COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. 73

1997

NO. 1

ROUGH SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH HARDY SPACE FUNCTION KERNELS ON A PRODUCT DOMAIN

ΒY

YONG DING (NANCHANG)

In this paper we introduce atomic Hardy spaces on the product domain $S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$ and prove that rough singular integral operators with Hardy space function kernels are L^p bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. This is an extension of some well known results.

1. Introduction. Let S^{n-1}, S^{m-1} be unit spheres in \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{R}^m $(n \geq 2, m \geq 2)$ respectively and $\Omega(x, y)$ be a function on the product domain $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying

(1.1)
$$\Omega(\lambda_1 x', \lambda_2 y') = \Omega(x', y') \quad \text{for any } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$$

and

(1.2)
$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x', y') \, dx' = 0 \quad \text{for any } y' \in S^{m-1},$$
$$\int_{S^{m-1}} \Omega(x', y') \, dy' = 0 \quad \text{for any } x' \in S^{n-1}.$$

A singular integral operator T on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

$$Tf(x,y) = \text{p.v.} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\Omega(u,v)}{|u|^n |v|^m} f(x-u,y-v) \, du \, dv.$$

It is well known that T is an $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ bounded operator (1 $when <math>\Omega$ satisfies some regularity conditions [3]. Using the idea developed in [2], J. Duoandikoetxea [1] proved the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ boundedness (1of <math>T with the rough condition $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$ instead of regularity. Recently, Y. S. Jiang and S. Z. Lu improved the above results in [4]. They set up a class of block-spaces $B^{\phi}_q(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$ (q > 1) on $S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$ and proved that T is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ bounded if $\Omega \in B^{\phi}_q(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B20; Secondary 42B25.

The author was supported by NSF of Jiangxi in China.

^[15]

Y. DING

Under inspiration from [5], in this paper we shall introduce the atomic Hardy spaces $H^1_{\mathbf{a}}(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$ and prove that T is $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ bounded $(1 if <math>\Omega \in H^1_{\mathbf{a}}(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$. This is an extension of the above mentioned results.

Let us begin with the definition of $(1, \infty)$ -atoms on $S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$.

DEFINITION 1. A function a(x', y') on $S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$ is called a $(1, \infty)$ atom if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} a(x',y') \, dx' = 0 \quad \text{ for any } y' \in S^{m-1},$$

$$\int_{S^{m-1}} a(x',y') \, dy' = 0 \quad \text{ for any } x' \in S^{n-1}$$

(ii) $\operatorname{supp} a \subset B, \quad B = B_n \times B_m,$

where

$$B_n = \{ x' \in S^{n-1} : |x' - x'_0| < \alpha, \ x'_0 \in S^{n-1} \},\$$
$$B_m = \{ y' \in S^{m-1} : |y' - y'_0| < \beta, \ y'_0 \in S^{m-1} \}.\$$
$$\|a\|_{\infty} \le \alpha^{-(n-1)} \beta^{-(m-1)}.$$

(iii)

Now, we may define the atomic Hardy space $H^1_a(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$.

DEFINITION 2. The atomic Hardy space $H^1_a(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1}_{\mathbf{a}}(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}) &= \Big\{ f \in L^{1}(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}) : f(x',y') = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{l} a_{l}(x',y'), \\ a_{l}(x',y') \text{ is a } (1,\infty) \text{-atom and } \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{l}| < \infty \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we set $||f||_{H^1_a(S^{n-1}\times S^{m-1})} = \inf \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_l|$, where the infimum is taken over all decompositions $f = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_l a_l$ of f.

The main result of this paper is

THEOREM 1. Suppose that Ω satisfies (1.1), (1.2) and $\Omega(x',y') \in H^1_{\mathrm{a}}(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})$. Then T is $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ bounded (1 .

In proving Theorem 1 we shall use a result of [1]:

THEOREM A. Let $\{\sigma_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a double sequence of uniformly bounded Borel measures in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and

$$|\widehat{\sigma}_{j,k}(\xi,\eta)| \le C |a^j \xi|^{\pm \delta} |b^k \eta|^{\pm \varrho}$$

for some a, b > 1, $\delta, \varrho > 0$ and for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\sigma^*(f) = \sup_{j,k} ||\sigma_{j,k}| * f|$

is bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ for some q > 1, then

$$Tf(x,y) = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sigma_{j,k} * f(x,y)$$

is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ for |1/p - 1/2| < 1/(2q).

2. Proof of Theorem 1. By Definition 2, we may write $\Omega(x', y') = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_l \Omega_l(x', y')$, where $\Omega_l(x', y')$ is a $(1, \infty)$ -atom and $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_l| < \infty$. Then Ω_l satisfies the following conditions:

(2.1)
$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega_l(x', y') \, dx' = 0 \quad \text{for any } y' \in S^{m-1},$$
$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega_l(x', y') \, dy' = 0 \quad \text{for any } x' \in S^{n-1},$$

(2.2) $\operatorname{supp} \Omega_l \subset B^l, \quad B^l = B^l_n \times B^l_m,$

where

(2.3)

$$B_n^l = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : |x' - x_0'| < \alpha_l, \ x_0' \in S^{n-1}\},$$

$$B_m^l = \{y' \in S^{m-1} : |y' - y_0'| < \beta_l, \ y_0' \in S^{m-1}\},$$

$$\|\Omega_l\|_{L^{\infty}(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})} \le \alpha_l^{-(n-1)}\beta_l^{-(m-1)}.$$

First let us introduce some notation. For $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$E_{j,k}(x,y) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : 2^{j-1} < |x| \le 2^j, 2^{k-1} < |y| \le 2^k\}, \\ E_{j,k}^c(x,y) = (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m) \setminus E_{j,k}(x,y), \\ K_{j,k}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \Omega(x,y)|x|^{-n}|y|^{-m} & \text{for } (x,y) \in E_{j,k}(x,y), \\ 0, & \text{for } (x,y) \in E_{j,k}^c(x,y), \end{cases} \\ K_{j,k}^l(x,y) = \begin{cases} \Omega_l(x,y)|x|^{-n}|y|^{-m} & \text{for } (x,y) \in E_{j,k}(x,y), \\ 0, & \text{for } (x,y) \in E_{j,k}^c(x,y). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$K_{j,k}(x,y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_l K_{j,k}^l(x,y)$$

and

$$Tf(x,y) = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} K_{j,k} * f(x,y) = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sum_{l \ge 0} \lambda_{l} K_{j,k}^{l} * f(x,y)$$

Let j_l , k_l be integers such that

(2.4)
$$1 < 2^{j_l} \alpha_l \le 2 \text{ and } 1 < 2^{k_l} \beta_l \le 2,$$

where α_l , β_l are determined by (2.2). Obviously, when l is fixed, j_l , k_l are

unique. Then we may write

(2.5)
$$Tf(x,y) = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sigma_{j,k} * f(x,y),$$

where

_

(2.6)
$$\sigma_{j,k}(x,y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_l K_{j+j_l,k+k_l}^l(x,y).$$

We now give the Fourier transform estimates of $K^l_{j,k}(x,y)$.

LEMMA 1. For any δ with $0 < \delta < 1/2$, there are $0 < \varepsilon, \theta < 1$ and a constant $\overline{C} = C(\delta, \varepsilon, \theta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| &\leq \overline{C} \min\{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{1/2}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{1/2}, |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{-\delta}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{-\delta}, \\ &|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\varepsilon}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{-\theta}, |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{-\theta}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the cancellation condition (2.1), we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega_l(x',y') \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x'_0 + s\eta \cdot y')} \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \, dx' = 0$$

Hence

(2.7)
$$\iint_{S^{n-1}\times S^{m-1}} \Omega_l(x',y') \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x_0' + s\eta \cdot y')} \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \, dx' dy' = 0.$$

Again using (2.1) we get

(2.8)
$$\iint_{S^{n-1}\times S^{m-1}} \Omega_l(x',y') \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y'_0)} \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \, dx' dy' = 0.$$

By (2.7),

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| &= \Big| \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}} e^{-2\pi i (\xi \cdot x + \eta \cdot y)} K_{j,k}^{l}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \Big| \\ &= \Big| \iint\limits_{E_{j,k}(x,y)} e^{-2\pi i (\xi \cdot x + \eta \cdot y)} \frac{\Omega_{l}(x',y')}{|x|^{n}|y|^{m}} \, dx \, dy \Big| \\ &= \Big| \int\limits_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}} \int\limits_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \iint\limits_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} \Omega_{l}(x',y') e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y')} \, dx' dy' \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \Big| \end{split}$$

$$= \left| \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} \Omega_{l}(x',y') \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} [e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y')} \\ -e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x'_{0} + s\eta \cdot y')}] \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \, dx' dy' \right|$$

$$\leq \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_{l}(x',y')| \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} 2\pi |r\xi \cdot (x' - x'_{0})| \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \, dx' dy'$$

$$= C2^{j} |\xi| \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_{l}(x',y')| \cdot |x' - x'_{0}| \, dx' \, dy' \leq C |2^{j} \alpha_{l} \xi|,$$

where the last inequality follows from $\iint_{S^{n-1}\times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_l(x',y')| dx' dy' \leq 1$ (by (2.2) and (2.3)). From (2.8) and using the same method we can prove

$$|\widehat{K_{j,k}^l}(\xi,\eta)| \le C |2^k \beta_l \eta|.$$

Thus we obtain

(2.9)
$$|\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| \le C \min\{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|, |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|\}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} K_{j,k}^{l}(\xi,\eta)|^{2} \\ &= \Big| \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} \Omega_{l}(x',y') e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y')} \, dx' dy' \, \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \Big|^{2} \\ &\leq C \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \Big| \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} \Omega_{l}(x',y') e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y')} \, dx' dy' \Big|^{2} \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \end{split}$$

and

$$\left| \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} \Omega_l(x',y') e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y')} dx' dy' \right|^2$$

=
$$\iint_{(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})^2} \Omega_l(x',y') \overline{\Omega_l(u',v')}$$

×
$$e^{-2\pi i (r\xi \cdot x' + s\eta \cdot y')} e^{2\pi i (r\xi \cdot u' + s\eta \cdot v')} dx' dy' du' dv'.$$

 Set

$$I = \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} e^{-2\pi i [r\xi \cdot (x'-u') + s\eta \cdot (y'-v')]} \frac{dr \, ds}{rs}.$$

Then we have $|I| \leq (\log 2)^2$. Moreover, from [2] there is a constant C such that

$$|I| \le C \frac{1}{|2^j \xi \cdot (x' - u')| \cdot |2^k \eta \cdot (y' - v')|}$$

Thus, for any $0<\sigma<1$ we have

$$|I| \le C_{\sigma} \frac{1}{|2^{j}\xi \cdot (x'-u')|^{\sigma}|2^{k}\eta \cdot (y'-v')|^{\sigma}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)|^{2} &\leq C_{\sigma} \iint_{(S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1})^{2}} |\Omega_{l}(x',y')\overline{\Omega_{l}(u',v')}| \frac{dx'\,dy'\,du'\,dv'}{|2^{j}\xi \cdot (x'-u')|^{\sigma}|2^{k}\eta \cdot (y'-v')|^{\sigma}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\sigma}}{|B_{n}^{l}|^{2}|B_{m}^{l}|^{2}} \bigg(\iint_{\substack{|x'-x_{0}'| < \alpha_{l} \\ |u'-x_{0}'| < \alpha_{l}}} \frac{dx'\,du'}{|2^{j}\xi \cdot (x'-u')|^{\sigma}} \bigg) \\ &\qquad \times \bigg(\iint_{\substack{|y'-y_{0}'| < \beta_{l} \\ |v'-y_{0}'| < \beta_{l}}} \frac{dy'\,dv'}{|2^{k}\eta \cdot (y'-v')|^{\sigma}} \bigg). \end{split}$$

From [5] we know that

$$\frac{1}{|B_n^l|^2} \left(\iint_{\substack{|x'-x_0'|<\alpha_l\\|u'-x_0'|<\alpha_l}} \frac{dx'\,du'}{|2^j\xi\cdot(x'-u')|^{\sigma}} \right) \le \frac{C}{|2^j\alpha_l\xi|^{\sigma}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|B_m^l|^2} \left(\iint_{\substack{|y'-y_0'|<\beta_l\\|v'-y_0'|<\beta_l}} \frac{dy'\,dv'}{|2^k\eta\cdot(y'-v')|^{\sigma}} \right) \le \frac{C}{|2^k\beta_l\eta|^{\sigma}}$$

Thus, we obtain

(2.10)
$$|\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| \leq \frac{C_{\sigma}}{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\sigma/2}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{\sigma/2}}.$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10), we see that

$$|\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| \le C_{\sigma} \min\left\{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|, |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|, \frac{1}{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\sigma/2}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{\sigma/2}}\right\}.$$

By interpolation we get

$$(2.11) \quad |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| \leq \overline{C} \min\left\{ |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{1/2} |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{1/2}, \frac{1}{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\delta} |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{\delta}}, |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\varepsilon} |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{-\theta}, |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{-\theta} |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

where $0 < \delta = \sigma/2 < 1/2, 0 < \varepsilon, \theta < 1$.

In fact, taking $\delta/(1+\delta) < \tau < 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)| &= |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)|^{\tau} |\widehat{K_{j,k}^{l}}(\xi,\eta)|^{1-\tau} \\ &\leq |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\tau} \{|2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{-\delta}|2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{-\delta}\}^{1-\tau} \\ &= |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\tau-\delta(1-\tau)} |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{-\delta(1-\tau)} = |2^{j}\alpha_{l}\xi|^{\varepsilon} |2^{k}\beta_{l}\eta|^{-\theta} \end{split}$$

where $\varepsilon = \tau - \delta(1 - \tau)$ and $\theta = \delta(1 - \tau)$. Using the same method, we may get

$$|\widehat{K_{j,k}^l}(\xi,\eta)| \le |2^j \alpha_l \xi|^{-\theta} |2^k \beta_l \eta|^{\varepsilon}.$$

This is the conclusion of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. For $\sigma_{j,k}$ as defined above in (2.6), the maximal operator σ^* defined in Theorem A is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ for 1 .

Proof. For any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the measures $\{\sigma_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are uniformly bounded Borel measures in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{j,k}|| &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m} |\sigma_{j,k}(x,y)| \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_l| \iint_{E_{j+j_l,k+k_l}} |K^l_{E_{j+j_l,k+k_l}}(x,y)| \, dx \, dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_l| \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_l(x',y')| \iint_{2^{j+j_l-1}} \int_{2^{k+k_l-1}}^{2^{k+k_l}} \frac{dr \, ds}{rs} \, dx' dy' \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_l|, \end{aligned}$$

where we use again the fact that $\iint_{S^{n-1}\times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_l(x', y')| dx' dy' \leq 1$. Moreover, from (2.11), (2.4) and (2.6) we deduce immediately that $\sigma_{j,k}(x, y)$ satisfies the following Fourier transform estimates:

$$(2.12) \quad |\widehat{\sigma}_{j,k}(\xi,\eta)| \leq \overline{C} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_l| \cdot \min\left\{ |2^j \xi|^{1/2} |2^k \eta|^{1/2}, \frac{1}{|2^j \xi|^{\delta} |2^k \eta|^{\delta}}, |2^j \xi|^{\varepsilon} |2^k \eta|^{-\theta}, |2^j \xi|^{-\theta} |2^k \eta|^{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 2 we need to introduce the following variances of maximal operators.

The maximal operator in direction θ is defined by

$$M_{\theta}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{r} |f(x - t\theta)| dt \quad \text{ for } \theta \in S^{n-1}$$

and the maximal operator in directions $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$ is defined by

$$M_{\theta_1,\theta_2}f(x,y) = \sup_{r_1,r_2>0} \frac{1}{r_1r_2} \int_{0}^{r_2} \int_{0}^{r_1} |f(x-t_1\theta_1, y-t_2\theta_2)| dt_1 dt_2$$

Moreover, if $\Omega(x, y)$ is homogeneous of degree zero, i.e. (1.1) holds, then the maximal operator M_{Ω} on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

$$M_{\Omega}f(x,y) = \sup_{r>0,s>0} \frac{1}{r^n s^m} \iint_{\substack{|u| < r \\ |v| < s}} |\Omega(u,v)| \cdot |f(x-u,y-v)| \, du \, dv.$$

From the above definitions of maximal operators we see that

(2.13)
$$M_{\theta_1,\theta_2}f(x,y) \le M_{\theta_1}(M_{\theta_2}f)(x,y)$$

and

(2.14)
$$M_{\Omega_l}f(x,y) \leq \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_l(\theta_1,\theta_2)| M_{\theta_1,\theta_2}f(x,y) \, d\theta_1 \, d\theta_2.$$

By the strong maximal theorem on $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$ and Fubini's theorem we find that $M_{\theta_1}(M_{\theta_2})$ is uniformly bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ (1 for any $<math>(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$ and so is M_{θ_1, θ_2} by (2.13).

Now, let us turn to the proof of the boundedness for σ^* on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ $(1 . Using the method of rotations and (2.14) we see that for any <math>j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$||K_{j+j_l,k+k_l}^l| * f(x,y)| \le \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} |\Omega_l(\theta_1,\theta_2)| M_{\theta_1,\theta_2} f(x,y) \, d\theta_1 \, d\theta_2.$$

Thus, from (2.6) we have

$$||\sigma_{j,k}| * f(x,y)| \leq \iint_{S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}} \Big(\sum_{l \geq 0} |\lambda_l| \cdot |\Omega_l(\theta_1, \theta_2)| \Big) M_{\theta_1, \theta_2} f(x,y) \, d\theta_1 \, d\theta_2,$$

uniformly in j, k, so the inequality still holds upon replacing the left side with $\sigma^* f(x, y)$. Since

$$\iint_{S^{n-1}\times S^{m-1}} \sum_{l\geq 0} |\lambda_l| \cdot |\Omega_l(\theta_1, \theta_2)| \, d\theta_1 \, d\theta_2 \leq \sum_{l\geq 0} |\lambda_l| < \infty.$$

The $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ boundedness $(1 of <math>\sigma^*$ now follows from the uniform L^p bounds for M_{θ_1,θ_2} by the Minkowski integral formula, and the proof of Lemma 2 is finished.

Now, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2 and Theorem A.

REFERENCES

- J. Duoandikoetxea, Multiple singular integrals and maximal functions along hypersurfaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 36 (4) (1986), 185-206.
- [2] J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 541–561.
- [3] R. Fefferman, Singular integrals on product domains, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1981), 195-201.
- [4] Y. S. Jiang and S. Z. Lu, A class of singular integral operators with rough kernel on product domains, Hokkaido Math. J. 24 (1995), 1–7.
- [5] D. K. Watson, The Hardy space kernel condition for rough integrals, preprint.

Department of Mathematics Nanchang Vocational and Technical Teachers' College Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330013 P.R. China

Received 9 January 1996