

Partition properties of subsets of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$

by

Masahiro Shioya (Tsukuba)

Abstract. Let $\kappa > \omega$ be a regular cardinal and $\lambda > \kappa$ a cardinal. The following partition property is shown to be consistent relative to a supercompact cardinal: For any $f : \bigcup_{n < \omega} [X]_{\mathcal{C}}^n \rightarrow \gamma$ with $X \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ unbounded and $1 < \gamma < \kappa$ there is an unbounded $Y \subset X$ with $|f''[Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^n| = 1$ for any $n < \omega$.

Let κ be a regular cardinal $> \omega$, λ a cardinal $\geq \kappa$ and F a filter on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$. Partition properties of the form $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda \rightarrow (F^+)_2^2$ (see below for the definition) were introduced by Jech [6]. The case where F is the club filter $\mathcal{C}_{\kappa\lambda}$ was particularly studied in connection with a supercompact cardinal: Menas [14] proved $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_2^2$ for a $2^{\lambda < \kappa}$ -supercompact κ via a normal ultrafilter U with $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda \rightarrow (U^+)_2^2$. As noted by Kamo [9], Menas' argument can be modified to give the partition property of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ for κ just λ -supercompact. For the converse direction Di Prisco and Zwicker [4] and others refined the global result of Magidor [12]: The partition property of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa 2^{\lambda < \kappa}$ implies that κ is λ -supercompact.

In [8] Johnson introduced properties of the form $X \rightarrow (F^+)_2^2$ for $X \in F^+$, which means that for any $f : [X]_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \rightarrow 2$ there is $Y \in F^+$ with $Y \subset X$ and $|f''[Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^2| = 1$, as well as $F^+ \rightarrow (F^+)_2^2$, which means $X \rightarrow (F^+)_2^2$ for any $X \in F^+$. Abe [1] asked whether $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_2^2$ would fail in ZFC, where $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}$ denotes the minimal fine filter on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$.

In this note we answer the question of Abe:

THEOREM. *Let κ be a supercompact cardinal and λ a cardinal $> \kappa$. Then there is a κ^+ -c.c. poset forcing that κ is supercompact and $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_\gamma^{<\omega}$ for any $1 < \gamma < \kappa$.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 03E05, 03E55.

The author enjoyed Prof. Kanamori's hospitality while staying at Boston University as a Japanese Overseas Research Fellow. He also wishes to thank Prof. Abe for helpful conversations, and the referee for improving the presentation.

Here $F^+ \rightarrow (F^+)_{\gamma}^{<\omega}$ means that for any $f : \bigcup_{n < \omega} [X]_{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \gamma$ with $X \in F^+$ there is $Y \in F^+$ with $Y \subset X$ and $|f''[Y]_{\mathbb{C}}^n| = 1$ for any $n < \omega$. Note that κ is Ramsey iff $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\kappa}^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\kappa}^+)_{\gamma}^{<\omega}$ for any $1 < \gamma < \kappa$.

We generally follow the terminology of Kanamori [10] with the following exception: For a cardinal $\mu \geq \omega$ we set $[X]^\mu = \{x \subset X : |x| = \mu\}$, $[X]^{<\mu} = \{x \subset X : |x| < \mu\}$ and $\lim A = \{\alpha < \mu : \sup(A \cap \alpha) = \alpha > 0\}$ for $A \subset \mu$. We understand $\bigcup a \subsetneq \bigcap b$ whenever the union $a \cup b$ of $a \in [\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda]_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ and $b \in [\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda]_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ with $m, n < \omega$ is formed.

We first give two negative partition results, which motivated Abe's question. In [1] Abe proved $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+ \not\rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ under $\lambda^{<\kappa} = 2^\lambda$. On the other hand, Matet [13], extending a result of Laver (see [7]), got the same conclusion from the opposite assumption:

PROPOSITION 1. *Assume $\lambda^\kappa = \lambda$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+ \not\rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.*

PROOF. First set $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda = \{x_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}$ and $[\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda]^\kappa = \{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$. By induction on $\xi < \lambda$ we construct $z_\xi \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ and $\{y_\xi^{\alpha i} : \alpha \in z_\xi \wedge i < 2\}$ so that $x_\xi \subset z_\xi$, $z_\xi \neq z_\zeta$, $y_\xi^{\alpha i} \in Y_\alpha$, $y_\xi^{\alpha i} \subsetneq z_\xi$ and $y_\xi^{\alpha 0} \neq y_\xi^{\beta 1}$ for any $\zeta < \xi$, $i < 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in z_\xi$ as follows: At stage $\xi < \lambda$ by induction on $n < \omega$ build $z_{\xi n} \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ and $\{y_\xi^{\alpha i} : \alpha \in z_{\xi n} \wedge i < 2\}$ so that $x_\xi \subset z_{\xi 0} \not\subset \bigcup_{\zeta < \xi} z_\zeta$, $y_\xi^{\alpha i} \in Y_\alpha$, $y_\xi^{\alpha 0} \neq y_\xi^{\beta 1}$ and $z_{\xi n} \cup \bigcup \{y_\xi^{\alpha i} : \alpha \in z_{\xi n} \wedge i < 2\} \subsetneq z_{\xi n+1}$. Finally set $z_\xi = \bigcup_{n < \omega} z_{\xi n}$. We claim that f defined by $f(\{y_\xi^{\alpha i}, z_\xi\}) = i$ witnesses $\{z_\xi : \xi < \lambda\} \not\rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.

Fix an unbounded set $X \subset \{z_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}$. We show $f''[X]_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = 2$. Take $\alpha < \lambda$ with $Y_\alpha \in [X]^\kappa$, and $\xi < \lambda$ with $\alpha \in z_\xi \in X$. Then $f(\{y_\xi^{\alpha i}, z_\xi\}) = i$ for $i < 2$ by definition, as desired. ■

The above proof yields in fact for any $\gamma < \kappa$ an unbounded set $X \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ and $f : [X]_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \gamma$ such that $f''[Y]_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \gamma$ for any unbounded $Y \subset X$.

The analogous problem for the club filter has been solved by Abe [2] via an extension of Magidor's theorem [12]: $\mathcal{C}_{\kappa\lambda}^+ \not\rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Let us give a canonical witness to his observation by appealing to Magidor's idea more directly:

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\mu < \kappa$ be regular. Then $\{x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda : \text{cf}(x \cap \kappa) = \mu\} \not\rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\kappa\lambda}^+)_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.*

PROOF. Set $S = \{x \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda : \text{cf}(x \cap \kappa) = \mu\}$ and for $x \in S$ fix an unbounded set $c_x \subset x \cap \kappa$ of order type μ . For $\{x, y\} \in [S]_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ let $f(\{x, y\})$ be 0 when $\min(c_x \Delta c_y) \in c_x$, and 1 otherwise. Fix a stationary set $T \subset S$. We show $f''[T]_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = 2$.

First, we have $\gamma < \kappa$ such that for any $w \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ there are $w \subset x, y \in T$ with $\gamma \in c_x - c_y$: Let $g : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$ witness the contrary, i.e. $\gamma \in c_x$ iff $\gamma \in c_y$ for any $\gamma < \kappa$ and $g(\gamma) \subset x, y \in T$. Take $x, y \in C(g) \cap T$ with $x \cap \kappa < y \cap \kappa$

by the stationarity of $\{z \cap \kappa : z \in C(g) \cap T\}$ in κ . Then $c_x = c_y \cap x \cap \kappa$ has order type μ , contradicting the choice of c_y .

Now, let $\gamma < \kappa$ be minimal as above. Then for $\alpha < \gamma$ we have $w_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$ such that $\alpha \in c_x$ iff $\alpha \in c_y$ for any $w_\alpha \subset x, y \in T$. Set $w = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} w_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$. Take $w \subset x \subset y \subset z$ from T with $\gamma \in c_x \cap c_z - c_y$. Then $\min(c_x \Delta c_y) = \min(c_y \Delta c_z) = \gamma$ by $w_\alpha \subset x \subset y \subset z$ for any $\alpha < \gamma$, and hence $f(\{x, y\}) = 0$ and $f(\{y, z\}) = 1$ by definition, as desired. ■

The rest of the paper is devoted to establishing our Theorem. We refer to Baumgartner’s expository paper [3] for the rudiments of iterated forcings. We call a poset κ -centered closed when any centered subset of size $< \kappa$ has a lower bound.

Assume for the moment that κ is a compact cardinal and $\lambda \leq 2^\kappa$. Fix a coloring $f : \bigcup_{n < \omega} [S]_{\mathcal{C}}^n \rightarrow \gamma$ with $S \subset \mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$ unbounded and $1 < \gamma < \kappa$. Our definition of the poset Q_f below owes much to Galvin (see [7]), who proved under $\text{MA}(\lambda)$ that for any $f : [X]_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \rightarrow 2$ with $X \subset [\lambda]^{<\omega}$ cofinal there is a cofinal $Y \subset X$ with $|f''[Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^2| = 1$.

Fix a fine ultrafilter U on S and define inductively a κ -complete ultrafilter U_n on $[S]_{\mathcal{C}}^n$ by $U_0 = \{\{\emptyset\}\}$ and $U_{n+1} = \{X : \{x : \{a : \{x\} \cup a \in X\} \in U_n\} \in U\}$. For $n < \omega$ let β_n be the unique $\beta < \gamma$ with $\{a \in [S]_{\mathcal{C}}^n : f(a) = \beta\} \in U_n$. Let $Q_f = \{p \in [S]^{<\kappa} : \forall m, n < \omega \forall a \in [p]_{\mathcal{C}}^m (\{b \in [S]_{\mathcal{C}}^n : f(a \cup b) = \beta_{m+n}\} \in U_n)\}$, and $q \leq p$ iff $q \supset p$ and $y \not\subset x$ for any $x \in p$ and $y \in q - p$. Let us observe some basic properties of Q_f .

First, for a generic filter $G \subset Q_f$, $\bigcup G$ is unbounded in $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$ by the density of $\{q \in Q_f : \exists y \in q(x \subset y)\}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda}$, and homogeneous for f : $f''[\bigcup G]_{\mathcal{C}}^n = \{\beta_n\}$ for any $n < \omega$.

Next, we have the κ -centered closure of Q_f : $\bigcup D$ is a lower bound of a centered set $D \in [Q_f]^{<\kappa}$.

Finally, we invoke an argument of Engelking and Karłowicz [5] to show that Q_f is κ -linked. Fix an injection $\pi : \mathcal{P}_{\kappa\lambda} \rightarrow {}^\kappa 2$. For $A \subset {}^\alpha 2$ with $\alpha < \kappa$ set $Q_{f,A} = \{p \in Q_f : \{\pi(x)|\alpha : x \in p\} = A \wedge \langle \pi(z)|\alpha : z \in \bigcup_{x \in p} \mathcal{P}x \rangle \text{ is injective}\}$. Then $Q_f = \bigcup \{Q_{f,A} : \exists \alpha < \kappa (A \subset {}^\alpha 2)\}$ by the inaccessibility of κ . To see that $Q_{f,A}$ is linked, fix $p, q \in Q_{f,A}$. Then $x \not\subset y$ for any $x \in p - q$ and $y \in q$: Otherwise we would have $x = z$ for some $x \in p - q, y \in q$ with $x \subset y$ and $z \in q$ with $\pi(x)|\alpha = \pi(z)|\alpha$. Similarly, $y \not\subset x$ for any $x \in p$ and $y \in q - p$. Thus $p \cup q \leq p, q$, as desired.

Before starting the proof of our Theorem, we need to generalize a result of Baumgartner [3]:

LEMMA. Assume $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$. Let $\langle P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \beta \rangle$ be a $< \kappa$ -support iteration such that \Vdash_α “ \dot{Q}_α is κ -centered closed and κ -linked” for any $\alpha < \beta$. Then P_β is κ -directed closed and κ^+ -c.c.

Proof. It is easily seen that the κ -centered closure implies the κ -directed closure, which is preserved by $< \kappa$ -support iterations.

To see the κ^+ -c.c., fix $X \in [P_\beta]^{\kappa^+}$. For $\alpha < \beta$ let $\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha = \bigcup_{\gamma < \kappa} \dot{Q}_{\alpha\gamma}$ with $\dot{Q}_{\alpha\gamma}$ linked for any $\gamma < \kappa$. For $p \in X$ by induction on $\xi < \kappa$ build $p_\xi \leq p$, $\alpha_\xi^p \in \text{supp}(p_\xi)$ and $\gamma_\xi^p < \kappa$ so that $p_\xi \leq p_\zeta$ for any $\zeta < \xi$, $p_{\xi+1} \Vdash_{\alpha_\xi^p} \text{“} p_\xi(\alpha_\xi^p) \in \dot{Q}_{\alpha_\xi^p \gamma_\xi^p}$ ”, and $\{\xi < \kappa : \alpha_\xi^p = \alpha\}$ is unbounded for any $\alpha \in \bigcup_{\zeta < \kappa} \text{supp}(p_\zeta)$. Take $Y \in [X]^{\kappa^+}$ and $\delta < \kappa$ so that $\delta \in \Delta_{\zeta < \kappa} \cap \{\lim\{\xi < \kappa : \alpha_\xi^p = \alpha\} : \alpha \in \text{supp}(p_\zeta)\}$ for any $p \in Y$. Note that $\{\alpha_\xi^p : \xi < \delta\} = \bigcup_{\zeta < \delta} \text{supp}(p_\zeta)$ for any $p \in Y$. Next take $Z \in [Y]^{\kappa^+}$ so that $\{\{\alpha_\xi^p : \xi < \delta\} : p \in Z\}$ forms a Δ -system with root $d \in [\beta]^{< \kappa}$. Finally, take $W \in [Z]^{\kappa^+}$ and $H \in [\delta \times d \times \kappa]^{< \kappa}$ so that $\{(\xi, \alpha_\xi^p, \gamma_\xi^p) : \xi < \delta \wedge \alpha_\xi^p \in d\} = H$ for any $p \in W$. We show that W is linked, as desired.

Fix $p, q \in W$. Inductively we build a lower bound $r \in P_\beta$ of $\{p_\xi : \xi < \delta\} \cup \{q_\xi : \xi < \delta\}$ with support $\bigcup_{\zeta < \delta} \text{supp}(p_\zeta) \cup \bigcup_{\zeta < \delta} \text{supp}(q_\zeta)$. At stage $\alpha < \beta$ we claim that $\{\xi < \delta : r \Vdash_\alpha \text{“} p_\xi(\alpha) \parallel q_\xi(\alpha)\text{”}\}$ is unbounded, which implies $r \Vdash_\alpha \text{“}\{p_\xi(\alpha) : \xi < \delta\} \cup \{q_\xi(\alpha) : \xi < \delta\}$ is centered”, as desired, since $r \Vdash_\alpha \text{“}\{p_\xi(\alpha) : \xi < \delta\}$ and $\{q_\xi(\alpha) : \xi < \delta\}$ are descending”. Let us concentrate on the nontrivial case where $\alpha \in d = \bigcup_{\zeta < \delta} \text{supp}(p_\zeta) \cap \bigcup_{\zeta < \delta} \text{supp}(q_\zeta)$.

Fix $\xi < \delta$ with $\alpha_\xi^p = \alpha$. Then $r \Vdash_\alpha \leq p_{\xi+1} \Vdash_{\alpha, q_{\xi+1}} \text{“} p_\xi(\alpha), q_\xi(\alpha) \in \dot{Q}_{\alpha\gamma}$ ”, where $(\xi, \alpha, \gamma) \in H$. Now the claim follows, since $\{\xi < \delta : \alpha_\xi^p = \alpha\}$ is unbounded by the choice of δ . ■

Proof of Theorem. First, we force with the Laver poset [11] for κ and then add λ Cohen subsets of κ to ensure that κ is supercompact and $\lambda \leq 2^\kappa$ in the further extensions. Next, we perform a $< \kappa$ -support iteration $\langle P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < 2^{\lambda < \kappa} \rangle$ with $\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha = Q_f$ for some canonical P_α -name f for a coloring. The standard inductive argument, together with the κ -closure and the κ^+ -c.c. of P_α , shows that for any $\alpha < 2^{\lambda < \kappa}$, P_α is of size $\leq 2^{\lambda < \kappa}$, and so is the set of canonical P_α -names for colorings, whose union can be identified with that of canonical $P_{2^{\lambda < \kappa}}$ -names for colorings. Thus the iteration can be arranged so that a homogeneous set for a coloring in the final model by $P_{2^{\lambda < \kappa}}$ appears in an intermediate model, which, by absoluteness of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$, remains unbounded, as desired. ■

References

- [1] Y. Abe, *Combinatorics for small ideals on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa \lambda$* , Math. Logic Quart. 43 (1997), 541–549.
- [2] —, private communication.

- [3] J. Baumgartner, *Iterated forcing*, in: Surveys in Set Theory, A. Mathias (ed.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 87, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983, 1–59.
- [4] C. Di Prisco and W. Zwicker, *Flipping properties and supercompact cardinals*, Fund. Math. 109 (1980), 31–36.
- [5] R. Engelking and M. Karłowicz, *Some theorems of set theory and their topological consequences*, *ibid.* 57 (1965), 275–285.
- [6] T. Jech, *Some combinatorial problems concerning uncountable cardinals*, Ann. Math. Logic 5 (1973), 165–198.
- [7] T. Jech and S. Shelah, *A partition theorem for pairs of finite sets*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 647–656.
- [8] C. Johnson, *Some partition relations for ideals on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$* , Acta Math. Hungar. 56 (1990), 269–282.
- [9] S. Kamo, *Ineffability and partition property on $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan 49 (1997), 125–143.
- [10] A. Kanamori, *The Higher Infinite*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [11] R. Laver, *Making the supercompactness of κ indestructible under κ -directed closed forcing*, Israel J. Math. 29 (1978), 385–388.
- [12] M. Magidor, *Combinatorial characterization of supercompact cardinals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), 279–285.
- [13] P. Matet, handwritten notes.
- [14] T. Menas, *A combinatorial property of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa\lambda$* , J. Symbolic Logic 41 (1976), 225–234.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Tsukuba, 305-8571 Japan
E-mail: shioya@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

*Received 21 September 1998;
in revised form 10 May 1999*