 \ll p^3 \mu^3 \lambda^6 + p^4 \mu^2 + h^6 + p^3 h \mu^3 \ll h^6 + p^3 h \mu^3 + p^4 \mu^2,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 2. Follows from Lemmas 9 and 10.

8. Corollaries. In [3] it was shown that from (1) it follows that

$$\Big|\sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x)\Big| \ll H^{1/2} k^{3/16+\varepsilon}$$

More generally, in [3] it was shown that if k is cubefree and $r \ge 2$ then

$$\Big|\sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x)\Big| \ll H^{1-1/r} k^{(r+1)/(4r^2)+\varepsilon}.$$

It would follow from (3) that

$$\sum_{\text{primitive }\chi} \Big| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \Big| \ll kk^{1/4+\varepsilon} H^{1/4}.$$

Similarly from (4) it would follow that

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p^3 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{x=N+1 \\ x=N+1}}^{N+H} \chi(x) \right| \ll p^2 p^{1/2+\varepsilon} H^{1/2}.$$

This estimate is improved by the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. If $H \leq p^{3/2}$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \right| \ll p^2 p^{3/4} H^{1/4}.$$

 ${\rm P\,r\,o\,o\,f.}$ From the proof of Lemma 2 of [5] we see that if $2^\nu < p^3$ then

$$\left|\sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x)\right| \le 2 + H^{3/4} 2^{-\nu} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p^3} \left|\sum_{x=m+1}^{x+2^{\nu}} \chi(x)\right|^4\right)^{1/4} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} 2^{-\mu/4} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p^3} \left|\sum_{x=m+1}^{x+2^{\mu}} \chi(x)\right|^4\right)^{1/4}.$$

Choose $H/2 < 2^{\nu} < H$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \right| \ll p^2 + H^{3/4} 2^{-\nu} \sum_{\chi} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p^3} \left| \sum_{x=m+1}^{x+2^{\nu}} \chi(x) \right|^4 \right)^{1/4} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} 2^{-\mu/4} \sum_{\chi} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p^3} \left| \sum_{x=m+1}^{x+2^{\mu}} \chi(x) \right|^4 \right)^{1/4} \right)^{1/4}$$

330

Average of character sums

$$\ll p^{2} + H^{3/4} 2^{-\nu} p^{3/2} \Big(\sum_{\chi} \sum_{m=1}^{p^{3}} \Big| \sum_{x=m+1}^{x+2^{\nu}} \chi(x) \Big|^{4} \Big)^{1/4} \\ + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} 2^{-\mu/4} p^{3/2} \Big(\sum_{\chi} \sum_{m=1}^{p^{3}} \Big| \sum_{x=m+1}^{x+2^{\mu}} \chi(x) \Big|^{4} \Big)^{1/4} \\ \ll p^{2} + H^{3/4} 2^{-\nu} p^{3/2} (p^{2} 2^{4\nu} + p^{5} 2^{2\nu})^{1/4} \\ + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} 2^{-\mu/4} p^{3/2} (p^{2} 2^{4\mu} + p^{5} 2^{2\mu})^{1/4} \\ \ll H^{1/4} p^{11/4}.$$

Corollary 2. If $H \ge p^{3/2}$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \Big| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \Big| \ll p^2 p^{3/8+\delta} H^{1/2}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 6 of [3], with $p^{3/2} < 2^{\nu} \leq 2p^{3/2}$, it follows that for $p^{3/2+\delta} \leq H \leq p^{9/4-\delta}$ there is an *h* satisfying $1 \leq h \leq 2^{\nu}$ for which

$$\left| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \right| \\ \ll \max\left(H^{1/2} p^{-3/4} h^{-1/4} (\log p) \left(\sum_{x=1}^{p^3} \left| \sum_{m=1}^h \chi(x+m) \right|^4 \right)^{1/4}, \ Hp^{-3/2} \right).$$

From this it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p^3 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \Big| \sum_{\substack{x=N+1 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}}^{N+H} \chi(x) \Big| \\ \ll \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} H^{1/2} p^{-3/4} 2^{-\mu/4} (\log p) p^{3/2} (p^2 2^{4\mu} + p^5 2^{2\mu})^{1/4} + H p^{1/2} \\ \ll n^2 n^{3/8} H^{1/2} \log n.$$

 $\ll p^2 p^{3/8} H^{1/2} \log p.$ Corollary 3. If $p < H < p^{6/5}$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \right| \ll p^2 p^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Choose $H/2 < 2^{\nu} \leq H$ and apply Theorem 2 in the proof of Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 4. If $H \ge p^{6/5}$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p^3 \\ \chi^{p^2} = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \Big| \sum_{x=N+1}^{N+H} \chi(x) \Big| \ll p^2 H^{2/3} p^{1/5+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Choose $p^{6/5} < 2^{\nu} \le 2p^{6/5}$ and apply Theorem 2 in the proof of Corollary 2.

References

- [1] D. A. Burgess, On character sums and primitive roots, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 12 (1962), 179–192.
- -, On character sums and L-series, ibid. 12 (1962), 193-206. [2]
- [3]-, On character sums and L-series II, ibid. 13 (1963), 524–536.
- [4] —, Estimation of character sums modulo a small power of a prime, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 30 (1984), 385–393.
- [5]—, Mean values of character sums, Mathematika 33 (1986), 1–5.
- [6] —, Mean values of character sums II, ibid. 34 (1987), 1–7.
 [7] —, On a set of congruences related to character sums III, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 45 (1992), 201–214.
- [8] —, Mean values of character sums III, Mathematika 42 (1995), 133–136.

Department of Mathematics The University Nottingham, NG7 2RD, U.K. E-mail: dab@maths.nott.ac.uk

Received on 23.4.1996

(2972)