A Schinzel theorem on continued fractions in function fields

by

WEIQUN HU (Nanjing)

1. Introduction and statement of result. It is well known that the expansion of the continued fraction of a positive quadratic irrational number α is periodical. The problem of evaluating and estimating the period $p(\alpha)$ of the continued fraction of α is closely related to many number theoretic problems such as Pell's equations and the fundamental unit of the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. In 1961, A. Schinzel [8] proved an interesting result. Let a, b, c be integers with $a \geq 1$, and let $f(x) = a^2x^2 + bx + c$ be a quadratic polynomial with discriminant $d = b^2 - 4a^2c$. We define two sets

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \ge 1 \text{ and } f(n) \text{ is square-free} \},\$$
$$E = \{ n \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} : d \nmid (2a^2n + b)^2 \}.$$

Schinzel's result says that

(I)
$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{Z} - E} p(\sqrt{f(n)}) < \infty$$
, (II) $\lim_{n \in E} p(\sqrt{f(n)}) = \infty$.

Later, S. Louboutin [6] and A. Farhane [2] presented more exact and effective lower bounds of $p(\sqrt{f(n)})$ for $n \in E$. In this paper we show an analogue of Schinzel's result in the function field case.

Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements where q is odd, $k = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ be the rational function field over \mathbb{F}_q , $R = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ be its polynomial ring, $k_{\infty} = \mathbb{F}_q((1/t))$ be the completion of k at the infinite place $\infty = (1/t)$. Each element $\alpha \in k_{\infty} - k$ is a (formal) power series

$$\alpha = \sum_{n \ge l} c_n \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^n, \quad c_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \ (n \ge l), \quad \operatorname{sgn} \alpha = c_l \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

Let v_{∞} be the normal exponential valuation in k_{∞} with $v_{\infty}(1/t) = 1$. In this paper we prefer to use the notation deg $\alpha = -v_{\infty}(\alpha) = -l$ since it is

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D41, 11R27, 11R58.

Key words and phrases: continued fraction, function field.

^[291]

just the ordinary degree if α is a polynomial in R. We define

$$[\alpha] = \sum_{n=l}^{0} c_n \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^n \in R, \quad \{\alpha\} = \sum_{n \ge \sup\{1,l\}} c_n \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^n.$$

Then we have the continued fraction expansion of α ,

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 +$$

where $a_0 = [\alpha]$ and $\alpha_i = 1/\{a_{i-1}\}, a_i = [\alpha_i]$ for $i \ge 1$ so that

$$\alpha_i = [a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots], \quad \deg \alpha_i = \deg a_i \ge 1 \quad \text{for } i \ge 1.$$

Suppose that F(t) is a square-free polynomial in R such that deg $F(t) \geq 1$ and $\sqrt{F(t)} \in k_{\infty}$. (Note: F(t) is called *square-free* if there is no $A \in R$ with deg $A \geq 1$ such that $A^2 \mid F(t)$. By Hensel's lemma, $\sqrt{F(t)} \in k_{\infty}$ if and only if $2 \mid \deg F(t)$ and $\operatorname{sgn} F(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{*2}$.) The subfield $K = k(\sqrt{F(t)})$ of k_{∞} is called a "real" quadratic function field. It is well known that the continued fraction of $\sqrt{F(t)}$ is periodical. In fact, we need a generalized version of the period in the study of the algebraic structure of the real quadratic function field K. For each $\alpha \in k_{\infty}$, α is algebraic over k with degree two if and only if the continued fraction of $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots]$ is infinite and quasi-periodical, which means that there exist $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and integers $n_0 \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$ such that

$$a_{n+l} = c^{(-1)^n} a_n \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0.$$

The smallest positive integer l satisfying this condition is called the *quasi*period of the continued fraction $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \ldots]$ and denoted by $p(\alpha)$. If $\alpha = \sqrt{F(t)}$ and $l = p(\sqrt{F(t)})$, then the fundamental unit of the real quadratic function field $K = k(\sqrt{F(t)})$ is $P_{l-1} + Q_{l-1}\sqrt{F(t)}$ where

$$P_{l-1}/Q_{l-1} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}].$$

The continued fraction method of studying the ideal class number and units of real quadratic function fields was initiated by E. Artin [1]. For recent work we refer to D. Hayes [4] and C. D. González [3].

Now we state the result of this paper. Let A, B, C be polynomials in $R = \mathbb{F}_q[t], \deg A \ge 0, f(x) = A^2 x^2 + Bx + C, D = B^2 - 4A^2C$, and

$$\widetilde{R} = \{ N \in R : f(N) \text{ is square-free and } \sqrt{f(N)} \in k_{\infty} \},\$$
$$E = \{ N \in \widetilde{R} : D \nmid (2A^2N + B)^2 \}.$$

Let $p(\sqrt{f(N)})$ be the quasi-period of the continued fraction of $\sqrt{f(N)}$.

THEOREM 1.1.

$$\lim_{N\in \tilde{R}-E} p(\sqrt{f(N)}) < \infty$$

THEOREM 1.2.

 $\lim_{N \in E} p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = \infty.$

Before proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, in Section 2 we introduce several basic facts on continued fractions in function fields. Most results are just simple analogues of facts in the theory of ordinary continued fractions in the real number field case (see Hua's book [5], for instance), so we present the proof of some particular facts for the reader's convenience and omit the proof of others. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

2. Continued fractions in function fields. From now on we fix the following notations: $k = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ $(2 \nmid q), R = \mathbb{F}_q[t], k_{\infty} = \mathbb{F}((1/t))$. For $\alpha \in k_{\infty} - k$,

$$\alpha = c_m \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^m + c_{m+1} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{m+1} + \dots, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \ (i \ge m), \ c_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*;$$

we set deg $\alpha = -m$, sgn $\alpha = c_n$. We have the continued fraction

(2.1)
$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots].$$

1

For $n \ge 0$, the *n*th convergent of the continued fraction (2.1) is

$$P_n/Q_n = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

which can be calculated recursively by

(2.2)
$$P_0 = a_0, \quad P_1 = a_1 a_0 + 1, \quad P_n = a_n P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} \quad (n \ge 2), \\ Q_0 = 1, \quad Q_1 = a_1, \qquad Q_n = a_n Q_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} \quad (n \ge 2).$$

We have the following basic facts.

FACT 1. For $n \geq 1$,

(2.3)
$$P_n Q_{n-1} - P_{n-1} Q_n = (-1)^{n+1},$$

(2.4)
$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}P_n + P_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n+1}Q_n + Q_{n-1}}$$

where $\alpha_n = [a_n, a_{n+1}, ...].$

Let $A, B, C \in R$, deg $A \ge 0$, $f(x) = A^2 x + Bx + C$, $D = B^2 - 4A^2C$, and $\sqrt{f(N)} \in k_{\infty}$ $(N \in R)$.

FACT 2. For $\alpha = \sqrt{f(N)} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$, we have

(2.5)
$$\alpha_n = \frac{U_n + \sqrt{f(N)}}{V_n} \quad (n \ge 0)$$

where U_n, V_n are polynomials in R and can be calculated recursively by

$$U_{0} = 0, \quad V_{0} = 1, \quad a_{0} = \left[\sqrt{f(N)}\right],$$

$$(2.6) \quad U_{n+1} = a_{n}V_{n} - U_{n}, \quad V_{n+1} = \frac{f(N) - U_{n+1}^{2}}{V_{n}},$$

$$a_{n+1} = \left[\frac{U_{n+1} + \sqrt{f(N)}}{V_{n+1}}\right] \quad (n \ge 0)$$

Moreover, $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = 2k$ if and only if k is the smallest integer such that $U_k/U_{k+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, while $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = 2k + 1$ if and only if k is the smallest integer such that $V_k/V_{k+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

The next result means that the convergents are the best approximations of $\alpha \in k_{\infty}$.

LEMMA 2.1. For $\alpha \in k_{\infty} - k$, $P, Q \in R$, $Q \neq 0$ and (P,Q) = 1, the following statements are equivalent to each other:

- (1) $P/Q = P_n/Q_n \text{ or } P/Q = -P_n/Q_n \text{ for some } n \ge 0;$ (2) $\deg(P^2 - \alpha^2 Q^2) < \deg \alpha;$
- (3) $\deg(P \alpha Q) < -\deg Q$ or $\deg(P + \alpha Q) < -\deg Q$.

Proof. Let $P/Q = [c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n]$ be the finite continued fraction of P/Q, and $P'/Q' = [c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}]$. There is $\beta \in k_{\infty}$ such that

(2.7)
$$\alpha = [c_0, \dots, c_n, \beta] = \frac{\beta P + P'}{\beta Q + Q'}.$$

Namely,

$$\beta = \frac{-\alpha Q' + P'}{\alpha Q - P}.$$

From (2.7) and (2.3) we have

$$\alpha - \frac{P}{Q} = \frac{(-1)^n}{Q(\beta Q + Q')}, \quad \deg(P - \alpha Q) = -\deg(\beta Q + Q').$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} P/Q &= P_n/Q_n \Leftrightarrow \deg\beta \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow \deg(P - \alpha Q) < -\deg Q, \\ P/Q &= -P_n/Q_n \Leftrightarrow \deg(P + \alpha Q) < -\deg Q. \end{split}$$

So we proved the equivalence $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$. The equivalence $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ is easy to prove.

The following result is analogous to Theorem H in [8].

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that $\xi = [b_0, b_1, \ldots] \in k_{\infty} - k$ and $\xi_{\nu} = [b_{\nu}, b_{\nu+1}, \ldots]$. Let $p, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, deg $r < \deg s$ and $rs = d \neq 0$. If

$$\xi' = \frac{p\xi + r}{s}, \quad \frac{p[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\nu-1}] + r}{s} = [d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{\mu-1}],$$

then $\xi' = [d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{\mu-1}, \xi'_{\mu}]$ where $\xi'_{\mu} = (p'\xi_{\nu} + r')/s', p', r', s' \in R$, $p's' = \pm d$, $\deg r' < \deg s'$.

Proof. For a non-singular matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ over R and $\alpha \in k_{\infty}$ we define the action of A on α by

$$A(\alpha) = \frac{a\alpha + b}{c\alpha + d}.$$

Let $[b_0, \ldots, b_m] = A_m/B_m$ and $[d_0, \ldots, d_l] = C_l/D_l$. From (2.4) we have

$$\xi' = \frac{C_{\mu-1}\xi'_{\mu} + C_{\mu-2}}{D_{\mu-1}\xi'_{\mu} + D_{\mu-2}} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mu-1} & C_{\mu-2} \\ D_{\mu-1} & D_{\mu-2} \end{pmatrix} (\xi'_{\mu}),$$

$$\xi' = \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ o & s \end{pmatrix} (\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ o & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\nu-1} & A_{\nu-2} \\ B_{\nu-1} & B_{\nu-2} \end{pmatrix} (\xi_{\nu})$$

Therefore $\xi'_{\mu} = {p' \ r' \choose t' \ s'}(\xi_{\nu})$ where

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} p' & r' \\ t' & s' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mu-1} & C_{\mu-2} \\ D_{\mu-1} & D_{\mu-2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ o & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\nu-1} & A_{\nu-2} \\ B_{\nu-1} & B_{\nu-2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \pm \begin{pmatrix} -D_{\mu-2} & C_{\mu-2} \\ D_{\mu-1} & -C_{\mu-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & r \\ o & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\nu-1} & A_{\nu-2} \\ B_{\nu-1} & B_{\nu-2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \pm \begin{pmatrix} -pD_{\mu-2} & -rD_{\mu-2} + sC_{\mu-2} \\ pD_{\mu-1} & rD_{\mu-1} - sC_{\mu-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\nu-1} & A_{\nu-2} \\ B_{\nu-1} & B_{\nu-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t' &= \pm (pD_{\mu-1}A_{\nu-1} + rD_{\mu-1}B_{\nu-1} - sC_{\mu-1}B_{\nu-1}) \\ &= \pm sD_{\mu-1}B_{\nu-1} \left(\frac{pA_{\nu-1}/B_{\nu-1} + r}{s} - \frac{C_{\mu-1}}{D_{\mu-1}}\right) = 0, \\ s' &= \pm (pD_{\mu-1}A_{\nu-2} + rD_{\mu-1}B_{\nu-2} - sC_{\mu-1}B_{\nu-2}), \\ r' &= \mp (pD_{\mu-2}A_{\nu-2} + rD_{\mu-2}B_{\nu-2} - sC_{\mu-2}B_{\nu-2}), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\deg r' < \deg s'$. From (2.8) we have

$$p's' = \begin{vmatrix} p' & r' \\ o & s' \end{vmatrix} = \pm \begin{vmatrix} p & r \\ o & s \end{vmatrix} = \pm d.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2 (cf. Theorem 3 in Chapter IV of [7]).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $N \in \widetilde{R} - E$, which means that $f(N) = A^2N^2 + BN + C$ is square-free, $\sqrt{f(N)} \in k_{\infty}$ and

(3.1)
$$D = B^2 - 4A^2C | (2A^2N + B)^2.$$

Let

(3.2)
$$\xi' = \sqrt{f(N)} = \frac{1}{2A}\sqrt{(2A^2N + B)^2 - D}.$$

From (3.1) we know that there exist $U, V, W \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

(3.3)
$$2A^2N + B = UVW, \quad D = UV^2.$$

The formula (3.2) becomes

(3.4)
$$\xi' = \frac{V}{2A}\xi$$

where

(3.5)
$$\xi = \sqrt{U^2 W^2 - U} = [UW, \overline{2W, 2UW}] = [UW, \xi''],$$

(3.6)
$$\xi'' = [\overline{2W, 2UW}] = [2W, 2UW, \xi''].$$

Now we use Lemma 2.2 repeatedly to get the continued fraction expansion of $\xi' = \sqrt{f(N)}$. Let

(3.7)
$$\eta_1 = \frac{VUW}{2A} = \frac{2A^2N + B}{2A} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\mu_1 - 1}].$$

From (3.5) and Lemma 2.2 we have

(3.8)
$$\xi' = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\mu_1 - 1}, \xi'_1]$$

where

(3.9)
$$\xi'_1 = \frac{p_1 \xi'' + r_1}{s_1}, \quad p_1, r_1, s_1 \in R, \ p_1 s_1 = \pm 2AV, \ \deg r_1 < \deg s_1.$$

In general, for each $i \ge 1$ we have

(3.10)
$$\xi'_i = \frac{p_i \xi'' + r_i}{s_i}, \quad p_i, r_i, s_i \in R, \ p_i s_i = \pm 2AV, \ \deg r_i < \deg s_i.$$

Let

(3.11)
$$\eta_{i+1} = \frac{p_i[2W, 2UW] + r_i}{s_i} = \frac{p_i(2W + 1/(2UW)) + r_i}{s_i}$$
$$= [a_{\mu_i}, a_{\mu_i+1}, \dots, a_{\mu_{i+1}-1}].$$

From (3.6) and Lemma 2.2 we have

(3.12)
$$\xi'_i = [a_{\mu_i}, a_{\mu_i+1}, \dots, a_{\mu_{i+1}-1}, \xi'_{i+1}]$$

where

(3.13)
$$\xi'_{i+1} = \frac{p_{i+1}\xi'' + r_{i+1}}{s_{i+1}}, \quad p_{i+1}, r_{i+1}, s_{i+1} \in R, \ p_{i+1}s_{i+1} = \pm 2AV, \\ \deg r_{i+1} < \deg s_{i+1}.$$

Since A,B,C and $D=B^2-4A^2C$ are fixed polynomials, we choose N such that

(3.14) $\deg N > \max\{\deg(A^2/B), \deg(D/A)\}.$

From (3.3) we know that

$$\deg(UVW) = \deg(A^2N) > \deg(AD) = \deg(AUV^2)$$

so that deg $W > \deg(AV) = \deg(p_i s_i) \ge \deg s_i \ (i \ge 1)$. Therefore by (3.11),

$$\deg a_{\mu_i} = \deg[\eta_{i+1}] = \deg \frac{p_i W}{s_i} \ge 1 \quad (i \ge 1)$$

and by (3.8) and (3.11) we have the continued fraction expansion of $\xi' = \sqrt{f(N)}$:

(3.15)
$$\sqrt{f(N)}$$

= $[a_0, \dots, a_{\mu_1-1}, a_{\mu_1}, \dots, a_{\mu_2-1}, \dots, a_{\mu_i}, a_{\mu_i+1}, \dots, a_{\mu_{i+1}}, \dots]$

The total number of tuples (p, r, s) satisfying $ps = \pm 2AN$ and deg $r < \deg s$ is at most $M = q^{2 \deg AN+1}$, thus from (3.10) we know that there exist l and $j, 1 \le l < j \le M$, such that $\xi'_l = \xi'_j$. From the expansion (3.15) we know that

(3.16)
$$p(\sqrt{f(N)}) \le \sum_{i=l}^{j-1} (\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i).$$

We use Lemma 2.2 again to estimate μ_l . Let

$$\frac{2Wp_i + r_i}{s_i} = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{t-1}].$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.11) we have

$$\eta_{i+1} = \left[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{t-1}, \frac{p'(2UW) + r'}{s'}\right]$$

where $p's' = \pm 2AV$ and $\deg r' < \deg s'$, so that $\deg(\sqrt{p'(2UW) + r'}/s') \ge 1$. Let

$$\frac{p'(2UW) + r'}{s'} = [c_t, c_{t+1}, \dots, c_{t+\lambda-1}].$$

Then $\eta_{i+1} = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{t-1}, c_t, \dots, c_{t+\lambda-1}]$ and $\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i = t + \lambda$ by (3.11). From the recursive formula for Q_i in (2.2) and deg $c_i \ge 1$ ($0 \le i \le t + \lambda - 1$) we know that

$$t \le \deg s_i \le \deg(AV), \quad \lambda \le \deg s' \le \deg(AV).$$

Therefore $\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i = t + \lambda \leq 2 \deg(AV)$ and by (3.16),

$$p(\sqrt{f(N)}) \le (j-l)2\deg(AV) \le 2M\deg(AV) \le 2\deg\sqrt{(AV)q^{2\deg(AV+1)}}$$

provided the formula (3.14) is satisfied. Since there are only finitely many N such that deg $N \leq \max\{\deg(A^2/B), \deg(D/A)\}$, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Now we assume that $f(N) = A^2N^2 + BN + C$ and $D = B^2 - 4A^2C \nmid (2A^2N + B)^2$. Let $l = p(\sqrt{f(N)})$ and

$$\sqrt{f(N)} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots],$$

$$\alpha_i = [a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots], \quad P_i/Q_i = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_i],$$

$$\varphi_i = P_i + Q_i \sqrt{f(N)}, \quad \overline{\varphi}_i = P_i - Q_i \sqrt{f(N)}.$$

Then $\varepsilon = \varphi_{l-1}$ is the fundamental unit of the quadratic function field $K = k(\sqrt{f(N)})$. Let

$$G = (A, B), \quad \beta = DG^{-2}, \quad U = 2AG^{-1}, \quad V = BG^{-1}.$$

We choose

$$A_1 = AUN + V = (2A^2N + B)G^{-1}, \quad B_1 = U = 2AG^{-1}$$

and

$$X = A_1 + B_1 \sqrt{f(N)}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$Norm(X) = X\overline{X} = A_1^2 - B_1^2 f(N) = \beta$$

where Norm denotes the norm for $\mathbb{F}_q(t, \sqrt{f(N)})/\mathbb{F}_q(t)$. For each $k \geq 1$, let

$$X^k = A_k + B_k \sqrt{f(N)}, \quad A_k, B_k \in R.$$

The polynomials A_k and B_k can be calculated recursively by

(4.1)
$$A_{k+1} = A_1 A_k + B_1 B_k f(N), \quad B_{k+1} = A_1 B_k + B_1 A_k.$$

Let

(4.2) $D_k = (A_k, B_k), A'_k = A_k/D_k, B'_k = B_k/D_k, N_k = A'^2_k - B'_k f(N).$ Then $(A'_k, B'_k) = 1$. Finally we choose

(4.3)
$$M = \left[\frac{\deg f(N)}{2 \deg \beta}\right] - 1.$$

LEMMA 4.1. For $1 \le k \le M$, there exists i_k such that $A'_k/B'_k = P_{i_k}/Q_{i_k}$. Proof. We have $\deg(A'^2 - B'^2 f(N)) = \deg(A^2 - B^2 f(N)) - 2 \deg D_k$

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_k - D_k f(N)) = \deg(A_k - D_k f(N)) - 2 \deg D_k \\ & \leq \deg(A_k^2 - B_k^2 f(N)) = \deg(\operatorname{Norm}(X^k)) = k \deg \beta \\ & \leq M \deg \beta < \frac{1}{2} \deg f(N). \end{aligned}$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1 and $\deg(A'_k + B'_k \sqrt{f(N)}) \ge 0$.

LEMMA 4.2. $i_{k+1} - i_k \ge 2$ for $1 \le k \le M - 1$.

Proof. From the condition $D \nmid (2A^2N + B)^2$ we know that there is an irreducible polynomial P = P(t) in R such that $v_P(D) > 2v_P(2A^2N + B)$, which means that $v_P(\beta) > 2v_P(A_1)$ where v_P is the normal P-adic exponential valuation. From $\beta = \operatorname{Norm}(X) = A_1^2 - B_1^2 f(N)$ we have $v_P(A_1^2) = v_P(B_1^2f(N))$. Then $(A_1, B_1) = 1$ and $f(N) \in \widetilde{R}$ imply that

(4.4)
$$v_P(B_1) = v_P(f(N)) = v_P(A_1) = 0.$$

298

Now we prove that $v_P(A_k) = v_P(B_k) = 0$ for all $k \ge 1$ by induction. This is true for k = 1 from (4.4). Assume that $v_P(B_i) = v_P(A_i) = 0$ for some $i \ge 1$. Since $v_P(f(N)) = 0$, $v_P(\beta) > 2v_P(A_1) = 0$ and $\beta^i = A_i^2 - B_i^2 f(N)$, we know that $A_i^2 \equiv B_i^2 f(N) \pmod{P}$, which means that $\left(\frac{f(N)}{P}\right) = 1$ and P is split in the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)(\sqrt{f(N)})$ where $\left(\frac{F}{P}\right)$ means the Legendre symbol. Let $P = p\tilde{p}$ be the decomposition in K. Then $X = A_1 + B_1\sqrt{f(N)}$ has to be divisible by p or \tilde{p} . We can assume that

$$A_1 + B_1 \sqrt{f(N)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

From $v_P(B_1) = 0$ we know that $v_p(B_1) = 0$ so that

$$\frac{A_1}{B_1} \equiv -\sqrt{f(N)} \pmod{p}$$

Moreover, $A_i + B_i \sqrt{f(N)} = (A_1 + B_1 \sqrt{f(N)})^i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. From the assumption $v_P(B_i) = 0$ we have

$$A_i/B_i \equiv -\sqrt{f(N)} \pmod{p}$$
.

Then from (4.1) we see that

$$\frac{B_{i+1}}{B_1B_i} = \frac{A_1}{B_1} + \frac{A_i}{B_i} \equiv -2\sqrt{f(N)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

which means that $v_p(B_{i+1}) = 0$ so that $v_P(B_{i+1}) = 0$. Finally we deduce that $v_P(A_{i+1}) = 0$ from $\beta^{i+1} = A_{i+1}^2 - B_{i+1}^2 f(N)$. Thus we have proved that $v_P(A_k) = v_P(B_k) = 0$ for all $k \ge 1$. Then $v_P(D_k) = 0$ since $D_k = (A_k, B_k)$. From (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\sqrt{f(N)} - \frac{P_n}{Q_n} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{Q_n(\alpha_{n+1}Q_n + Q_{n-1})}$$

Therefore

$$\deg \overline{\varphi}_n = \deg(P_n - Q_n \sqrt{f(N)}) = -\deg(\alpha_{n+1}Q_n + Q_{n-1})$$
$$= -\deg(\alpha_{n+1}Q_n + Q_{n-1}) = -\deg Q_{n+1}.$$

From deg $(A_1 - B_1\sqrt{f(N)}) < 0$ and $D_k \mid D_{k+1}$ (by definition) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} -\deg Q_{i_k+1} &= \deg \overline{\varphi}_{i_k} = \deg(P_{i_k} - Q_{i_k}\sqrt{f(N)}) \\ &= \deg(A'_k - B'_k\sqrt{f(N)}) \\ &= -\deg D_k + \deg(A_k - B_k\sqrt{f(N)}) \\ &= -\deg D_k + k \deg(A_1 - B_1\sqrt{f(N)}) \\ &> -\deg D_{k+1} + (k+1) \deg(A_1 - B_1\sqrt{f(N)}) \\ &= \deg \overline{\varphi}_{i_{k+1}} = -\deg Q_{i_{k+1}+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore deg $Q_{i_{k+1}+1} > \deg Q_{i_k+1}$ which means that $i_{k+1} > i_k$. If $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$, then

$$P_{i_{k+1}}Q_{i_k} - P_{i_k}Q_{i_{k+1}} = P_{i_k+1}Q_{i_k} - P_{i_k}Q_{i_k+1} = (-1)^{i_k+1},$$

so that $v_P(P_{i_{k+1}}Q_{i_k} - P_{i_k}Q_{i_{k+1}}) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} v_P(P_{i_{k+1}}Q_{i_k} - P_{i_k}Q_{i_{k+1}}) &= v_P(A'_{k+1}B'_k - A'_kB'_{k+1}) \\ &= v_P(A_{k+1}B_k - A_kB_{k+1}) \\ &(\text{since } v_P(D_k) = v_P(D_{k+1}) = 0) \\ &= v_P((A_kA_1 + B_kB_1f(N))B_k \\ &- A_k(A_kB_1 + A_1B_k)) \\ &= v_P(B_1(A_k^2 - B_k^2f(N))) = v_P(\beta^k) \\ &(\text{since } v_P(B_1) = 0) \\ &= kv_P(\beta) > 0 \quad (\text{for } k \ge 1). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $i_{k+1} - i_k \ge 2$, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

LEMMA 4.3. Let

$$M_1 = \left[\frac{\deg f(N) - 2\deg U}{2\deg\beta}\right] - 1 \ (\leq M).$$

Then deg $\varphi_{i_k} < \deg \varepsilon$ for all $1 \le k \le M_1$ where $\varepsilon = \varphi_{l-1}$ is the fundamental unit in $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t, \sqrt{f(N)})$.

Proof. If deg $\varphi_{i_s} \ge \deg \varepsilon$ for some s $(1 \le s \le M_1)$, let s be the smallest one satisfying this condition. Then

$$\varphi_{i_s}\overline{\varepsilon} = (P_{i_s} + Q_{i_s}\sqrt{f(N)})(P_{l-1} - Q_{l-1}\sqrt{f(N)}) = A' + B'\sqrt{f(N)}$$

where $A', B' \in R$, and

$$\deg(A'^2 - B'^2 f(N)) = \deg(\operatorname{Norm}(\varphi_{i_s})) = \deg(\operatorname{Norm}(\varphi_{i_s}\overline{\varepsilon})) < \frac{1}{2} \deg f(N).$$

From Lemma 2.1 and $\deg(\varphi_{i_s}\overline{\varepsilon}) = \deg \varphi_{i_s} - \deg \varepsilon \ge 0$ we know that there exists j such that $\varphi_{i_s}\overline{\varepsilon} = \alpha \varphi_j$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Therefore $D_s^{-1}X^s = \varphi_{i_s} = \alpha' \varepsilon \varphi_j$ ($\alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$). If $j \ge i_1$, then

$$s \deg X \ge \deg(D_s^{-1}X^s) = \deg(\varepsilon\varphi_j) \ge \deg(\varepsilon\varphi_{i_1}) = \deg(\varepsilon X) > \deg X > 0.$$

Thus $\varepsilon \ge 2$ and

Thus $s \geq 2$ and

$$\deg \varphi_{i_{s-1}} \ge \deg(D_{s-1}D_s^{-1}\varphi_{i_{s-1}}) = \deg(D_s^{-1}X^{s-1}) \ge \deg \varepsilon_s$$

which contradicts the definition of s. Therefore $j < i_1$. Since $\deg(\varphi_j \overline{\varphi}_j) = \deg(\varphi_{i_s} \overline{\varphi}_{i_s}) = \deg(\beta^s D_s^{-2}), \quad \overline{\varphi}_j = (-1)^{j+1} (\alpha_{j+1} Q_j + Q_{j-1})^{-1}$ and deg $\alpha_{j+1} = \deg a_{j+1}$, we know that deg $\overline{\varphi}_j = -\deg Q_{j+1} = -\deg Q_{i_1} = -\deg U$ and

$$\deg(\beta^{s}U) \ge \deg(\beta^{s}D_{s}^{-2}U) \ge \deg(\beta^{s}D_{s}^{-2}\overline{\varphi}_{j}^{-1}) = \deg\varphi_{j} \ge \frac{1}{2}\deg f(N)$$

Therefore $s \ge (\deg f(N) - 2 \deg U)/(2 \deg \beta)$, which contradicts $s \le M_1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Now Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence since Lemma 4.2 says that $i_{k+1} - i_k \geq 2$ for $1 \leq k \leq M_1 - 1$ and Lemma 4.3 says that $1 \leq i_k \leq p(\sqrt{f(N)})$ for all $1 \leq k \leq M_1$, so that

$$p(\sqrt{f(N)}) \ge 2M_1 - 1 = 2\left[\frac{\deg f(N) - 2\deg U}{2\deg\beta}\right] - 1 \to \infty \quad \text{as } \deg N \to \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

EXAMPLE. Let $f(x) = x^2 + C$ where C = 2a + 1, $a \in R$, deg $a \ge 1$. We have A = 1, B = 0, $\beta = -4C = D$ and U = 1. For each $k \ge 2$, we choose $N = C^k + a$ so that $f(N) = (C^k + a)^2 + C$. Since $D = -4C \nmid (2A^2N + B)^2 = 4(C^k + a)^2$, N belongs to the set E for all $k \ge 2$. We use Fact 2 to determine the quasi-period $p(\sqrt{f(N)})$ as follows:

$$U_{0} = 0, \quad V_{0} = 1, \quad a_{0} = \left[\sqrt{f(N)}\right] = C^{k} + a,$$

$$U_{1} = C^{k} + a, \quad V_{1} = C, \quad a_{1} = \left[\frac{2C^{k} + 2a}{C}\right] = \left[\frac{2C^{k} + C - 1}{C}\right] = 2C^{k-1} + 1,$$

$$U_{2} = C^{k} + a + 1, \quad V_{2} = -2C^{k-1}, \quad a_{2} = \left[\frac{2C^{k} + C}{-2C^{k-1}}\right] = -C,$$

$$U_{3} = C^{k} - a - 1, \quad V_{3} = \frac{C + (2C^{k} - 1)C}{-2C^{k-1}} = -C^{2},$$

$$a_{3} = \left[\frac{2C^{k} - 1}{-C^{2}}\right] = -2C^{k-2},$$

$$U_{4} = C^{k} + a + 1, \quad V_{4} = 2C^{k-2}, \quad a_{4} = C^{2},$$

$$U_{5} = C^{k} - a - 1, \quad V_{5} = C^{3}, \quad a_{5} = 2C^{k-3},$$
.....

In general, $V_{2i+1} = \alpha C^{i+1}$, $V_{2i} = \alpha' C^{k-i}$, where $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. If k = 2n, then $V_{2n}/V_{2n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = 4n + 1 = 2k - 1$. If k = 2n, then $V_{2n-1}/V_{2n} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = 4n - 1 = 2k - 1$. Therefore $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = 2k - 1$ for all $k \ge 2$. On the other hand,

$$2M_1 - 1 = 2\left[\frac{\deg f(N) - 2\deg U}{2\deg\beta}\right] - 1 = \frac{2\deg(C^k + a)}{\deg C} - 1 = 2k - 1$$

Therefore $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) = 2M_1 - 1$, which shows that our general estimate $p(\sqrt{f(N)}) \ge 2M_1 - 1$ is the best one.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank an anonymous referee for several suggestions that improved the exposition of this paper.

References

- E. Artin, Quadratische Körper im Gebiet der höheren Kongruenzen I, II, Math. Z. 19 (1924), 154–246.
- [2] A. Farhane, Minoration de la période du développement de $\sqrt{a^2n^2 + bn + c}$ en fraction continue, Acta Arith. 67 (1994), 63–67.
- C. D. González, Class number of quadratic function fields and continued fractions, J. Number Theory 40 (1992), 38-59.
- [4] D. Hayes, Real quadratic function fields, in: CMS Conf. Proc. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 203–236.
- [5] L. K. Hua, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer, 1982.
- [6] S. Louboutin, Une version effective d'un théorème de A. Schinzel sur longueurs des périodes de certains développements en fractions continues, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I 308 (1989), 511–513.
- B. de Mathan, Approximations diophantiennes dans un corps local, Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. 21 (1970).
- [8] A. Schinzel, On some problems of the arithmetical theory of continued fractions, Acta Arith. 6 (1961), 393–413.

The Fundamental Science Department Nanjing Agriculture College Nanjing 210038, China E-mail: binhuang@public1.ptt.js.cn

> Received on 22.5.1997 and in revised form on 12.4.1999

(3194)