Gauss sum for the adjoint representation of $GL_n(q)$ and $SL_n(q)$

by

YEON-KWAN JEONG, IN-SOK LEE, HYEKYOUNG OH and KYUNG-HWAN PARK (Seoul)

1. Introduction. Let λ be a nontrivial additive character of \mathbb{F}_q , the finite field of q elements, and χ be a multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_q . For a finite group of Lie type G defined over \mathbb{F}_q (see [2]) and its finite-dimensional (rational) representation ϕ over \mathbb{F}_q , we define the *Gauss sum* $\mathcal{G}(G, \phi, \chi, \lambda)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(G,\phi,\chi,\lambda) = \sum_{x \in G} \chi(\det(\phi(x))) \cdot \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(\phi(x))).$$

The explicit expression of the above sum has been obtained in [5]–[12] for a finite classical group with respect to its natural representation and in [13] for the finite simple group of exceptional type $G = \mathbf{G}_2(q)$ with respect to its 7-dimensional faithful representation ϕ over \mathbb{F}_q .

When G are various finite classical groups and ϕ are the natural representations, the Gauss sums have turned out to be polynomials in q with coefficients involving mostly well-known exponential sums over \mathbb{F}_q . (See [5]–[12].) We also refer to [5]–[12] for motivations and applications of the Gauss sum \mathcal{G} .

These results for the classical groups and $\mathbf{G}_2(q)$ can be rephrased in the following conjectural statement: Let $G = G_l$ be a finite group of Lie type of rank l. Let S be a maximal \mathbb{F}_q -split torus of G. Then the centralizer $H = H_l = C_G(S)$ of S is the Levi subgroup of a minimal parabolic subgroup of G. Note that a minimal parabolic subgroup is a Borel subgroup of G and H is a maximal torus in G. (See [1, §20] and [4, §34] for details.) For $r \leq l$,

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11T23, 11T24, 20G40.

Key words and phrases: Gauss sum, adjoint representation, $GL_n(q)$, $PGL_n(q)$, $SL_n(q)$. The first, third and fourth authors supported in part by the KOSEF through the GARC at Seoul National University.

The second author supported in part by 1997 Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, BSRI-97-1414, and the S.N.U. Research Fund.

^[1]

we denote by G_r a finite group of rank r defined over \mathbb{F}_q and assume that $G = G_l$ and G_r are of "the same type" (see [2, p. 38]). Similarly, we denote by H_r the Levi subgroup of G_r contained in H_l . Let

$$\mathcal{H}(G,\phi) = \sum_{t \in H} \chi(\det(\phi(t))) \cdot \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(\phi(t)))$$

be the Gauss sum restricted to H. Then it is very likely that the Gauss sum $\mathcal{G}(G_l, \phi, \chi, \lambda)$ is a polynomial in q with coefficients involving some $\mathcal{H}(G_r, \phi)$ for $r \leq l$. To be more precise, we need a slight modification of the above statement when G is a twisted group. Indeed, the Gauss sum of twisted group G_l involves not only $\mathcal{H}(G_r, \phi)$ but also "twisted" $\mathcal{H}(G_r, \phi)$. (Although results in [5]–[13] are not stated in the above form, it is not difficult to translate them into the above. See [14] for details.) We also note that there is an analogous result for classical Lie groups (see, for example, [3, 26.19]).

The purpose of this paper is to add more evidence for the above conjecture.

When G is the finite general linear group $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ and ϕ is the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad} : \operatorname{GL}_n(q) \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(q))$, using the "parabolic induction", we show that the Gauss sum is

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{GL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}, \chi, \lambda) = L_{n,0} + q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_k \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2k}(q), \mathrm{Ad})$$

where c_k and $L_{n,0}$ are polynomials in q (see Corollary 3.8 for details). In this case,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_m(q), \mathrm{Ad}) = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda \left((x_1 + \dots + x_m) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_m} \right) \right).$$

Identifying the finite projective general linear group $PGL_n(q)$ with the image of Ad, we thus obtain:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{PGL}_n(q), \mathrm{id}, \chi, \lambda) = \frac{1}{q-1}L_{n,0} + q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_k \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{PGL}_{n-2k}(q), \mathrm{id}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{PGL}_m(q),\mathrm{id}) = \frac{1}{q-1}\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_m(q),\mathrm{Ad}).$$

We note that $\frac{1}{q-1}L_{n,0}$ are polynomials in q.

If n and q-1 are relatively prime, then we also get the Gauss sum for the adjoint representation Ad : $SL_n(q) \to GL(\mathfrak{sl}_n(q))$ of $SL_n(q)$ using the results for $GL_n(q)$. In this case the Gauss sum is

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{SL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}, \chi, \lambda) = \lambda(-1) \left\{ \frac{1}{q-1} L_{n,0} + q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_k \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-2k}(q), \mathrm{Ad}) \right\}$$

(see Proposition 6.5 for details) and the Gauss sum restricted to H is

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SL}_m(q), \mathrm{Ad}) = \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \\ x_1 \dots x_m = 1}} \lambda \left((x_1 + \dots + x_m) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_m} \right) \right).$$

2. Preliminaries and notations. The main tool of this paper may be called *parabolic induction*. Thus we describe the Bruhat decomposition of $GL_n(q)$ with respect to its parabolic subgroups.

Let $P = P_{l,m}$ (with $l, m \ge 1$ and l + m = n) be the parabolic subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ given by

$$P_{l,m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_l & B \\ 0 & A_m \end{pmatrix} \middle| A_l \in \operatorname{GL}_l(q), \ A_m \in \operatorname{GL}_m(q), \ B \in \operatorname{Mat}_{l \times m}(q) \right\}$$

and let

$$\sigma_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_r & 0\\ 0 & 1_{l-r} & 0 & 0\\ -1_r & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{m-r} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $0 \le r \le \min\{l, m\}$ and 1_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix.

Let

$$Q_r = \{ x \in P \mid \sigma_r x \sigma_r^{-1} \in P \}$$

and let $Q_r \setminus P$ be a complete set of representatives for the right cosets of Q_r in P. Then the following decomposition of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ into a disjoint union of right cosets of P is well known. (Our decomposition is slightly modified from that of [2, §2.8].)

LEMMA 2.1. We have

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(q) = \prod_{r=0}^t P \cdot \sigma_r \cdot (Q_r \setminus P)$$

where $t = \min\{l, m\}$.

The case $P = P_{n-1,1}$ will be particularly useful for our purpose. In this case

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(q) = P \coprod PwN$$

where $w = \sigma_1$ and $N = Q_1 \setminus P$. We recall that

$$|\operatorname{GL}_n(q)| = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^k)$$

and thus we have

$$N| = \frac{q(q^{n-1} - 1)}{q - 1}$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Now we introduce some notation which will be used throughout this paper. We assume $P = P_{n-1,1}$ and $w = \sigma_1$. For

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in P,$$

let

$$A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots \\ \vdots & A' \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = {}^t(b_{1n}, b_{2n}, \dots, b_{n-1,n})$$

and

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1,2} & a_{n-1,3} & \dots & a_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this paper we use many equations with summations. For simplicity we use the following notations:

$$\sum_{X} = \sum_{x \in X} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum t_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i,$$

if $x \in X$ and n are explicit in those equations.

Finally, we consider a 0×0 matrix group as the trivial group, for example, $GL_0(q) = SL_0(q) = \{1\}$. But the trace of an element of such a group is defined to be zero.

3. Gauss sum for the adjoint representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$. The adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(q)} = \operatorname{Ad} : \operatorname{GL}_n(q) \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(q))$ of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined as

$$\operatorname{Ad}(x).X = xXx^{-1}$$

for $x \in \operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(q)$, where $\mathfrak{gl}_n(q)$ is the general linear Lie algebra over \mathbb{F}_q .

The following lemma is supposed to be well known.

LEMMA 3.1. For a given $g \in GL_n(q)$, we have

(a) $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Ad}(g)) = \operatorname{tr}(g) \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1}),$ (b) $\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Ad}(g)) = 1.$ Proof. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q . Then we may identify $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ with $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n(q)$ with $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = \operatorname{End}(V)$. Since $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ acts naturally on $V, V \otimes V^*$ is a $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ -module, where V^* is the dual $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ module of V. Identifying $V \otimes V^*$ with $\operatorname{End}(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, we can easily see that the adjoint action of $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ on $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is equivalent to the $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ -action on $V \otimes V^*$. Thus

$$\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Ad}(g)) = \operatorname{tr}(g \otimes ({}^tg^{-1})) = \operatorname{tr}(g) \cdot \operatorname{tr}({}^tg^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(g) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1}),$$

and

$$\det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)) = \det(g \otimes ({}^tg^{-1})) = \det(g)^n \cdot \det({}^tg^{-1})^n = 1. \blacksquare$$

From the above lemma, if we want to get the Gauss sum for the adjoint representation of $GL_n(q)$, it is enough to calculate

$$\sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)} \lambda(\mathrm{tr}(x) \, \mathrm{tr}(x^{-1}))$$

We denote by H_l the standard maximal \mathbb{F}_q -split torus in $\operatorname{GL}_l(q)$, that is,

$$H_l = C_{\operatorname{GL}_l(q)}(H_l) = \{\operatorname{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_l) \mid t_1, \dots, t_l \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}\}.$$

We recall that

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_l(q), \mathrm{Ad}) = \sum_{t \in H_l} \chi(\det(\mathrm{Ad}(t))) \cdot \lambda(\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Ad}(t)))$$

is the Gauss sum restricted to H_l . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_l(q), \mathrm{Ad}) = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_l \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda \left((x_1 + \dots + x_l) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_l} \right) \right).$$

The integers $D_{n,l}$ given in the following definition, which appear in our main result (Theorem 3.6), are interesting by themselves.

DEFINITION 3.2. We set

$$D_{n,l} = \sum_{\text{diag}(t_1,\dots,t_n)\in H_l} \sum_{\substack{x\in \text{GL}_n(q)\\\text{tr}(x)+\sum t_i=0}} 1$$

for $n \geq 2$ and l > 0.

Using "parabolic induction" of $GL_n(q)$ we obtain:

LEMMA 3.3. Let $n \ge 2$ and $l \ge 0$. Then

(a)
$$D_{0,l+1} = (q-1)((q-1)^l - (-1)^l)/q,$$

(b) $D_{1,l} = D_{0,l+1},$
(c) $D_{n,l} = q^{n-1}D_{n-1,l+1} + q^{n-1}(q-1)^l(q^{n-1}-1)|\operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)|.$

Proof. (a) Since $t_i \neq 0$, it is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} t_i = 0$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{l} t_i \neq 0$. Thus

$$D_{0,l+1} = |H_l| - D_{0,l}.$$

(b) Clear.

(c) Using the fact that

$$\sum_{p \in P} \operatorname{tr}(pwp') = \sum_{p \in P} \operatorname{tr}(pw)$$

for $p' \in N$, we have (see the notation in Section 2)

$$\begin{split} D_{n,l} &= \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q) \\ \mathrm{tr}(x) + \sum t_i = 0}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{x \in P \\ \mathrm{tr}(x) + \sum t_i = 0}} 1 + \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{x \in PwN \\ \mathrm{tr}(x) + \sum t_i = 0}} 1 \\ &= q^{n-1} \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q), \ b_{nn} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \\ \mathrm{tr}(A) + b_{nn} + \sum t_i = 0}} 1 + |N| \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{x \in P \\ \mathrm{H}_l \\ -b_{1n} + \mathrm{tr}(A') + \sum t_i = 0}} 1 \end{split}$$

Thus, we may assume $b_{1n} = \operatorname{tr}(A') + \sum t_i$, and hence

$$D_{n,l} = q^{n-1} D_{n-1,l+1} + |N|(q-1)^l q^{n-2}(q-1)|\operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)|. \bullet$$

Now for a given nonnegative integer k, let $[0]_q = 1$,

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}$$
 and $[k]_q^! = [k]_q [k - 1]_q \dots [1]_q$.

Then, from Lemma 3.3(c), we obtain

$$D_{n,l} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} \left\{ D_{0,n+l} + (q-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} [j]_q [j]_q^l \right\}$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$. Also from the direct calculation we have the identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} [j]_q [j]_q^! = \frac{[n]_q^! - 1}{q}.$$

Thus we have shown:

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let $n \ge 2$ and $l \ge 0$. Then

$$D_{n,l} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{(q-1)^{n+l} - (q-1)^n + (q-1)(-1)^{n+l}}{q} + \frac{|\mathrm{GL}_n(q)|}{q}$$

Remark. In particular, for $n \ge 2$, we have

$$|\{x \in \operatorname{GL}_n(q) \mid \operatorname{tr}(x) = 0\}| = D_{n,0} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{(q-1)(-1)^n}{q} + \frac{|\operatorname{GL}_n(q)|}{q}$$

DEFINITION 3.5. For $n, l \ge 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,l} = \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in \operatorname{GL}_n(q)} \lambda \left((\operatorname{tr}(x) + t_1 + \ldots + t_l) \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \frac{1}{t_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{t_l} \right) \right).$$

Now we state the main results of this paper.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $n \ge 2$ and $l \ge 0$ (if n = 2 we assume $l \ne 0$). Then (a) $\mathcal{G}_{1,l} = \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{GL}_{l+1}(q), \operatorname{Ad}) = \mathcal{G}_{0,l+1},$ (b) $\mathcal{G}_{2,0} = q\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{GL}_2(q), \operatorname{Ad}),$ (c) $\mathcal{G}_{n,l} = q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} + q^{2n-2}(q^{n-1}-1)\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l}$ $+ q^{2n-2}\{(q-1)^l | \operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)| - 2(q^{n-1}-1)D_{n-2,l}\}.$

Theorem 3.6 is proved in Section 4. Using Theorem 3.6, we can compute the Gauss sum for the adjoint representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$. To state the result, we define $L_{m,i}$ inductively as follows.

DEFINITION 3.7. We define

$$L_{2,0} = L_{1,i} = L_{0,i+1} = 0,$$

$$L_{m,i} = q^{m-1}L_{m-1,i+1} + q^{2m-2}(q^{m-1} - 1)L_{m-2,i} + q^{2m-2}\{(q-1)^i | \operatorname{GL}_{m-1}(q) | - 2(q^{m-1} - 1)D_{m-2,i}\},$$

where $m \ge 2$ and $i \ge 0$ (if m = 2 then $i \ne 0$). Clearly $L_{m,i}$ are polynomials in q.

COROLLARY 3.8. Let $n \ge 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{GL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}, \chi, \lambda) = \mathcal{G}_{n,0} = L_{n,0} + q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_k \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2k}(q), \mathrm{Ad}),$$

where

$$c_{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ q \sum_{\substack{n_{1} \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 < n_{1} < n}} (q^{n_{1}} - 1) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ q^{k} \sum_{\substack{(n_{1}, \dots, n_{k}) \in \mathbb{N}^{k} \\ 0 < n_{i} + 1 < n_{i+1} < n}} (q^{n_{1}} - 1)(q^{n_{2}} - 1) \dots (q^{n_{k}} - 1) & \text{if } k \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a sheer computation and is omitted. ■

Since the kernel of Ad is the scalar matrix in $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$, we can identify the finite projective general linear group $\operatorname{PGL}_n(q)$ with the image of Ad. Let id be the identification map from $\operatorname{PGL}_n(q)$ onto the image of Ad. If H_l is the standard maximal torus in $\operatorname{GL}_l(q)$, then $\operatorname{Ad}(H_l) = C_{\operatorname{PGL}_l(q)}(\operatorname{Ad}(H_l))$ is a maximal \mathbb{F}_q -split torus in $\mathrm{PGL}_l(q)$. Hence the Gauss sum restricted to $\mathrm{Ad}(H_l)$ is

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{PGL}_l(q),\mathrm{id}) = \frac{1}{q-1}\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_l(q),\mathrm{Ad})$$

and the Gauss sum for $PGL_n(q)$ is

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{PGL}_n(q), \mathrm{id}, \chi, \lambda) = \frac{1}{q-1} \mathcal{G}(\mathrm{GL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}, \chi, \lambda)$$

Therefore we have:

Corollary 3.9. Let $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{PGL}_n(q), \mathrm{id}, \chi, \lambda) = \frac{1}{q-1}L_{n,0} + q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_k \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{PGL}_{n-2k}(q), \mathrm{id}).$$

Note that $\frac{1}{q-1}L_{n,0}$ are polynomials in q.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.6. We begin with some lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $b \neq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{t\in \mathbb{F}_q}\lambda(a+bt)=\sum_{t\in \mathbb{F}_q}\lambda(t)=0.$$

Proof. This is obvious. (Recall that λ is nontrivial.) ■

LEMMA 4.2. We have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{y, z \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda(x^2 y z) = 0.$$

Proof. Dividing the above sum into the sum when x = 0 and the sum when $x \neq 0$, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{y, z \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda(x^2 y z) &= (q-1)^2 \lambda(0) + \sum_{x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda(x^2 y z) \\ &= (q-1)^2 + \Big\{ \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{x, z \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda(x^2 y z) - (q-1)^2 \lambda(0) \Big\} \\ &= 0. \quad \bullet \end{split}$$

LEMMA 4.3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$. Then

$$\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}\lambda((a+x)(b+cxy)) = \begin{cases} -(q-1) & \text{if } a=0, \ b=0, \\ 1 & \text{if } a=0, \ b\neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } a\neq 0, \ b=0, \\ \lambda(ab)+q & \text{if } a\neq 0, \ b\neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For each fixed x, replacing y by $(cx)^{-1}y$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda((a+x)(b+cxy)) \\ &= \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda((a+x)(b+y)) \\ &= \lambda(ab) + \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda((a+x)(b+y)) - \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda(a(b+y)) - \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda((a+x)b) \\ &= \lambda(ab) + \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda(xy) - \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda(ay) - \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda(xb) \\ &= \lambda(ab) + q - \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda(ay) - \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} \lambda(xb). \end{split}$$

Now the result follows from this. \blacksquare

Now we prove Theorem 3.6. Part (a) is obvious. For part (b), using the Bruhat decomposition of $GL_2(q)$ with respect to the parabolic subgroup $P = P_{1,1}$ (see Section 2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{2,0} &= \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_2(q)} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})) \\ &= \sum_{x \in P} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})) + \sum_{x \in PwN} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})) \\ &= q \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2(q), \mathrm{Ad}) + \sum_{x \in PwN} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})) \\ &= q \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2(q), \mathrm{Ad}) + \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \in N} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(xwy) \operatorname{tr}((xwy)^{-1})) \\ &= q \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2(q), \mathrm{Ad}) + \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \in N} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(yxw) \operatorname{tr}((yxw)^{-1})) \\ &= q \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2(q), \mathrm{Ad}) + |N| \sum_{x \in P} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(xw) \operatorname{tr}((xw)^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$xw = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_{12} \\ 0 & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b_{12} & a_{11} \\ -b_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_{2,0} = q\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2(q), \mathrm{Ad}) + q \sum_{b_{12} \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{a_{11}, b_{22} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda \left(-b_{12} \cdot \frac{-b_{12}}{a_{11}b_{22}} \right)$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{G}_{2,0} = q\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2(q), \mathrm{Ad})$$

by Lemma 4.2.

Now we calculate $\mathcal{G}_{n,l}$ in part (c) using the Bruhat decomposition of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ with respect to the parabolic subgroup $P = P_{n-1,1}$ (see Section 2). First, we observe that

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,l} = \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)} \lambda \left(\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i \right) \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \right) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in P} \lambda \left(\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i \right) \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \right) \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in PwN} \lambda \left(\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i \right) \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \right) \right).$$

One can easily see that

(4.1)
$$\sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in P} \lambda\left(\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i\right)\left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i}\right)\right) = q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1}$$

Therefore it is enough to compute

(4.2)
$$\sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in PwN} \lambda \left(\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i \right) \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \right) \right).$$

Let A_{ij} be the cofactor of a_{ij} in A and let A' be the submatrix of A obtained by deleting the first row and the first column (see Section 2 for the notation). Then

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in PwN} \lambda \Big(\Big(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i \Big) \Big(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \Big) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \in N} \lambda \Big(\Big(\operatorname{tr}(xwy) + \sum t_i \Big) \Big(\operatorname{tr}(y^{-1}w^{-1}x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \Big) \Big) \\ &= |N| \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in P} \lambda \Big(\Big(\operatorname{tr}(xw) + \sum t_i \Big) \Big(\operatorname{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \Big) \Big) \\ &= |N| \sum_{H_l} \sum_{B \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n-1}} \sum_{b_{nn} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{A \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)} \lambda \Big(\Big(\operatorname{tr}(A') - b_{1n} + \sum t_i \Big) \\ &\times \Big(\frac{A_{22} + \ldots + A_{n-1,n-1}}{\det(A)} + \frac{\pm b_{1n}A_{11} \pm \ldots \pm b_{n-1,n}A_{n-1,1}}{b_{nn}\det(A)} + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \Big) \Big) \\ &= |N| \sum_{H_l} \sum_{B \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^{n-1}} \sum_{b_{nn} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{A \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)} \lambda \Big(\Big(\operatorname{tr}(A') - b_{1n} + \sum t_i \Big) \\ &\times \Big(\frac{A_{22} + \ldots + A_{n-1,n-1}}{\det(A)} + \frac{b_{1n}A_{11} + \ldots + b_{n-1,n}A_{n-1,1}}{b_{nn}\det(A)} + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \Big) \Big). \end{split}$$

Hence, if $b_{1n} = tr(A') + \sum t_i$ then the corresponding subsum of (4.2) is equal to

(4.3)
$$|N|(q-1)^l q^{n-2}(q-1)|\operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)|.$$

If $b_{1n} \neq \operatorname{tr}(A') + \sum t_i$ then, by Lemma 4.1, the corresponding subsum of (4.2) is 0, unless $A_{21} = A_{31} = \ldots = A_{n-1,1} = 0$. So now we assume that $A_{21} = A_{31} = \ldots = A_{n-1,1} = 0$. This is equivalent to saying that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0\\ A'' & A' \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, $A'' = {}^t(a_{21}, \ldots, a_{n-1,1})$, $a_{i1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)$. We define

$$\sigma = \operatorname{tr}(A') + \sum t_i \text{ and } \tau = \operatorname{tr}(A'^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i}.$$

Then the subsum of (4.2) corresponding to $b_{1n} \neq \operatorname{tr}(A') + \sum t_i$ is equal to (4.4) $q^{n-2}|N|$

$$\times \sum_{H_{l}} \sum_{b_{nn},a_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \sum_{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)} \sum_{B \in (\mathbb{F}_{q})^{n-1} \atop b_{1n} \neq \sigma} \lambda \left((\sigma - b_{1n}) \left(\tau + \frac{b_{1n}}{b_{nn}a_{11}} \right) \right)$$

$$= q^{n-2} |N| \sum_{H_{l}} \sum_{B \in (\mathbb{F}_{q})^{n-1}} \sum_{b_{nn},a_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \sum_{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)} \lambda \left((\sigma - b_{1n}) \left(\tau + \frac{b_{1n}}{b_{nn}a_{11}} \right) \right)$$

$$- q^{n-2} |N| (q-1)^{l} q^{n-2} (q-1)^{2} |\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)|$$

$$= q^{n-2} |N| q^{n-2} \sum_{H_{l}} \sum_{b_{1n},b_{nn},a_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \sum_{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)} \lambda \left((\sigma - b_{1n}) \left(\tau + \frac{b_{1n}}{b_{nn}a_{11}} \right) \right)$$

$$+ q^{n-2} |N| q^{n-2} (q-1)^{2} \mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} - q^{n-2} |N| (q-1)^{l+2} q^{n-2} |\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)|.$$

Therefore it remains to compute

(4.5)
$$\sum_{H_l} \sum_{b_{1n}, b_{nn}, a_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)} \lambda \left((\sigma - b_{1n}) \left(\tau + \frac{b_{1n}}{b_{nn} a_{11}} \right) \right).$$

By Lemma 4.3, the sum (4.5) is equal to

$$(q-1) \Big\{ \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma=0, \, \tau=0}} (-q+1) + \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma=0, \, \tau\neq0}} 1 \\ + \sum_{\substack{H_l}} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma\neq0, \, \tau=0}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{H_l}} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma\neq0, \, \tau\neq0}} (q+\lambda(\sigma\tau)) \Big\}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma=0, \, \tau \neq 0}} 1 = \sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma \neq 0, \, \tau=0}} 1$$

and

$$\sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma \neq 0, \tau \neq 0}} \lambda(\sigma \tau)$$

=
$$\sum_{H_l} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma = 0}} \lambda(\sigma \tau) - 2 \sum_{\substack{H_l}} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma = 0}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{H_l}} \sum_{\substack{A' \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q) \\ \sigma = 0, \tau = 0}} 1,$$

(4.5) becomes

$$(q-1)q\left\{-\sum_{H_{l}}\sum_{\substack{A'\in\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)\\\sigma=0,\,\tau=0}}1+\sum_{H_{l}}\sum_{\substack{A'\in\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)\\\sigma\neq0,\,\tau\neq0}}1\right\} + (q-1)\sum_{H_{l}}\sum_{\substack{A'\in\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)\\\sigma=0}}\lambda(\sigma\tau)$$
$$=(q-1)q\left\{-\sum_{H_{l}}\sum_{\substack{A'\in\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)\\\sigma=0}}1+\sum_{H_{l}}\sum_{\substack{A'\in\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)\\\sigma\neq0}}1\right\} + (q-1)\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l}.$$

Thus we have shown that if $l \neq 0$ and $n \geq 2$ then (4.5) is equal to

(4.6)
$$(q-1)q\{(q-1)^{l}|\operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q)| - 2D_{n-2,l}\} + (q-1)\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l}.$$

Therefore, if we combine the above results, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n,l} &= q^{n-1} \mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} \\ &+ \sum_{H_l} \sum_{x \in PwN} \lambda \left(\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) + \sum t_i \right) \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1}) + \sum \frac{1}{t_i} \right) \right) \quad (\text{see } (4.1)) \\ &= q^{n-1} \mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} \\ &+ |N| q^{n-2} (q-1)^{l+1} |\operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(q)| \qquad (\text{see } (4.3)) \\ &+ |N| q^{2n-4} \sum_{H_l} \sum_{b_{1n}, b_{nn}, a_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{A' \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q)} \lambda \left((\sigma - b_{1n}) \left(\tau + \frac{b_{1n}}{b_{nn} a_{11}} \right) \right) \\ &+ |N| q^{2n-4} (q-1)^2 \mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} - |N| q^{2n-4} (q-1)^{l+2} |\operatorname{GL}_{n-2}(q)| \quad (\text{see } (4.4)) \end{aligned}$$

12

$$\begin{split} &= q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} + |N|q^{2n-4}(q-1)^2\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} \\ &+ |N|q^{n-2}(q-1)^{l+1}|\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q)| - |N|q^{2n-4}(q-1)^{l+2}|\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)| \\ &+ |N|q^{2n-4}\sum_{H_l}\sum_{b_{1n},b_{nn},a_{11}\in\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}\sum_{A'\in\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)}\lambda\bigg((\sigma-b_{1n})\bigg(\tau+\frac{b_{1n}}{b_{nn}a_{11}}\bigg)\bigg) \\ &= q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} + |N|q^{2n-4}(q-1)^2\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} \\ &+ |N|q^{n-2}(q-1)^{l+1}|\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q)| - |N|q^{2n-4}(q-1)^{l+2}|\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)| \\ &+ |N|q^{2n-4}(q-1) \\ &\times \{q((q-1)^l|\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)| - 2D_{n-2,l}) + \mathcal{G}_{n-2,l}\} \qquad (\mathrm{see}\ (4.6)) \\ &= q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} + q^{2n-2}(q^{n-1}-1)\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} \\ &+ |N|q^{n-2}(q-1)^{l+1} \\ &\times \{(q^{n-1}-1)q^{n-2}|\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)| + q^{n-2}|\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q)|\} \\ &+ |N|q^{2n-4}(q-1)(-2qD_{n-2,l}) \\ &= q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} + q^{2n-2}(q^{n-1}-1)\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} \\ &+ q^{2n-2}(q-1)^l|\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q)| + q^{2n-2}(-2(q^{n-1}-1)D_{n-2,l}) \\ &= q^{n-1}\mathcal{G}_{n-1,l+1} + q^{2n-2}(q^{n-1}-1)\mathcal{G}_{n-2,l} \\ &+ q^{2n-2}\{(q-1)^l|\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q)| - 2(q^{n-1}-1)D_{n-2,l}\}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6.

5. Gauss sum for the adjoint representation of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$. The adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(q)} = \mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{SL}_n(q) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{sl}_n(q))$ of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined as

$$\operatorname{Ad}(x).X = xXx^{-1}$$

for $x \in \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(q)$, where $\mathfrak{sl}_n(q)$ is the special linear Lie algebra over \mathbb{F}_q .

LEMMA 5.1. For a given $g \in SL_n(q)$, we have

- (a) $tr(Ad(g)) = tr(g) tr(g^{-1}) 1$,
- (b) $\det(\operatorname{Ad}(g)) = 1.$

Proof. Let $\operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(q)}$ be the adjoint representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ and $\operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{SL}_n(q)}$ be the adjoint representation of $\operatorname{SL}_n(q)$. Note that $\mathfrak{gl}_n(q) = \mathfrak{sl}_n(q) \oplus \mathbb{F}_q \cdot e_{nn}$, where $e_{nn} = \operatorname{diag}(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Thus for any $g \in \operatorname{SL}_n(q)$, we get

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(q)}|_{\operatorname{SL}_n(q)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{SL}_n(q)}(x) & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

.

However, since

$$\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(q)}|_{\operatorname{SL}_n(q)}(g).e_{nn}) = \operatorname{tr}(ge_{nn}g^{-1}) = 1.$$

we have $ge_{nn}g^{-1} - e_{nn} \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(q)$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(q)}|_{\operatorname{SL}_n(q)}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Ad}_{\operatorname{SL}_n(q)}(g) & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves our lemma by Lemma 3.1. ■

x

By Lemma 5.1, if we want to get the Gauss sum of the adjoint representation of $SL_n(q)$, it is enough to calculate

$$\sum_{\in \mathrm{SL}_n(q)} \lambda(\mathrm{tr}(x) \, \mathrm{tr}(x^{-1}) - 1).$$

Now we decompose $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ into the disjoint union of left cosets of $\operatorname{SL}_n(q)$.

LEMMA 5.2. Let n and q-1 be relatively prime. Then

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(q) = \coprod_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} t \operatorname{SL}_n(q).$$

Proof. For any $g \in \operatorname{GL}_n(q)$, let $\det(g) = \alpha$. Since n and q-1 are relatively prime, there is a unique $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ such that $t^n = \alpha$. Thus $t^{-1}g \in \operatorname{SL}_n(q)$ and $g \in t\operatorname{SL}_n(q)$.

From Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\sum_{x \in \operatorname{GL}_n(q)} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(x)\operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{y \in \operatorname{SL}_n(q)} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(ty)\operatorname{tr}((ty)^{-1}))$$
$$= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{y \in \operatorname{SL}_n(q)} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(y)\operatorname{tr}(y^{-1}))$$
$$= (q-1) \sum_{y \in \operatorname{SL}_n(q)} \lambda(\operatorname{tr}(y)\operatorname{tr}(y^{-1})).$$

Therefore we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.3. Let n and q-1 be relatively prime. Then

$$\sum_{x \in \mathrm{SL}_n(q)} \lambda(\mathrm{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)} \lambda(\mathrm{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(x^{-1})).$$

For $SL_n(q)$, we take H_n to be the standard maximal \mathbb{F}_q -split torus in $SL_n(q)$, that is,

$$H_n = C_{\mathrm{SL}_n(q)}(H_n) = \Big\{ \mathrm{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ \Big| \ x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}, \ 1 \le i \le n, \ \prod_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \Big\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}) = \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_1 \dots x_{n-1} + \frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}}\right)} \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_1 \dots x_{n-1}\right) \right) \\ = \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \\ x_1 \dots x_n = 1}} \lambda \left((x_1 + \dots + x_n) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}\right) \right).$$

Then we have:

LEMMA 5.4. Let n and q-1 be relatively prime. Then

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(q)}) = \frac{1}{q-1} \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(q)}).$$

Proof. For $\alpha, t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, we denote $X_{\alpha} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^{\times})^n \mid x_1 \ldots x_n = \alpha\}$ and $t(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (tx_1, \ldots, tx_n)$. Since n and q-1 are relatively prime, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, there is $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ such that $t^n = \alpha$. Hence $X_{\alpha} = tX_1$ and $(\mathbb{F}_q^{\times})^n = \prod_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} tX_1$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}) = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \lambda \left((x_1 + \dots + x_n) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} \right) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{tX_1} \lambda \left((tx_1 + \dots + tx_n) \left(\frac{1}{tx_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{tx_n} \right) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \sum_{X_1} \lambda \left((x_1 + \dots + x_n) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} \right) \right)$$
$$= (q-1)\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}). \bullet$$

Summarizing the above results, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.5. Let n and q-1 be relatively prime. Then

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{SL}_n(q), \mathrm{Ad}, \chi, \lambda) = \lambda(-1) \bigg\{ \frac{1}{q-1} L_{n,0} + q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_k \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-2k}(q), \mathrm{Ad}) \bigg\}.$$

We note that $\frac{1}{q-1}L_{n,0}$ are polynomials in q.

We also note that the finite projective special linear group $PSL_n(q)$ is isomorphic to $SL_n(q)$, since we are assuming n and q-1 are relatively prime.

References

- A. Borel, Linear Algebraic Groups, Benjamin, New York, 1969. [1]
- [2]R. W. Carter, Finite Groups of Lie Type; Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation Theory, Springer, New York, 1991. [3]
- [4]J. E. Humphreys, Linear Algebraic Groups, Grad. Texts in Math. 21, Springer, 1975.
- [5]D. S. Kim, Gauss sums for general and special linear groups over a finite field, Arch. Math. (Basel) 69 (1997), 297-304.
- --, Gauss sums for $O^{-}(2n, q)$, Acta Arith. 80 (1997), 343–365. [6]
- [7]-, Gauss sum for $U(2n + 1, q^2)$, J. Korean Math. Soc. 34 (1997), 871–894.
- -, Gauss sums for O(2n + 1, q), Finite Fields Appl. 4 (1998), 62–86. -, Gauss sum for $U(2n, q^2)$, Glasgow Math. J. 40 (1998), 79–95. [8]
- [9]
- [10]-, Gauss sums for symplectic groups over a finite field, Monatsh. Math., to appear.
- [11] D. S. Kim and I.-S. Lee, Gauss sums for $O^+(2n,q)$, Acta Arith. 78 (1996), 75–89.
- [12]D. S. Kim and Y. H. Park, Gauss sums for orthogonal groups over a finite field of characteristic two, ibid. 82 (1997), 331-357.
- [13]I.-S. Lee and K. H. Park, Gauss sums for $\mathbf{G}_2(q)$, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 34 (1997), 305-315.
- K.-H. Park, Gauss sum for representations of $GL_n(q)$ and $SL_n(q)$, thesis, Seoul [14]National University, 1998.

Department of Mathematics

- Seoul National University
- Seoul 151-742, Korea

E-mail: ykjeong@math.snu.ac.kr islee@math.snu.ac.kr hyekyoung@math.snu.ac.kr pkh@math.snu.ac.kr

> Received on 25.8.1998 and in revised form on 6.3.2000

(3452)