

## A corollary to a theorem of Laurent–Mignotte–Nesterenko

by

M. MIGNOTTE (Strasbourg)

**1. Introduction.** For any algebraic number  $\alpha$  of degree  $d$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , whose minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $a \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \alpha^{(i)})$  where the roots  $\alpha^{(i)}$  are complex numbers, we define the *absolute logarithmic height* of  $\alpha$  by

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d} \left( \log |a| + \sum_{i=1}^d \log \max(1, |\alpha^{(i)}|) \right).$$

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be two non-zero algebraic numbers, and let  $\log \alpha_1$  and  $\log \alpha_2$  be any values of their logarithms. We consider the linear form

$$A = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are positive integers. Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $|\alpha_1|$  and  $|\alpha_2|$  are  $\geq 1$ . Put

$$D = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{Q}] / [\mathbb{R}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{R}].$$

The main result of [LMN] is:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $K$  be an integer  $\geq 3$ ,  $L$  an integer  $\geq 2$ , and  $R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2$  integers  $> 0$ . Let  $\varrho$  be a real number  $> 1$ . Put  $R = R_1 + R_2 - 1$ ,  $S = S_1 + S_2 - 1$ ,  $N = KL$ ,*

$$g = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{N}{12RS}, \quad b = \frac{((R-1)b_2 + (S-1)b_1)}{2} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} k! \right)^{-2/(K^2-K)}.$$

Let  $a_1, a_2$  be positive real numbers such that

$$a_i \geq \varrho |\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i),$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Card}\{\alpha_1^r \alpha_2^s : 0 \leq r < R_1, 0 \leq s < S_1\} &\geq L, \\ \text{Card}\{rb_2 + sb_1 : 0 \leq r < R_2, 0 \leq s < S_2\} &> (K-1)L \end{aligned}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11J86.

and that

$$(2) \quad K(L-1) \log \varrho - (D+1) \log N - D(K-1) \log b - gL(Ra_1 + Sa_2) > 0.$$

Then

$$|A'| \geq \varrho^{-KL+1/2} \quad \text{with} \quad A' = \Lambda \max \left\{ \frac{LSe^{LS|A|/(2b_2)}}{2b_2}, \frac{LRe^{LR|A|/(2b_1)}}{2b_1} \right\}.$$

In the case when the numbers  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent we shall deduce from Theorem 1 the following result, which is a variant of Théorème 2 of [LMN].

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Consider the linear form*

$$\Lambda = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are positive integers. Suppose that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent. Put

$$D = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{Q}] / [\mathbb{R}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{R}].$$

Let  $a_1, a_2, h, k$  be real positive numbers, and  $\varrho$  a real number  $> 1$ . Put  $\lambda = \log \varrho$  and suppose that

$$(3) \quad h \geq D \left( \log \left( \frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_1} \right) + \log \lambda + f(K) \right) + 0.023,$$

$$(4) \quad a_i \geq \max\{1, \varrho\} |\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$(5) \quad a_1 a_2 \geq \lambda^2,$$

where

$$f(x) = \log \frac{(1 + \sqrt{x-1})\sqrt{x}}{x-1} + \frac{\log x}{6x(x-1)} + \frac{3}{2} + \log \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\log \frac{x}{x-1}}{x-1}$$

and

$$L = 2 + [2h/\lambda], \quad K = 1 + [kLa_1a_2].$$

Then we have the lower bound

$$\log |A| \geq -\lambda kL^2 a_1 a_2 - \max\{\lambda(L-0.5) + \log((L^{3/2} + L^2\sqrt{k}) \max\{a_1, a_2\} + L), D \log 2\},$$

provided that  $k$  satisfies

$$kU - V\sqrt{k} - W \geq 0$$

with

$$U = (L-1)\lambda - h, \quad V = L/3, \quad W = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{L}{a_1 a_2}} \right).$$

REMARK 1. Put  $\Delta = V^2 + 4UW$ . The condition on  $k$  implies  $k \geq k_0$  where

$$\sqrt{k_0} = \frac{V + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2U}, \quad k_0 = \frac{V^2 + \Delta + 2V\sqrt{\Delta}}{4U^2} = \frac{V^2}{2U^2} + \frac{W}{U} + \frac{V}{2U} \sqrt{\frac{V^2}{U^2} + \frac{4W}{U}}$$

with

$$\frac{V}{U} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{L}{\lambda L - (h + \lambda)} \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{-1}2(h + \lambda)}{2(h + \lambda) - (h + \lambda)} = \frac{2}{3\lambda},$$

since  $\partial(V/U)/\partial L < 0$  and  $L \leq 2(1 + h/\lambda)$ , and

$$W = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{L}{a_1 a_2}} \right) \geq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} (1 + \sqrt{L}),$$

so that

$$\frac{W}{U} \geq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{L}}{\lambda L - (h + \lambda)} \geq \frac{4}{3\lambda\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{L}}{L} \geq \frac{4}{3\lambda^2} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{L}}{L},$$

since  $a_1 a_2 \geq \lambda^2$ . Hence  $k \geq 4/(9\lambda^2)$  and

$$kLa_1 a_2 \geq kL\lambda^2 \geq \frac{2L}{9} + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \sqrt{L}) + \frac{L}{3} \sqrt{\frac{4}{9} + \frac{16(1 + \sqrt{L})}{3L}} = \psi(L) \quad (\text{say}).$$

Clearly  $\psi$  increases with  $L$  and computation gives  $\psi(2) > 6$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.5.** We suppose that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent, and we apply Theorem 1 with a suitable choice of the parameters. The proof follows the proof of Théorème 2 of [LMN]. For the convenience of the reader we keep the numbering of formulas of [LMN], except that formula (5.i) in [LMN] is here formula (2.i); moreover, when there is some change the new formula is denoted by (2.i)′.

Put

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L &= 2 + [2h/\lambda], & S_1 &= 1 + [\sqrt{La_1/a_2}], \\ K &= 1 + [kLa_1 a_2], & R_2 &= 1 + [\sqrt{(K-1)La_2/a_1}], \\ R_1 &= 1 + [\sqrt{La_2/a_1}], & S_2 &= 1 + [\sqrt{(K-1)La_1/a_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$a_i \geq \varrho |\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

By the Liouville inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \log |A| &\geq -D \log 2 - Db_1 h(\alpha_1) - Db_2 h(\alpha_2) \\ &\geq -D \log 2 - \frac{1}{2}(b_1 a_1 + b_2 a_2) = -D \log 2 - \frac{1}{2}b' a_1 a_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b' = \frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_1}.$$

We consider two cases:

$$b' \leq 2\lambda kL^2 \quad \text{or} \quad b' > 2\lambda kL^2.$$

In the first case, Liouville's inequality implies

$$\log |A| \geq -D \log 2 - \lambda kL^2 a_1 a_2$$

and Theorem 1.5 holds.

Suppose now that  $b' > 2\lambda kL^2$ . Then  $\max\{b_1/a_2, b_2/a_1\} > \lambda kL^2$ , hence

$$b_1 > \lambda\sqrt{k}L \cdot \sqrt{(K-1)La_2/a_1} \quad \text{or} \quad b_2 > \lambda\sqrt{k}L \cdot \sqrt{(K-1)La_1/a_2}.$$

Since  $k \geq 4/(9\lambda^2)$  and  $L \geq 2$ , we have  $\lambda\sqrt{k}L > 1$ , which proves that

$$\text{Card}\{rb_2 + sb_1 : 0 \leq r < R_2, 0 \leq s < S_2\} = R_2 S_2$$

and, by the choice of  $R_2$  and  $S_2$ , this is  $> (K-1)L$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent we have

$$\text{Card}\{\alpha_1^r \alpha_2^s : 0 \leq r < R_1, 0 \leq s < S_1\} = R_1 S_1 \geq L.$$

This ends the verification of condition (1) of Theorem 1.

REMARK 2. The condition  $b' > 2k\lambda L^2$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda L/D &\geq 2h/D \geq 2(\log(2k\lambda L^2) + \log \lambda + f(K)) \\ &\geq 2(\log(2L\psi(L)) + \frac{3}{2} + \log \frac{3}{4}) > 8.812, \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 1 and  $L \geq 2$ .

Suppose that (2) holds. Then Theorem 1 implies

$$\log |A'| \geq -KL\lambda + \lambda/2,$$

where

$$A' = A \max \left\{ \frac{LSe^{LS|A|/(2b_2)}}{2b_2}, \frac{LRe^{LR|A|/(2b_1)}}{2b_1} \right\}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R_1 + R_2 - 1 \leq \sqrt{La_2/a_1} + \sqrt{(K-1)La_2/a_1} + 1 \\ &\leq 1 + \sqrt{La_2} + \sqrt{k}La_2 \\ &\leq 1 + (1/\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{k})La_2 \leq 1 + (1/\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{k})LA, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \max\{a_1, a_2\}$  and, in the same way,

$$S = S_1 + S_2 - 1 \leq 1 + (1/\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{k})LA.$$

This shows that

$$\max\{LR, LS\} \leq L + (1/\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{k})L^2 A.$$

As we may, suppose that  $\log |\Lambda| \leq -\lambda k L^2 a_1 a_2 - 4$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{LR|\Lambda|}{2b_2}, \frac{LS|\Lambda|}{2b_1} \right\} &\leq \frac{(1.21 + \sqrt{k})L^2 a_1 a_2}{2} e^{-\lambda k L^2 a_1 a_2 - 4} \\ &\leq \left(0.61 + \frac{1}{3\lambda}\right) L^2 a_1 a_2 e^{-4L^2 a_1 a_2 / (9\lambda) - 4}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $k \geq 4/(9\lambda^2)$  and  $\lambda k L^2 a_1 a_2 > 1$ . The last term is an increasing function of  $\lambda$ , thus for  $\lambda \leq 1$ ,

$$\max \left\{ \frac{LR|\Lambda|}{2b_2}, \frac{LS|\Lambda|}{2b_1} \right\} \leq \left(0.61 + \frac{1}{3}\right) L^2 a_1 a_2 e^{-4L^2 a_1 a_2 / 9 - 4} < 0.1$$

since  $L^2 a_1 a_2 \geq 4$ . For  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,

$$\max \left\{ \frac{LR|\Lambda|}{2b_2}, \frac{LS|\Lambda|}{2b_1} \right\} \leq \left(0.61 + \frac{1}{3}\right) L^2 a_1 a_2 e^{-4L^2 a_1 a_2 / (9\lambda) - 4}$$

and, since  $a_1 a_2 \geq \lambda^2$ , we get

$$\max \left\{ \frac{LR|\Lambda|}{2b_2}, \frac{LS|\Lambda|}{2b_1} \right\} \leq \left(0.61 + \frac{1}{3}\right) L^2 \lambda^2 e^{-4L^2 \lambda / 9 - 4} < L^2 e^{-4L^2 / 9 - 4} < 0.1.$$

In all cases,

$$|A'| \leq |\Lambda|(L^2(1/\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{k}) \max\{a_1, a_2\} + L),$$

which implies

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -\lambda k L^2 a_1 a_2 - \lambda(L - 0.5) - \log((L^{3/2} + L^2 \sqrt{k}) \max\{a_1, a_2\} + L)$$

and Theorem 1.5 follows.

Now we have to verify that condition (2) is satisfied: we have to prove that

$$\Phi_0 = K(L - 1) \log \varrho - (D + 1) \log N - D(K - 1) \log b - gL(Ra_1 + Sa_2) > 0,$$

when  $b' > 2\lambda k L^2$ .

We replace this condition by the two conditions  $\Phi > 0$ ,  $\Theta > 0$ , where  $\Phi_0 \geq \Phi + \Theta$ . The term  $\Phi$  is the main one,  $\Theta$  is a sum of residual terms. As indicated in [LMN], the condition  $\Phi > 0$  leads to the choice of the parameters (2.1), whereas  $\Theta > 0$  is a secondary condition, which leads to assuming some technical hypotheses on  $h$  and  $a_1, a_2$ . Here, we follow the advice given in [LMN]: for some applications one can modify these technical hypotheses.

As in [LMN] (Lemme 8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.17) \quad \log b &\leq \log \left( \frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_1} \right) + \log \lambda - \frac{\log(2\pi K/\sqrt{e})}{K-1} + f(K) \\ &\leq \frac{h}{D} - \frac{0.023}{D} - \frac{\log(2\pi K/\sqrt{e})}{K-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which follows from the condition

$$h \geq D(\log b' + \log \lambda + f(K)) + 0.023.$$

Lemme 9 of [LMN] gives

$$(2.18) \quad gL(Ra_1 + Sa_2) \leq \frac{1}{3}L^{3/2}\sqrt{(K-1)a_1a_2} \\ + \frac{2}{3}L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2} + \frac{1}{3}L(a_1 + a_2) - \frac{L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{6(1 + \sqrt{K-1})}.$$

Put

$$(2.21) \quad \Phi = K(L-1)\lambda - Kh - \frac{L^{3/2}\sqrt{(K-1)a_1a_2}}{3} \\ - \frac{2L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{3} - \frac{L(a_1 + a_2)}{3}$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \Theta = 0.023(K-1) + h + \frac{L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{6(1 + \sqrt{K-1})} + D \log \left( \frac{2\pi K}{\sqrt{e}} \right) \\ - (D+1) \log(KL).$$

By (2.17) and (2.18) we see that  $\Phi_0 \geq \Phi + \Theta$ , where  $kLa_1a_2 < K \leq 1 + kLa_1a_2$ , hence

$$\Phi > kLa_1a_2((L-1)\lambda - h) - \frac{L^2a_1a_2\sqrt{k}}{3} - \frac{2L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{3} - \frac{L(a_1 + a_2)}{3},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\Phi}{La_1a_2} > kU - V\sqrt{k} - W.$$

This proves that  $\Phi > 0$  provided that  $kU - V\sqrt{k} - W \geq 0$ .

To prove that  $\Theta \geq 0$ , rewrite (2.22) as  $\Theta = \Theta_0(D-1) + \Theta_1$ , where

$$\Theta_0 = \log(\lambda b') + f(K) - \log L + \log \left( \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{e}} \right), \\ \Theta_1 = 0.023K - \log K - 2 \log L + \log \left( \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{e}} \right) \\ + \log(\lambda b') + f(K) + \frac{L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2}}{6(1 + \sqrt{K-1})}.$$

We conclude by proving that  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  are both positive.

Since  $b' > 2k\lambda L^2$ , by Remark 1 we have  $\log(\lambda b') > 2L\psi(L)$ , which shows that  $\Theta_0$  is positive.

Notice that, by the proof of Remark 2,

$$\begin{aligned} L^{3/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2} &= L\sqrt{La_1a_2} \geq L\sqrt{1+2ha_1a_2/\lambda} \geq L\sqrt{1+2h} \\ &> 2\sqrt{1+2(\log(2\psi(2))+f(K)+0.023)} = \phi(K) \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Theta_1 \geq 0.023K - \log K + \log\left(\frac{16\pi}{9\sqrt{e}}\right) + f(K) + \frac{\phi(K)}{3(1+\sqrt{K-1})}$$

and an elementary numerical verification shows that  $\Theta_1$  is positive for  $K \geq 4$ , which holds by Remark 1.

**3. A corollary of Theorem 1.5.** Now we can apply Theorem 1.5 to get a result closer to Théorème 2 of [LMN].

**THEOREM 2.** *Consider the linear form*

$$\Lambda = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are positive integers. Suppose that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent. Put

$$D = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{Q}] / [\mathbb{R}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{R}].$$

Let  $a_1, a_2, h, k$  be real positive numbers, and  $\varrho$  a real number  $> 1$ . Put  $\lambda = \log \varrho$ ,  $\chi = h/\lambda$  and suppose that  $\chi \geq \chi_0$  for some number  $\chi_0 \geq 0$  and that

$$(3)' \quad h \geq D \left( \log \left( \frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_1} \right) + \log \lambda + f(\lceil K_0 \rceil) \right) + 0.023,$$

$$(4) \quad a_i \geq \max\{1, \varrho|\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i)\} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$(5) \quad a_1a_2 \geq \lambda^2,$$

where

$$f(x) = \log \frac{(1 + \sqrt{x-1})\sqrt{x}}{x-1} + \frac{\log x}{6x(x-1)} + \frac{3}{2} + \log \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\log \frac{x}{x-1}}{x-1}$$

and

$$K_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2+2\chi_0}}{3} + \sqrt{\frac{2(1+\chi_0)}{9} + \frac{2\lambda}{3} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{4\lambda\sqrt{2+\chi_0}}{3\sqrt{a_1a_2}}} \right)^2 a_1a_2.$$

Put

$$v = 4\chi + 4 + 1/\chi, \quad m = \max\{2^{5/2}(1+\chi)^{3/2}, (1+2\chi)^{5/2}/\chi\}.$$

Then we have the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} \log |A| \geq & -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{v}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{v^2}{9} + \frac{4\lambda v}{3} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{8\lambda m}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}} \right)^2 a_1 a_2 \\ & - \max\{\lambda(1.5 + 2\chi) \\ & + \log(((2 + 2\chi)^{3/2} + (2 + 2\chi)^2 \sqrt{k^*})A + (2 + 2\chi)), D \log 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \max\{a_1, a_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad k^* = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1 + 2\chi}{3\chi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{2}{3\chi} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(1 + 2\chi)^{1/2}}{\chi} \right).$$

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.** We apply Theorem 1.5 with  $k = k_0$ .

First we estimate certain quantities of the form  $k_0 L^\alpha$ . The formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{x^\alpha}{\lambda x - (\lambda + h)} &= \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{(\lambda x - (\lambda + h))^2} ((\alpha - 1)\lambda x - \alpha(\lambda + h)) \\ &= \frac{\lambda x^{\alpha-1}}{(\lambda x - (\lambda + h))^2} ((\alpha - 1)x - \alpha(1 + \chi)) \end{aligned}$$

shows that the functions  $L \mapsto L^\alpha/U$  are non-increasing in the interval  $I = [1 + 2\chi, 2 + 2\chi]$  for  $\alpha \leq 2$ .

Hence,

$$\frac{L^2}{U} \leq \frac{(1 + 2\chi)^2}{\lambda\chi} = \frac{4\chi + 4 + 1/\chi}{\lambda} = \frac{v}{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, the previous formula also shows that the function  $L \mapsto L^\alpha/U$  is unimodular for all  $\alpha$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L^{5/2}}{U} &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \max \left\{ \frac{(2 + 2\chi)^{5/2}}{1 + \chi}, \frac{(1 + 2\chi)^{5/2}}{\chi} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \max \{ 2^{5/2} (1 + \chi)^{3/2}, (1 + 2\chi)^{5/2} / \chi \} = \frac{m}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

These remarks imply

$$\lambda k_0 L^2 a_1 a_2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{v}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{v^2}{9} + \frac{4v\lambda}{3} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{8\lambda m}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}} \right)^2 a_1 a_2.$$

Besides,

$$k_0 \leq \frac{V^2}{U^2} + 2 \frac{W}{U}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1+2\chi}{3\chi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{3\chi} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}} \cdot \frac{(1+2\chi)^{1/2}}{\chi} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1+2\chi}{3\chi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{2}{3\chi} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(1+2\chi)^{1/2}}{\chi} \right) = k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $f(x)$  is decreasing for  $x > 1$ , the last step is to verify that  $K \geq K_0$  (with the notations of Theorem 1.5). We follow the proof of Remark 1. We have

$$\sqrt{k_0 L} = \frac{V\sqrt{L}}{2U} + \sqrt{\frac{V^2 L}{4U^2} + \frac{WL}{U}}$$

with

$$\frac{V\sqrt{L}}{U} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{L^{3/2}}{\lambda(L - (1 + \chi))} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\chi}}{3\lambda}$$

and

$$\frac{WL}{U} \geq \frac{2}{3\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{4\sqrt{2+2\chi}}{3\lambda\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}$$

so that

$$\sqrt{k_0 L} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2+2\chi}}{3\lambda} + \sqrt{\frac{2(1+\chi)}{9\lambda^2} + \frac{2}{3\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{4\sqrt{2+2\chi}}{3\lambda\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}}$$

and since  $K = 1 + [\lambda k_0 L a_1 a_2]$ , we get  $K \geq \lceil K_0 \rceil$ . [One may verify that  $K_0 > 4$ .]

REMARK 3. The number  $m$  satisfies

$$m = \lambda \max_{L \in I} \left\{ \frac{L^{5/2}}{U} \right\} \leq \lambda \max_{L \in I} \left\{ \frac{L^2}{U} \right\} \cdot \max_{L \in I} \sqrt{L} \leq \lambda(4\chi + 4 + 1/\chi) \sqrt{2+2\chi}.$$

It is possible to simplify some estimates in Theorem 2 without serious loss. Consider first the term  $k^*$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} k^* &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1+2\chi}{3\chi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{2}{3\chi} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(1+2\chi)^{1/2}}{\chi} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{h} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3h} (1 + \sqrt{1+2h/\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\partial k^*/\partial \lambda < 0$ . Also,  $\partial k^*/\partial h < 0$ . Indeed,

$$\frac{\partial k^*}{\partial h} = -\frac{2}{3h} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{3h} \right) - \frac{2}{3h^2} (1 + \sqrt{1+2h/\lambda}) + \frac{2}{3h} \cdot \frac{1/\lambda}{1 + \sqrt{1+2h/\lambda}},$$

which is

$$< \frac{2}{3h} \left( -\frac{\sqrt{1+2h/\lambda}}{h} + \frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{1+2h/\lambda}} \right) = \frac{2}{3h} \cdot \frac{-\lambda(1+2h/\lambda)+h}{\lambda h\sqrt{1+2h/\lambda}} < 0.$$

Thus, for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and  $h \geq h_0$ , we have

$$k^* \leq \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1}{h_0} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3h_0} (1 + \sqrt{1+2h_0/\lambda_0}).$$

In particular, when  $\lambda \geq \log 4$  and  $h \geq 3.5$ , we get  $k^* \leq 1$ .

Now we consider the term  $T := \log((x^2 + x^{3/2})A + x) / \log(Ax^2)$ . Elementary computation shows that  $\partial T / \partial A < 0$  and  $\partial T / \partial x < 0$ . When  $x \geq 4$  and  $A \geq 4$  we get  $T \leq 1.11$ .

Concerning Theorem 2, when  $\chi \geq 1$ ,  $\varrho \geq 4$ ,  $h \geq 3.5$  and  $A \geq 4$ , these remarks imply the simplified estimate

$$\log |A| \geq -(C_0 + c_1 + c_2)(\lambda + h)^2 a_1 a_2,$$

where

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \left( \frac{v/3 + \sqrt{\frac{v^2}{9} + \frac{4\lambda v}{3} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{8\lambda m}{3\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}}}{2(1+\chi)} \right)^2,$$

and

$$c_1 = \frac{\lambda(1.5\lambda + 2h)}{(\lambda + h)^2 a_1 a_2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1.11\lambda \log(A(2\lambda + 2h)^2)}{(\lambda + h)^2 a_1 a_2}.$$

When  $a_1 a_2 \geq 20$ ,  $\varrho \geq 4$  and  $h \geq 3.5$ , one can prove that  $c_2 \leq 0.024$ . The formula

$$c_1 = \frac{1.5 + 2\chi}{(1 + \chi)^2 a_1 a_2}$$

shows that  $c_1$  is a decreasing function of  $\chi$  and, for example, for  $\chi \geq 1.5$  and  $a_1 a_2 \geq 20$ , we have  $c_1 \leq 0.036$ . To summarize,  $c_1 + c_2 < 0.06$  when  $a_1 a_2 \geq 20$ ,  $\varrho \geq 4$ ,  $h \geq 3.5$  and  $\chi \geq 1.5$ . Also notice that for  $\chi \geq 1$ , one has  $m = 2^{5/2}(1 + \chi)^{3/2}$ .

This leads to the following result.

**COROLLARY.** *Consider the linear form*

$$A = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are positive integers. Suppose that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent. Define  $D$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $\varrho$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $h$ ,  $\chi$  as in Theorem 2. Let  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $h$ ,  $k$  be real positive numbers, and  $\varrho$  a real number  $> 1$ . Suppose that  $\varrho \geq 4$  and that

$$(3)'' \quad h \geq \max \left\{ 3.5, 1.5\lambda, D \left( \log \left( \frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_1} \right) + \log \lambda + 1.377 \right) + 0.023 \right\},$$

$$(4) \quad a_i \geq \max \{ 1, \varrho |\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i) \} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$(5)'' \quad a_1 a_2 \geq \max\{20, 4\lambda^2\}.$$

Let  $v = 4\chi + 4 + 1/\chi$ . Then we have the lower bound

$$\log |A| \geq -(C_0 + 0.06)(\lambda + h)^2 a_1 a_2,$$

where

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \left\{ \left( 2 + \frac{1}{2\chi(\chi+1)} \right) \left( \frac{1}{3} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{4\lambda}{3v} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) + \frac{32\sqrt{2}(1+\chi)^{3/2}}{3v^2\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}} \right) \right\}^2.$$

We apply Theorem 2. After the above preliminaries, we have just to check that the present hypotheses imply  $K_0 > 38$  and use the fact that  $f(39) < 1.377$ .

REMARK 4. To get a comparison with the estimates of [LMN], we can consider the Corollaire 2 of [LMN]. Thus we suppose also that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are both real. Then we get

$$\log |A|$$

$$\geq -22D^4 \left( \max \left\{ \log \left( \frac{b_1}{D \log A_2} + \frac{b_2}{D \log A_1} \right) + 0.06, \frac{21}{D} \right\} \right)^2 \log A_1 \log A_2,$$

where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are real numbers  $> 1$  such that

$$\log A_i \geq \max \left\{ h(\alpha_i), \frac{|\log \alpha_i|}{D}, \frac{1}{D} \right\}.$$

This result is obtained with the choice  $\varrho = 5.58$  in the above Corollary (except that we use the original definitions of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , not the estimate  $c_1 + c_2 < 0.06$ ). In [LMN], with (very) slightly stronger hypotheses, the constant obtained was 24.34.

### References

- [LMN] M. Laurent, M. Mignotte et Y. Nesterenko, *Formes linéaires en deux logarithmes et déterminants d'interpolation*, J. Number Theory 55 (1995), 285–321.

Département de Mathématique et Informatique  
 Université Louis Pasteur  
 7, rue René Descartes  
 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France  
 E-mail: mignotte@math.u-strasbg.fr

Received on 7.12.1996  
 and in revised form on 10.3.1998

(3101)